Cholinergic microvillous cells in the mouse main olfactory epithelium and effect of acetylcholine on olfactory sensory neurons and supporting cells
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Ogura T, Szebenyi SA, Krosnowski K, Sathyanesan A, Jackson J, Lin W. Cholinergic microvillous cells in the mouse main olfactory epithelium and effect of acetylcholine on olfactory sensory neurons and supporting cells. J Neurophysiol 106: 1274–1287, 2011. First published June 15, 2011; doi:10.1152/jn.00186.2011.—The mammalian olfactory epithelium is made up of ciliated olfactory sensory neurons (OSNs), supporting cells, basal cells, and microvillous cells. Previously, we reported that a population of nonneuronal microvillous cells expresses transient receptor potential channel M5 (TRPM5). Using transgenic mice and immunocytochemical labeling, we identify that these cells are cholinergic, expressing the signature markers of choline acetyltransferase (ChAT) and the vesicular acetylcholine transporter. This result suggests that acetylcholine (ACh) can be synthesized and released locally to modulate activities of neighboring supporting cells and OSNs. In $\text{Ca}^{2+}$-imaging experiments, ACh induced increases in intracellular $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ levels in 78% of isolated supporting cells tested in a concentration-dependent manner. Atropine, a muscarinic ACh receptor (mAChR) antagonist suppressed the ACh responses. In contrast, ACh did not induce or potentiate $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ increases in OSNs. Instead, ACh suppressed the $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ increases induced by the adenyl cyclase activator forskolin in some OSNs. Supporting these results, we found differential expression of mAChR subtypes in supporting cells and OSNs using subtype-specific antibodies against $\mu_1$ through $\mu_4$ mAChRs. Furthermore, we found that various chemicals, bacterial lysate, and cold saline induced $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ increases in TRPM5/ChAT-expressing microvillous cells. Taken together, our data suggest that TRPM5/ChAT-expressing microvillous cells react to certain chemical or thermal stimuli and release ACh to modulate activities of neighboring supporting cells and OSNs via mAChRs. Our studies reveal an intrinsic and potentially potent mechanism linking external stimulation to cholinergic modulation of activities in the olfactory epithelium.
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ANIMALS RELY ON OLFACTION to find food and mates as well as to avoid predators and harmful environment. In mammals, the peripheral olfactory system consists of several anatomically segregated sensory apparatuses. Among these apparatuses, the main olfactory epithelium (MOE) houses the largest number of olfactory sensory neurons (OSNs) and plays a dominant role in detecting airborne odor molecules. The MOE, which lines the convoluted olfactory turbinates in the posterior nasal cavity, constitutes ~50% and 37% of the nasal surface area in rat and mice, respectively (Gross et al. 1982). Although this large surface area allows OSNs to interact maximally with odorants, the MOE is vulnerable to damage caused by xenobiotic agents including high levels of odor molecules, air pollutants, and microbial substances that may be present in inhaled air. In fact, the MOE is considered to be a major area for metabolizing xenobiotic substances (Ding and Dahl 2003). However, it is not known whether there are mechanisms allowing the activities of OSNs and supporting cells to be modulated on the basis of local interaction of xenobiotic substances to minimize potential chemical damage to the olfactory epithelium.

The trigeminal system is known to mediate sensory detection of inhaled irritants and harmful substances in the nasal respiratory epithelium, initiating protective reflexes. Trigeminal peptidergic nerve fibers expressing substance P and calcitonin gene-related peptide are abundant in the respiratory epithelium (Bryant and Silver 2000). Most of the intraepithelial trigeminal peptidergic nerve fibers are free nerve endings, which mediate sensory detection directly (Bryant and Silver 2000). Recently, studies on nasal solitary chemosensory cells (SCCs) have shown that some intraepithelial peptidergic nerve fibers actually innervate SCCs. These SCCs express chemosensory signaling components, such as transient receptor potential channel M5 (TRPM5), and respond to various chemical stimuli, including volatile irritants and bitter-tasting compounds, some of which are bacteria-secreted substances (Finger et al. 2003; Gulbransen et al. 2008; Lin et al. 2008b; Menini and Pifferi 2008; Ogura et al. 2010; Tizzano et al. 2010). This chemosensory cell-mediated detection of xenobiotic substances provides another mechanism for nasal chemical detection.

Although there is extensive trigeminal innervation in the nasal respiratory epithelium, intraepithelial trigeminal peptidergic nerve fibers are sparse in the MOE (Finger et al. 1990; Papka and Matulionis 1983). Also, the nasal SCCs are preferentially located at the anterior respiratory mucosa and are rarely found in the MOE (Finger et al. 2003; Lin et al. 2008a; Lin et al. 2008b). It is unlikely that SCCs mediate detection of xenobiotic substances in the MOE. Recently, Hegg et al. (2010) reported that some of the inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate receptor type 3-expressing microvillous cells are innervated by trigeminal peptidergic nerve fibers and respond to a number of bioactive compounds and odor molecules. These results imply a potential important role of microvillous cells in chemical detection.

In the airway, there are other mechanisms that do not involve neuronal circuitry or reflexes, which interact with xenobiotic substances to facilitate the removal of such substances and minimize potential damage. It has been shown that ciliated epithelial cells and smooth muscle cells in the human airway express bitter-taste receptors and the phospholipase C signaling pathway. Together, they may detect bitter compounds secreted by microorganisms and facilitate their removal.
porting cells are not well understood, electrophysiological supporting cells are covered with microvilli (Menco and Morrison 2003). These cells span the layer (Farbman 2000). Supporting cells are the second most abundant population of cells in the MOE and are responsible for detection of odor molecules. Their apical dendrites reach the luminal surface and form swelling dendritic knobs, from which multiple cilia emanate and interact with odor molecules in the mucus layer (Farbman 2000). Supporting cells are the second most abundant population of cells in the MOE. These cells span the entire thickness of the MOE with the nuclear regions of their cell bodies largely forming the superficial layer of the MOE. Our results show that TRPM5-expressing microvillous cells are cholinergic and that ACh modulates activities of both supporting cells and OSNs via different subtypes of mAChRs. Our studies thus reveal a novel source of ACh release within the olfactory epithelium and a potential mechanism of linking nasal exposure of xenobiotic substances to cholinergic modulation of olfactory activities.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals

Adult C57BL/6 background transgenic mice were used. The original mating pairs of TRPM5-GFP transgenic mice, in which the promoter of TRPM5 drives the expression of GFP, were kindly provided by Dr. Robert R. Margolskee (Clapp et al. 2006) and we used in our previous studies for the identification of TRPM5-expressing cells in the nasal cavity (Lin et al. 2008a; 2007; 2008b; Ogura et al. 2010). The original ChAT(BAC)-enhanced GFP (eGFP) transgenic mice were kindly provided by Dr. M. I. Kotlikoff (Tallini et al. 2006). In this mouse line, the expression of eGFP is driven by the endogenous ChAT transcriptional regulatory elements within a bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC). The expression of eGFP in cholinergic neuronal and nonneuronal cells has been characterized previously and shows excellent visualization of ChAT-expressing cells in both neuronal and nonneuronal tissues (Ogura et al. 2007; Tallini et al. 2006). Offspring were genotyped using the PCR for the presence of GFP. The original mAChR subtype M3 knockout mice, which lack expression of M3 subtype mAChR because of targeted gene disruption, were kindly provided by Dr. Jürgen Wess (Yamada et al. 2001). All animal care and procedures were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committees of University of Maryland, Baltimore County.
**Immunocytochemistry**

**Tissue preparation.** Adult TRPM5-GFP, ChAT(BAC)-eGFP, and ACh receptor M₁-knockout mice were deeply anesthetized with tri-bromoethanol (Avertin 250 μg/g body wt), perfused transcardially with 0.1 M phosphate buffer (PB) followed by a PB-buffered fixative containing 3% paraformaldehyde, 0.019 M L-lysine monohydrochloride, and 0.23% sodium st-periodate (Lin et al. 2007; 2008b). The nose was harvested, postfixed for 0.5–1 h, and transferred to 0.1 M PBS with 25% sucrose overnight. For immunolabeling of coronal MOE sections, the surrounding bones were removed before the tissues were embedded in optimal cutting temperature compound (Sakura Finetek USA, Torrance, CA). Unless otherwise mentioned, 14-μm-thick transverse sections were cut using a cryostat (Microm International, Walldorf, Germany), mounted onto Superfrost plus slides (Fisher Science, Pittsburgh, PA) and stored in a −80°C freezer until used.

**Immunocytochemistry.** Sections of the MOE were thawed at room temperature, rinsed in 0.1 M PBS, and treated with 0.1 M NaOH for 1–5 min followed by three quick rinses of 0.1 M Na acetate and three 10-min washes with 0.1 M PBS. The sections were incubated in PBS-buffered blocking solution containing 2% normal donkey serum, 0.03% Triton X-100, and 1% bovine serum albumin for 1.5 h. For immunolabeling using subtype-specific antibodies against mAChRs, 0.5% Triton X-100 was used in blocking solution. For immunolabeling of VACHt, the tissue sections were rinsed and incubated in blocking solution with 0.5% Triton X-100 without antigen retrieval. Sections were then immunoreacted 48 to 72 h at 4°C with primary antibodies against each of the following proteins: PGP 9.5 (ubiquitin carboxyl-terminal hydrolase; 1:500, cat. no. AB1761; Chemicon, Temecula, CA), espin (1:300, cat. no. SC-133324; Santa Cruz Biotecnology, Santa Cruz, CA), ChAT (1:100, cat. no. AB144P; Millipore, Billerica, MA), VACHt (1:200, cat. no. V5387; Sigma, St Louis, MO), acetylated tubulin (1:20,000, cat. no. T7451; Sigma), M₁ mAChR (1:100, cat. no. ARM-001; Alomone Laboratories, Jerusalem, Israel), M₂ mAChR (1:250, cat. no. AM0-002, Alomone Labs; 1:250, cat. no. AB5166, Millipore), M₃ mAChR (1:500, cat. no. AS-3741S; Research & Diagnostic Antibodies (R&D); Benicia, CA); and 1:300, cat. no. M0194; Sigma), M₄, and M₅ mAChRs (1:500 and 1:250, cat. nos. AS-3761S and AS-3781S, R&D). For some experiments we also used anti-GFP (1:3,000, cat. no. ab13970; Abcam, Cambridge, MA) to intensify the GFP signal. After incubation of the primary antibodies, sections were then washed and reacted with donkey anti-rabbit secondary antibody (1:400, Alexa 555; Invitrogen, Eugene, OR), donkey anti-goat secondary antibody (1:400, Alexa 488, Invitrogen), donkey anti-mouse secondary antibody (1:400, Alexa 647, Invitrogen), and DyLight donkey anti-chicken secondary antibody (1:300; Jackson ImmunoResearch, West Grove, PA) for 1 h at room temperature. Sections were mounted on slides with Fluoromount-G (SouthernBiotech, Birmingham, AL) in controls for these experiments, primary antibodies were removed, resulting in negative labeling.

**Image acquisition.** For direct visualization of GFP expression and counting of GFP-positive cells, the nose was split along the midline to expose the nasal cavity. Low magnification pictures were taken using an Olympus BX 41 compound microscope equipped with epifluorescence. High-magnification images of immunolabeled sections were taken using an Olympus BX 61 epifluorescence microscope equipped with a spinning disc confocal unit.

**Cell counting.** After the ChAT(BAC)-eGFP mice were fixed, the nose was split along the midline. The olfactory epithelia lining the dorsal recess and septum were stripped, spread out, mounted onto microscope slides with fluoromount-G, and imaged at low magnification (×4) using an Olympus epifluorescence microscope. For epithelium lining the olfactory turbinates, multiple ×4 images with varied focus planes were taken at random regions and composited. ChAT(GFP)-expressing cells were counted, and surface areas were measured using an NIH ImageJ program. Manual counting was also conducted in some specimens to ensure accurate estimation.

**Intracellular Ca²⁺ Imaging on Isolated Cells of the MOE**

**Solutions and chemicals.** The bath solution (Tyrode’s saline) contained (in mM): 140 NaCl, 5 KCl, 10 N-2-hydroxyethylpiperazine-N’-2-ethanesulfonic acid buffer (HEPES), 1 MgCl₂, 3 CaCl₂, 10 Na pyruvate, and 10 D-glucose (pH 7.4). ACh, atropine, ATP, and denatonium benzoate were made up in stock solution and diluted with Tyrode’s into various concentrations. All these chemicals were purchased from Sigma. Forskolin (Calbiochem, San Diego, CA) was dissolved in DMSO in stock of 10 mM and diluted into the bath solution to a final concentration of 1 μM. Odorous chemicals were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich at the highest purity available. Odorants were made by dilution with vigorous vortexing, and stocks of odorants were stored at −20°C.

**Procedure for Mycobacterium smegmatis lysate preparation.** Mycobacterium smegmatis (M. smegmatis) colonies were picked and cultured in 200 ml of Luria broth in a 37°C incubator with vigorous shaking for a period of 48 h. The culture was then centrifuged at 4,500 revolution/min for 10 min at 4°C. Pellets obtained from 15 ml of culture were resuspended in 5 ml of Tyrode’s solution. The resuspended solution was then French pressed at 1,200 psi, and the lysate obtained was dialyzed in Tyrode’s solution using size no. 8 dialyzer tubing (VWR, Radnor, PA) overnight. The resulting dialyzed solution was used in physiology experiments.

