Representation of Dynamic Interaural Phase Difference in Auditory Cortex of Awake Rhesus Macaques
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Scott BH, Malone BJ, Semple MN. Representation of dynamic interaural phase difference in auditory cortex of awake rhesus macaques. J Neurophysiol 101: 1781–1799, 2009. First published January 21, 2009; doi:10.1152/jn.00678.2007. Neurons in auditory cortex of awake primates are selective for the spatial location of a sound source, yet the neural representation of the binaural cues that underlie this tuning remains undefined. We examined this representation in 283 single neurons across the low-frequency auditory core in alert macaques, trained to discriminate binaural cues for sound azimuth. In response to binaural beat stimuli, which mimic acoustic motion by modulating the relative phase of a tone at the two ears, these neurons robustly modulate their discharge rate in response to this directional cue. In accordance with prior studies, the preferred interaural phase difference (IPD) of these neurons typically corresponds to azimuthal locations contralateral to the recorded hemisphere. Whereas binaural beats evoke only transient discharges in anesthetized cortex, neurons in awake cortex respond throughout the IPD cycle. In this regard, responses are consistent with observations at earlier stations of the auditory pathway. Discharge rate is a band-pass function of the frequency of IPD modulation in most neurons (73%), but both discharge rate and temporal synchrony are independent of the direction of phase modulation. When subjected to a receiver operator characteristic analysis, the responses of individual neurons are insufficient to account for the perceptual acuity of these macaques in an IPD discrimination task, suggesting the need for neural pooling at the cortical level.

INTRODUCTION

Behavioral studies using focal lesions have established that auditory cortex is necessary for sound-directed behavior (Heffner and Heffner 1990; Jenkins and Merzenich 1984; Malhotra et al. 2004; Neff et al. 1956). Broad tuning for the location of a sound source has been observed in single neurons of the auditory cortex in several species, including cats (Brugge et al. 1996; Mickey and Middlebrooks 2003; Middlebrooks et al. 1994) and primates (Tian et al. 2001). Neurons in core auditory cortex (Kaas and Hackett 2000) of the awake macaque are sensitive to sound source location and movement (Ahissar et al. 1992; Benson et al. 1981; Recanzone et al. 2000; Woods et al. 2006) and this tuning presumably derives from both binaural and monaural spatial cues (Schnupp et al. 2001). Despite a resurgence of interest in the awake primate model of auditory cortex, the predominance of free-field stimulation has left the representation of binaural cues at the level of single cells relatively unexplored (Brugge and Merzenich 1973; Malone et al. 2002; Scott et al. 2007). A prominent binaural cue underlying sound localization along the azimuth is the difference in arrival time of a sound at the two ears. Physiological sensitivity to this interaural time difference (ITD) arises from a coincidence-detection mechanism in the superior olivary complex (SOC) of the brain stem, where cells are tuned for a particular temporal delay—on the order of microseconds—between sound arriving from the contralateral and ipsilateral ears (Goldberg and Brown 1969; Yin and Chan 1990). For periodic stimuli, such as pure tones, this ITD manifests as an ongoing interaural phase difference (IPD; Rayleigh 1907) as well as an onset delay. This IPD dominates onset delay as the primary cue for both neural tuning (Kuwada and Yin 1983) and human spatial perception (Buell et al. 1991; Shackleton et al. 1991). Neural tuning to IPD, once established in the brain stem, is preserved through the inferior colliculus (IC) in the midbrain (Kuwada and Yin 1983; Rose et al. 1966; Spitzer and Semple 1991; 1993) and relayed via the medial geniculate nucleus (MGN) of the thalamus to the primary auditory cortex (AI) (Brugge and Merzenich 1973; Fitzpatrick and Kuwada 2001).

The preferred IPD and tuning sharpness of a neuron may be determined from repeated presentations of dichotic tones spanning the full range of IPD. A more efficient stimulus for the assessment of IPD tuning is the binaural beat, an ongoing modulation of IPD produced by presenting tones of slightly different frequencies to the two ears (Yin and Kuwada 1983a,b). This produces a percept of auditory motion in human listeners, such that a tone appears to move within the head at a rate determined by the frequency difference between the ears. As the difference between the two tones is increased (beyond ~20 Hz), the perceived beat loses its spatial quality and becomes a fluctuation or roughness (Licklider 1950; Perrott and Musicant 1977). We used binaural beats to probe the spatial, spectral, and temporal properties of the binaural system by recording single neurons in auditory cortex of awake macaques.

Binaural beats evoked strong, synchronized discharges in low-frequency neurons of the auditory cortex. In stark contrast to earlier studies that report transient responses to the beat cycle in anesthetized cortex (Reale and Brugge 1990), neurons in awake cortex typically respond robustly throughout the period of the beat. These broadly tuned responses imply different mechanisms of neural coding than would have been possible under anesthesia and are compatible with theories of sound localization that stress population codes among broadly tuned neurons (Fitzpatrick et al. 1997; Harper and McAlpine 2004; Middlebrooks et al. 1994; Stecker et al. 2005). Furthermore, the macaques were trained to discriminate IPD (Houben...
and Gourevitch 1979; Scott et al. 2007; Wegener 1974), allowing us to compare psychophysical performance to single-neuron tuning in the same animals. The animals’ perceptual ability exceeds the accuracy of single neurons, lending further support to the notion of population coding in the binaural auditory system.

**Methods**

**Subjects, recording procedure, and stimuli**

All procedures were in accordance with the Society for Neuroscience guiding principles on the care and use of animals and were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of New York University. Subjects were two male rhesus macaques (referred to as X and Z), trained to discriminate the laterality of IPD around midline and accustomed to sitting quietly between behavioral sessions to allow the collection of additional physiological data in the passive state (Malone et al. 2002; Scott et al. 2007). Animals were monitored by video and given periodic rewards (between stimulus sets) to maintain alertness. Recording cylinders were implanted over the interaural axis in a sterile surgical procedure, first over the left hemisphere and later moved to the right. In daily sessions monitored on closed-circuit video, animals sat in a Plexiglas chair (Crist Instrument, Hagerstown, MD) in a double-walled anechoic room (IAC, Bronx, NY) with their heads immobilized by a surgically implanted headholder.

**Physiology and stimulus generation**

A stepping microdrive was secured on the recording cylinder under aseptic conditions to allow a vertical approach to auditory cortex (Pingst and O’Connor 1980) by resin-coated tungsten microelectrodes (10–12 MΩ; FHC, Bowdoin, ME). The signal was amplified (variable gain), filtered (typically 0.3–10 kHz), and processed by a MALab event timer (Kaiser Instruments) communicating with a Macintosh computer. Spikes from single neurons were discriminated by setting voltage/time windows and waveforms were monitored for consistency throughout the recordings; spike times were logged at a precision of 1 µs and responses displayed on-line.

Stimulus generation was controlled by the MALab software, which drives a hardware synthesizer that feeds the left and right signals to a Stax amplifier and, in turn, to electrostatic speakers [Stax Lambda (STAX, Saitama, Japan) in Sokolich Custom Sound Systems housings] coupled to custom-made ear inserts. Each channel was calibrated for sound pressure level (SPL, in dB re: 20 Pa, from 50 to 30,000 Hz) and phase (from 50 to 3,000 Hz) prior to each session using a probe microphone (4133, Bruel & Kjaer, Nertum, Denmark) positioned within the ear insert.

Entry into auditory cortex was typically preceded by a gap in physiological activity consistent with passage through the lateral sulcus. When a responsive cell was isolated, best frequency (BF, the frequency eliciting the highest spike rate at a suprathreshold level) was quantified by an isolevel contour, typically at 60 dB SPL, or an effective level chosen audiovisually (as was sometimes necessary for strongly nonmonotonic responses). Tones were 100 ms in duration, gated with a 5-ms cosine-squared ramp, and presented binaurally at zero ITD. Monaural tones were used only if binaural stimulation was ineffective; this was the case for only 7% of low-frequency neurons (BF ≈ 2.5 kHz).

Phase sensitivity was assessed using binaural beats, an ongoing modulation of interaural phase produced by presenting two tones of slightly different frequency to the two ears. A positive IPD value corresponds to a phase advance at the ipsilateral ear and a binaural beat in the positive direction denotes an increasing phase advance at the ipsilateral ear. A +2-Hz binaural beat at a center frequency of 1,000 Hz is produced by presenting 999 Hz to the contralateral ear and 1,001 Hz to the ipsilateral, evenly spacing the actual tone frequencies about the center frequency. Beats were typically presented as two 10-s trials, with a 2-s “off” time between presentations. A neuron’s response first was measured across a range of center frequencies, at an SPL chosen audiovisually to elicit a high spike rate and well-synchronized response. The center frequency at which the product of rate and synchrony was maximal (spike rate × vector strength; see following text) was used for subsequent manipulations of other parameters (e.g., SPL, beat frequency, and beat direction). The center frequency eliciting the strongest beat response (as measured by the Rayleigh statistic; see following text) exactly matched the tone-derived BF for 59% of neurons; in cases where the frequencies differed, the difference was slight, such that only 4% of neurons had best beat responses ≈ 1 octave from the BF.

