Systematic mapping of the monkey inferior colliculus reveals enhanced low frequency sound representation
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Bulkin DA, Groh JM. Systematic mapping of the monkey inferior colliculus reveals enhanced low frequency sound representation. J Neurophysiol 105: 1785–1797, 2011. First published February 9, 2011; doi:10.1152/jn.00857.2010.—We investigated the functional architecture of the inferior colliculus (IC) in rhesus monkeys. We systematically mapped multiunit responses to tonal stimuli and noise in the IC and surrounding tissue of six rhesus macaques, collecting data at evenly placed locations and recording nonresponsive locations to define boundaries. The results show a modest tonotopically organized region (17 of 100 recording penetration locations in 4 of 6 monkeys) surrounded by a large mass of tissue that, although vigorously responsive, showed no clear topographic arrangement (68 of 100 penetration locations). Rather, most cells in these recordings responded best to frequencies at the low end of the macaque auditory range. The remaining 15 (of 100) locations exhibited auditory responses that were not sensitive to sound frequency. Potential anatomical correlates of functionally defined regions and implications for midbrain auditory prosthetic devices are discussed.

However, little is known about the functional map of the IC in primates. Macaques provide an excellent model for human hearing, as both humans and macaques rely greatly on audition for communication and the frequency ranges heavily overlap: ~30 Hz to 30 kHz for monkeys (Pfingst et al. 1975; Pfingst et al. 1978; Stebbins et al. 1966) and 20 Hz to 20 kHz for humans (Moore 2008). Studies in monkeys also offer advantages not directly related to audition: monkeys are readily trained, and phenomena such as eye movements that cannot be studied in other mammals can be studied in monkeys [e.g., Groh et al. (2001); Porter et al. (2006); Zwiers et al. (2004)]. Functional maps are essential to guide this work.

No detailed map of the monkey IC yet exists. Ryan and Miller (1978) recorded the auditory responses of single units along penetrations through the macaque IC but did not collect data with uniform spacing between recordings and primarily sampled neurons in the most central region. Zwiers et al. (2004) also recorded the responses of single units from the macaque IC and noted the depth of recordings. Location in the horizontal plane was not systematically varied in this study, and the majority of recordings were thought to have been taken from a similar central region as in the study by Ryan and Miller (1978).

Accordingly, we performed a systematic mapping of auditory responses throughout the midbrains of six unanesthetized rhesus monkeys. We presented a series of randomly interleaved sounds as we recorded multiunit activity (MUA; the times of action potentials of small clusters of neurons). MUA provides an estimate of local activity and serves as an ideal measurement, as recording locations can be predefined (unlike single unit activity where fine movement of the electrode is necessary for isolating individual waveforms). We collected data in sessions in which we lowered an electrode in 0.5-mm increments through the midbrain. Over sessions we varied the anterior/posterior and medial/lateral trajectory of our electrodes in 1-mm increments. In this manner, we formed a map of the entire region, collecting data from the IC and surrounding tissue.

In each monkey, we found a large region with neurons that showed vigorous, short latency responses to auditory stimuli. In four of six monkeys, we found a tonotopic area in which recording penetrations showed an orderly progression of tuning frequencies as the electrode passed through the IC. Surrounding this area was a large nontonotopic region. In these penetrations, neurons generally showed the most powerful response to low frequencies, a bias that has not been identified previously. Neurons in the low frequency region generally showed more transient and slower responses than those in the tonotopic
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area. Finally, a small subset of recordings on the periphery of the responsive area showed little or no tuning to tone frequency. We conclude that in the awake monkey auditory neurons surrounding the central (tonotopic) area show a powerful bias toward low frequencies.

METHODS

Surgical Preparation, Recording Procedures, and Inclusion Criteria

Three male and three female rhesus monkeys participated in the experiments. All procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Dartmouth College and Duke University and were conducted in accordance with the Principles of Laboratory Animal Care of the National Institutes of Health (publication 86-23, revised 1985). Surgical procedures were performed using isoflurane anesthesia and aseptic techniques, as well as postoperative analgesia. The monkeys underwent an initial surgery to implant a head post for restraining the head and a scleral eye coil for monitoring eye position (Judge et al. 1980; Robinson 1963). After recovery, an additional surgery was performed to make a craniotomy and to implant a recording cylinder positioned over the left IC. The cylinder was oriented to allow electrodes to approach the IC at an angle ~30° from vertical in the coronal plane, i.e., proceeding from dorsolateral to ventromedial (Groh et al. 2003; Porter et al. 2007). For simplicity and convenience, we will usually refer to the affected dimensions as lateral/medial and dorsal/ventral (or above/below), despite their tilt (i.e., in the axis defined by the recording chamber). The chamber contained a fixed grid of holes (Crist Instruments, Gaithersburg, MD) aligned such that electrode penetrations could be made in 1-mm increments in the anterior/posterior and medial/lateral dimensions. Recordings were made using tungsten microelectrodes (1–3 MΩ; FHC, Bowdoin, ME). Multunit clusters were selected using a window discriminator (monkeys A and W: Plexon, Dallas, TX; monkeys E, M, C, and X: Bak Electronics, Germantown, MD), and spike times were stored for off-line analysis.