**Enzymatic isolation of cells in the MOE.** The method of cell isolation was adapted from our previous study (Lin et al. 2008b; Ogura et al. 2010). Briefly, TRPM5-GFP or ChAT(BAC)-eGFP mice were euthanized by CO₂ exposure. The head skin was removed before the nose was split down the midline. The olfactory tissues were dissected, cut into small pieces, and placed in Ca²⁺,Mg²⁺-free Tyrode’s saline with about 4 U/ml of papain (Worthington, Lakewood, NJ) and 2 mM cysteine for 3–5 min with brief vortexing of 15–30 min without vortexing at room temperature. Gentle pipetting at the end of enzyme incubation was applied to facilitate the cell dissociation. The supernatant was transferred to an O-ring chamber on a cover slip precoated with concanavalin A (Sigma).

**Fura-2 ratio Ca²⁺ imaging.** After the freshly isolated cells were settled, the bath solution was replaced with Tyrode’s saline containing 2 μM fura-2 AM (Invitrogen) for 20 min and washed with normal Tyrode’s. Excitation wavelength was alternated between 340 and 380 nm using a filter wheel incorporated with a xenon lamp system (Lambda LS; Sutter Instruments, Novato, CA). The excitation light was guided via a liquid optical fiber to an inverted microscope (Olympus IX71). The ratio of fluorescence intensity at excitation wavelengths of 340 and 380 nm indicated the intracellular Ca²⁺ levels. Fluorescent images were obtained with a ×40 oil UV objective lens (N.A. 1.3) with a 510 ± 42 nm emission filter (Semrock, Rochester, NY). Imaging Workbench software version 5.2 (INDEC BioSystems, Santa Clara, CA) was used to capture images and to change the position of the filters. Pairs of images at the two wavelengths were usually acquired every 3 s. In experiments where we determined the effects of the extracellular Ca²⁺ on ACh-induced Ca²⁺ responses, the bath solution was replaced with nominal extracellular CaCl₂ solution in which the CaCl₂ was omitted from the Tyrode’s saline. We considered a change in the intracellular Ca²⁺ levels (ratio of F340/F380) to be a stimulus-induced response if the peak value of the change during stimulation was greater than two standard deviations above the mean resting level, which was obtained by averaging 10 data points (3 s each) before applying the stimulus in each cell tested (Ogura et al. 2010; 1997).
Statistical Analyses

For comparison of Ca\(^{2+}\) imaging data of supporting cells, a one-tailed Student’s t-test was performed. \(P < 0.05\) was considered to be statistically different. To separate ACh-sensitive OSNs and ACh-nonsensitive OSNs from pooled Ca\(^{2+}\) imaging data, cluster analysis using Ward’s method was applied. To evaluate the quality of clustering, we computed the cophenetic correlation coefficient for effective comparison between dendrograms (Sokal and Rohlf 1962). Bar graphs represent the mean percent change at the peak response from resting Ca\(^{2+}\) levels \(\pm\) SE.

RESULTS

**ChAT-Expressing Microvillous Cells in MOE**

We determined whether ACh can be synthesized and released intrinsically by microvillous cells of the MOE by monitoring the expression of ChAT, a key enzyme for ACh synthesis using transgenic ChAT\(^{(BAC)}\)-eGFP mice. In a whole-mount preparation of olfactory epithelium (OE), we found bright GFP fluorescence exclusively in microvillous cells throughout the entire MOE as well as the septal organ (Fig. 1, A and B). On average, there are about 1,197 \(\pm\) 40 GFP-expressing cells/mm\(^2\) surface area \((n = 7\) mice). This cell density of ChAT-expressing microvillous cells is very close to the cell density of TRPM5-expressing microvillous cells \((1,158\) cells/mm\(^2\) surface area reported in our previous study; Lin et al. 2008a). The GFP-expressing microvillous cells are confined in the OE and are absent from the immediate adjacent respiratory epithelium, a spatial distribution pattern identical to the TRPM5-expressing microvillous cells we reported previously (Lin et al. 2008a). In coronal sections, the GFP-expressing cells are superficially located with their apical microvilli reaching to the epithelial surface (Fig. 1C). Similar to the TRPM5-expressing microvillous cells, the GFP-expressing cells from the ChAT\(^{(BAC)}\)-eGFP mice also did not immunoreact to an antibody against the neuronal marker PGP 9.5, which labels OSNs (Fig. 1C). Thus the GFP-positive cells are not neurons. Furthermore, the GFP-expressing cells resemble the TRPM5-expressing microvillous cells in morphology, showing various shapes of cell body and apical microvilli (Lin et al. 2008a), which can be appreciated in the figures throughout this paper. To better visualize the apical microvilli, we used an anti-GFP antibody to intensify the GFP signal. Two representative images of GFP-immunolabeled microvillous cells from ChAT\(^{(BAC)}\)-eGFP and TRPM5-GFP mice are shown in Fig. 1, D and E, respectively. The apical processes of GFP-expressing microvillous cells from both ChAT\(^{(BAC)}\)-eGFP and TRPM5-GFP mice were labeled with an antibody against espin (Fig. 1, F and G), an actin cytoskeletal bundling protein found in microvilli of many sensory cells (Sekerkova et al. 2004). Additionally, there is no axon emanating from the basal region of the GFP-expressing microvillous cells. Some GFP-expressing microvillous cells show a single short basal process, which usually does not reach the basal lamina, except in the area where the MOE is thin or near the junction with the respiratory epithelium. Thus the GFP-expressing microvillous cells we

Fig. 1. Identical properties shared by choline acetyltransferase green fluorescent protein (ChAT GFP)-expressing and transient receptor potential channel M5 (TRPM5)-expressing microvillous cells. A: schematic drawing of a mouse hemi-nose, showing distribution of GFP-expressing microvillous cells in the main olfactory epithelium (MOE) and septal organ (SO) (blue regions) in both ChAT\(^{(BAC)}\)-enhanced GFP (eGFP) and TRPM5-GFP mice. OB, olfactory bulb; VNO, vomeronasal organ. B: GFP-expressing microvillous cells imaged from a strip of MOE from a ChAT\(^{(BAC)}\)-eGFP mouse. C: confocal image from a MOE section of a ChAT\(^{(BAC)}\)-eGFP mouse labeled with an antibody against the neuronal marker PGP 9.5 to visualize olfactory sensory neurons (OSNs) (red). Note that the ChAT (GFP)-expressing microvillous cells are not labeled by the PGP 9.5 antibody and are located in the superficial layer, which consists primarily of cell bodies of supporting cells. D and E: individual GFP-expressing microvillous cells from ChAT\(^{(BAC)}\)-eGFP and TRPM5-GFP mice, respectively. An antibody against GFP was used to enhance the GFP signal at the apical microvilli. F and G: images of TRPM5 (GFP)-expressing microvillous cells immunoreacted to an antibody against espin viewed from the top and lateral side, respectively (red). Scales: \(B = 50\) \(\mu\)m, \(C = 20\) \(\mu\)m, \(D–G = 5\) \(\mu\)m.
observed in the ChAT\textsuperscript{(BAC)}-eGFP show identical properties with the TRPM5-expressing microvillous cells in the olfactory epithelium (Hansen and Finger 2008; Lin et al. 2008a).