**Statistical analysis of synchrony**

In this study the term “synchrony” is always used in reference to the stimulus beat cycle. The binaural beat is a cyclical modulation of IPD over one cycle of a 2-Hz beat, the signals begin in phase (IPD = 0°), modulate to a maximal left ear lead at 0.25 s (IPD = 180°), and then realign after 0.5 s to begin the cycle again (IPD = 360° = 0°; Fig. 1A). This circular axis confines the stimulus space and requires the use of circular statistics. Each spike fired is treated as a unit vector in a polar coordinate system with the angle representing the instantaneous phase of the beat and the direction of the sum of those vectors defines the mean phase of the response. Normalized to the number of spikes, the length of that mean vector is dependent on how tightly the spikes cluster about that one point in the stimulus cycle. This vector strength (VS; Goldberg and Brown 1969) is a measure that varies between zero (e.g., a flat period histogram) and one (all spikes at one phase). The product of spike rate × VS was computed on-line and used to select optimal stimulation parameters (e.g., center frequency, as described earlier). In post hoc analysis, the significance of the VS measurement was assessed by the Rayleigh statistic, a spike-rate–dependent statistical criterion for the nonuniformity of a circular distribution

\[
R = 2n \times \text{VS}^2
\]

where R is computed from the total number of spikes n and the VS [Rayleigh (1880), cited in Fisher (1996)]. A response was considered significantly synchronized if R was > 13.816 the P = 0.001 level (Mardia and Jupp 2000). In practice, the functions described by rate × VS and R are very similar because both reflect the combined strengths of spike rate and temporal synchrony. Both VS and R are problematic for testing nonuniform distributions, but clear bimodal responses to binaural beat stimuli were not observed in our sample.

**Quantification of IPD tuning width**

For each neuron, the strongest response (measured by R) to a 2-Hz beat was binned into a modulation period histogram of fifty 10-ms bins (see following text, Fig. 1C). A five-point moving average was used to identify the peak bin and the histogram was rotated on the phase axis to center the peak at 0°. The minimum of the smoothed function was subtracted off as baseline firing rate and the resultant histogram was fit by a Gaussian (normal) distribution with three free parameters: amplitude, mean, and SD (Fig. 12; Matlab curve fit toolbox, The MathWorks, Natick, MA). Tuning width was defined as twice the SD value, in degrees of IPD. By visual inspection, the fits seemed to capture tuning width well for strongly modulated responses (see RESULTS). Population analysis of the raw histograms revealed median values of skewness and kurtosis consistent with Gaussian functions (median skew 0.02, median kurtosis 2.81, indistinguishable from 0 and 3 by Wilcoxon signed-rank; measured using built-in functions of Matlab Statistics Toolbox). Because responses were
Although IPD is a cue to sound location along the azimuth, degrees of IPD do not map directly to external space. Under some circumstances, however, an equivalent angle in azimuth can be computed from an IPD value. Such a computation was applied to provide a reference in actual space for the IPD tuning widths estimated from binaural beat responses. The $+1$ SD point on the Gaussian fit (described earlier) was taken to indicate half the width of the IPD “receptive field” (from the center, at the peak of the Gaussian, to the edge). That SD value was converted from degrees of IPD into ITD, by expressing it as a fraction of the tone period

\[
\text{ITD} = \frac{\text{IPD} \times (1/\text{center frequency})}{360}
\]

In the relevant frequency range, ITD is effectively independent of center frequency and can be translated into the equivalent angle along the azimuth, relative to midline

\[
\text{angle} \equiv \sin^{-1} \left[ \frac{\text{ITD} \times (c/3r)}{2} \right]
\]

(rearranged from Eq. 6 of Kuhn 1977), where \(c\) is the speed of sound (3.43 \times 10^{-2} \text{ m/\mu s}) and \(r\) is the head radius (~0.045 m). This angle was doubled to estimate the width of the receptive field if it were centered at midline.

Under ecological conditions, maximal ITD occurs for a sound source 90° from midline and is determined by the animal’s head size; for macaques, this limit is around 400 μs. The use of dichotic stimuli (such as the binaural beat) allows the presentation of interaural disparities greater than those that could occur under natural listening conditions. If an IPD value corresponds to an ITD >400 μs, the term in parentheses in the preceding equation will exceed ±1 and the inverse sine operation become ambiguous; thus angles >90° of azimuth cannot be defined. At center frequencies <300 Hz, where the tone period is long, the edge of the IPD receptive field often corresponded to an ITD beyond the ecological range, so an equivalent angle in azimuth could not be computed. Nevertheless, IPD is a powerful cue to location in this low-frequency range.

### Recording sites

Histology in both animals and postmortem magnetic resonance imaging in animal X confirmed the recording locations to be within the core auditory cortex (the primary auditory field AI and the rostral field R). Assignment of recording locations to cortical fields is based on physiological criteria, in particular the reversal of the tonotopic gradient at the border of AI and R, and the degradation in efficacy of pure-tone stimuli at the border of core and belt (Kosaki et al. 1997; Rauschecker et al. 1995; Recanzone 2000; Scott 2004). For the analyses presented in the following text, the population has been defined physiologically and has not been segregated by animal, hemisphere, or cortical field.

### Results

Vigorous responses to binaural beats were found in a largely contiguous area around rostral, low-frequency AI, extending into the adjacent field R. Entry into low-frequency AI was often marked by an audible “hash” synchronized to a binaural beat, suggesting relatively homogeneous responsiveness among the local population of neurons. This was especially true in the middle layers of cortex (as estimated by depth relative to entry into the superior temporal plane), although responses could be obtained throughout the depth of the tissue. Outside of the AI/R border, some units isolated in the belt responded to low-frequency tones or band-passed noise, but were not phase sensitive when tested with binaural beats.

Table 1 shows the number of cells with best frequencies in the range where sensitivity to IPD might be expected, based on
the limits of phase-locking in the primate auditory nerve (Rose et al. 1967) and the number of those cells tested with the binaural beat stimulus. Quantitative data were collected from 283 cells (186 in monkey X, 97 from Z) from AI and R. (Recording chamber positions in monkey X were optimal for recording from the low-frequency border of AI and R, resulting in a disproportionate sample of phase-sensitive neurons from this animal.) Of cells studied quantitatively, 268 (95%) discharged with significant synchrony (Rayleigh test, $P < 0.001$) to a binaural beat, although these cells represent only 68% of the total number of cells tested (cells that showed no sensitivity to the qualitative test were less likely to be studied in further detail). In one hemisphere, an additional 57 neurons with BF between 250 and 1,500 Hz; although 24% of the cells were tested with a standard set of binaural beats at $1/2$ Hz (see Methods).

In one hemisphere, an additional 57 neurons with BF to the qualitative test were less likely to be studied in further detail. The total number of cells tested (cells that showed no sensitivity to a binaural beat, although these cells represent only 68% of the total number of cells tested (cells that showed no sensitivity to the qualitative test were less likely to be studied in further detail). In one hemisphere, an additional 57 neurons with BF between 250 and 1,500 Hz; although 24% of these neurons showed some significant synchrony, in all cases the significance was marginal ($R < 20$). This suggests that entrainment to the binaural beat is restricted to the low-frequency population described here.

The following analysis is intended to provide a comprehensive survey of binaural beat responses, allowing comparison with the existing (largely subcortical) literature, as well as the processing of other modulated sounds in auditory cortex. After the general properties of a beat response are introduced, the effects of beat frequency, center frequency, and beat direction are discussed in turn. The widths of IPD “receptive fields” are then quantified and, finally, the neural responses are compared with psychophysical thresholds for the perception of dynamic IPD.

An example of a single-unit response to a 2-Hz binaural beat is shown in Fig. 1B as a spike raster, binned into a peristimulus time histogram (PSTH). Cycling through IPD induced a synchronized firing pattern evident in the PSTH and emphasized in the modulation period histogram (MPH; Fig. 1C), in which responses to 40 cycles of the beat (two 10-s presentations at 2 cycles/s) are superimposed on a common time axis by “folding” on the beat duration of 500 ms. This profile was typical of responses to binaural beats in the awake cortex (see Figs. 1, 3, 7, 12, and 13) and differs markedly from previous observations in anesthetized cat AI, where cells responded transiently with a single spike per beat cycle at comparable rates of modulation (Reale and Brugge 1990).