The location of the IC was determined using an anatomical MRI scan in which the recording chamber and plastic grid could be visualized. Several marker electrodes were placed in the plastic grid. Images were then aligned precisely along the axis of the grid, such that recording locations could be mapped directly onto anatomical landmarks visible in MRI. Using MRI, we estimated all of the borders of the IC except on the rostral aspect, where a clear definition was not visible. In each monkey, we recorded from a patch around the estimated IC location, making a series of electrode penetrations through the holes in the recording grid. We lowered electrodes along the dorsolateral/ventromedial axis of the IC (established by the placement of the recording cylinder) and recorded from multunit clusters every 0.5 mm along the trajectory of the penetration. Figure 1 shows MR images spanning the recorded region of monkey A, the most thoroughly sampled monkey in our data set. The images are spaced in 1-mm increments, such that each image corresponds to a row of recordings in the anterior/posterior aspect of the recording grid. The locations of recording trajectories (medial/lateral aspect of the recording grid) have been plotted with red lines. We began and ended recording sessions at depths above and below the putative IC to ensure that the entire structure was covered but limited our analysis to locations between the borders measured from the MRI scans, ±1.5 mm. This constraint is indicated with green lines of Fig. 1.

Some recording grid locations were sampled multiple times on different days to verify that the results for those holes were reproducible across sessions. Table 1 lists both raw totals and totals with duplicate penetrations excluded. Duplicate penetrations were also excluded for analyses related to the proportion of IC tissue that shows a particular property. Such cases are specifically noted as they arise. Unless otherwise mentioned, analyses were conducted on the complete data set without excluding the duplicates.

Data were analyzed offline to determine which sites along a penetration showed auditory responses. The times of action potentials were binned in 1-ms windows aligned on stimulus onset to form a peristimulus time histogram (PSTH) for all auditory stimuli presented. The PSTH was then smoothed using a 5-ms moving average. A site was marked as auditory if the smoothed PSTH exceeded 3 SDs above baseline for 10 consecutive ms in a 50-ms window following stimulus onset. We further restricted analysis to penetrations that contained three or more responsive sites. Finally, as noted above, we excluded

**Fig. 1.** Locations mapped in monkey A illustrated on MR images. A series of coronal MR images spanning the 10-mm range that was sampled physiologically. Voxel volumes were 0.5-mm cubes. Images were rotated into the plane of recording by placing electrodes in the recording grid, visible ~0 and 7 mm. Each panel corresponds to a single mediolateral row of grid locations at a given position in the anterior/posterior dimension (interleaved coronal slices are not displayed). Red lines indicate the approach of each of the recording penetrations in the medial/lateral dimension. Green lines indicate the targeted area; recordings shallower and deeper than these borders were discarded. Locations of the inferior colliculus (IC) and superior colliculus (SC) are indicated on 2 of the panels.
Responsive sites that were >=1.5 mm shallower or deeper than anatomical estimates gathered from MRI (borders for monkey A indicated on Fig. 1). The objective marking of auditory stretches through the IC corresponded well with subjective markings based on inspection of PSTHs and tuning curves, and locations agreed well with anatomical indications from MRI and histological reconstruction in monkeys W and X detailed below.

We tested a subset of sites in monkey A, notably those in the rostral-most penetrations, with microstimulation to rule out that they were in the superior colliculus (SC). The SC is an oculomotor structure rostral and dorsal to the IC, and it exhibits auditory responsiveness (Jay and Sparks 1984; Populin et al. 2004). However, the SC’s auditory activity is quite weak when the animal is not engaged in a task involving saccades to auditory stimuli (Jay and Sparks 1987a,b). Our monkeys were not performing an auditory saccade task, so we did not expect to see, nor did we observe, strong auditory responses in the SC. Occasionally, sites with weak auditory responses in the vicinity of the SC were observed, but these were generally excluded from our IC sample by either the MRI or because firing rate changes did not meet the response threshold described above. Stimulation allowed us to confirm that these exclusion criteria were adequate: only 2 of 51 sites included for analysis of auditory characteristics showed saccades following stimulation onset, confirming that the inclusion criteria successfully excluded the SC. Instead, when saccades were observed, they were evoked following stimulation at sites dorsal to the IC, consistent with some penetrations passing through the intermediate and deep layers of the SC on the way to the underlying IC.

