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Ray S, Pouget P, Schall JD. Functional distinction between visuo-movement and movement neurons in macaque frontal eye field during saccade countermanding. J Neurophysiol 102: 3091–3100, 2009. First published September 23, 2009; doi:10.1152/jn.00270.2009. In the previous studies on the neural control of saccade initiation using the countermanding paradigm, movement and visuomovement neurons in the frontal eye field were grouped as movement-related neurons. The activity of both types of neurons was modulated when a saccade was inhibited in response to a stop signal, and this modulation occurred early enough to contribute to the control of the saccade initiation. We now report a functional difference between these two classes of neurons when saccades are produced. Movement neurons exhibited a progressive accumulation of discharge rate following target presentation that triggered a saccade when it reached a threshold. When saccades were inhibited with lower probability in response to a stop signal appearing at longer delays, this accumulating activity was interrupted at levels progressively closer to the threshold. In contrast, visuomovement neurons exhibited a maintained elevated discharge rate following target presentation that was followed by a further enhancement immediately before the saccade initiation. When saccades were inhibited in response to a stop signal, the late enhancement was absent and the maintained activity decayed regardless of stop-signal delay. These results demonstrate that the activity of movement neurons realizes the progressive commitment to the saccade initiation modeled by the activation of the GO unit in computational models of countermanding performance. The lack of correspondence of the activity of visuomovement neurons with any elements of these models indicates that visuomovement neurons perform a function other than the saccade preparation such as a corollary discharge to update visual processing.

INTRODUCTION

Efficient interactions with a dynamic visual environment require the ability to reconstruct the stable visual space when saccades are produced and to prevent saccades that are no longer relevant because the context in which they are planned changes. Inhibition of the saccade production has been studied using a stop-signal (countermanding) task in which subjects are instructed to refrain from their gaze shift in response to a stop signal that infrequently follows the appearance of the target after a random delay (e.g., Armstrong and Munoz 2003; Cabel et al. 2000; Colonius et al. 2001; Hanes and Carpenter 1999; Logan and Irwin 2000; Morein-Zamir and Kingstone 2006; Özyurt et al. 2003; Stevenson et al. 2009; Walton and Gandhi 2006). Performance in the countermanding task can be understood as the outcome of a race between stochastically indepen-
accumulation of discharge rate that reaches a fixed threshold immediately before a saccade is initiated or is interrupted at progressively higher levels following the stop signal at progressively longer delays when the saccade is cancelled. Second, we show that while the activity of movement neurons began to increase a relatively fixed interval after target presentation, the beginning of the presaccadic increase in activity of visuomovement neurons varied with the latency of a saccade. These findings indicate that movement and visuomovement neurons in FEF have different functions. The activity of movement neurons can be understood as instantiating the function of the 60 units in the race model. But if movement neurons produce saccades, what is there for visuomovement neurons to do? We speculate that the modulation of visuomovement neurons in FEF as well as in other sensory-motor and extra-striate areas is an effect, not a cause, of the saccade production. This effect may represent the corollary discharge hypothesized to update visual processing before, during, and after each saccade.

METHODS

Data collection

Two male macaque monkeys (Macaca mulatta) were prepared using conventional surgical methods as described previously (Hanes and Schall 1995; Hanes et al. 1998). All experimental procedures conformed to United States Public Health Service guidelines as interpreted by the Vanderbilt University Institute for Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC).

Countermanding task

The saccade version of the countermanding task was used for this study (Hanes et al. 1998). To summarize, the monkeys were trained to stare at a central fixation spot, which instigated the trial. Once the trial began, the fixation spot disappeared simultaneously with the presentation of a peripheral target either in the neuron’s response field or in the opposite hemifield of the neuron’s response field at the same eccentricity. On a fraction of trials, referred to as the stop-signal trials, the fixation spot reappeared after a variable delay instructing the monkeys to withhold their saccades. The delay between the appearance of the target and the stop signal is referred to as the stop-signal delay (SSD). During trials in which the stop signal was not presented, referred to as no-stop-signal trials, the monkeys were rewarded for generating a saccade to the target. During stop-signal trials, however, the monkeys were rewarded for maintaining fixation on the central fixation spot (i.e., cancelled trials). If the monkeys generated a saccade to the target during a stop-signal trial (i.e., noncancelled trials), no reward was given.