The binaural beat proved to be an efficient stimulus for measuring the phase tuning of neurons in the primate cortex, as was demonstrated in cat IC (Yin and Kuwada 1983a,b). Overlaid in gray on the period histogram (Fig. 1C) is a tuning curve obtained from presentations of static phase offsets at eight values of IPD. The mean phases computed from the beat and static IPD responses are similar, reflecting a common underlying phase selectivity. The degree of selectivity for phase (and, in the dynamic case, synchrony to the beat cycle) was measured by the VS of the response (see Methods), which is sharper for the binaural beat (beat: 0.56; static: 0.42). In 46 units, phase sensitivity was studied with both static IPD and binaural beats, allowing a comparison of the mean phase and VS estimates afforded by the two stimuli. Figure 2A confirms that a 2-Hz beat gives a reliably similar estimate of mean phase when compared with estimates from static IPD stimuli presented at the same carrier frequency ($r^2 = 0.92$, slope = 0.97; arrow indicates cell from Fig. 1). Although a given mean phase estimate could differ by $\pm 45^\circ$ between the two measures, there was no consistent tendency for the binaural beat mean phase to lead or lag the static estimate (paired t-test, $P = 0.90$). Vector strengths of the two measures (Fig. 2B) were correlated, but (as suggested by the example unit in Fig. 1C) were consistently higher for beat responses ($r^2 = 0.45$, paired t-test, $P < 0.0001$), which was also the case in anesthetized cortex (Reale and Brugge 1990). This may be attributed to nonselective transient responses that could be present at the onset of every tone used in a static IPD procedure, but the difference in VS remained if the first 100 ms of the response to static IPD was excluded from analysis. Alternatively, gliding smoothly through phase rather than presenting static IPDs in isolation may enhance a neuron’s selectivity (Malone et al. 2002; Spitzer and Semple

### Table 1. Number of cells studied with binaural beats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Rhesus X</th>
<th>Rhesus Z</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total cells with BF $&lt;2.5$ kHz</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualitatively studied</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>397</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantitatively studied</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Showing synchrony to beat</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>906</td>
<td>268</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*168 AI, 91 R, 9 belt.

![Figure 2](http://jn.physiology.org/DownloadedFrom/10.22032/247)
The generality of this sharpening at higher rates of IPD change is addressed in the next section.

Effects of binaural beat frequency

The frequency disparity between the ears was varied about a common center frequency to produce a range of binaural beat frequencies. Responses from two cells tested across beat frequency are shown in Fig. 3, both as MPHs of spike times and summarized as a family of modulation transfer functions (MTFs). At low binaural beat frequencies, synchrony to the beat is seen in the deeply modulated profile of the MPHs. At high beat frequencies (50–100 Hz, Fig. 3, top panels), the MPH of the cell in Fig. 3A has flattened, whereas the cell in Fig. 3B continues to synchronize its discharges. The temporal MTF (tMTF) captures this effect as a plot of vector strength across modulation frequency. The tMTF in Fig. 3A exemplifies the typically observed low-pass function rolling off above 20 Hz. A more unusual example is depicted in Fig. 3B: the tMTF reveals synchrony up to ≥50 Hz. Also apparent in both neurons is a shift in the mean phase of the response at beat frequencies >5 Hz: the MPH profile seems to slide rightward (later in the period) and at 20 Hz “wraps around” to center, captured by the phase MTFs (pMTFs; only points with significant synchrony are plotted; see also Fig. 5). Last, overall spike rate is affected by the rate at which the binaural beats cycle through IPD, as demonstrated in the rate modulation transfer functions (rMTFs) in the bottom panel (and Fig. 6).
Effects of beat frequency on vector strength

A neuron was classified as beat responsive if it showed significant synchrony at any beat frequency. All beat-responsive neurons had synchronized discharges at a beat frequency of 2 Hz (e.g., Figs. 1 and 2), but a range of higher frequencies (up to ≥20 Hz) was tested to determine how synchrony between the beat and the neural discharge degraded at higher rates of IPD modulation. The enhanced IPD tuning sharpness for binaural beats (relative to the static IPD stimulus) shown in Fig. 2B exists only at low beat rates. When the differences between VS measured from beats and static IPD pips were compared, VS was higher for beats from 2 to 10 Hz (data not shown; Wilcoxon signed-rank, *P* < 0.05). To assess the effect of beat frequency on VS across the population, all recorded measurements were pooled from those cells in which beats were presented across modulation frequency (*n* = 183). Figure 4A plots the median VS, along with the 10th and 90th percentiles, across beat frequency. The median degrades from 0.5 to 0.2 with increasing beat frequency and the 90th percentile traces a weakly band-pass curve peaking at 5–10 Hz but falling toward the median at higher rates, where synchrony becomes uniformly poor.

The highest beat frequency eliciting a significantly synchronized response indicates the maximal velocity of IPD change that can be represented. Cutoff frequency was determined in 97 cells for which beat frequency was tested beyond the range of synchrony. [A linear interpolation was performed between R at the highest synchronized frequency and the adjacent lowest unsynchronized frequency; the frequency at which this line crossed the Rayleigh threshold of 13.816 was taken as the cutoff (Liang et al. 2002).] Figure 4B is a survival plot of these interpolated cutoffs, plotting the percentage of cells that could fire in synchrony at or above a given beat frequency. The median cutoff, at which the survival plot crosses 50%, is 20 Hz. Although faster beats are generated by increasing the frequency difference between the ears, synchrony cutoff did not vary significantly with the BF of the neuron or the center frequency used (ANOVA, *P* = 0.3 and 0.09, respectively).

Each cell could be assigned a best modulation frequency (BMF) on the basis of firing rate, synchrony, or their product. The distributions of BMFs generated from each measure were essentially identical, with a mode at 5 Hz. As with synchrony cutoff, there was no dependence of BMF on BF (ANOVA, *P* > 0.89). As suggested by the MPHs in Fig. 3 and the distribution of cutoffs, IPD is represented by instantaneous spike rates over a wide range of beat frequencies, so the assignment of a BMF is not especially informative (Malone et al. 2007).

Effects of beat frequency on mean phase

Whereas a slow 2-Hz beat generates a mean phase equivalent to that estimated from static IPD stimuli, increasing beat rates elicit a phase lag deriving (presumably) from the conduction time from ear to cortex. For example, a latency of 20 ms is only 4% of the 500-ms cycle of a 2-Hz beat (i.e., by the time the cell fires, the instantaneous IPD has shifted 14°), but the lag will be tenfold larger relative to the shorter period of a 20-Hz beat. The difference in mean phase between the beat response and static tuning curve was measured, at each beat frequency eliciting significant synchrony, for the 64 cells in Fig. 2. This difference is significantly >0 at all beat frequencies ≥5 Hz (*P* < 0.001, Wilcoxon signed-rank). Data from three individual units are plotted in Fig. 5A to emphasize the constancy of mean phase estimates in the low-frequency regime (0.1–5 Hz) and the shift in phase as beat frequency increases and latency effects become more prominent. For the cells illustrated, and the population in general, binaural beats in the “negative” (contralateral ear lead) direction produced mean phase plots that were the mirror images of those produced by “positive” frequencies. Figure 5, B and C illustrates this phase lag for eight units tested across beat frequency in the positive and negative directions. The four units in Fig. 5B showed a diversity of mean phases at low beat frequencies and a linear shift in mean phase at higher beat frequencies. The cells in Fig. 5C had similar mean phase values and were tested to greater extremes, but showed consistent mean phase shifts to modulations ≥100 Hz in either direction.
The slope of the function relating mean phase to beat frequency should provide an estimate of the fixed delay in the system (the neural latency) causing the phase lag. Least-squares linear fits, like the examples in Fig. 5, were weighted by $R$ at each point. Delay estimates from these slopes were compared with minimum first-spike latencies in response to diotic pure tones for 124 single units. Both the tones and the binaural beats were presented well above threshold (60 dB SPL), but were not matched in SPL. The two measures are weakly but significantly correlated ($r^2 = 0.22; P < 0.0001$, ANOVA), with first-spike latency estimates showing a slight but statistically insignificant tendency to be shorter (paired $t$-test, $P = 0.08$). There was no effect of BF on delay ($P = 0.76$, ANOVA). The poor fit between the two latency estimates suggests that onset responses may be attributed to a mechanism distinct from that which induces the phase lag. Adaptation to repeated stimulus cycles could also explain a longer estimate of delay (Eggermont 1999).