**Stimulus Presentation**

Experiments were conducted in complete darkness in a single-walled IAC sound isolation booth. Echo-absorbent material lined the walls and ceiling (3-in. Sonex Painted One acoustic foam), as well as the floor (carpet). Auditory stimuli consisted of tones of 16 frequencies ranging from 0.4 to 12 kHz (approximately one-fourth octave increments), as well as broadband noise (spectrum ranging from 0.5 to 18 kHz). In monkey W, we recorded several sites in which included the presentation of eight additional frequencies ranging from 0.1 to 0.33 kHz. In monkeys A and W, sounds were presented for 200 ms and in all other recordings sounds were presented for 500 ms. All sounds were initiated with a 10 ms ramp. At each recording site, 200 total trials (more in cases where we presented additional frequencies) were presented in a randomly interleaved fashion (~12 trials per stimulus).

Table 1. Quantity and categorization of recordings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monkey</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recorded Penetrations Sites</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsive Penetrations Sites</td>
<td>646</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>694</td>
<td>588</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>790</td>
<td>3709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuned penetrations sites</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total number of recorded and responsive locations is shown, along with the number assigned to each penetration category as described in METHODS and shown in Fig. 5. In cases where penetration locations were sampled more than once, both the raw totals and the number of unique penetration locations are shown. If any tonotopic penetrations were observed at a given grid location, the location was marked as tonotopic; if any tuned penetrations were observed, the location was marked as tuned. Numbers in parentheses indicate quantities of unique penetration locations. *Excluding tonotopic penetrations.

Sounds were generally presented using loudspeakers (Audax Model TWO25V2 or Bose Acoustimas cube speakers) located 90° contralateral to the recording chamber and 57 inches from the subject’s head. In all monkeys, sounds were presented at 50 dB sound pressure level. Sound levels were calibrated to within 1 dB of the target amplitude using a sound meter (Bruel & Kjaer; model 2237 with model 4137 condenser microphone; A-weighted) placed at the position that the monkey’s head would occupy in the experiment. Sound spectra are shown in (see Supplemental Materials, Supplemental Fig. S9; Supplemental Material for this article is available online at the J Neurophysiol website). Eye position was monitored throughout the experiment, and the monkey was woken if drifting eye movements characteristic of sleep were observed. In monkeys A, W, E, and M, an unrelated nonauditory task was run at some recording sites as part of a separate experiment, not described here. These trials were run in separate blocks after frequency information was collected at a given depth.

**Data Analysis**

**Frequency tuning.** To characterize frequency tuning, we counted saccades in a 200-ms window following sound onset and compared it to a 200-ms baseline period before the sound. We computed this response for each of the different stimulus frequencies, i.e., an (isointensity) frequency response curve. Gaussian curves were then fit to the responses as a function of the logarithm of the stimulus frequency. Fitting of Gaussian functions was performed in Mathlab (Mathworks, Natick MA) using the “fit” function. Gaussian fits were constrained to have peaks in the range of frequencies tested. The best frequency (BF) was labeled as the frequency corresponding to the peak of the Gaussian curve, provided the Gaussian successfully described the data (P < 0.05, F-test). Sites for which Gaussians did not fit showed no apparent tuning by inspection and were included only for analysis of nontuning related features. Gaussian defined BF were similar to the frequency evoking the maximum response (an alternative measure of BF) but allowed us to take into consideration the responses of neighboring frequencies in estimating BF. Penetrations were classified as tuned if three or more sites were fit by Gaussian functions. Penetrations with fewer were classified as untuned. Such penetrations could include either sites not very responsive to tones or responsive to tones but insensitive to their frequency.

Tuned penetrations were tested for the presence of a tonotopic progression by relating the BF to depth with a linear regression. Because each BF measurement reflected a single data point in the regression would cause an underestimate of the true confidence intervals around the regression slope. Accordingly, we performed a Monte Carlo simulation. For each penetration, we ran 100 iterations in which we randomly selected 75% of the trials for each multiunit cluster and fit Gaussian tuning curves to each of the data subsets. We then fitted regression lines to log(BF) vs. depth for each of these iterations. This process allowed us to create a 95% confidence interval for the slopes of regression lines without making any assumptions about the distribution of slopes. Based on previous studies in monkeys and other mammals, we expected BF to increase with depth [e.g., in monkey: FitzPatrick (1975); Ryan and Miller (1978); Zwiers et al. (2004)]. We defined tonotopic penetrations as those in which 95% of slopes from the Monte Carlo simulation were positive. Only significant Gaussian fits were used in the simulation, and on some iterations there were insufficient fits to perform a regression. Only penetrations that had at ≥75 successful iterations were included. This analysis matched our subjective marking of tonotopy by visual inspection of the responses as a function of sound frequency over the course of a penetration.

**Temporal profile.** To characterize the temporal profile of the response, we measured the firing rate in two windows. We defined the sustained response as the average firing rate in a period 100–200 ms following stimulus onset and the transient response as the average
firing rate in a 20-ms period centered on the peak of the PSTH over the first 50 ms following stimulus onset. Firing rates in both windows were converted to z-scores relative to the mean and SD of the firing rate during a baseline period (0 to 200 ms before sound onset).