**TRPM5-Expressing Microvillous Cells Immunoreact to Antibodies Against ChAT and VAChT**

To confirm that ChAT is indeed present in TRPM5-expressing microvillous cells, we immunolabeled MOE sections of ChAT\textsuperscript{(BAC)}-eGFP and TRPM5-GFP mice with an antibody against ChAT. As shown in Fig. 2, A–C, ChAT immunoreactivity was found in all the GFP-expressing microvillous cells in the MOE sections of both lines of transgenic mice. Also, we determined the expression of VAChT, a transporter protein required for packaging ACh into vesicles. We found positive immunoreactivity for VAChT in the GFP-expressing microvillous cells of both ChAT(BAC)-eGFP and TRPM5-GFP mice (Fig. 2, D–F). The immunoreactivity for both ChAT and VAChT is strong in the cell body (Fig. 2, G and H). Positive labeling for these two antibodies was also observed in nerve fibers innervating submucosal glands, as well as neuromuscular junctions known to express both ChAT and VAChT (data not shown). These results clearly demonstrate that TRPM5-expressing microvillous cells are cholinergic. Thus ACh can be synthesized and released locally by the TRPM5/ChAT-expressing cells within the MOE.

**Intracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} Responses to ACh in TRPM5/ChAT-Expressing Microvillous Cells**

ACh in the nervous system and nonneuronal tissues can act either via autocrine mechanisms to modulate the activities of the releasing cells or via paracrine mechanisms to influence the activities of neighboring cells (Resende and Adhikari 2009; Wessler and Kirkpatrick 2008). We examined whether ACh induces responses in TRPM5/ChAT-expressing microvillous cells, neighboring supporting cells, and OSNs. We freshly isolated cells from the MOE of either ChAT\textsuperscript{(BAC)}-eGFP or TRPM5-GFP mice, loaded them with a Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-sensitive dye fura-2 AM, and monitored the changes in intracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} levels in Ca\textsuperscript{2+} imaging experiments. Isolated TRPM5/ChAT-expressing microvillous cells were identified by their strong GFP expression and morphological features, such as apical microvilli, relatively smaller size, and the absence of dendritic knobs that are present in OSNs (Lin et al. 2008a). We stimulated these cells with 100 μM ACh because the EC\textsubscript{50} for ACh to induce Ca\textsuperscript{2+} changes in sensory cells is reported in the range of 10\textsuperscript{–8} to 10\textsuperscript{–5} M (Dasso et al. 1997; Ogura 2002; Rome et al. 1999). Only one of the 45 ChAT/TRPM5 microvillous cells tested from 14 mice responded to ACh (100 μM), and the response amplitude was very small (<5% changes from the resting level; data not shown), compared with the ACh responses in supporting cells detailed in the following paragraph. Thus it is very unlikely the ACh released from these cells would function to regulate their own activity via an autocrine mechanism.

**Intracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} Responses to ACh in Supporting Cells**

We next investigated whether ACh modulates activity of neighboring cells via paracrine mechanisms. Supporting cells in the MOE are neighbors with microvillous cells. These cells are known to have glia-like properties and may support OSNs physically and physiologically as well as metabolize xenobiotic substances (Carr et al. 2001; Ding and Dahl 2003; Getchell and Mellert 1991; Menco and Morrison 2003; Trotier 1998; Vogalis et al. 2005a; 2005b). We used the following criteria to identify supporting cells in isolated cell populations: lack of GFP expression, absence of dendritic knobs, and presence of short and relatively uniformed apical microvilli emanating from a region close to the cell body. The putative supporting cells generally have a long and stout basal process when freshly isolated (Fig. 3A, inset, and Supplemental Video S1;
supplemental material for this article is available online at the Journal of Neurophysiology website). As time went on, these cells tended to round up and their basal processes shortened to various lengths. Bath application of ACh (100\,\mu M) induced increases in Ca^{2+} levels in 78% of supporting cells tested (73 cells responded out of 93 cells, 27 mice). The ACh-induced response was repeatable in a single cell for several times in isolated condition. Furthermore, we conducted some experiments using small pieces of olfactory turbinate and monitored ACh-induced responses in the superficial layer containing mostly supporting cells (3 pieces of turbinates from 3 mice). The layer of supporting cell bodies was determined using the location of GFP-positive microvillous cells, which reside in the superficial layer and neighbor with cell bodies of supporting cells (Fig. 3B, inset). We found that ACh induced Ca^{2+} increases in many presumed supporting cell bodies in the superficial layer, and the responses are comparable to the responses obtained from the isolated supporting cells (Fig. 3B). Thus ACh is a potent activator for supporting cells.

We next examined whether ACh-induced responses are mediated by the mAChRs. We used atropine (0.5 \, \mu M), an antagonist common to all five mAChR subtypes, to antagonize the effect of ACh. We chose a dose of 0.5 \, \mu M on the basis of published studies that show the antagonist affinity constants of atropine on mammalian mAChR are around 10^{-9} \, M and the values are similar for all five subtypes (Caulfield and Birdsall 1998; Dasso et al. 1997; Hegg et al. 2009; Ogura 2002; Shigemoto and Ohmori 1990). As shown in Fig. 3A, the ACh-induced responses were greatly reduced in the presence of atropine (0.5 \, \mu M), an antagonist of mAChRs. The effect of atropine was reversible, and the ACh-induced Ca^{2+} increases could be fully recovered (4 cells, 4 mice). In the control group (4 cells, 3 mice), we repeatedly stimulated each individual supporting cell with 100 \, \mu M ACh in the same condition. Figure 3C presents normalized and averaged Ca^{2+} responses to ACh from both control (2nd ACh stimulation) and experimental groups in the presence of atropine (4 cells from 3–4 mice for each group). The inhibition by atropine is significant (paired t-test, *P < 0.05). D: representative recording of Ca^{2+} responses to various concentrations of ACh in a single isolated supporting cell. E: concentration-dependent responses to ACh. Each cell was challenged by 3–5 different concentrations of ACh, including 100 \, \mu M ACh. Peak response values were normalized to the value induced by 100 \, \mu M ACh of the same cells, averaged, and plotted (mean ± SE, n = 3–5 cells from a total of 6 mice).
This result demonstrates that the ACh induced Ca\(^{2+}\) increases in the supporting cells largely via mAChRs. In addition, we determined the source of Ca\(^{2+}\) increases induced by ACh. The ACh-induced Ca\(^{2+}\) increases generally showed two phases. The initial phase is transient and decays rapidly, which is followed by a slow decay phase (see Fig. 3A). The slow decay phase was more evident when ACh was applied for a relatively long period of time (data not shown). In Ca\(^{2+}\)-free saline, we found that the initial transient component persisted, whereas the slow decay phase largely disappeared (Fig. 3A, Ca\(^{2+}\) free). As shown in Fig. 3C, the normalized peak responses of the transient component in the Ca\(^{2+}\)-free saline was 0.86 ± 0.05 (n = 12 cells, 12 mice), which is comparable to the normalized value of second responses in normal saline. Thus the initial ACh-induced Ca\(^{2+}\) increases are due to Ca\(^{2+}\) release from internal Ca\(^{2+}\) stores. Furthermore, we determined whether the Ca\(^{2+}\) increases depend on the concentrations of ACh. Individual isolated supporting cells were challenged by various concentrations of ACh from 0.1 \(\mu\)M to 1 mM in random order (Fig. 3D). The amplitudes of ACh responses were concentration dependent. The normalized response curve is presented in Fig. 3E, showing that the supporting cells are very sensitive to ACh. Together these data demonstrate that the intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) levels in supporting cells can be strongly influenced by ACh via muscarinic receptor-mediated paracrine mechanisms.

**Intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) Responses to ACh in OSNs**

We next examined the effect of ACh on isolated OSNs in Ca\(^{2+}\) imaging. Individual OSNs were identified primarily on the basis of the presence of morphological features, including an apical dendrite, dendritic knob, and long cilia emanating from the dendritic knob (Fig. 4A and Supplemental Video S2). In TRPM5-GFP mice, because TRPM5 is also expressed in a subset of OSNs (Lin et al. 2007), some OSNs were GFP positive. However, these OSNs generally exhibited much weaker GFP than the TRPM5-expressing microvillous cells (Lin et al. 2008a; 2007). We recorded both GFP-positive and negative OSNs. Because there was no apparent difference in the effects of ACh between the GFP-positive and -negative OSNs in the following experiments, we pooled the results. We first tested responses of isolated OSNs to an odor mixture containing 1-pentanol, phenethyl alcohol, pentyl acetate, geraniol, and citral (each 10 \(\mu\)M). About 20% of OSNs tested responded with sharp increases in intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) levels in both the dendritic knobs and somata of the OSNs (Fig. 4B; 31 out of 157 cells, 13 mice tested). Unlike in supporting cells, ACh (100 \(\mu\)M) failed to induce measurable increases in intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) levels in OSNs (Fig. 4B n = 62 cells, 23 mice). To ensure the tested cells were physiologically functional, we tested responses to forskolin, which directly activates adenylyl cyclase type III (ACIII), mimicking odor-induced responses via the canonical cAMP signaling pathway (Fig. 4B) (Lin et al. 2004). Nearly all OSNs tested responded to forskolin (1 \(\mu\)M) with increases in Ca\(^{2+}\) levels. Interestingly, some OSNs displayed spontaneous Ca\(^{2+}\) oscillations. ACh applied to the bath solution suppressed the amplitude of Ca\(^{2+}\) oscillations in 18 out of 23 cells from 19 mice tested (Fig. 4C). The Ca\(^{2+}\) oscillations depended on extracellular Ca\(^{2+}\), as replacing the bath solution with Ca\(^{2+}\)-free saline diminished the oscillations.

These results indicate that ACh may exert its effect when OSNs are activated.

To further investigate the possible inhibitory effect of ACh on the activated OSNs, we stimulated OSNs with forskolin (1 \(\mu\)M). As shown in Fig. 5A, forskolin induced large Ca\(^{2+}\) increases in both dendritic knobs and cell bodies (Fig. 5A, left). Because there were various degrees of variation in the repeated response amplitudes in a single cell, we applied forskolin three times to each individual OSN in the control group (Fig. 5A, left) and normalized the second and third responses to the first responses. The normalized Ca\(^{2+}\) responses to repeat forskolin stimulation measured from the dendritic knobs and somata of individual OSNs are plotted (Fig. 5B, labeled as “FSK”, n = 6 cell, 3 mice). In the experimental groups, we applied the same concentration of forskolin three times with the second application either in the presence of ACh alone (100 \(\mu\)M, n = 15 cells,
7 mice, Fig. 5A, middle) or ACh plus atropine at 0.5 μM (n = 14 cells, 6 mice, Fig. 5A, right) or at 5 μM (n = 12 cells, 5 mice). Similar to control experiments, the normalized Ca^{2+} responses in the presence of ACh or atropine plus ACh were plotted (Fig. 5B, labeled as “ACh + FSK” and “Atropine + ACh + FSK”). We found that the OSNs tested could be clustered into ACh-suppressed and ACh-insensitive groups using the cluster analysis of Ward’s method (Ward 1963). To evaluate the quality of clustering, we computed the cophenetic correlation coefficient for effective comparison between clusters (Sokal and Rohlf 1962). The coefficients are 0.869 and 0.829 for dendritic knobs and somata, respectively. The OSNs in the ACh-suppressed group show reduction of forskolin-induced Ca^{2+} increases in the presence of ACh. The suppression was recoverable after washing away ACh with normal saline (Fig. 5A, middle). Statistical data analyses show the data from the ACh-suppressed group (n = 7 cells from 7 mice and 6 cells from 4 mice for dendritic knobs and somata, respectively) are significantly different from data from the ACh-insensitive group (Fig. 5, B and C, n = 8 cells from 6 mice and 9 cells from 5 mice for dendritic knobs and somata, respectively, **t-test, P < 0.01). Furthermore, the data of the ACh-suppressed group are significantly different from data of the control experiment without ACh (t-test assuming unequal variances, P < 0.01). In the presence of atropine, the effects of ACh no longer can be clustered. Moreover, the averaged response amplitudes in both somata and dendritic knobs in the presence of atropine are significantly different from the data of the ACh-suppressed group (Fig. 5, B and C, Atropine + ACh, t-test, P < 0.01), whereas there is no significant difference from the control response amplitudes (Fig. 5C). An increase in the concentration of atropine to 5 μM did not alter the results (n = 14 cells from 5 mice, Fig. 5, B and C, Atropine + ACh, t-test, P < 0.01). We also tested ACh effects on odor mixture-induced Ca^{2+} responses in some OSNs. Similarly, ACh did not potentiate odor mixture-induced Ca^{2+} increases. Instead, ACh suppresses the Ca^{2+} increases in some cells (data not shown). These results strongly suggest that ACh suppresses evoked...
activity in some OSNs via mAChRs, most likely the inhibitory subtypes, such as M2 and M4.