Analysis of behavioral data

An inhibition function was obtained by fitting the plot of the ratio of noncancelled trials to the total number of trials against the SSD with a function of the form \( W(t) = \gamma - (\gamma - \delta) \cdot \exp[-t/(\delta \cdot t_\text{SSRT})^\gamma] \) where \( t \) ranges from the minimum to the maximum SSD, \( \alpha \) is the time at which the inhibition function reaches the sum of 63.2% of its maximum value \( \gamma \) and 36.8% of its minimum value \( \delta \), \( \beta \) is the slope. One way to quantify the time the monkey takes to inhibit partially prepared saccades during stop-signal trials is by measuring the stop-signal reaction time (SSRT). SSRT was calculated by subtracting the SSD at which the generation of a saccade and the inhibition of the saccade were equally likely, from the mean saccade latency across all trials in which the stop signal did not appear (Logan and Cowan 1984). The procedures for measuring SSRT have been described in detail previously (Hanes and Schall 1995; Logan and Cowan 1984). SSRTs for saccades averaged ~80–100 ms (Hanes et al. 1998).

Cell classification

A memory-guided saccade task was used to distinguish the visually evoked activity from saccade-related activity through established criteria (Bruce and Goldberg 1985) as previously described in detail (Hanes et al. 1998). In the memory-guided saccade task, after the monkey fixated on a central spot for a variable interval (500–800 ms), the target was flashed either in the neuron’s response field or in the opposite hemifield for 50–100 ms. The monkey was required to maintain fixation on the central spot for another 500–1,000 ms until the spot disappeared. Reward was contingent on the monkey making a saccade. Movement neurons were classified by a pronounced increase of discharge rate before the production of saccade but not to the visual stimulus. Neurons with pronounced visual responses followed by a presaccadic increase of discharge rate were classified as visuomovement neurons. Activity of a representative visuomovement neuron and a movement neuron aligned on the target and saccade onsets during the memory-guided saccade task are shown in Fig. 1, A and B, respectively.

To quantify the relative magnitude of visual and movement activity in memory-guided saccades, a visual-movement index (VMI) was calculated for each neuron. Visual activity (VA) was defined as the mean firing rate of the neuron during the time window of 50–200 ms after the stimulus onset. Movement activity (MA) was defined as the mean firing rate during the time window 100 ms before to 50 ms after the saccade onset. VMI is calculated as VMI = \( (MA - VA)/(VA + MA) \). Neurons with strong visually evoked responses would have a VMI closer to –1.0 and those with strong saccade-related activity would have a VMI closer to 1.0. Visual-movement index across the population of movement neurons \( [0.65 \pm 0.21 \text{ (mean \pm SD)}], \min = 0.22, \max = 0.89] \) was significantly \([t(50) = 4.8, P < 0.001]\) higher than that of visuomovement neurons \([0.2 \pm 0.3, \min = 0.52, \max = 0.69]\).

Analysis of neural activity

Spike density functions were obtained by convoking the spike train with a function resembling a postsynaptic potential \( R(t) = [1 - \exp(-t/\tau_g)] \cdot \exp[-(t-t_\text{SSRT})/\tau_d] \) where \( \tau_g \) is the time constant for the growth phase, and \( \tau_d \) is the time constant for the decay phase. Physiological data from excitatory synapses indicate that 1 and 20 ms are optimum values for \( \tau_g \) and \( \tau_d \), respectively (Sayer et al. 1990).

The average firing rate in cancelled stop-signal trials was compared with that in latency-matched no-stop-signal trials and noncancelled stop-signal trials as a function of time from the target presentation. To perform this time-course analysis, we subtracted the spike density function during cancelled stop-signal trials from the average spike density function during either latency-matched no-stop-signal trials or noncancelled stop-signal trials. The resulting spike density function is referred to as the differential spike density function. The time at which activity in the two conditions, when saccades were produced and when saccades were cancelled, began to diverge was defined as the instant when the differential spike density function exceeded 2 SDs of the difference in activity over the 200-ms interval before the target presentation, provided that this differential spike density function reached 6 SD and remained >2 SD for 50 ms.

