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Hayes HB, Chang Y-H, Hochman S. An in vitro spinal cord–hindlimb preparation for studying behaviorally relevant rat locomotor function. J Neurophysiol 101: 1114–1122, 2009. First published December 10, 2008; doi:10.1152/jn.90523.2008. Although the spinal cord contains the pattern-generating circuitry for producing locomotion, sensory feedback reinforces and refines the spatiotemporal features of motor output to match environmental demands. In vitro preparations, such as the isolated rodent spinal cord, offer many advantages for investigating locomotor circuitry, but they lack the natural afferent feedback provided by ongoing locomotor movements. We developed a novel preparation consisting of an isolated in vitro neonatal rat spinal cord oriented dorsal-up with intact hindlimbs free to step on a custom-built treadmill. This preparation combines the neural accessibility of in vitro preparations with the modulatory influence of sensory feedback from physiologically hindlimb movement. Locomotion induced by N-methyl D-aspartate and serotonin showed kinematics similar to that of normal adult rat locomotion. Changing orientation and ground interaction (dorsal-up locomotion vs ventral-up air-stepping) resulted in significant kinematic and electromyographic changes that were comparable to those reported under similar mechanical conditions in vivo. We then used two mechanosensory perturbations to demonstrate the influence of sensory feedback on in vitro motor output patterns. First, swing assistive forces induced more regular, robust muscle activation patterns. Second, altering treadmill speed induced corresponding changes in stride frequency, confirming that changes in sensory feedback can alter stride timing in vitro. In summary, intact hindlimbs in vitro can generate behaviorally appropriate locomotor kinematics and responses to sensory perturbations. Future studies combining the neural and chemical accessibility of the in vitro spinal cord with the influence of behaviorally appropriate hindlimb movements will provide further insight into the operation of spinal motor pattern-generating circuits.

INTRODUCTION

The spinal cord contains all the circuitry required for producing the basic rhythmic motor patterns that underlie locomotion. Although this spinal circuitry, often termed the central pattern generator (CPG), can produce locomotor behavior in the absence of phasic sensory input, sensory feedback is known to play an important role in refining the spatiotemporal features of these motor patterns to match environmental demands and correct for unexpected errors. Sensory signals are a major determining factor in both the timing of phase transitions (Duysens and Pearson 1980; Grillner and Rossignol 1978; Hiebert et al. 1996; Pearson et al. 1998; Whelan et al. 1995) and the magnitude and duration of extensor activity during stance (Hiebert and Pearson 1999; Juvin et al. 2007; Pearson and Collins 1993; Pearson et al. 1998; Rossignol et al. 2006). They are also capable of resetting and entraining centrally generated rhythms in a phase-dependent manner (Conway et al. 1987; Iizuka et al. 1997; Kriellaars et al. 1994; Pearson et al. 1998).

Many of the known properties of the locomotor CPG have been elucidated using the isolated rodent spinal cord, in which the CPG can be robustly recruited through neurochemical application or electrical stimulation. The circuitry can then be dissected anatomically, physiologically, pharmacologically, and, more recently, molecularly with the advent of transgenic approaches. The ability to apply drugs in known concentrations without interference from the blood–brain barrier and to control the ionic composition of the extracellular environment imparts a great advantage over in vivo approaches (Bagust and Kerkut 1981; Smith and Feldman 1987; Smith et al. 1988). Moreover, the mechanical stability of the isolated cord allows for stable intracellular recordings from small neurons (Cheng et al. 2002; Smith and Feldman 1987; Smith et al. 1988; Wheatley et al. 1994), a challenging technical feat in vivo (Eccles et al. 1961) and unprecedented during nonfictive hindlimb locomotion. Although these advantages make the in vitro preparation a powerful model system for investigating neuronal mechanisms of locomotion, the isolated cord fails to incorporate sensory feedback from ongoing limb movements, known to be vital in the patterning of locomotion (Bagust and Kerkut 1981; Pearson 1995; Pearson et al. 1998; Wheatley and Stein 1992). Many of the spatiotemporal features of motor output, such as phase-transition timing and extensor amplitude modulation, are lost in the absence of sensory influences.