Expression of Subtypes of mAChR in the MOE

Our results from Ca\(^{2+}\)-imaging experiments revealed two pieces of important information. First, ACh differentially affects supporting cells and OSNs, suggesting that these cells express different ACh receptors. Second, the effects of ACh in both the supporting cells and OSNs are suppressed by atropine, indicating the involvement of the mAChRs. We focused on the expression of mAChR subtypes. It is well known that activation of M1 and M3 produces stimulating effects, such as an increase in intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) levels, whereas activation of M2 and M4 leads to negative regulation of adenyl cyclases and suppression of cell activities (Caulfield and Birdsall 1998; Felder 1995). The effect of M4 is less understood. Using subtype-specific antibodies in immunolabeling, we examined the expression of mAChR subtypes in MOE sections of either TRPM5-GFP or ChAT\(^{(BAC)}\)-eGFP mice. Because TRPM5 is expressed in the microvillous cells and a subset of mature OSNs (Lin et al. 2008a; 2007), the GFP expression marks both cell types. Figure 6 shows the immunoreactivity for stimulatory subtype M1 AChR. The antibody against the M1 subtype reacted strongly to the olfactory epithelium in the lateral and ventral regions, whereas there is no apparent labeling in the dorsal regions of the MOE (Fig. 6D). In areas where there was strong M1 immunoreaction, we found positive reaction in supporting cells (Fig. 6C). Although there was strong immunoreactivity in the region where cells bodies of OSNs are located, the label apparently did not colocalize with OSNs. Instead, it seemed to embrace the OSNs (Fig. 6D). Because supporting cells extend the entire thickness of the epithelium (see Fig. 3, inset for an isolated supporting cell), the antibody against M1 may label basal regions of supporting cells.

We used two antibodies to label the M3 subtype. The antibody purchased from R&D labeled the supporting cells, particularly in their cell bodies located in the superficial layer (Fig. 7A). The basal processes of supporting cells were also labeled. To verify the specificity of this antibody, we obtained MOE sections from the mAChR subtype M3 knockout mice and immunoreacted these sections along with the sections from ChAT\(^{(BAC)}\)-eGFP mice using the same conditions (Fig. 7, A and B). The M3 knockout abolished the M3 immunoreaction in the MOE (Fig. 7B). To determine whether there is M3 expression in the cilia, we double-labeled MOE sections using the R&D anti-M3 AChR antibody and another antibody against acetylated tubulin, which labels OSN cilia. As shown in Fig. 7C, the R&D anti-M3 AChR antibody labeled the supporting cells, but not the cilia. Upon further examination of the immunoreactivity in sections from the TRPM5-GFP mice, in which the TRPM5-expressing OSNs are GFP positive (Lin et al. 2007), we found that the immunoreactivity to M3 in the OSN cell body layer is very weak or absent (Fig. 7D), suggesting that there is little or no M3 expression in cell bodies of OSNs (see also Fig. 7C OSN cell body region). The other anti-M3 AChR antibody we used was purchased from Sigma (Fig. 7, E and F). In most regions of the MOE sections, we found that the Sigma anti-M3 antibody strongly labeled the supporting cells only (Fig. 7E) and that M3 knockout completely abolished this labeling in supporting cells (Fig. 7F). This result is the same as that obtained using the R&D antibody. However, in some ventral regions of the MOE, the Sigma antibody labeled supporting cells, OSNs, and axon bundles. The reactivity in these OSNs was especially obvious in the dendritic knobs. However, the reaction in these OSNs was not abolished in sections of the M3 AChR knockout. Thus it appears that the labeling in these OSNs by the Sigma antibody is nonspecific to the M3 AChR (data not shown). These results strongly suggest that the supporting cells express stimulating subtypes, especially the M3 mAChR.

We next examined the expression of inhibitory subtypes, M2 and M4 of mAChRs in OSNs. We found that the antibody against the M2 did not label OSNs and supporting cells in most regions of the MOE. Only in some ventral regions of the MOE, supporting cells seemed to be labeled (data not shown). The immunoreactivity for M4 subtype is largely limited to OSNs. Both apical cilia and cell bodies were labeled (Fig. 8, A and B). The anti-M4 antibody also labeled the OSNs with most of the activity found in the cell bodies (Fig. 8, C and D). Interestingly, none of the subtype-specific antibodies labeled the TRPM5/ChAT-expressing microvillous cells. These immunolabeling results demonstrate that OSNs and supporting cells differentially express mAChR subtypes. The expression of stimulatory M1 in supporting cells and inhibitory M4 in OSNs strongly supports our data obtained in Ca\(^{2+}\) imaging.

Chemical Stimuli-Induced Ca\(^{2+}\) Responses in TRPM5/ChAT-Expressing Microvillous Cells of the MOE

The data we have described strongly indicate that the TRPM5/ChAT-expressing microvillous cells of the MOE are
capable of releasing ACh, which modulates the activities of neighboring supporting cells and OSNs via mAChRs. However, what may trigger these microvillous cells to release ACh is not known. Because these cells express TRPM5, an ion channel known to be involved in chemical sensing in a various chemosensory cells including SCCs in the nasal cavity (Gulbransen et al. 2008; Lin et al. 2008b; Ogura et al. 2010; Tizzano et al. 2010), and because the MOE is constantly

Fig. 7. Strong immunoreactivity of muscarinic AChR subtype M₃ in supporting cells of the MOE. A–D: immunolabeling results using the Research & Diagnostic (R&D) anti-M₃ antibody. E and F: immunolabeling results using the Sigma anti-M₃ antibody. A: low-magnification confocal image from a MOE section from a ChAT⁺BAC-:eGFP mouse showing strong M₃ immunoreactivity (red) in the cell bodies of supporting cells (SC). WT, wild-type. B: confocal image from a MOE section of a mAChR M₁ knockout (KO) mouse, showing absence of M₃ immunoreaction. Sections in A and B were processed for immunoreaction and imaging under the same conditions. C: high-magnification image showing strong immunoreactivity for M₃ (red) in the cell bodies of supporting cells in a MOE section of ChAT⁺BAC-:eGFP mouse. Some of their basal processes were also labeled. The cilia of OSNs were visualized using an antiacetylated tubulin (blue, pointed by an arrow). The M₃ immunoreactivity is absent in the cilia. D: immunoreactivity of M₃ (red) in a MOE section of a TRPM5-GFP mouse, showing the M₃ antibody did not label the OSNs. The expression of GFP marks the TRPM5-expressing OSNs (Lin et al. 2007). E: confocal image obtained from a section labeled with the Sigma anti-M₃ antibody, showing similar M₃ immunoreaction in supporting cells as the R&D anti-M₃ antibody. In most regions of the MOE, the Sigma anti-M₃ antibody labeled only supporting cells. F: confocal image taken from a mAChR M₃ knockout, showing abolished M₃ immunoreactivity in supporting cells. Scale: A and B = 100 µm, C–F = 20 µm.