The time of modulation of neurons was also determined using a receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis (Green and Swets 1966) as described elsewhere (Murthy et al. 2007, 2009). The spike density function from a set of at least five cancelled stop-signal trials was compared with the spike density function from a set of at least five either noncancelled stop-signal trials or latency-matched no-stop-
signal trials. Spike trains from the original sets of trials were bootstrapped to construct 500 simulated spike trains in each set for reliable comparison. A simulated spike train was constructed by randomly selecting one trial from the set of original trials at every 1 ms time bin. If a spike occurred in that trial at that instant, the spike was added to the simulated spike train. Comparisons were conducted by calculating ROC curves for successive 1-ms bins starting at the time of target presentation and continuing until all saccades were initiated during one set of trials. The area under the ROC curve provides a quantitative measure of the separation between two distributions of activity. An area under the ROC curve value of 0.5 signifies that the two distributions are completely overlapped, whereas an extreme value of 0.0 or 1.0 signifies that the two distributions do not overlap. To describe the growth in the area under the ROC curve over time, the data were fit with a cumulative Weibull distribution function of the form $W(t) = \gamma - (\gamma - \delta) \cdot \exp[-(t/\alpha)^{\beta}]$, where $t$ is the time that ranges from when the area under the ROC curve attains its minimum to when the area under the ROC curve reaches its maximum. $\alpha$ is the time at which the area under the ROC curve reached the sum of 63.2% of its maximum value $\gamma$ and 36.8% of its minimum value $\delta$, $\beta$ is the slope. The time of differential activity was determined from the growth of the ROC area over time and is defined as the time when the ROC area reached a value of 0.7.

RESULTS

For this study, we analyzed the activity of 40 visuomovement and 12 movement neurons recorded from two monkeys. This proportion of neurons in the overall sample corresponded to proportions previously reported (Bruce and Goldberg 1985; Schall 1991). Neurons were classified using a memory-guided saccade task. In the memory-guided saccade task, visuomovement and movement neurons discharged rapidly before the production of saccades, but only visuomovement neurons responded to the appearance of the target. Figure 1 shows the average discharge rate of representative visuomovement and movement neurons during memory-guided saccades. As expected, the discharge rate of visuomovement neurons increased earlier than the discharge rate of movement neurons when the target appeared inside their receptive fields. In correct no-stop-signal trials, the discharge rate of visuomovement neurons increased in two phases, first in response to the appearance of the target and then again immediately before the saccade initiation. This is illustrated by plotting the average firing rate across the population of visuomovement neurons during trials that yielded short, moderate and long saccade latencies (Fig. 1C). This pattern of visuomovement activity was different from the pattern of movement activity that increased monotonically before the monkey produced a saccade. Previous studies have established an inverse relationship between the saccade latency and the rate at which the activity of movement neurons rise from baseline to a fixed threshold (Brown et al.}

FIG. 1. Activity of a representative visuomovement (top) and movement (bottom) neuron during a memory-guided saccade task is aligned on target onset (A) and on saccade (B). Average (± SE) firing rate across the population of visuomovement neurons (C) and movement neurons (D) during no-stop-signal trials with fast (—), medium (---), and slow (.....) saccade latencies. As the saccade latency increased, the growth rate of the firing rate of movement neurons decreased while the onset of increased firing rate of visuomovement neurons delayed.
The rate of growth of activity of these neurons decreased gradually as the saccade latency increased; this is illustrated by plotting the average firing rate across the population of movement neurons during trials that yielded short, moderate and long saccade latencies (Fig. 1D). In contrast, for visuomovement neurons the increase of presaccadic discharge rate was progressively delayed with longer saccade latencies (Fig. 1C).

To test the relationship between neural activity and saccade production, we compared the firing rate of movement and visuomovement neurons when monkeys produced a saccade with the firing rate when monkeys did not produce a saccade in response to the stop signal. Hanes et al. (1998) have shown that when a saccade is withheld in response to the stop signal, the activity of both movement and visuomovement neurons diverge from the activity during a subset of correct no-stop-signal trials in which saccades would have been initiated if the stop signal had appeared. In practice, this subset of correct no-stop-signal trials are trials that produced saccades with latencies longer than the sum of SSD and SSRT. Because SSRT is the time required to cancel the preparation of a saccade following the appearance of the stop signal, the activity of movement neurons that are involved in inhibition of a saccade must modulate at or before SSD + SSRT during stop-signal trials when the monkey cancelled the saccade. When a saccade is inhibited, the modulation of visuomovement neurons should occur in unison with the modulation of the movement neurons if the late augmentation of visuomovement activity is an effect of saccade. On the contrary, the modulation of visuomovement and movement neurons may occur independently if the augmentation of visuomovement activity is the cause of saccade.