To compare the response profile across recordings, we created a response profile index (RPI):

\[
\text{RPI} = \frac{\text{transient} - \text{sustained}}{\text{transient} + \text{sustained}}
\]

This index provides a metric for how sustained a response is: sites that exhibit almost no sustained responses produce RPI values \(\approx 1\), whereas sites with sustained responses similar in magnitude to transient responses produce RPI values near 0 (the RPI could exceed 1 if an excitatory transient was followed by an inhibitory sustained component).

**Latency.** Latency was defined as the time (with respect to stimulus onset) that the PSTH exceeded 3 SDs of baseline. Because stimuli were generally presented from loudspeakers, latency included the time it took for the sound to travel to the ear, \(\approx 4\) ms, (i.e., to convert to latency from the arrival of the sound at the ear to the neural response, subtract \(\approx 4\) ms).

**Histology**

In monkeys W and X, at the conclusion of recordings, an electrolytic lesion was made along a central penetration. The animal was perfused, and the brain was fixed with formalin. In monkey W, the brain was sliced in 60-μm coronal sections stained with cytochrome oxidase, and in monkey X, 50-μm sections were cut and stained with cresyl violet. The histological analysis of monkey W was performed by the Cant Laboratory at Duke University and that of monkey X was performed by the Winer Laboratory at the University of California, Berkeley.

**Sources of Error in Determining Recording Locations**

Certain sources of error affected the reliability of our estimate of recording location. The most reliable measurement is the depth within a penetration. The accuracy of this measurement is on the order of micrometers, i.e., the accuracy of our microdrive (Narishige; model MO-951). The overall depth is estimated less accurately. There are two sources of error here. The first is that a paint mark is placed at a measured position on each electrode before they are placed in the microdrive. The mark is then aligned with the scale on the microdrive. The precision of this paint mark and its alignment are on the order of \(\pm 1\) mm or so. The second issue is the head implant itself, which can gradually lift over time as tissue grows beneath the acrylic, moving the cylinder slightly. These changes are small and slow. However, the more time that elapses, the less fidelity there is between the overall depth estimate and that predicted from the MRI scan (which was typically done once before the mapping began). It was to allow for these sources of error that we included a 1.5-mm buffer zone above and below the estimated depth of the IC. Overall, the depth measurements of responsive sites corresponded well to the predicted depth of the IC, suggesting that these sources of error were largely variable and not systematic errors. As noted above, a number of penetration locations were sampled repeatedly, and the results were qualitatively consistent across multiple penetrations, providing further evidence that changes due to shifting of the implant were minimal.

Potential error in the AP and ML dimensions arises due to head cap shifting, as mentioned above, and also electrode bending as the IC is approached. The IC is \(\approx 5\) cm below the top of our recording grid. Part of this distance was traversed with the electrode in a rigid guide tube, but at least the last centimeter was traversed by the electrode alone. The tungsten electrodes could bend as the approached the IC or could potentially slip alongside it especially on the lateral aspect. This source of error probably accounts for a \(<1\)-mm variation in the precise AP or ML position of electrode on repeated penetrations through the same grid location.

**Sources of Error in MRI reconstruction**

Relate to the quality of the image and voxel size: the thickness of coronal slices ranged between 0.5 and 1 mm, and the other two dimensions were fixed at 0.5 mm across scans. The visibility of morphological features of the IC on the scan and our ability to estimate the position of the cylinder and electrodes on the scan also influence the accuracy with which recording locations could be reconstructed. Reconstructing the borders of the IC via MRI scan using similar techniques has been estimated to be accurate to the nearest 1 mm (Kalwani et al. 2009).

**RESULTS**

We systematically mapped the IC of six monkeys by recording multunit activity along electrode penetrations through the structure while presenting a series of auditory stimuli. We classified penetrations based on responsiveness to tones: penetrations showing auditory responses were classified as either tuned or untuned, and tuned penetrations were further classified based on whether or not they showed a tonotopic progression (Table 1).

Figure 2 shows an example tuned, nontonotopic, penetration, the type we observed most frequently (in 68 of 100 auditory-responsive penetrations, numbers from reduced data set with 1 penetration per grid hole). The average response in each condition (the mean firing rate in a 200-ms period following stimulus onset, normalized to baseline) is plotted against the stimulus. The recordings are plotted in the order they were taken, with the shallowest recordings at the top and the deepest recordings at the bottom. Only the responsive recordings are shown, although nonresponsive recordings flanked the auditory area above and below. Gaussian fits to the data, used below to summarize tuning, are overlaid. The recordings at each of the depths showed clear auditory responses [an increase in the height of the PSTH (shown in the inset on each tuning curve following stimulus onset)] that were strongly tuned to low frequencies (~650 Hz). At the deepest recording, only a small response to tones is seen, although this site responded well to broadband white noise.