Fig. 8. Immunoreactivity of muscarinic AChR subtypes M₄ and M₅ in OSNs of TRPM5-GFP mice. A and B: low- and high-magnification confocal images of the M₄ immunoreactivity (red) in OSNs. C: image of M₅ immunoreactivity (red) in cell bodies of OSNs. D: GFP image overlaid onto C, showing that M₅ immunoreaction is localized in OSNs. The immunoreactivity to the anti-M₄ and M₅-antibodies is largely absent in supporting cells. The TRPM5 (GFP)-expressing microvillous cells are marked by asterisks. Scale: A = 50 µm, B–D = 20 µm.
exposed to inhaled chemicals, we reasoned that these microvillous cells may be chemosensitive. In particular, they may function to detect harmful substances and agents because their apical microvilli are in the mucus layer. We freshly isolated GFP-positive microvillous cells from the ChAT(BAC)-eGFP mice and monitored intracellular Ca$^{2+}$ levels in these cells. Figure 9A, inset shows a GFP-positive microvillous cell in isolated condition. We tested various chemicals, including ATP, which can be released when cells or bacteria are broken, high levels (0.5 mM) of volatile odorants, such as citral (plant product) and lilial, and soil bacteria lysate (M. smegmatis). As stated previously, application of ACh generally did not induce Ca$^{2+}$ increases (Fig. 9A). About 38% of the cells responded to 100 μM ATP (5 out of 13 cells tested from 9 mice). More than 50% of the cells also responded to citral and lilial with increases in Ca$^{2+}$ levels (Fig. 9B; 4 out of 7 cells from 4 mice, and 5 out of 7 cells from 4 mice tested, respectively). Some individual cells responded to multiple stimuli. Often these cells showed spontaneous Ca$^{2+}$ oscillation at resting condition, and, as shown in Fig. 9C, the responses to bacterial lysate and ATP were oscillatory and added onto the spontaneous oscillation, showing overall enhancement of the amplitude (Fig. 9C). Approximately 19% of the cells tested responded to the application of soil bacterium lysate (10 of 53 cells, 9 mice). Furthermore, we examined whether a bitter-tasting compound would induce Ca$^{2+}$ responses in the microvillus cells because bacterial and fungal products often taste bitter to animals (Brockhoff et al. 2007). Approximately 33% of the tested TRPM5/ChAT-expressing microvillous cells from four mice responded to denatonium benzoate (3 mM). These results indicate that the TRPM5/ChAT-expressing microvillous cells are sensitive to a variety of chemical stimuli. In addition to the chemosensitivity, the microvillous cells are also sensitive to changes in bath temperature. Most of the microvillus cells tested showed increases in Ca$^{2+}$ levels in response to a temperature drop in the bath solution from 20 to 4°C (Fig. 9D; 23 out of 28 cells, 5 mice). Because temperature of inhaled air varies and cold air is potentially harmful to the lower airway, this thermosensitivity may play a role in triggering mechanisms to warn the inhaled air before reaching the lower airway and the lung. These results are summarized in Fig. 8E. Taken together, our data suggest that TRPM5/ChAT-expressing microvillous cells can be activated by external chemo- or thermostimulation.

**DISCUSSION**

The exquisite sensitivity of the olfactory epithelium relies on the proper functioning of the OSNs along with a well maintained and consistent local extracellular environment. Being a significant part of the nasal mucosa, the OE is exposed to a wide variety of inhaled chemicals including irritating and harmful xenobiotic substances. Thus regulating activities of supporting cells and OSNs according to local chemical exposure may be critical for maintaining olfactory sensitivity and integrity, as well as protecting the epithelium from chemical-induced damage. In this study, we show that the signature cholinergic proteins ChAT and VACHT are present in TRPM5-expressing microvillous cells and that these cells are sensitive to chemical and thermal stimulation. We also show that ACh modulates activities of supporting cells and OSNs differently, which strongly correlates with the expression of mAChR subtypes in these cells. Our studies, therefore, provide a novel insight into the physiological function of TRPM5/ChAT-expressing microvillous cells and demonstrate a source of intrinsic ACh release in the MOE, thereby linking local chemical exposure to the cholinergic modulation of olfactory activities.

**Cholinergic Properties of TRPM5-Expressing Chemosensory Cells**

Previously, we reported that both TRPM5-expressing taste-receptor cells and SCCs of the VNO in mice express ChAT and VACHT (Ogura et al. 2010; 2007). Our present results further reveal another population of TRPM5-expressing cells that are cholinergic. Together, these results reveal a common ability of synthesizing and releasing ACh in TRPM5-expressing nonneuronal chemosensory cells. Interestingly, TRPM5-expressing chemosensory cells are present in other parts of the airway (Kaske et al. 2007; Tizzano et al. 2011; our unpublished observations) and the gastrointestinal tract (Bezencon et al. 2008), where ChAT-expressing epithelial cells have also been reported (Kummer et al. 2008; Tallini et al. 2006).
larity of morphological appearances suggests that they are the same cell type coexpressing TRPM5 and ChAT (Kummer et al. 2008). In taste-receptor cells of the taste bud, ACh activates the phospholipase C signaling pathway via mAChR subtype M1 (Ogura 2002). The same pathway also mediates taste transduction of sweet, bitter, and umami substances. Potentially, ACh can exert strong modulation on the taste signal transduction. In the VNO, SCCs are heavily innervated by trigeminal peptidergic nerve fibers (Ogura et al. 2010). It remains to be determined whether these SCCs release ACh as a neurotransmitter to relay sensory information onto the trigeminal nerve fibers or as autocrine or paracrine mediators. Unlike SCCs, the TRPM5/ChAT-expressing microvillous cells in the MOE are not innervated by trigeminal peptidergic nerves. ACh released from these microvillous cells upon activation by external stimuli likely functions as a paracrine mediator to modulate activities of neighboring supporting cells and OSNs. ACh-mediated autocrine regulation on the microvillous cells appeared minimal, as we found that these cells usually do not respond to ACh nor express mAChRs. Our present studies do not rule out the expression of nicotinic AChRs in these cells.

**ACh-Mediated Regulation of Supporting Cells**

In our Ca$^{2+}$-imaging experiments, supporting cells of the MOE showed robust responses to ACh with an increase in intracellular Ca$^{2+}$ levels. This result is supported by the positive immunolabeling of stimulating subtypes of mAChRs especially M3 in these cells. Activation of the stimulatory mAChRs is known to induce increases in intracellular Ca$^{2+}$ levels (Caulfield and Birdsall 1998; Ogura et al. 2002). Our results are also in agreement with the previous report in which atropine suppresses ACh effect, suggesting that mAChRs are responsible for the Ca$^{2+}$ increases in the supporting cells (Hegg et al. 2009). We further provide evidence that ACh-induced Ca$^{2+}$ increases are dose dependent. The ACh sensitivity in supporting cells is similar to taste-receptor cells (Ogura 2002), as well as acinar cells of the nasal gland (Fukuda et al. 2008; Gawin et al. 1991; Ikeda et al. 1995). Also, similar to these cells, the ACh-induced responses consisted of both transient and slow decaying components, and the transient component was largely mediated by Ca$^{2+}$ release from intracellular Ca$^{2+}$ stores. Presently, information regarding the intracellular signaling pathways, which are activated via these mAChRs in supporting cells, remains to be determined. However, several studies have implied that these cells play an important role in regulating extracellular ion and water balance, metabolizing xenobiotic substances, and maintaining olfactory sensitivity and the integrity of the epithelium (Carr et al. 2001; Ding and Dahl 2003; Getchell and Mellert 1991; Hegg et al. 2009; Menco and Morrison 2003). In glandular acinar cells, secretion is tightly coupled to intracellular Ca$^{2+}$ levels (Foskett and Melvin 1989). Thus regulation of the Ca$^{2+}$ levels in supporting cell by ACh may have profound influence on their physiological functions.