We measured the cancellation time, which is the time when this divergence began relative to SSD + SSRT, for each neuron at each SSD that provided at least five cancelled stop-signal trials. Negative cancellation time indicates that the divergence began before SSD + SSRT. We followed the same method and conventions used by Hanes et al. (1998) to measure the cancellation time. Figure 2 illustrates the difference in the pattern of activity of movement and visuomovement neurons in stop-signal trials with cancelled saccades relative to latency-matched no-stop-signal trials. These neurons discharged differentially when the monkey withheld saccades in comparison to when the monkey produced saccades. The movement neuron attenuated activity 92 ms after the stop signal appeared and 8 ms before SSD + SSRT. Meanwhile, the visuomovement neuron exhibited differential activity 89 ms after the stop signal appeared and 20 ms before SSD + SSRT. Figure 2C shows the cumulative distribution of the cancellation time of all visuomovement and movement neurons measured at each SSD that provided adequate number of trials. Modulation of discharge rate occurred before SSD + SSRT for at least one SSD in 88% of visuomovement neurons and 100% of movement neurons. In all, visuomovement neurons modulated before SSD + SSRT in 60% of SSDs, while movement neurons modulated in 72% of SSDs. The average ± SE cancellation time for all visuomovement neurons (−10 ± 3.1 ms) was not different from that of movement neurons [−15 ± 5.3 ms; t (190) = 0.7; Fig. 2C]. Hanes et al. (1998) reported an average of −1.1 ± 2.6 (SE) ms across all visuomovement and movement neurons for all SSDs. The difference between SE in their result and our findings is due to our classification of these neurons into two separate smaller groups, and the difference between the mean cancellation time in their result and our findings is due to a more conservative selection of SSDs that provided at least five cancelled stop-signal trials.

The inverse relationship between the growth rate of FEF movement activity and the saccade latency, given the fixed threshold for triggering a saccade, suggests that if the accumulation of activity of these neurons is interrupted sooner, only the fraction of fastest saccades will be initiated. Therefore we should predict that the earlier the differential activity relative to the target onset, the higher the probability of canceling initiation of the saccade. Because visuomovement neurons modulate in unison with movement neurons when saccades are inhibited, the relation between the probability of saccade inhibition and the modulation visuomovement activity is also expected if both types of neurons modulate to accomplish a common objective that is saccade inhibition. In fact, a significant correlation between the probability of saccade inhibition and the time of differential activity was observed for both visuomovement [r = −0.52, F(145) = 52.5, P < 0.001] and movement neurons [r = −0.56, F(45) = 19.6, P < 0.001].

The modulation of discharge rate occurring before SSD + SSRT could arise from the attenuation of the accumulating activity when saccades were cancelled or from the enhancement of activity when saccades were produced. To distinguish between these two possibilities, we measured the peak discharge rate of each neuron in each SSD during stop-signal trials when saccades were inhibited. Assuming that the STP process takes a constant time, independent of SSD, to interrupt the progressing preparation of saccades, a higher peak discharge rate should be measured with longer SSDs. Alternatively, if neurons did not exhibit an accumulating discharge rate during stop-signal trials with cancelled saccades, the peak discharge rate would not vary with SSD. Figure 3 plots the firing rate of movement and visuomovement neurons during cancelled stop-signal trials. The peak discharge rate of movement but not visuomovement neurons increased monotonically with SSD. We found a significant correlation between the peak firing rate and SSD for movement neurons [r = 0.54, F(42) = 17.1, P < 0.001] but not visuomovement neurons [r = 0.013, F(145) = 2.7, P = 0.1].