The goal of this work was to develop a novel mammalian preparation that combines the neural accessibility and manipulability of in vitro preparations with the modulatory influence of sensory feedback from ongoing, physiologically relevant movement. This requires the retention of dorsal roots and intact hindlimbs as well as an appropriate environment for natural limb stepping, including appropriate orientation relative to gravity and mechanical interactions. Previous work in the amphibian (mudpuppy) forelimb has progressed toward this goal (Wheatley and Stein 1992), but no such mammalian nor hindlimb locomotor preparation exists. Our new preparation is composed of a fully exposed neonatal rat spinal cord with hindlimbs attached. The isolated cord and hindlimbs are ori-
ented dorsal-up in a physiologically appropriate locomotor posture with the limbs allowed to step on a treadmill.

Here we present the first biomechanical characterization of in vitro rat hindlimb locomotion, including kinematics from the dorsal-up preparation. We compare these kinematics to those from mechanically similar in vivo conditions to provide a framework for understanding their behavioral relevance. We also show electromyography (EMG) from hindlimbs during both dorsal-up and ventral-up in vitro locomotion. Although EMGs of the restrained or air-stepping limb have been reported (Atsuta et al. 1990; Kiehn and Kjaerulf 1996), EMGs from appropriately oriented (dorsal-up) and unrestrained hindlimbs during in vitro locomotion have not been shown. Finally, to demonstrate the importance of sensory feedback in motor patterning, we compare muscle-activation patterns between dorsal- and ventral-up locomotion and demonstrate the effect of sensory perturbations on muscle-activation patterns and stride timing.

A portion of these results was previously presented in abstract form (Brant and Chang 2006; Brant et al. 2006).

METHODS

All procedures described here comply with the principles of The Care and Use of Animals outlined by the American Physiological Society and were approved by the Emory University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

Dissection

Neonatal rats (Sprague–Dawley) postnatal days 1–4 were first decapitated and eviscerated, leaving only the vertebral column, pelvis, and hindlimbs attached to the cord. All skin was removed except that covering the feet. The preparation was then secured in a dissection chamber filled with continuously oxygenated low-calcium, high-magnesium artificial cerebrospinal fluid (aCSF; see Bathing solutions). Ventral vertebrectomy and dorsal laminectomy exposed the ventral and dorsal sides from the upper cervical transection to the midsacral level. Care was taken to preserve all ventral and dorsal roots to maintain complete hindlimb innervation.

The isolated cord–hindlimb preparations were then transferred to a perfusion chamber designed to allow dorsal-up, unrestrained hindlimb locomotion (Fig. 1, described in the following text). The cords were secured to the Sylgard with insect pins through the remaining ribs in a dorsal-up posture, with the hindlimbs and sacral cord hanging pendant in the caudal portion of the chamber. Cord position was adjusted to approximate physiological locomotor posture.

Perfusion chamber and treadmill

Rectangular perfusion chambers were constructed from 3-mm-thick transparent Lucite acrylic sheets (GE Polymer Shapes) and filled with Sylgard. A small Sylgard block was then cut from the caudal portion of each chamber to create space for a custom treadmill and unrestrained hindlimb locomotion.

The treadmill belt, composed of a 30-mm-wide × 130-mm-long polyurethane belt (McMaster-Carr), was mounted around plastic rollers (Tamiya) and two metal shafts. The shafts were drilled perpen-
Bathing solutions

All bathing solutions were continuously oxygenated with 95% O2−5% CO2. The standard bathing solution was aCSF containing (in mM): 128 NaCl, 1.9 KCl, 1.2 KH2PO4, 26 NaHCO3, 2.4 CaCl2, 1.3 MgSO4, and 10 glucose (pH of 7.4). For dissection and EMG normal aCSF except for 0.85 mM CaCl2 and 6.5 mM MgSO4) was used.