**Effects of beat frequency on discharge rate**

The overall spike rate at which a neuron fires may carry information about the binaural beat stimulus in addition to, or in the absence of, synchronized firing at a preferred phase. In anesthetized cortex, cells typically fire only one spike per cycle, making firing rate a monotonic function of beat frequency (Reale and Brugge 1990). In awake animals, by contrast, cortical neurons often respond throughout the IPD cycle (see Figs. 1 and 3), so spike rate need not increase linearly with beat rate. Figure 6A shows normalized rMTFs from six cells tested with beat frequencies from 0.1 to 100 Hz. All are nonmonotonic functions, indicating an optimal range of beat frequencies between 5 and 20 Hz where the cell discharges maximally over the 20 s of stimulus presentation. Figure 6B

---

**FIG. 5.** Binaural beats accurately predict a neuron’s static preferred IPD at low beat frequencies, but higher rates of IPD modulation elicit a lag in the phase of the response. A: data from 3 example neurons, plotted to emphasize the constancy of mean phase estimates <5 Hz, before the transition to a linear shift in phase with beat frequency. Arrow indicates the 2-Hz beat frequency used in Fig. 2. B: mean phase of the response shifts linearly with beat frequency in the positive or negative direction. These 4 units show a wide range of mean phase values at low beat frequencies and their phase/frequency functions remain parallel out to 50 Hz in either direction. C: additional units tested to greater extremes of beat frequency. Linear fits such as in B and C were used to measure group delay.

The slope of the function relating mean phase to beat frequency should provide an estimate of the fixed delay in the system (the neural latency) causing the phase lag. Least-squares linear fits, like the examples in Fig. 5, B and C, were weighted by $R$ at each point. Delay estimates from these slopes were compared with minimum first-spike latencies in response to diotic pure tones for 124 single units. Both the tones and the binaural beats were presented well above threshold ($\geq 60$ dB SPL), but were not matched in SPL. The two measures are weakly but significantly correlated ($r^2 = 0.22; P < 0.0001$, ANOVA), with first-spike latency estimates showing a slight but statistically insignificant tendency to be shorter (paired $t$-test, $P = 0.08$). There was no effect of BF on delay ($P = 0.76$, ANOVA). The poor fit between the two latency estimates suggests that onset responses may be attributed to a mechanism distinct from that which induces the phase lag. Adaptation to repeated stimulus cycles could also explain a longer estimate of delay (Eggermont 1999).

**Effects of beat frequency on discharge rate**

The overall spike rate at which a neuron fires may carry information about the binaural beat stimulus in addition to, or in the absence of, synchronized firing at a preferred phase. In anesthetized cortex, cells typically fire only one spike per cycle, making firing rate a monotonic function of beat frequency (Reale and Brugge 1990). In awake animals, by contrast, cortical neurons often respond throughout the IPD cycle (see Figs. 1 and 3), so spike rate need not increase linearly with beat rate. Figure 6A shows normalized rMTFs from six cells tested with beat frequencies from 0.1 to 100 Hz. All are nonmonotonic functions, indicating an optimal range of beat frequencies between 5 and 20 Hz where the cell discharges maximally over the 20 s of stimulus presentation. Figure 6B
shows the data from the same cells in terms of average spikes fired per beat cycle. In every case, the number of spikes per cycle falls off roughly as a power function. At a low beat rate of 1 Hz, the cells fire on the order of 10–100 spikes/s (or spikes/beat), but at higher rates (20–100 Hz in these cells) they begin to behave as described in anesthetized cortex, firing only one or two spikes per cycle, then skipping cycles as the curves drop to <1 spike/beat at very high rates of modulation.

Spitzer and Semple (1998) examined the effect of beat frequency on overall spike rate in the IC, where responses generally resemble those in awake cortex: spikes are fired throughout the phase cycle. In most IC neurons (35/44), beat rate (and direction) did not affect spike rate. To obtain a similar estimate in this sample, an index of firing rate contrast was computed for each unit tested across beat frequency

\[
\frac{\text{FR}_{\text{max}} - \text{FR}_{\text{min}}}{\text{FR}_{\text{max}} + \text{FR}_{\text{min}}}
\]

where \(\text{FR}_{\text{max}}\) and \(\text{FR}_{\text{min}}\) denote the maximum and minimum evoked firing rate; a contrast of 0.33 would indicate a doubling of spike rate at one beat frequency relative to another. Of 183 cells, 73% had a rate contrast of \(\geq 0.3\), which corresponded well to band-pass rate tuning by visual inspection of rMTFs. Band-pass rate tuning to beat frequency in awake cortex, like that seen in Fig. 6A, is the rule rather than the exception, a seemingly emergent property observed rarely in the IC and never in the SOC (Spitzer and Semple 1998).

**Effects of center frequency: characteristic delay and characteristic phase**

The low-frequency, IPD-tuned neurons of core auditory cortex respond over a finite range of carrier frequencies and carrier frequency can exert a significant influence on the binaural beat response, particularly its mean phase. The dual tuning of low-frequency cells in auditory cortex to frequency and IPD is exemplified in Fig. 7, in which spike rate is represented as a contour map in phase-frequency space for two cells. A vertical slice through the contours at any frequency would be the beat period histogram at that center frequency. Significant mean phase points and a linear fit (weighted by R) are overlaid. The example in Fig. 7A has a circumscribed phase/frequency response area, with little effect of frequency on mean phase. The range of IPDs over which the cell responds is broadest when the center frequency is at the BF (1.6 kHz, as defined by discharge rate). The neuron in Fig. 7B shows a clear phase advance with increasing frequency and a broader range of effective carrier frequencies (>1 octave in Fig. 7B, compared with ~0.5 octave in Fig. 7A).

The slope of the regression line tracking mean phase across frequency may reflect a fixed delay in a linear system, called the characteristic delay (CD) of the neuron. This term was introduced by Rose et al. (1966) to denote the ITD evoking maximal (or minimal) discharge regardless of center frequency (Yin and Kuwada 1983b). The intercept of that function represents the characteristic phase (CP; Yin and Kuwada 1983b), the preferred phase regardless of frequency. Both terms refer to characteristics of binaural coincidence detection in the brain stem, originally assessed by the alignment of ITD tuning functions measured across frequency. The point of alignment indicated the CD and a CP of 0° indicated that the CD occurred at the point of maximal discharge in those functions (the “peak”); a CP of 180° indicated a CD at the point of minimum discharge (the “trough”; reviewed in Kuwada et al. 1997). For the example neurons in Fig. 7, the slopes are 0.00013 and −0.00028 cycles of IPD per Hz in Fig. 7A and B, respectively; thus CD = 130 μs in A and −280 μs in B. The intercept in Fig. 7A falls between peak and trough, at 221°, whereas CP in Fig. 7B falls nearer the peak, at 339°.

For all cells showing significant synchrony to the binaural beat at three or more carrier frequencies, phase/frequency plots were subjected to linear regression, weighted by R at each point. A simple bootstrap technique was used to determine statistical significance of each fit (see Appendix in Yin and Kuwada 1983b). Significant linear phase/frequency functions were collected from 150 cells (83% of 180 tested). Of these, 100 cells (67%) had negative slopes, indicating a progressive phase lead with increasing frequency. Figure 8 shows a collection of phase–frequency plots with both negative (Fig. 8A) and positive (Fig. 8B) slopes.

The populations of CD (slope, converted to microseconds) and CP (intercept, in cycles) values are plotted against one another in Fig. 9A, with marginal distributions for both metrics. An inset circular histogram replots the CP values to emphasize the clustering of their distribution at a mean phase of 333° on the circular axis; the significance of this clustering can be assessed by the same metrics used for spikes (VS = 0.34, \(P < 0.001\) by Rayleigh test; the mean CP and VS of the left and
right hemisphere distributions were almost identical, so data have been pooled. This unimodal distribution (Hartigan’s dip test, $P \approx 0.94$) is significantly shifted from a mean of zero (Wilcoxon signed-rank, $P \approx 0.002$), suggesting that CDs in cortex most often occur at intermediate values corresponding to the slope of the ITD tuning curve, rather than the peak or trough. The distribution of CDs (top histogram) shows a bias toward negative values, again indicating a preference for a lead at the contralateral ear (mean CD $\approx -100 \mu s$, different from zero by Wilcoxon signed-rank, $P \approx 0.0001$). There is no clear dependence between the two metrics, in contrast to that described in the IC (McAlpine et al. 1996; their Fig. 15).