While Fig. 3B shows the invariance of the peak discharge rate of the representative visuomovement neuron during stop-signal trials with cancelled saccades at different SSDs, Fig. 4A shows that the peak discharge rate increased when the monkey produced saccades in stop-signal trials, which suggests that the saccade-related modulation of visuomovement activity happens only when saccades are irrevocable. To measure when visuomovement neurons began to increase discharge rate following the initial visually evoked activity in stop-signal trials, we compared the average discharge rate of visuomovement neurons during stop-signal trials that produced saccades with the average discharge rate when saccades were inhibited. The average discharge rate of the visuomovement neuron increased when saccades were directed to the target despite that the stop signal appeared 167 ms after the appearance of the target (Fig. 4A). We included visuomovement neurons that provided at least five stop-signal trials with cancelled saccades and five stop-signal trials that produced saccades at each SSD for a ROC analysis across the population of neurons to measure the
modulation time relative to the target onset, which is the time right from when the visuomovement activity in two conditions appeared to be distinguishable from each other. Altogether 28 visuomovement neurons modulated in 45 SSDs. To measure how early these neurons modulated relative to the saccade onset, we plotted the modulation time against the corresponding mean saccade latency (Fig. 4B). In the scatter plot, 80% of the data points fell below the diagonal. On average (±SD), visuomovement neurons modulated 29 ± 50 ms before the saccade onset. We found a significant correlation between the modulation time and the saccade latency [coefficient = 0.6, F(44) = 25.3, P < 0.001]. A least-square linear fit weighted by the fraction of stop-signal trials that produced saccades intersected the abscissa at 25 ms and remained parallel to the diagonal (slope = 0.998).

To ensure that the conclusion drawn from the preceding analysis was not susceptible to the particularities of the measurement, we used a different criterion to measure the time when the activity during stop-signal trials that produced saccades began to diverge from the activity during stop-signal trials that did not produce saccades. This time was defined as the instant when the differential spike density function exceeded 2 SD of the difference in activity over the 200-ms interval before the target presentation, provided that this differential spike density function reached 6 SD and remained >2 SD for 50 ms. Among the sample of 40 visuomovement neurons, 36 modulated significantly in a total of 88 SSDs. On average (±SD), visuomovement neurons modulated 40 ± 86 ms before the saccade initiation in stop-signal trials. Irrespective of the technique used, the relationship between the modulation time and the saccade latency was still found [coefficient = 0.35, F(87) = 11.7, P = 0.001].

To test the hypothesis that the onset of presaccadic visuomovement activity is related to the saccade latency regardless of the context in which the saccade is produced, we sorted correct no-stop-signal trials according to their corresponding saccade latency and grouped them into three sets of equal size corresponding to short, medium, and long saccade latencies. We measured the modulation time relative to the target onset, which was the time when the activity during no-stop-signal trials that produced correct saccades began to diverge from the activity during stop-signal trials that did not produce saccades, for each visuomovement neuron using a ROC analysis (see METHODS). Because the number of cancelled stop-signal trials was highest at the shortest SSD and the peak visuomovement activity during cancelled stop-signal trials did not vary with SSD, we used the set of stop-signal trials with cancelled saccades at the shortest SSD that provided at least five trials to measure the baseline of activity for comparison. The representative visuomovement neuron progressively postponed the onset of presaccadic activity as saccade latency increased (Fig. 4C). Figure 4E plots the linear regression fits of the modulation time as a function of the saccade latency for the population of visuomovement neurons. Among 40 sampled visuomovement neurons, 35 neurons always modulated before the saccade initiation, as evident from the corresponding regression lines fell below the diagonal. The mean (± SD) slope of regression fits was 0.53 ± 0.4, which was significantly $>0$ [t(39) = 8.6,