Muscle recordings and analysis

To record EMG activity, monopolar Teflon-coated platinum–iridium electrodes (0.05-mm bare diameter; AM Systems) with bared tips were implanted in up to six hindlimb muscles, including right and left tibialis anterior (TA; ankle flexors), right vastus lateralis (VL; knee extensor), and right rectus femoris (RF; knee extensor/hip flexor). Once implanted, a small drop of formulated cyanoacrylate (Nexaband Liquid Tissue Adhesive; Abbott Laboratories) was placed at the point of electrode insertion to secure the wires during movement. The fine wires were flexible enough to follow the moving limbs with negligible mechanical impedance (Kiehn and Kjaerulf 1996).

The EMG activity was collected through a differential amplifier, band-pass filtered (100–3,000 Hz), notch filtered (60 Hz), digitized at 5 kHz (Digitida 1322A, 16-bit DAQ; Axon Instruments), and recorded (Clampex; Axon Instruments) for off-line analysis. EMG activity was analyzed using a custom program in Matlab (The MathWorks). Analysis relevant to the locomotor rhythm included low-pass Chebyshev filtering to create burst envelopes, burst detection, burst duty cycle, and intermuscular phasing. The phase between two muscles was defined as the time from midburst of the first muscle to midburst of the second divided by the cycle period (Grillner and Matsushima 1992), with 0 being exactly in-phase and 0.5 being 180° out-of-phase. Muscle duty cycles and intermuscular phasing were compared between dorsal-up and ventral-up in vitro preparations and across muscles within a preparation using two-sample and paired Student’s t-test (α = 0.05, unless otherwise stated) using the statistics toolbox in Matlab.

Video recording and kinematic analysis

For sagittal plane kinematic analysis, joint centers were palpated and marked at the hip (greater trochanter), knee (lateral epicondyle), ankle (lateral malleolus), and fifth metatarsophalangeal joints using waterproof black ink. Video recordings of hindlimb locomotion, collected in the sagittal plane using a digital video camera at a rate of 60 Hz, were synchronized with EMG recordings using a trigger light in the camera field of view and a simultaneous voltage pulse sent to an EMG trigger channel. Following collection, joint positions were digitized using semiautomatic tracking (Dartfish Software). Joint angle trajectories for the right hindlimb were then calculated from joint positions. The ankle and knee angles were defined as included angles between the foot and shank segments and shank and thigh segments, respectively. The hip angle was defined as the angle between the thigh and the horizontal. In all cases, increasing angle values indicate extension.

To account for slight variations in cycle time, each step cycle was time normalized. Zero percent gait cycle was defined as the onset of retraction/stance phase, which was determined from video recordings and defined as the time when the toe was in its anterior extreme position (AEP). Similarly, protraction/swing phase onset was defined as the time when the toe was in its posterior extreme position (PEP). Once normalized, joint angular trajectories were averaged across cycles (n = 10–23 cycles) to compute average trajectories for each animal. Average trajectories ± SDs are presented. Stride period was defined as the time from one ipsilateral AEP event to the next, with stride frequency being the inverse of stride period. These and all subsequent kinematic analyses were performed using custom programs in Matlab.

All statistical analyses were performed using JMP statistical software (SAS) or the statistics toolbox in Matlab. Mean stride frequencies at each speed were compared using a one-way ANOVA (α = 0.05). The effects of both time and treadmill speed on stride frequency were examined using a multiple linear regression. Coefficients were tested for significant difference compared with zero (α = 0.05).

Ventral-up setup

For comparison, some preparations were oriented ventral-up with their hindlimbs allowed to air-step above the cord. Procedures for ventral-up air-stepping were similar, but were undertaken in a simple flat-bottomed Sylgard chamber. Under these conditions, a static oxygenated aCSF bath was used.