The range of interaural delays that might be encountered in the environment is restricted by the head size of the animal and the speed of sound (Kuhn 1977). Nearly all CDs (133/150, 89%; Fig. 9, A and B) fell into the range of ecologically plausible ITDs for macaques, about ±400 μs [as computed from the measured head sizes of our animals and consistent with direct measurements by Spezio et al. (2000)].

A dependence of preferred ITD on BF has been observed in the IC of the guinea pig (McAlpine et al. 2001) and cat.
(Hancock and Delgutte 2004), using delayed noise stimuli, which would predict a similar effect on CD in primate cortex. Figure 9B plots CD against the BF of each neuron \((n = 150)\) and indicates no relation between the two \((\text{ANOVA,} \ P = 0.30)\). In all three species, however, BF was not seen to exert a strong effect on CP.

Characteristic delay is abstracted from a neuron’s response across a range of center frequencies and does not address how the population responds to an ITD in a tone of a given frequency. Figure 9C plots all measured preferred ITDs, computed from all significant mean phases of binaural beat responses \((1,280 \text{ responses, from 162 cells in monkey X, 86 in Z; } 425 \text{ responses from the left hemisphere, 855 right). For values over 0.5 cycle, one cycle was subtracted (because there is no way to disambiguate ITDs longer than half a period using a cyclical stimulus). Thus the maximum range of ITDs at each frequency is constrained to half the stimulus period, defining the outer edges of the distribution (thin hyperbolic lines). At every center frequency, the distribution of preferred ITDs in the population response spans the possible range of ITD values, regardless of ecological range. The relevance of this distribution in relation to prior studies is addressed in the Discussion.

**Directional sensitivity and perceptual relevance**

The ability of these animals to discriminate IPD sweeps from midline has been described previously \((\text{Scott et al. 2007). Figure 10 reproduces the psychophysical thresholds of both animals across carrier frequency, overlaid on the distribution of center frequencies for all significant binaural beat responses (gray histogram). Thresholds are low in the frequency range where the bulk of beat-sensitive neurons are tuned, and thresholds rise as the IPD sensitivity of the population wanes at higher carrier frequencies. This relationship is only correlative, but it merits a comparison of cortical binaural beat responses to psychophysical discrimination of IPD.

**Effect of beat direction on discharge rate and synchrony**

Cells that fire selectively for IPD shifts in a particular direction would provide an obvious substrate for the discrimination task performed by these animals. However, the prevailing characteristic among cells tested with beats in both directions was symmetry, of both rMTFs and tMTFs. In other words, overall firing rate and synchrony were dependent on absolute beat frequency, but largely independent of which ear was advancing in phase. Figure 11A plots rMTFs for four cells tested over a wide range of beat frequencies in both directions and, regardless of absolute firing rate, the functions are symmetric about zero. For all beat frequencies tested in two directions \((n = 661)\), the firing rates elicited show a slight bias toward the positive direction \((P = 0.002 \text{ by paired } t\text{-test; mean difference is only 1 spike/s}), but this is likely a result of adaptation: beats in the positive direction were presented first, as a block, in 78% of cases. To examine direction selectivity cell by cell, a rate Direction Selectivity Index \((\text{rDSI})\) was computed at each beat rate

\[
\text{rDSI} = (\text{FR}_{\text{pos}} - \text{FR}_{\text{neg}})/(\text{FR}_{\text{pos}} + \text{FR}_{\text{neg}})
\]

where \text{FR} is firing rate and the subscripts indicate the positive or negative beat direction. (By convention, “negative” denotes apparent motion into the contralateral hemisphere as it passes midline.) An average rDSI was computed for each neuron \((n = 135)\), the distribution of which is shown in Fig. 11C. Values of \(\pm 0.33\) would indicate a doubling of firing rate in one direction relative to the other, but the bulk of the values fall below \(\pm 0.2\) and there is no directional bias in the distribution (median not different from zero, \(P = 0.78\), Wilcoxon signed-rank). The same analysis was carried out for all VS points \((\text{Fig. 11D})\) and the temporal DSI showed a somewhat broader distribution but no significant bias away from 0 \((\text{Fig. 11E})\). Although 6 of 135 cells showed a doubling of firing rate or VS in one direction, most cortical neurons encode IPD modulation regardless of the direction of apparent motion.

**IPD tuning width and receptive field distribution**

To substantiate the claim that neurons in awake AI respond over a broad range of IPD, the MHP of each neuron’s strongest response (by maximal \(R\) value, at a 2-Hz beat rate) was fit with a Gaussian distribution \((\text{Fig. 12). Tuning width was defined as twice the SD of the Gaussian. As the three examples in Fig. 12 imply, stronger responses (with high \(R\)) resulted in better goodness-of-fit (expressed as \(r^2\)) and more meaningful estimates of tuning width (the three panels show the best fit, median of the population, and the 25th percentile). [Statistically, \(r^2\) values correlated with the magnitude of \(R\) \((P < 0.0001)\), and \(r^2\) inversely correlated with tuning width \((P < 0.0001)\).] The population histogram \((\text{Fig. 13A})\) shows a high-end tail of wide tuning widths that disappears if poor fits are excluded: median tuning width for the full population \((n = 246)\) and the 25th, 50th, and 75th percentile of fit quality were 164, 158, 150, and 142°, respectively (medians of the 50th and 75th percentile distributions are significantly lower than the full population by Wilcoxon rank-sum, \(P < 0.005\)).

The location and extent of IPD receptive fields are displayed across frequency in Fig. 13B, for responses in the 50th percentile of fit quality \((n = 123)\). There was no correlation between IPD tuning width and center frequency \((P = 0.29, \text{using the full population})\). To reference these IPD receptive fields to spatial hearing, tuning width was converted from degrees of IPD to an approximation of azimuthal angle (see METHODS). A property of the binaural beat stimulus (and dichotic stimuli in
general) is that it can generate IPDs that would not be possible under natural listening conditions and thus cannot be mapped unambiguously to external space. Under that condition, the receptive field width could not be defined for some neurons, particularly at low frequencies. Figure 13C plots spatial receptive field width as a function of frequency, for all neurons with an IPD tuning width that could be defined in azimuth (159/246). Angles of >180° could not be defined, but are indicated by points beyond the range of the ordinate. Because tuning width in IPD did not vary with center frequency and the period is shorter at higher frequencies, it would be predicted that spatial tuning would be more narrow at high center frequencies. This is confirmed by Fig. 13C (ANOVA, $P < 0.0001$), although even the sharpest receptive fields still encompassed around 45° of azimuth. Consistent with the CD and ITD data in Fig. 9, the peaks of the MPHs tend to occur with a phase lead at the contralateral ear, placing the slope of the MPH near midline, which is illustrated in the distributions of peak and slope location in Fig. 13D.

Given the broad tuning and absence of directional preference in cortical neurons, how do these animals reliably discriminate the direction of small shifts in IPD (Fig. 10)? It is plausible that IPD is represented by the instantaneous firing rates of a population of broadly tuned neurons. The following section will attempt to quantify those changes in firing rate on which discrimination may depend.

$d'$ analysis of IPD tuning functions

The $d'$ statistic is an index of how reliably two stimuli can be discriminated on the basis of evoked firing rates. It is a measure of the separation between distributions of spike rates
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**FIG. 11.** Population measures of spike rate and temporal synchrony do not reveal a preference for direction of apparent motion. **A:** rMTFs for cells tested with binaural beats in the positive and negative directions are generally symmetrical, showing no rate coding of IPD modulation direction. Filled symbols mark the diotic tone control values (0 Hz). **B:** population summary of spike rates evoked by beats of equal frequency in the positive and negative directions ($n = 661$, from 135 neurons). Line indicates identity; there is a weak trend across the population for points to fall above or below the line, but the mean difference of 1 spike/s is likely due to adaptation (a block of stimuli in the positive direction was presented before a block in the negative direction). **C:** distribution of the rate Direction Selectivity Index (rDSI) metric (see text) averaged for each neuron used in B. The mean of the distribution is not different from zero. For a doubling of spike rate (on average) in one direction, rDSI = 0.3; very few cells show this behavior. **D:** population of VS values from the same responses shown in B, again with no consistent directional bias in synchrony. **E:** temporal (tDSI) values, averaged for each neuron as in C. The distribution of tDSI is broader than that of rDSI, but the mean is not different from zero and only 6 cells exhibit a doubling of vector strength in one direction over the other.
under two conditions (e.g., two adjacent values of IPD), assuming those rates to follow a Gaussian distribution. Such an analysis is illustrated for an example neuron in Fig. 14A. Spike times were sorted into matrices of 16 columns, each corresponding to a phase bin of 22.5°. Each row of the matrix represented spike counts from one period. Treating each period as an individual “trial” allowed an estimate of the SD of spike count within each phase bin. Figure 14A graphs mean spikes/period with error bars representing 1SD (same cell used in Fig. 1). d’ was computed between each phase bin and the following bin as

\[ d' = \frac{(r_n - r_{n+1})}{0.5(\sigma_n + \sigma_{n+1})} \]

where \( n \) is a given phase bin, \( r \) is the mean spike rate, and \( \sigma \) is the SD of spike rate. The d’ function is overlaid in gray in Fig. 14A (right-hand axis). The response peaked at 270°, but the d’ statistic shows that discriminability does not reach its maximum near the peak of the response. To the contrary, d’ dips at the maximum and minimum firing rates and peaks on the slopes of the response at 0 and 180°, where local dynamic range is maximal. A cell like this one, with d’ maximal at 0°, should be an ideal neural unit for detecting IPD modulation at the midline. 