*FIG. 2.* Comparison of the activity of a movement (A) neuron and a visuomovement (B) neuron aligned on the target onset during cancelled stop-signal trials (thick) and latency matched correct no-stop-signal trials (thin) in the countermaking task. The spread and the mean of saccade latencies across correct no-stop-signal trials are indicated by the rectangle on the abscissa and the dashed vertical line, respectively. The differential activity (thin dotted) began, as indicated by the downward vertical arrow, after the stop-signal delay (SSD) but before the stop-signal reaction time (SSRT) and the mean saccadic reaction time, which suggests their role in inhibition of the saccade. C: the cumulative distribution of the cancellation time, i.e., the onset of the differential activity relative to (SSD + SSRT) across the population of visuomovement (black) and movement (gray) neurons show these 2 classes of neurons modulated at the same time when the monkeys inhibited saccades.
$P < 0.001$] but significantly $< 1 \left[ t(39) = 7.8, P < 0.001 \right]$. This analysis has not been conducted for movement neurons because the time when their activity corresponding to correct no-stop-signal trials diverges from the activity corresponding to stop-signal trials with cancelled saccades does not demarcate the onset of saccade preparation; rather this time represents when the stop process successfully inhibits the saccade. Figure 4D shows the activity of the representative visuomovement neuron aligned on saccade initiation during correct no-stop-signal trials with short, medium, and long reaction times. Note that unlike in Fig. 4C, the visuomovement activity during correct stop-signal trials has not been plotted here as the reference activity because those trials did not produce saccades. Instead we measured the difference between the modulation time and the mean saccade latency, as described in Fig. 4C, to estimate the period when visuomovement neurons were most active. The rates of change of firing rate during this period for three sets of trials that yielded short, medium, and long saccades. Instead we measured the difference between the modulation time and the mean saccade latency, as described in Fig. 4C, to estimate the period when visuomovement neurons were most active. The rates of change of firing rate during this period for three sets of trials that yielded short, medium, and long saccades are calculated for each neuron. In Fig. 4F, each line represents the regression fit of the growth rate of visuomovement activity aligned on saccade as a function of the saccade latency for one visuomovement neuron. The average ($\pm$ SD) slope of these lines was $0.18 \pm 15.5$ spike·s$^{-2}$·ms$^{-1}$, which was not different from $0$.

**Discussion**

We distinguished visuomovement and movement neurons in the FEF in relation to the control of saccades during the countermanding task. Both types of neurons exhibited a differential discharge rate before a critical temporal boundary when the monkeys successfully stopped their planned saccades. However, we found a difference between the pattern of activity of visuomovement and movement neurons when saccades were produced. While movement neurons steadily increased activity to a threshold, visuomovement neurons increased their activity in two phases. Visuomovement neurons initially had an increased discharge rate to an intermediate level in response to the appearance of the target followed by a period when the discharge rate did not increase until the saccade-related activity began.

Visuomovement neurons were functionally distinguished from movement neurons based on three critical findings. First, the onset of saccade-related visuomovement activity was proportional to the saccade latency regardless of whether the saccade was a correct or wrong response. Second, in cancelled stop-signal trials, while the peak discharge rate of movement neurons progressively increased when the monkeys inhibited saccades in response to the stop signal that appeared late, the peak discharge rate of visuomovement neurons remained uninfluenced by the stop signal. Third, in stop-signal trials, visuomovement neurons increased activity only when saccades escaped inhibition, but movement neurons attenuated activity when saccades were cancelled. The preceding findings suggest that the movement and visuomovement neurons play different roles when saccades are produced.

**Activity of movement-related neurons in relation to the countermanding performance**

On the premise of the previous finding that movement-related neurons in FEF accumulated activity steadily to a fixed threshold to trigger a saccade at a rate that varies with the saccade latency (Hanes and Schall 1996), Brown et al. (2008) constructed a neurometric function from the probability of the maximum discharge rate of crossing the criterion (threshold)
that corresponded best to the probability of canceling a saccade for a given SSD. In the present study, we found that only the movement neurons accumulate activity steadily to the threshold; visuomovement neurons discontinued the steady increase of discharge rate for a period of time before the saccade onset, which was proportional to the saccade latency. This result allows us to speculate that in the previous study some neurons that mapped the neurometric function to the inhibition function might have had stronger saccade-related response than visually evoked response.

Possible functions of visuomovement modulation

The late enhancement or presaccadic elevation of discharge rate of visually responsive neurons has been observed in a number of extrastriate areas and sensorimotor structures in-
The enhancement of visuomovement activity begins at a time when saccades are inevitable. Hence we speculate that the late modulation of discharge rate above the visually evoked activity only occurs when the movement is inevitable. We have demonstrated that this late modulation is a common signal that is distributed across these areas. Accordingly, we reason that if this late presaccadic activity in FEF neurons is not responsible for the saccade production, then the same is plausible for the other areas that are anatomically more remote from the saccade generators in the brain stem. In other words, this late enhancement is an effect, not a cause, of saccades. What are the functions that must be accomplished to produce a visually guided saccade?