In vivo kinematics

In vivo kinematics from adult rat treadmill locomotion were also collected. The posterior ischium and hip, knee, ankle, and fifth metatarsophalangeal joints were marked, and video of treadmill locomotion (33.2 cm/s) was recorded at 60 Hz. Sagittal plane kinematics were then analyzed with the same techniques described earlier.

Success rate

The success rate for dorsal-up in vitro locomotion with the perfusion system was high, with 94% (15/16) locomoting in response to NMDA and 5-HT. However, without the perfusion system, the success rate was much lower at only 39%. For kinematic analysis, some locomoting preparations were excluded because of significant out-of-plane motion or limited paw–treadmill interaction. Also, only kinematics or only EMG activity was collected in some preparations. For ventral-up in vitro locomotion (static bath), the success rate was similarly high, with 84% (13/15) locomoting. Again, some were excluded for out-of-plane motion and some were used only for either kinematics or EMG collection.
RESULTS

Hindlimb two-dimensional kinematics from the in vitro preparations

Figure 2 shows average kinematic trajectories for the hip, knee, and ankle joints during locomotion from representative animals in the dorsal-up (Fig. 2A; n = 5) and ventral-up (Fig. 2B; n = 3) orientations. In the dorsal-up orientation, the hip and ankle extended during retraction/stance and flexed during protraction/swing, as would be expected for stepping in the behaving rat (Gillis and Biewener 2001; Thota et al. 2005). The knee joint exhibited mostly flexion during retraction/stance, presumably supporting the weight of the hindquarters on ground contact as well as actively retracting to accelerate the hindquarters relative to the treadmill. During protraction/swing, the knee exhibited a large extension to return to its initial position.

Overall, the joint trajectories from ventral-up air-stepping differed considerably from those seen in dorsal-up treadmill locomotion. First, the hip and ankle exhibited large plateau phases at the end of retraction/stance phase extension, which were absent in dorsal-up locomotion. Most interestingly, the knee exhibited a completely different trajectory. Rather than flexing, the knee extended during the entire retraction/stance phase, followed by rapid flexion and slight extension during the protraction/swing phase (Fig. 2B). This difference distinguishes the two movements and results in a very different coordination and phasing between the three joints. Finally, all three joints exhibited a much larger range of motion during ventral-up air-stepping compared with dorsal-up.

Comparison of kinematics from in vitro and in vivo conditions

Kinematics from both in vitro conditions (dorsal-up treadmill locomotion and ventral-up air-stepping) compare well with their in vivo counterparts. Figure 2C shows kinematic trajectories obtained during adult in vivo treadmill locomotion. Despite the large age and size differences, the neonatal in vitro dorsal-up treadmill locomotion (Fig. 2A) and adult in vivo treadmill locomotion (Fig. 2C) conditions produced similar kinematics. Neither exhibited the extended plateau phases that were seen in the in vitro conditions.
observed during air-stepping and all three joint trajectories followed similar patterns. In both cases, the knee underwent a large flexion phase during retraction/stance that was absent in air-stepping trajectories. It should be noted that there is a difference between the ankle trajectories, with the in vivo exhibiting an early flexion phase (sometimes termed E2 phase) during retraction/stance that is absent in vitro. The in vivo knee trajectory also has an additional flexion phase near the transition to swing that is not present in vitro. These absences in vitro likely result from the smaller size and added weight support of the Sylgard step. Nonetheless, the differences are small compared with the near reversal in knee joint trajectory between ventral- and dorsal-up in vitro conditions.

Similarly, the in vitro air-stepping trajectories (Fig. 2B) were similar to those previously reported from in vivo neonatal air-stepping (Stehouwer et al. 1994). The most obvious similarities include the presence of extension plateau phases at the ankle and hip joints as well as the absence of knee flexion during retraction/stance phases, which reflects the absence of ground interaction and need for weight support.