Figure 14B shows d’ functions from ten example units, with maximal discriminability spanning a range of IPDs. When these functions are aligned to the peak response of each cell (Fig. 14C), it is apparent that the properties described in the example unit from Fig. 14A apply more broadly: discriminability is greatest on the slopes of the response and is minimal at the peak and trough of the response (the peak is 0° on this axis, the trough 180° away). The asymmetry in the d’ functions may reflect an effect of varying IPD dynamically and/or an asymmetry in the static IPD tuning underlying the binaural beat response. From the 46 neurons for which static IPD functions were measured (Fig. 2), the steepness of the function was estimated at each IPD value as the slope (change in firing rate) between the discharge rate at the two flanking IPD values (Kuwada et al. 1997; McAlpine et al. 2001). The steepest point on the IPD tuning curve fell to the negative side of the peak in most cases (32/46), with a clear mode at –45° relative to the peak response. This is qualitatively similar to the bias in d’ values shown in Fig. 14C, suggesting that an asymmetry in the underlying static IPD tuning contributes to the asymmetric discriminability functions measured from binaural beats.

**Neurometric analysis**

Binaural beat responses were used to construct neurometric functions (Britten et al. 1992; Parker and Hawken 1985; Skottun 1998; Skottun et al. 2001; Tolhurst et al. 1983), allowing a comparison between the IPD discrimination of the animal and individual neurons of the auditory cortex. Signal detection theory (Green 1966) was applied to the binaural beat response to produce the receiver operator characteristic (ROC) of a given neuron (Bradley et al. 1987; Britten et al. 1992; Skottun et al. 2001). An advantage of ROC analysis over d’ is that no assumptions are made about the distribution of spike rates (because spike rates cannot go negative, they are seldom normally distributed around low mean rates).

This analysis is explained for an example neuron in Fig. 14. The bin in Fig. 14A marked with an asterisk was used as the “noise” distribution of spike counts and counts from the three bins before and after were the “signal,” compared at a range of criterion values spanning the full dynamic range of the response. The probability of a spike count from the signal being higher than the criterion was defined as \( P_{hit} \) and the probability of a spike count from the noise being higher than the criterion was \( P_{false\ alarm} \). Plotting \( P_{hit} \) against \( P_{false\ alarm} \) for each criterion produces ROC curves; integrating under each curve yields a point in the neurometric function. This function was fit with a cumulative Weibull distribution, as plotted in Fig. 14D (open squares). The neurometric functions from this cell (and a similarly tuned cell from the same location) are plotted on the same axes as the psychometric function for dynamic IPD discrimination. The carrier frequency for the beat response and the task is 1,600 Hz.
The animal’s behavioral function is far steeper than either of the neurometric functions. This result generalizes to the larger sample of neurons shown in Fig. 15, which depicts neurometric functions sorted by animal and frequency band. The data are the same as those depicted in Fig. 13—–the strongest response (by maximal R) of 125 neurons representing the upper 50th percentile of Gaussian fit quality. For each response, the seven phase bins comprising the rising edge of the response (bounded by the peak) were analyzed, taking the fourth bin as the “noise” distribution as described in Fig. 14A. The slopes of the resulting neurometric functions are visibly more shallow than the psychometric functions of the monkeys at each corresponding frequency, confirming that the animals’ ability to discriminate changes in IPD around the midline far outstrips its most sensitive cortical neurons.

**DISCUSSION**

These data reveal several features previously unobserved in the neural representation of continuously varying IPD. In the absence of anesthesia, sustained, modulated responses to dynamic IPD are widespread in low-frequency primate auditory cortex. Qualitatively, the audible modulation of the hash in response to binaural beats suggested a homogeneity of tuning in the local neural population, especially in the middle (thalamorecipient) layers. This synchronized activity may underlie the neuromagnetic signal in response to slow binaural beats reported in human cerebral cortex (Karino et al. 2006). Rather than exhibiting a phasic response at a single IPD value as in anesthetized cat cortex (Reale and Brugge 1990), neurons typically modulate their rate of spike discharge throughout the IPD cycle, consistent with observations in awake rabbits (Fitzpatrick et al. 2000). This study extends that observation to include manipulations of binaural beat frequency and direction in the awake state, as well as comparisons to psychophysical performance in the same subjects.

**Binaural beat frequency**

Cortical neurons in awake primate synchronized their discharges to faster binaural beat rates than have been previously observed. The median synchrony cutoff of 20 Hz is consistent with the reported limit of 35–45 Hz under anesthesia (Reale and Brugge 1990), but nearly one third of neurons tested to the limit of synchrony could entrain beyond 45 Hz and 8% beyond 100 Hz (Fig. 4B). How the limit of temporal synchrony for binaural beats changes as the signal ascends the auditory pathway is unclear. Prior evidence placed the limit of synchrony to binaural beats in the IC at 80 Hz (Yin and Kuwada 1983a), about the upper limit (thalamorecipient) layers. This synchronized activity may underlie the neuromagnetic signal in response to slow binaural beats reported in human cerebral cortex (Karino et al. 2006). Rather than exhibiting a phasic response at a single IPD value as in anesthetized cat cortex (Reale and Brugge 1990), neurons typically modulate their rate of spike discharge throughout the IPD cycle, consistent with observations in awake rabbits (Fitzpatrick et al. 2000). This study extends that observation to include manipulations of binaural beat frequency and direction in the awake state, as well as comparisons to psychophysical performance in the same subjects.

**FIG. 13.** Gaussian fits of binaural beat period histograms quantified the width of IPD tuning across the neural population. A: the distribution of IPD tuning width (double the SD of each fit, as measured in Fig. 12) at various cutoff levels of fit quality. B: IPD tuning width across frequency. Filled circles mark the mean phase of the response, with vertical error bars spanning ±1SD of the Gaussian fit. For visual clarity, only the 50th percentile is displayed (n = 123) and the frequency values have been randomly “jittered” to separate points at commonly tested frequencies. Phases above the dashed gray line correspond to azimuth contralateral to the recorded hemisphere. There was no correlation between IPD tuning width and center frequency (P = 0.29). C: for those responses that could be mapped from degrees of IPD to degrees of azimuth, the width of the tuning functions in azimuth decreased at higher center frequencies, but were seldom narrower than roughly 45°. Line is a linear least-squares fit (y = 128 – 0.05x, r² = 0.32; significant by ANOVA, P < 0.0001). Points displayed in gray could not be converted into an unambiguous value of azimuth (see text). D: distribution of mean phases, corresponding to the peaks of the Gaussian fits (black bars), on the same axis as the distribution of “slopes,” the inflection point of the Gaussian fits at ±1SD, where the spike rate/IPD function is steepest (gray bars). Each function has 2 slope points, the one closer to midline was included. The median value of the IPD peak was −49° (contralateral to midline), whereas the mean value of maximal slope was 16°, reflecting the fact that the slopes better span the midline.
sample of IC neurons suggests that synchrony may extend to beat frequencies an order of magnitude higher (Joris et al. 2006). More recently, Siveke et al. (2008), using a novel stimulus comparable to a broadband binaural beat, demonstrated synchrony to rates of interaural modulation as high as 500 Hz in the gerbil brain stem. The relevance of these physiological phenomena to perception is discussed in the following text.