**ACh-Mediated Modulation of OSNs**

In contrast to the robust ACh induced-increases in Ca$^{2+}$ levels in supporting cells, ACh alone did not induce visible Ca$^{2+}$ increases in OSNs in our present study. The absence of ACh-induced intracellular Ca$^{2+}$ increase is not due to enzymatic isolation because our isolated OSNs showed healthy-looking cell bodies as well as multiple long and fine cilia protruding from the dendritic knobs (Supplemental Video S2 and Fig. 4A). Also, almost all the OSNs tested responded to the ACIII activator forskolin repeatedly, and a typical percentage of OSNs responded to an odor mixture. The absence of ACh-induced intracellular Ca$^{2+}$ increases found in our study is consistent with a previous report that the ACh agonist muscarine does not induce responses (Firestein and Shepherd 1992).

Interestingly, however, we found that, when OSNs were activated by forskolin, ACh suppressed the evoked Ca$^{2+}$ increases significantly in some OSNs. This suppressive effect is blocked by atropine. Together, the ACh-mediated suppression and the lack of ACh-induced Ca$^{2+}$ increases indicate that the vast majority of OSNs dominantly express an inhibitory subtype of mAChRs and that excitatory mAChRs in the OSNs are relatively weak or absent. Results of our immunolabeling support these notions. We found that the inhibitory subtype M5 is strongly expressed in the OSNs. We also found that two major stimulatory subtypes M1 and M4 are largely absent in the OSNs although they are strongly expressed in supporting cells. Our observed M4 immunoexpression is contradictory with a recent finding showing M3 expression in cilia of the OSNs (Li and Matsunami 2011). Differences in immunolabeling methods, as well as some nonspecific immunoreaction by the Sigma anti-M3 antibody, likely contribute to the difference between these data. In our study, we used two different antibodies against the M3 receptor. Both antibodies strongly labeled the supporting cells, and this immunoreactivity was abolished in the M3 receptor knockout. The Sigma antibody also labeled some OSNs in the ventral region of the MOE with strong reaction in the dendritic knobs. However, this OSN labeling remained in the M3 receptor knockout with intensity comparable to the OSN labeling in wild-type animals. Thus the labeling in OSNs, especially in the dendritic knob, is nonspecific. We did not observe immunoreaction in the OSN cilia with these two antibodies. In Li and Matsunami’s paper (2011), they also reported expression of M4 mRNA using in situ hybridization. Because we did not examine mRNA expression, we cannot rule out weak expression of M3 receptor in OSNs, which were not labeled by the antibodies under present conditions. The combination of the weak expression of the stimulatory M3 and strong expression of inhibitory M5 may result in the suppressing effect of ACh and lack of ACh-induced Ca$^{2+}$ increase in the OSNs. Li and Matsunami (2011) demonstrated that the mAChR M5 interacts with odor receptors and that the ACh receptor agonist carbachol potentiates odorant-induced responses in a heterologous expression system. They did not report whether ACh or carbachol increases Ca$^{2+}$ levels in OSNs. In our study we did not detect increases in Ca$^{2+}$ levels in response to ACh in OSNs.

**Physiological Function of the Microvillous Cells of the MOE**

Our data provide the first physiological evidence showing that ChAT/TRPM5-expressing microvillous cells can be activated by chemical and thermal stimulation. The chemosensitivity of these cells is likely broadly tuned and nonspecific, as we found that these cells react with increases in intracellular Ca$^{2+}$ levels to a variety of chemical stimuli. Presently we do not know the chemical identity in the bacteria lysate responsible for inducing Ca$^{2+}$ responses in the microvillous cells.
will be interesting to determine whether the ATP in the bacterial lysate is a key chemical component to induce these responses. Similar to taste-receptor cells (Kossel et al. 1997; Roper 1983), the ChAT/TRPM5-expressing microvillous cells exhibit both voltage-gated Na⁺ and K⁺ currents in electrophysiological studies, indicating that these cells are excitable (Lin et al. 2008a). The notion that these microvillous cells are chemosensitive is supported by the fact that they reside superficially in the MOE with their microvilli extending into the mucus layer, allowing direct contact with inhaled chemicals.

The ChAT/TRPM5-expressing microvillous cells are not the only population of microvillous cells in the mammalian MOE that are chemosensitive. Previously, Elsaesser et al. (2005) reported that a population of microvillous cells is responsive to odor stimulation. These cells are TRPM5 negative but express the transient receptor potential channel C6 and other elements of a phosphatidylinositol signaling pathway. A recent study from Hegg et al. (2010) further indicates that these are non-neuronal microvillous cells and that they can respond to odorants and ATP (Hegg et al. 2010). The chemoresponsiveness of microvillous cells may explain our previous findings obtained from cyclic nucleotide-gated channel A2 subunit knockout (CNGA2) mice (Lin et al. 2004). In these knockout mice, the canonical cAMP signaling pathway is paralyzed, rendering the MOE largely insensitive to common volatile odorants. However, many odorants tested at concentrations of 0.5 mM and above induced small changes in the electroolfactogram (EOG). These EOG responses to common odorants generally do not associate with olfactory bulb activation, ruling out the contribution of the noncanonical pathway in OSNs of CNGA2 knockout mice (Lin et al. 2004). The functional consequence of the ACh-mediated suppressive effect in OSNs is not known. It is possible that the microvillous cells react to higher levels of odorants and release ACh to prevent OSNs from being overly activated. The protective function may also be indirect in the intact epithelium in which ACh released from TRPM5/ChAT microvillous cells first activates supporting cells, and the activity of supporting cells modulates OSNs.

We found that the most significant functional implication in the TRPM5/ChAT-expressing microvillous cells is their ability to synthesize and potentially release ACh. These cells are apically located and evenly distributed in the MOE and sepal organ. Most likely they constitute the major source of ACh release intrinsic located and evenly distributed in the MOE and septal organ. Most TRPM5/ChAT-expressing microvillous cells is their ability to activity of supporting cells modulates OSNs.

In summary, we provide evidence showing that the TRPM5-expressing microvillous cells are cholinergic, representing a novel source of ACh synthesis that is intrinsic in the MOE. We also show that ACh modulates activities of supporting cells and OSNs and that the responses are correlated to the differential expression of mAChR subtypes. We further show that the TRPM5/ChAT-expressing microvillous cells are sensitive to chemical stimulation and cold. Thus the TRPM5/ChAT microvillous cells likely play multiple important roles and contribute to the olfactory activity of the MOE.
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