Comparison of EMG patterns from the dorsal-up and ventral-up in vitro preparations

To gain more direct insight into the role of sensory feedback during locomotion, we also looked at EMG activity during dorsal-up and ventral-up in vitro locomotion. Figure 3 shows representative EMG activity during locomotion from a dorsal-up and ventral-up in vitro preparation. Gray shading indicates retraction/stance phases. In both conditions, the EMG activity showed the expected alternation between ipsilateral ankle flexors and extensors (i.e., right LG and right TA) or between contralateral ankle flexors (i.e., right TA and left TA). However, the intermuscular phasing and relative duty cycles differed significantly between the dorsal-up and ventral-up conditions. First, the phasing between TA, an ankle flexor, and VL, a knee extensor, was significantly different (P = 0.002) between dorsal-up (0.375 ± 0.057, n = 4) and ventral-up (0.0961 ± 0.101, n = 5). The phasing between TA and RF, a knee extensor/hip flexor, showed a similar trend, with the dorsal-up phase (0.207 ± 0.094, n = 4) being greater than ventral-up (0.164 ± 0.119, n = 4), although this difference was not statistically significant.

Muscle duty cycles also showed significant differences between the in vitro conditions. During dorsal-up locomotion, the duty cycles of the extensors VL and LG were 0.52 ± 0.064 and 0.56 ± 0.073, respectively, whereas the duty cycle of the flexor TA was 0.37 ± 0.098. The duty cycles of both extensors were significantly longer than the flexor duty cycle (VL, P < 0.01, n = 4; LG, P < 0.05, n = 5). During ventral-up locomotion, the duty cycle of VL was 0.34 ± 0.12 and TA was 0.47 ± 0.094. The ventral-up duty cycles were thus reversed compared with dorsal-up, with the duty cycle of TA being significantly longer than that of VL (P < 0.01, n = 5). In addition, the duty cycle of VL was significantly longer during dorsal-up locomotion compared with ventral-up (P < 0.05), whereas the duty cycle of TA tended to be shorter during dorsal-up locomotion (P = 0.06).

Effect of sensory perturbations on EMG and stride parameters

To demonstrate the efficacy of this preparation for studying locomotor circuitry under sensory modulation, EMG activity and stride parameters were examined in response to two sensory manipulations. First, in preparations (n = 3) with initially weak locomotion, a stepping assistance perturbation was applied by exerting a swing assistive force on the right hindfoot. A glass probe was used to push the bottom of the right hindfoot anteriorly at the onset of each protraction/swing phase. Figure 4 shows an example of the muscular response to this perturbation. Prior to swing assistance, the locomotion was...
irregular in frequency and quite weak, with right LG, VL, and RF all failing to burst reliably (Fig. 4A). During swing assistance, the right LG, VL, and RF all began to exhibit regular bursting and engaged in the ongoing rhythmic pattern (Fig. 4B). Finally, after swing assistance was terminated, the EMG pattern was quite robust on all channels and regular in frequency (Fig. 4C). Similar increases in extensor activity strength and regularity were observed in the other two animals. In each case, reinforcing sensory feedback from swing assistance increased the robustness of the centrally generated motor program.

Second, the speed of the treadmill was changed during ongoing locomotion to determine whether this change in sensory feedback could alter hindlimb stride frequency. Such responses have been shown previously in more intact, older animals (Forssberg and Grillner 1973; Grillner and Rossignol 1978; Musienko et al. 2007; Pearson 1995). As treadmill speed increased from about 4 to 11 mm/s, stride frequency increased by 12.1% from a mean of 0.257 to 0.288 Hz (Fig. 5). One-way ANOVA showed that the stride frequencies were significantly different between treadmill speeds ($P < 0.001$). To ensure that