Tonotopic penetrations were more rare, found in 17 of 100 auditory-responsive penetration locations. Figure 3 shows data from an example tonotopic penetration in the same format as Fig. 2. Sites along this penetration, in contrast to the nontonotopic example, exhibit an orderly increase in BF. The first responsive recording in this penetration showed only a small response and no clear tuning (this site responded best to white noise). The second site was tuned to the lowest frequency sounds we presented; deeper sites showed maximal responses to progressively higher frequencies. To objectively determine the tonotopicity of penetrations, we performed a Monte Carlo simulation, calculating regressions on the slope of BF over depth on randomly selected subsets of the data at each site (see methods for details). Figure 3, inset, shows a histogram of the slopes of regressions over the simulation for this penetration. Sites were marked as tonotopic if 95% of the slopes were positive (in this example, all iterations showed positive slopes in contrast to the example in Fig. 2, which showed no such trend; note the very different x-axis scales for these 2 insets).

Figure 4 shows the responses of an untuned recording. Untuned penetrations (defined as having <3 sites showing
tuned responses) were uncommon and only found at the most peripheral sites sampled. Lack of tuning occurred when sites responded to tones without clear modulation based on tone frequency or when the sites responded only to presentations of broadband white noise. Most of the untuned recordings in our sample showed some response to tones (120 of 185 sites; \( P < 0.05, t \)-test), but several only responded to white noise (49 of 185 sites). The example in Fig. 4 shows a penetration in which

![Graphs showing frequency responses from a tonotopic penetration.](image)

all of the sites responded to tonal stimuli \((P < 0.05, t \text{-test on tone trials})\) but showed no clear preference for frequency among these responses. Responses to white noise were larger than those of tones (red trace compared with blue trace in
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Fig. 2. Responses from a tuned penetration. Average response over a 200-ms period following baseline (normalized by subtracting the mean and dividing by the SD of the activity during the baseline period) is plotted in blue as a function of sound frequency (i.e., isointensity frequency response curves). Error bars indicate SE. Topmost panel shows the shallowest recording in this penetration, with each panel thereafter being 0.5 mm deeper. A Gaussian curve (red line) was fit to data from tone trials. Location of the peak of this curve was labeled as best frequency (BF), which remained low throughout this penetration. At the extreme right, the average and SE of responses to presentations of broadband white noise are shown. Insets: peristimulus time histogram (PSTH) across stimuli for a period ranging from 50 ms before to 200 ms after stimulus onset. Below the tuning data, results from a Monte Carlo analysis to probe for tonotopy indicate that this penetration did not show an increase in BF with deeper recordings; the slopes are not biased towards positive values. WN, white noise.

![Graphs showing Monte Carlo Simulation.](image)

Fig. 3. Frequency responses from a tonotopic penetration. Plotted in the same format as Fig. 2; the panels show an increase in BF with deeper recordings. All iterations of the Monte Carlo analysis used to detect tonotopy showed positive slopes. (note the different scale on the x-axis as compared with Fig. 2).
The distribution of BF values was highly similar between monkeys A, E, M, and C. When found, tonotopy tended to be located at or near the caudal extent of the region showing auditory responses or the MRI-identified posterior border. Adjacent to or surrounding the tonotopic penetrations were tuned penetrations (red), which account for the majority of penetrations in all monkeys. Untuned penetrations (blue) were most prominent in monkey A at the rostral extent of our sampling but were occasionally observed in the other monkeys and at other (peripheral) locations.

**Tuning of Nontonotopic Penetrations**

In recording penetrations that did not show a progression from low to high frequencies as the electrode advanced, but did show tuning, most sites responded best to the lowest frequencies we tested. Figure 6, top, shows the distribution of BF values determined by Gaussian fits to the frequency response function data (see methods and examples in Figs. 2–3) in each monkey. The data set for this analysis involved one penetration per location to avoid biasing estimates due to repeated sampling of some locations. With the exception of monkey W, all animals showed a powerful bias toward low frequency BFs throughout recordings. In a subset of recordings from monkey W, we probed for BFs below the range tested in the other animals to determine if this monkey had a low frequency bias but for lower frequencies than the other monkeys, but this did not appear to be the case. The vast majority of data from monkeys A, E, M, C, and X showed the most vigorous response to frequencies <1.6 kHz, several octaves below the upper limit of the monkey’s hearing range (Stebbins et al. 1966). This is a surprising result, as no previous experiments have shown such a powerful bias toward low frequency tuning in the monkey, although many other species have auditory neurons that do show a bias toward a specific frequency range [e.g., bat (Kossel and Vater 1985), mole (Muller et al. 1992), and owl (Köppl et al. 1993)].