Under anesthesia, spike rate was effectively a monotonic function of beat frequency (Reale and Brugge 1990), as would be predicted for a phasic response; this contrasts with our finding of band-pass rate tuning and the power-law decrease in the average number of spikes fired per cycle of IPD (Fig. 6). Band-pass tuning, although predominant in our sample of cortical responses, is nonexistent in the SOC and rare in the IC of the anesthetized gerbil (Spitzer and Semple 1998). The emergence of rate tuning between midbrain and cortex may result from successive filtering (e.g., synaptic integration) at each synapse between brain stem, midbrain, thalamus, and cortex. The rate tuning functions peaked within the range where synchrony was still prevalent (5–20 Hz), suggesting that discharge rate tuning need not emerge at the expense of temporal fidelity or beyond the range of synchrony, as has been proposed for the processing of amplitude modulations (e.g., Langer and Schreiner 1988; Liang et al. 2002). Rather, the greatest changes in discharge rate occur over the frequency range where responses are synchronized to the binaural beat (Fig. 6A), as has been shown for modulations of amplitude in the same preparation (Malone et al. 2007).

The binaural system previously has been characterized as “sluggish,” based on the discrepancy between rapid temporal resolution of monaural modulations and slow resolution of binaural disparities (Grantham 1982; Grantham and Wightman 1978). This perceptual phenomenon is perhaps surprising, given the remarkable temporal acuity of neurons in the auditory brain stem. A report of fast binaural resolution in IC neurons suggests that midbrain responses similarly fail to mirror binaural perception, leading the authors to speculate that the limitation stems from an inability to read out a fast temporal code at higher levels of the brain (Joris et al. 2006).

**FIG. 14.** Even among the strongest binaural beat responses, behavioral performance outstrips the IPD sensitivity of single neurons. A: example cell with the slope of its beat response at 0°, ostensibly ideal for a midline discrimination task. Spikes have been binned in 22.5° increments; each point is the mean number of spikes per period; and error bars are ±1 SD (mean and SD computed from 20 repetitions of the beat cycle, each treated as one “trial”). Gray line plots d’ discriminability between each point and the one following (right-hand ordinate). As expected, d’ is maximal at 0° (midline) and 180° and has local minima at the peak (mean phase) and trough of the response. Asterisk designates the point taken as the “noise” distribution for comparison with the three flanking “signal” distributions in the receiver operator characteristic (ROC) analysis (see text). B and C: a collection of d’ functions from cells with some of the strongest R values in the population, plotted on the true IPD axis (B) and aligned to the peak of each underlying response (C; example in A is the bold line). As expected, d’ functions are minimal at the peak (0°) and 180° from the peak (±180°) and have their maxima about 90° before the peak, on the flanks of the response. This bias toward higher d' values on the left of the graph (at ~90° compared with +90°) signifies that the rising phase of the response (as instantaneous IPD approaches the peak) is steeper than the falling phase (as IPD moves away from the peak). D: neurometric functions obtained by integrating under ROC curves from 2 cells and the psychometric function of the monkey in the same frequency range. For the neurometric functions, the ordinate represents the overall probability that the spike count was greater for that stimulus than for the noise (thus the curve is constrained to go through 0.5 at the phase value used as the noise bin: 0° for the open squares and 22.5° for the filled diamonds). For the psychometric function, the ordinate is the probability that the monkey responded by pressing the button on the right (indicating that IPD moved clockwise). The phase has been reversed to allow fitting with a cumulative Weibull function.
However, competing evidence suggests that the incongruity between physiological and psychophysical temporal limits may depend on the stimulus used (Siveke et al. 2008).

The temporal characteristics of binaural beat responses in macaque cortex closely match psychophysical results in human listeners, who report hearing two individual tones, rather than a beat, at frequency separations between 25 and 30 Hz (Licklider 1950). The decay of synchrony in the neural population at beat frequencies \( f \) corresponds well to this observed transition between perceived “fluctuations” and “roughness” (Licklider 1950), but is well above the limit for clear perception of auditory motion, around 2–5 Hz (Blauert 1972; Grantham and Wightman 1978). Even a 5-Hz binaural beat may exceed the expected range of ecological relevance for auditory motion, however, so perhaps the appropriate question is not “why is the binaural system slower than the monaural at tracking modulations?” but rather, “why is the binaural system so fast in the first place?” The synchrony to very fast rates of dynamic IPD observed in the present study may bear no obvious utility to tracking acoustic motion; rather, the ability of cortical neurons to follow such rates could arise from the precision of the cross-correlator in the brain stem, leaving a considerable degree of synchrony preserved at the cortical level.

**Coding of sound azimuth**

Neurons in the auditory cortex of alert macaques are selective for the location of sounds presented in the free field (Recanzone et al. 2000). Tuning for azimuth appears to be sharpest among neurons in the caudolateral belt field, where the median receptive field width is close to 90° (Woods et al. 2006). Although IPD is only one of several cues contributing to sound source localization, the breadth of these receptive fields for broadband stimuli is consistent with the azimuthal tuning width estimated here from pure-tone IPD responses in AI and R (Fig. 13). Transient responses to binaural beats in anesthetized cortex (Reale and Brugge 1990) implied a sharply focused spatial receptive field, whereas the responses reported here from awake cortex are more consistent with a broadly tuned spike rate code in single neurons (e.g., Middlebrooks et al. 1994).

Binaural properties have not been studied in lower stations of the primate auditory pathway, so the IPD tuning widths in cortex cannot be compared with other structures in the same species. Recordings from awake rabbits reveal a sharpening of tuning to ITD between brain stem and thalamus (Fitzpatrick et al. 1997; Kuwada et al. 2006), but the tuning widths in rabbit thalamus are narrower than those in primate cortex. Converting the Gaussian fit tuning widths into ITD, the mean width is 766 μs for neurons with BF between 300 and 1,500 Hz (compatible with Fig. 8 of Kuwada et al. 2006). In both cases, ITD tuning was measured using binaural beats, at comparable sound levels, although with slightly different measures of tuning width. From the extant data in primate, it cannot be resolved whether ITD tuning is broader at all stages of the pathway or whether the sharpening described in rabbit does not apply in this species.

A long-standing model of sound location coding (Jeffress 1948) is based on neurons receiving convergent binaural inputs...
at a fixed delay between the ears; coincidence detection thus converts binaural temporal differences into a place code, with an array of neurons tuned for every possible value of interaural delay. A linear model of binaural coincidence detection predicts that optimal summation for excitatory (EE) interactions would occur for signals arriving in phase, whereas excitatory–inhibitory (EI) summation would yield maximal responses when signals are out of phase (Goldberg and Brown 1969). It would follow that CP values should cluster at the peaks and troughs of the ITD tuning functions (0° and 180°, respectively) in the SOC, the site of binaural convergence.

A bimodal distribution of CP has been reported in the SOC of awake rabbit (Batra et al. 1997), although other studies find a unimodal cluster around 0° with little or no evidence of “trough”-type interactions at 180° (gerbil: Spitzer and Semple 1995; anesthetized cat: Yin and Chan 1990). Even at the level of the brain stem, CPs at intermediate values have been observed, as have nonlinear phase-frequency functions (Batra et al. 1997). In the IC, the distribution of CP is more widely distributed than that in the brain stem and reports differ as to whether values cluster near zero (Kuwada et al. 1997; Yin and Chan 1990) or tend to fall between peak and trough (Yin and Kuwada 1983b). In the cortical population reported here, CP does not show a bimodal distribution, but instead forms a messy cluster centered at 333° (Fig. 9A). This is consistent with the phase/frequency plots shown by Reale and Brugge (1990), which show a variety of intercept values in cat cortical cells, and the data of Fitzpatrick et al. (2000) from awake rabbit, which show a broad distribution of CP. By the criterion of those authors, neurons in the present study were 66% peak type and 34% trough; however, because the distribution of CPs was not bimodal, they were not divided in any of our analyses. Although 83% of cells tested possess an identifiable CD and CP in awake primate cortex, the spread in CP values suggests that the peak/trough dichotomy is of diminishing significance at higher levels of the auditory pathway.

The distribution of CDs reported here (Fig. 9A) conforms to a trend toward broader distributions in higher auditory stations, as has been described between the medial superior olive (MSO) and IC (Yin and Chan 1990) and between the dorsal nucleus of the lateral lemniscus and IC (Kuwada et al. 2006). The distribution is shifted farther from zero than has been reported in the cat IC (Yin and Kuwada 1983b), yet 89% of CDs fell within the ecological range for the macaque. Consistent with observations in awake rabbit cortex (Fitzpatrick et al. 2000), about two thirds of neurons (95/150; 63%) had CDs corresponding to ipsilateral delays, as would be generated by sounds originating in contralateral space; by comparison, 93% of CD values meet this criterion in MSO (Yin and Chan 1990). A dependence of CD on the BF of the recorded neuron has been reported in the IC (Hancock and Delgutte 2004; McAlpine et al. 1996, 2001), but was absent in our data (Fig. 9B). Whether this discrepancy stems from methodological differences (species, anesthetic state, or definitions of BF) or a difference between midbrain and cortex remains to be resolved. We recorded few neurons with BF <300 Hz, the range in which the longest CDs were observed (e.g., McAlpine et al. 1996; their Fig. 15B), which may have obscured the trend in cortex.