---

**Fig. 4.** EMG response to right hindlimb swing assistance. Muscles from top to bottom in each panel: left lateral gastrocnemius (L LG), left tibialis anterior (L TA), right lateral gastrocnemius (R LG), right tibialis anterior (R TA), right vastus lateralis (R VL), right rectus femoris (R RF). A: 40 s of EMG activity before application of swing assistive forces to the right hindlimb. B: 40 s of EMG activity during swing assistance. C: 40 s of EMG activity after termination of swing assistance. The top left gray boxes in each panel highlight frequency irregularity and/or regularity. The bottom right gray boxes in each panel highlight the gradual engagement of the R LG, R VL, and R RF in the rhythm in response to swing assistance.
these changes were not simply due to drifts in frequency over time, a multiple linear regression model was used to quantify the effects of both time and treadmill speed on stride frequency. The regression analysis showed that the effect of time was not significant ($P = 0.5$), whereas the effect of treadmill speed was statistically significant ($P < 0.001$). Two other animals also exhibited the trend of increasing stride frequency across a range of treadmill speeds. However, in these two animals, multiple linear regression revealed a stronger influence of time. Due to the compounding factor of temporal drift, the changes in stride frequency could not be attributed to treadmill speed alone. It was noted that the latter two animals appeared to have less forceful interactions with the treadmill belt, whereas the paw of the first animal appeared to cause larger belt deflections during the stance phase. Thus strong paw–belt interaction may be required to achieve a strong speed-entraining effect and alteration of temporal features via belt-related sensory feedback.

**DISCUSSION**

The kinematic data presented in this study constitute the first biomechanical characterization of mammalian hindlimb locomotion generated in vitro. Importantly, even though locomotion is neurochemically induced and undertaken in neonates, the preparation can produce task-appropriate kinematics and generate locomotor behavior similar to that of the normal adult rat. Although some prior work has described pharmacologically induced “stepping-like movement” in earlier hindlimb-attached in vitro preparations (Atsuta et al. 1988; Kudo and Yamada 1987; Smith et al. 1988), no effort has been made to quantify the kinematics of this behavior and thus no data were available for comparison to locomotor behaviors normally exhibited by intact rodents. Additionally, only air-stepping movements have been reported; in vitro locomotion has not been studied under behaviorally relevant mechanosensory conditions. The biomechanical characterization presented here also offers a better understanding of the type of behavior being elicited by NMDA and 5-HT acting on the spinal cord and further highlights the role of mechanosensory feedback in altering centrally generated locomotor output. Interestingly, previous EMG activity from restrained hindlimbs suggested that serotonin evokes swimming-like motor output (Kiehn and Kjaerulf 1996). Our results emphasize that mechanosensory input plays a large role in movement patterning and show that 5-HT/NMDA can lead to stepping-like movements when given the appropriate mechanical environment.

**Kinematics confirm the behavioral relevance of the preparation**

The kinematic dissimilarities between the in vitro dorsal- and ventral-up conditions demonstrate the preparation’s ability to produce multiple kinematic behaviors that are largely influenced by the mechanical environment and, likely, sensory feedback. Additionally, they show that the same neurochemicals (i.e., 5-HT and NMDA) can produce different movement patterns depending on the mechanosensory context. When compared with their in vivo counterparts, the kinematics of the in vitro preparations strongly resembled the patterns produced in the corresponding mechanical conditions in vivo. The dorsal-up treadmill locomotion joint angle trajectories (Fig. 2A) were comparable to those typically seen in adult treadmill locomotion in vivo (Fig. 2C), with the exception of two inflections absent in vitro. The slight differences can likely be attributed to discrepancies in age and size and obvious differences between in vitro and in vivo preparations. Regarding the ventral-up air-stepping (Fig. 2B), the patterns were strikingly similar to the air-stepping patterns previously reported in neonatal in vivo air-stepping (Stehouwer et al. 1994). In the in vivo study, the intact neonates were harnessed and air-stepping, while in vitro, the isolated cord was ventral-up with the hindlimbs air-stepping above the body. Despite this difference in orientation, the absence of ground interaction and correspondingly reduced sensory input appear sufficient to produce comparable kinematic patterns.