This bias in favor of low frequencies was also observed when the "point image" of activity in response to sound frequency was considered. The point image can be defined as the population response as a function of sound frequency, here expressed as the percentage of neurons responding to each tested frequency. Figure 6, bottom, shows the proportion of sites that responded to each frequency for each monkey. The curves show a strong bias toward low frequency responses, except for those describing the data from monkey W. Importantly, virtually all of the frequencies we tested elicited a response in some sites in each monkey, which reassures that the bias for low frequencies does not reflect complete loss of hearing at high frequencies. The macaque auditory midbrain thus seems to show an enhanced response to low frequencies. Responses to high frequencies are still present, although many other species have auditory neurons that do show a bias toward a specific frequency range.
Temporal Response Profile

The temporal profile of a response provides an alternative to tuning characteristics in defining a functional map. The responses we recorded reliably showed an excitatory transient onset element, which was often followed by a sustained response (of varying magnitude) that continued throughout the presentation of the sound. We defined an index to measure the ratio of sustained to transient components (RPI, see METHODS), to see if response patterns were organized topographically. Figure 7 shows the maps of this index across recording penetrations from each monkey. The measures used for calculating RPI are indicated in the inset with two example PSTHs. Monkeys A and W show a large range in RPI, with the most sustained responses (lower RPI values) in locations near tonotopic penetrations. Monkeys M and C show less variability in response profile but still show a strong coupling between sustained and tonotopic penetrations. The data from monkeys E and X, in which we did not find tonotopy, had surprisingly low RPI values, with responses as sustained as those in tonotopic penetrations in other monkeys.

We also observed variation in the response profile within tonotopic penetrations. The example shown in Fig. 3 indicates various levels of sustained responses from depth to depth. The maps in Fig. 7 are collapsed across recording depths, and so topographic effects within electrode penetrations cannot be seen. Figure 8A shows the average RPI across the depth of recordings, relative to the depth of the first auditory response (i.e., entry into the nucleus). Nontonotopic penetrations showed little effect of depth. Conversely, tonotopic penetrations tended to exhibit more transient responses at shallow depths (similar to those of nontonotopic penetrations), while sites recorded deeper showed more sustained responses.

Figure 8B shows histograms of RPI from sites in tonotopic and nontonotopic penetrations. While sites within tonotopic penetrations (red solid line) showed RPI values that were skewed toward lower numbers (i.e., more sustained responses) than those within nontonotopic penetrations (blue solid line), the distributions largely overlapped. Restricting the estimate of RPI from tonotopic penetrations to those deeper than the first site (red dashed line) shifted the distribution slightly to the left, and restricting the analysis of nontonotopic sites to those monkeys that showed tonotopy (blue dashed line) shifted the distribution slightly to the right, but considerable overlap remained.

Latency of response also showed some topographic organization. More central penetrations contained sites that responded faster than more peripheral penetrations (Fig. 9). As with RPI, we also found an effect of depth within tonotopic penetrations, with shallower sites showing slower responses than those recorded at deeper locations (Fig. 10A). Across all locations, a small difference between tonotopic and nontonotopic penetrations was found (Fig. 10B; \( P < 0.01, t\)-test). Excluding the shallowest tonotopic recording and the data from monkeys in which we did not observe tonotopy slightly increased the separation between distributions of latency, but there was considerable overlap (similar to the results found for...
The estimates of latency are similar to those noted previously (Ryan and Miller 1978; Zwiers et al. 2004), when taking into account that latency calculations included the time it took for the sound to reach the ear. (see METHODS).

**DISCUSSION**

The results from this study provide the first extensive physiological map of the auditory midbrain in the monkey. We established a small volume of tissue in which tonotopic organization was readily identifiable, surrounded by a surprisingly large region that showed no such organization. In the latter, low frequency responses prevailed, indicating a strong bias toward low frequency tones. The two functionally identifiable regions we observed likely have anatomical correlates.

**Subdivisions of the IC and the Representation of Sound Frequency**

Historically, the IC has been divided into a central nucleus (ICC) and surrounding regions on the lateral [lateral nucleus (LN)] and dorsal (DCIC) aspects (Morest 1964; Ramón y Cajal 1911; Rockel and Jones 1973a,b,c). Golgi impregnations have provided a thorough parcellation through the precise analysis of the spatial arrangement of both axons and dendrites, as was performed by Morest and Oliver in the cat (1984). Their study classified cells surrounding the ICC, not just those lateral and dorsal to the central nucleus, but also cells rostral, caudal, and medial to the ICC.

The distinguishing feature of ICC is a series of fibrodendritic laminae, formed by the parallel dendritic fields of disc shaped neurons (Morest 1964). The layers seem to match tuning patterns, with the most dorsolateral layers corresponding to low frequency tuned neurons, with tuning to increasing frequencies found in successively ventromedial layers [see Ehret and Schreiner (2005) for review]. ICC primarily receives ascending input from the auditory brain stem: from the cochlear nucleus [reviewed in Cant (2005)] and from the superior olivary complex and nuclei of the lateral lemniscus [reviewed in Schofield (2005)]. Descending input from auditory cortex also projects to the ICC (Andersen et al. 1980). Projections from the ICC continue up the auditory pathway where they synapse mainly on the ventral region of the medial geniculate body (MGB; Calford and Atkin 1983).