Two major related functions have been suggested. The first is related to the attenuation of visual processing and the reconstruction of the visual space perturbed by each saccade. The second is related to the allocation of attention at the endpoint of a saccade. With every saccade, the projection of the visual world sweeps across the retina in the opposite direction of the saccade. Consequently, visual perception is attenuated around the time of saccadic eye movement (e.g., Burr and Morrone 1996; Burr et al. 1994; Ross et al. 2001; Volkman 1986) coupled with systematic patterns of mislocalization (Awater et al. 2005; Boucher et al. 2001; Dassonville et al. 1992; Honda 1989; Jeffries et al. 2007; Kaiser and Lappe 2004; Schlag and Schlag-Rey 1995). Neural correlates of the attenuation of visual perception during saccades have been described in early visual structures (Royal et al. 2006). A presaccadic shifting of receptive fields (RFs) in the SC (Walker et al. 1994), LIP (Colby et al. 1996; Duhamel et al. 1992), and FEF (Sommer and Wurtz 2006; Umeno and Goldberg 1997) has been considered as the neural basis for the mislocalization and reconstruction of visual space. Further evidence in support of the hypothesis that the presaccadic elevation of the discharge rate of visuomovement neurons is an effect of an imminent saccade was found in the observation that the majority of FEF neurons receiving signals through the thalamus from the SC were visuomovement (62%) with some visual (32%) and no movement neurons (Sommer and Wurtz 2004).

Sommer and Wurtz (2008) argued that “... if the shifting RF is concerned with maintaining perceptual visual stability, it would be important for it to occur only if the generation of the saccade were inevitable ... therefore is to delay their onset to begin only after the ‘point of no return’ for moving.” The stop-signal task provides a means of identifying neural signals with controlled and ballistic programming (Bartlett 1958; De Jong et al. 1990; Logan and Cowan 1984; McGarry and Franks 1997; Osman et al. 1986). Because no control can influence the preparation of a movement after the point of no return is crossed, if the transition from the controlled to the ballistic programming stage is registered by a neural signal, it should occur only when the movement is inevitable. We have demonstrated that FEF visuomovement neurons exhibit an elevation of discharge rate above the visually evoked activity only when saccades are inevitable. Hence we speculate that the late enhancement of visuomovement activity begins at a time coinciding with the transition from controlled to ballistic programming to contribute to the attenuation of visual processing as well as to update the visual representation associated with visually guided saccades.

The relationship between covert orientation of attention and overt orientation of gaze is well known (Deubel and Schneider 1996; Doré-Mazars et al. 2004; Henderson 1991; Hoffman and Subramanian 1995; Hunt and Kingstone 2003; Kowler et al. 1995; Peterson et al. 2004; Rizzolatti 1983; Sheliga et al. 1994; Shepherd et al. 1986). The oculomotor readiness, also known as the premotor theory of attention, explains this relationship by positing that orienting attention is accomplished by the same mechanism that shifts gaze. Accordingly, the presaccadic enhancement of activity in V4 (Fischer and Boch 1981, 1985; Moore 1999; Moore et al. 1998) has been identified with the allocation of attention to enhance visual processing at the endpoint of the saccade (McAdams and Maunsell 1999; Tolias et al. 2001; reviewed by Reynolds and Chelazzi 2004). In fact, intracortical microstimulation of FEF with current below the threshold necessary to evoke saccades improves visual discrimination possibly through enhanced visual activity in area V4 (Moore and Armstrong 2003; Moore and Chang 2009). Neuroanatomical studies demonstrate that this influence of FEF activity on extrastriate visual cortex arises predominantly from neurons in supragranular layers and not from the layer 5 neurons that project to the superior colliculus (e.g., Pouget et al. 2009). Therefore we propose that these data are consistent with the hypothesis that the signal that extrastriate cortex receives from FEF is not specifically the saccade preparation, rather, is the target selection and late enhancement of visuomovement activity.
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