When mean phases of the binaural beat responses are converted to ITDs, their distribution is not constrained to the ecological range at low frequencies (Fig. 9C), demonstrating that in some cases, the IPDs that elicit the highest discharge rates during the beat cycle are IPDs that cannot occur naturally. This echoes findings in IC of the guinea pig (McAlpine et al. 2001) and cat (Hancock and Delgutte 2004), where preferred ITDs in some low-BF neurons exceeded the range of delays a small-headed animal could experience. However, this interpretation has been challenged by Kuwada et al. (2006) who contend that preferred ITDs fall within the ecological range at frequencies >400 Hz in their own data (from brain stem and IC of awake rabbit) and those of McAlpine et al. (2001). Also apparent in the Kuwada et al. (2006) data is a wider distribution of preferred ITD values in IC as compared with brain stem (their Fig. 5, C and F), such that more preferred ITD points lie outside the ecological range at low frequencies. Our data from awake primate cortex, in which preferred ITDs were distributed throughout the possible range of ITD values, would be consistent with a trend toward broader distributions of preferred ITD at higher auditory stations.

Although the peaks of some noise-delay functions in guinea pigs fall outside the ecological range, the slopes of these functions were steepest at the midline (McAlpine et al. 2001), where perceptual acuity in human listeners is greatest (Yost 1974; but see Middlebrooks and Green 1991). Cortical neurons in awake primate are broadly tuned to IPDs away from midline (Fig. 13), consistent with a mechanism of low-frequency sound localization that exploits the slopes of IPD tuning functions (Fitzpatrick et al. 2000; McAlpine et al. 2001), within broadly tuned spatial channels (Middlebrooks et al. 1994; Stecker et al. 2005). The comparison of these data to psychophysical performance is discussed in the following text.

Neural sensitivity to auditory motion

One previous study has examined sensitivity to auditory motion in AI of the alert macaque, using noise stimuli from a moving free-field speaker (Ahissar et al. 1992). In accordance with the present study, the tuning of single units in azimuth was not sharp enough to account for the ability of monkeys to localize similar stimuli (Brown 1978), but the authors did observe that most neurons showed the largest modulation in response magnitude for changes in sound location around the midline, regardless of their preferred azimuth. Whereas we report no overall sensitivity to the direction of simulated motion among the population when assessed by spike rate or synchrony (Fig. 11), Ahissar et al. (1992) drew a different conclusion from a qualitatively similar data set (cf. Fig. 11B, their Fig. 5B). They reported a preponderance of units preferring motion toward the contralateral hemifield, but only among the 28% of units exhibiting a significant directional preference. The absence of an overarching directional preference among our population may stem from differences between the stimuli and methods of analysis in the two studies; a white-noise stimulus presented in the free field would engage interaural level difference mechanisms and generate spectral cues from filtering by the pinna (Spezio et al. 2000).

A model of responses to dynamic phase in the IC (Borisuyk et al. 2002) replicates many characteristics observed in vivo (Spitzer and Semple 1998) and predicts several response properties observed in cortex. In accordance with a previous model (Cai et al. 1998), firing rate adaptation and IPD-tuned excita-
tory and inhibitory inputs can produce sharper tuning in response to beats than static IPD stimuli and predict the phase lag seen at faster beat frequencies. The model also predicts a phase lead at very low beat rates (i.e., the curves in Fig. 5A should sag before going upward), as the latter half of the modulation histogram is eroded by adaptation, effectively advancing the mean phase of the response. Such a lag was not seen in the present study, although this discrepancy could be accounted for in the model by the inclusion of a transmission delay that masked phase advance at low frequencies (Borisyuk et al. 2002). Under no circumstances did the IC model predict band-pass tuning of spike rate to beat frequency. A similar effect was observed, however, in a model of primary visual cortex neurons (Chance et al. 1998) in which rapid synaptic depression (in the absence of inhibition) engendered a band-pass frequency response function, analogous to the rate-tuned rMTFs emergent in cortex (Fig. 6A).

Comparing neural and psychophysical discriminability

The neurometric analysis used here rests on the presumption that thresholds for perception of change in IPD may be predictable from the neural response to those changes—a relationship posited previously in the visual domain (Brannon et al. 1992; Tolhurst et al. 1983). In the auditory system, Skottun et al. (2001) found the best thresholds in the population of guinea pig IC neurons to be comparable to ITD thresholds in humans, when tested with sufficient resolution. This is compatible with the “lower-envelope hypothesis” (reviewed in Parker and Newsome 1998), whereby psychophysical threshold represents the tuning of only the most selective neurons in the population. Our finding does not accord with this hypothesis, but is consistent with comparisons of psychophysical and neuronal performance in the visual system. For example, neural sensitivity in visual cortex falls short of psychophysical sensitivity for contrast, orientation, spatial frequency, position, and acuity (reviewed in Parker and Newsome 1998).

If the lower-envelope hypothesis were true for IPD in cortex, several factors could explain why neural tuning commensurate with performance was not observed. Perhaps these neurons were not encountered in our sample, but the survey of low-frequency primary cortex was extensive. The animals were not actively engaged in listening to the binaural beats during data collection, but a prior study examined the effect of behavior on responses to dynamic IPD and found no consistent effects of active discrimination (Scott et al. 2007). The neurons examined in that study are a subset of the population analyzed here, so it is reasonable to presume that the neural discriminability computed from these binaural beat responses would not be substantially changed had the animals been actively listening. A final caveat is that the stimuli used in the psychophysical discrimination task contained dynamic IPD, but differed from binaural beats. The beat is a continuous modulation, whereas the psychophysical stimuli consisted of a steady-state zero IPD, followed by a modulation of IPD to a second steady-state value (Scott et al. 2007). Because these stimuli effectively embedded a short segment of a binaural beat between steady-state IPDs, they provided additional information that could have been used to perform the discrimination. It has previously been shown that the context in which a dynamic IPD is presented can alter neural tuning (Malone et al. 2002; Spitzer and Semple 1991, 1993). Similar context-induced changes in rate coding could enhance the information conveyed by single neurons.

If it is correct that single neurons in AI do not match the animals’ behavioral sensitivity, it may be more likely that discrimination depends on the pooled activity of the neural population. Neural sensitivity commensurate with lateralization acuity may emerge in areas downstream of AI, such as the caudal belt regions (Recanzone et al. 2000; Tian et al. 2001; Woods et al. 2006). Convergence of primary cortical IPD-tuned inputs to a secondary cortical area could improve signal-to-noise by integrating across units with uncorrelated noise (Britten et al. 1992; Shadlen et al. 1996). A pooling model has been developed for ITD discrimination in SOC, IC, and thalamus (Fitzpatrick et al. 1997), which predicts a progressive sharpening in ITD tuning at sequentially higher centers, a sharpening that was also demonstrated empirically. Hancock and Delgutte (2004) demonstrated the necessity of pooling ITD responses in cat IC across BF to predict human psychophysical acuity. In macaque AI, the discrimination performance of individual neurons may not be the best predictor of psychophysical performance.

Organization of auditory cortex

Experiments using free-field stimuli have shown that neurons in the auditory cortex of awake macaque are tuned to sound source location, with the caudomedial belt showing possible enhancement of tuning relative to core or rostral belt areas (Recanzone et al. 2000; Tian et al. 2001; Woods et al. 2006). Anatomical data (Hackett et al. 1998) indicate that cells in the belt receive strong inputs from the adjacent core and thus may construct their spatial tuning by pooling feedforward inputs from the primary fields. Neurons in low-frequency AI and R possess binaural tuning properties consistent with the origin of a spatial stream of cortical processing, but projections from the low-frequency core most likely target multiple fields in the auditory belt and parabelt, including the proposed nonspatial streams (Romanski et al. 1999). Existing tracer studies in the macaque temporal lobe either did not use physiology to determine the frequency region where injections were made (Hackett et al. 1998) or made injections only into the lateral belt (Morel et al. 1993), so the patterns of inputs from the low-frequency core to downstream cortical fields are not yet fully established. In human listeners, binaural interactions can contribute to phenomena like auditory streaming and the “cocktail-party effect,” in which separation of sound sources aids speech intelligibility (Bregman 1990; Cherry 1953). The “what versus where” model of auditory processing (Tian et al. 2001) awaits further evidence from fields beyond the core, although the IPD-sensitive population described here could contribute to both.
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