In contrast, LN and DCIC have a more diffuse set of connections. These regions receive ascending auditory input via the ICC (Saldaña and Merchan 1992) but more weakly from brainstem sources (Atkin et al. 1981; Coleman and Clerici 1987). DCIC and LN are more heavily innervated by auditory cortex, receiving more descending input than ICC (Andersen et al. 1980; Coleman and Clerici 1987; Diamond et al. 1969; Druga and Syka 1984a,b; Druga et al. 1997; Saldaña et al. 1996; Schofield 2009; Winer et al. 2002). In addition, LN receives nonauditory input from the somatosensory (Atkin et al. 1978; Atkin et al. 1981) and visual (Coleman and Clerici 1987; Cooper and Young 1976) systems. The projections from the LN and DCIC also differ from those of the ICC, DCIC and LN do project to the MGB, like the ICC does, but they mainly...
Because of the interconnectedness of the regions of the IC as a whole, the feedback they receive from auditory cortical regions, and the reciprocity of their connections with earlier brainstem auditory structures (Coleman and Clerici 1987; Gonzalez-Hernandez et al. 1996; Hutson et al. 1991; Saldaña and Merchán 1992), it is difficult to identify the circuit underlying any particular type of response. Nevertheless, certain physiological differences have been observed in these different regions. Aitkin et al. (1975) tested tuning and binaural response properties in cat ICC, DCIC, and LN. They found that neurons in DCIC and LN showed broad tuning, or no evidence of tuning at all, and that neurons in DCIC were generally only driven by the stimulation of the contralateral ear, while LN and ICC were binaurally influenced. DCIC and LN also seem to be better driven by complex sounds, such as vocalizations, while ICC neurons show greater firing in response to presentations of pure tones (Aitkin et al. 1994).

The tonotopic penetrations in our map likely passed through the ICC. ICC has exhibited clear tonotopic organization across species [e.g., rats (Clopton and Winfield 1973; Kelly et al. 1991); cats (Aitkin et al. 1975; Merzenich and Reid 1974; Rose et al. 1963); guinea pigs (Malmierca et al. 1995); mice (Stiebler and Ehret 1985); ferrets (Moore et al. 1983); owls (Knudsen and Konishi 1978); and bats (Casseday and Covey 1992; Miller et al. 2005; Poon et al. 1990; Zook et al. 1985)] and shows more sustained and short latency responses (Aitkin et al. 1994; Ryan and Miller 1978; Syka et al. 2000; Willott and Urban 1978). Figure 11A shows an electrolytic lesion placed in the middle of a tonotopic penetration in monkey W in a section stained with cytochrome oxidase. This stain marks metabolic activity, which is markedly higher in the ICC than in the surrounding area (Dezso et al. 1993). The location of the penetration in which the lesion was placed is indicated with an “X” on the map in Fig. 5.

While the evidence that the tonotopic penetrations probably passed through the ICC is strong, the converse, that the nontonotopic penetrations did not, is less clear. In monkeys E...
and X, we did not identify tonotopic gradients in any recording penetrations. This is not surprising in monkey E, as our entire sample was likely medial to the ICC, but tonotopic organization was expected in monkey X where the central region was well sampled. A lesion was made at a central recording location and identified near RP on a section stained with Nissl techniques (Fig. 11, B and C). Recordings were taken caudal to the location of the lesion, in a region that corresponds to ICC, but no tonotopic penetrations were identified, even though response characteristics typical of tonotopic penetrations in other monkeys, such as a strong sustained response or short response latency, were observed at several sites in monkey X.

It is therefore possible that the strength of tonotopic organization varies across individual animals and that in monkey X it was not identifiable or that some aspect of our recording methods precluded detection of tonotopy. Improvements in our recording equipment over the course of this study may have facilitated identification of tonotopy and responses in general. After monkeys X, C, M, and E were tested but before monkeys A and W were tested, the neural recording system was upgraded and changes in software that allowed online analysis of the data were incorporated. This helped us target our recordings to the region of the IC more successfully and improved our selection of multiunit activity. Indeed, monkeys A and W showed the clearest signal-to-noise ratios. Interpretation and resolution of anatomical MRIs also improved throughout the course of the study, allowing better targeting of the IC.

Most likely, some but not all of the penetrations classified as non tonotopic passed through the ICC as well. In particular, penetrations adjacent to tonotopic locations in our map likely passed through the ICC, but only through a small part, or passed through the ICC nonperpendicularly to the tonotopic gradient. It is also possible that a portion of the ICC is not tonotopic at all but consistently favors low frequency sounds and that this low frequency bias is a feature shared in common with the surrounding shell.