Overall, the similarities between in vitro and in vivo in both the treadmill and air-stepping conditions suggest that spinal motor circuitry, even at an early developmental stage, is capable of producing task-appropriate motor patterns. Previous researchers have questioned the relevance of the neonatal model since neonates at this age do not typically walk (Smith and Feldman 1987). Regardless, when weight supported (i.e., reduced preparation with vertebral support from the Sylgard step), the neonatal spinal cord is capable of producing adultlike movements given sufficient mechanosensory feedback.

**Sensory input contributes to spatiotemporal features of motor output**

Although the kinematic comparison showed that the mechanical condition can alter kinematic patterns, we cannot distinguish between purely mechanical effects and the effects mediated by sensory feedback pathways using kinematics alone. Thus we compared EMG patterns between the dorsal- and ventral-up in vitro conditions to demonstrate the importance of sensory feedback in patterning motor output in the neonate in vitro. A limitation of locomotor studies in the neonatal rat spinal cord is the fatigability of afferent synaptic transmission (Lev-Tov and Pinco 1992), which could minimize the contribution of afferent input to ongoing motor output. Afferent activity is capable of resetting locomotion in the neonatal cord (Iizuka et al. 1997; Kiehn et al. 1992), but the contribution of sensory activity to ongoing locomotion remains uncharacterized in the neonate.

We observed significant differences in both muscle phasing and duty cycle between dorsal- and ventral-up patterns, suggesting that sensory feedback from the mechanical condition of the limbs strongly affects the spatiotemporal features of spinal motor output. As described earlier, the VL and TA were more out-of-phase during dorsal-up locomotion compared with ventral-up, with VL being active further into the stance phase during dorsal-up (Fig. 3). During dorsal-up locomotion, the limb extends against a resistance and bears the weight of the hindquarters during stance, leading to greater limb loading and likely excitatory length and force feedback that could increase extensor activity during stance (Pearson et al. 1998; Rossignol et al. 2006). Such feedback would be very small, if present at all, in the ventral-up condition as the limbs are not extending against a surface. Thus this sensory feedback pathway might explain the shift toward increased stance-phase activity of VL during dorsal-up locomotion.
Conclusions and future directions

In conclusion, this model provides a platform for studying the neurophysiology of spinal locomotor circuitry in a behaviorally relevant context, which includes the vital influences of sensory feedback. By bringing the traditional in vitro isolated spinal cord preparation neurophysiologically closer to reproducing in vivo locomotion, the dorsal-up in vitro model has the potential to advance the study of neural control of locomotion and the spinal circuits that govern limb movement. The critical interplay between the CPG and proprioception has been the topic of much research, dating back to early commentary by Sherrington near the turn of the century (Mott and Sherrington 1895), but, as discussed in an editorial focus in this journal in 2004, “such questions are difficult to address because they require the analysis of the functional role of neural components in a behaving animal (Cattaert 2004).” The dorsal-up in vitro preparation helps overcome these challenges by allowing us to readily study neural activity with intact sensory feedback from ongoing limb movement.

One of the greatest advantages of the dorsal-up in vitro preparation is the potential for studying interneuronal activity and CPG function during natural, sensory-influenced behavior. As shown in the mudpuppy, once the moving limb is mechanically isolated from the cord, even intracellular recordings can be achieved in in vitro nonfictive preparations (Cheng et al. 2002; Wheatley and Stein 1992; Wheatley et al. 1994). Additionally, in the in vitro spinal cord offers exquisite neurochemical and ionic control of the neuronal environment in the absence of a blood–brain barrier. By combining neural accessibility and manipulability with behavioral observability and sensorimotor interactions, this novel methodology greatly expands our ability to investigate spinal mechanisms that control locomotion and to elucidate the task-specific functioning of the CPG.
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