It is also possible that some locations that were actually tonotopic or tuned were mischaracterized due to the relatively coarse sampling of tissue relative to the size of the IC and the use of a set of stimuli that only represent part of the macaque frequency range. Although we only sampled a subset of the primate hearing range, this is unlikely to account for the low frequency bias we observed. Very few sites responded well to frequencies in the 2- to 12-kHz range; instead most sites responded best to lower frequencies. Since we did not test with higher frequencies, we cannot rule out that they showed bimodal frequency response functions with both low and very high frequency peaks, but there is little evidence of this kind of tuning pattern in other species. More likely, there may have been nonresponsive sites at the end of tonotopic penetrations that were tuned to frequencies we did not test. The main confound produced by such an effect would be that the IC may be larger and the tonotopic stretches longer than we have been able to demonstrate.

Overall, identifying the location of any non-ICC penetrations is more challenging. Although measurements of the tonotopic region recorded fit well with estimates of the size of ICC (Paxinos et al. 2000), the auditory-responsive region surrounding tonotopic responses is much larger than anticipated, even when excluding potential ICC penetrations that were adjacent those in which tonotopy was identified. It is possible that some auditory responsive sites reflected signals from axons rather than cell bodies and that this could have expanded the apparent size of the IC. Alternatively, these data are best described as coming from a number of nearby, anatomically distinct areas, including not only DCIC/LN but also regions such as the intercollicular tegmentum and the nucleus of the brachium. The homogeneity of response characteristics (latency, temporal profile, and tuning properties) provides reason to group these data together, although it likely represents responses of both collicular and pericollular regions.

Temporal Profile

The temporal patterns of the response also relate to those found previously. We found shorter latency and more sustained responses in tonotopic penetrations (Figs. 8B and 10B). Along tonotopic penetrations, the most dorsal recordings showed uncharacteristically slow and transient responses (Figs. 8A and 10A), consistent with the idea that electrodes passed through DCIC en route to ICC. Results regarding the temporal profile should be compared with the literature with caution, as the
choice of MUA as a metric precludes the identification of individual sustained and transient type cells. Rather, the measurement of sustained and transient components in the response relates to the proportion of sustained and transient type units comprising the MUA. Our data on responses in the awake animal also likely differ from those in anesthetized animals. Anesthesia has been shown to affect the temporal profile of responses in the IC (Astl et al. 1996; Kuwada et al. 1989).

Low Frequency Bias

The most surprising aspect of our findings was the prevalence of low frequency tuning. Throughout the more transient, slower, nontonopic region we sampled, we found a strong bias toward low frequency tuning (Fig. 6). Fitzpatrick (1975) also found no evidence of high frequency tuned neurons (>2 kHz) in the shell surrounding the ICC of the squirrel monkey.

Typically, the magnification of a particular range of stimulus space corresponds with some enhanced perceptual capacity. For example, amplification of a specific frequency range, linked with sounds of ethological relevance has been seen in the auditory system of other species [e.g., owl (Köppl et al. 1993); bat (Kossel and Vater 1985); and mole (Muller et al. 1992)]. This finding is surprising in rhesus monkeys because no perceptual correlate in this frequency range has yet been established. The rhesus monkey audiogram is approximately flat from 500 Hz to 16 kHz: the monkey is at its most sensitive, and uniformly so, throughout this range [for review, see Coleman (2009)]. That a perceptual or ethological correlate may eventually be found seems possible: preliminary efforts in our laboratory to train monkeys to perform sound frequency discrimination tasks have been more successful at lower frequencies, ~800 Hz, than at higher frequencies, ~3 kHz (Ross and Groh 2009).

Implications for Prostheses and Other Work

Several aspects of our study have important implications for the design and placement of prosthetic devices in the IC. Chiefly, the limited extent of tonotopy, the variability in its presence or perhaps location in individual animals, and the dominance of low frequencies pose a logistical challenge. To be successful, a prosthetic device needs to access sites that encode a range of different frequencies. It may also be advantageous to target the main channels of the ascending auditory signal. If the human IC is similar to that of the monkey, then a large number of electrodes may need to be placed in a range of locations to increase the odds that some are positioned in the comparatively small volume of the IC containing neurons devoted to ascending high frequency information. Indeed, the earliest attempts at auditory midbrain implants have produced predominantly low frequency percepts at most electrode sites (Llinas et al. 2008), suggesting that the challenge of finding high frequency sites may well be true in humans as well as monkeys.

Generally, our results provide a guide or context that may be of some utility for studies in which detailed mapping is not possible. Placement of prosthetic stimulating electrodes in humans is done precisely because the patient is deaf without it; thus mapping the auditory response properties before placement is not possible. Other types of work involve a trade-off between optimizing for anatomical certainty at the expense of
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