Reorganization in processing of spectral and temporal input in the rat posterior auditory field induced by environmental enrichment
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Jakkamsetti V, Chang KQ, Kilgard MP. Reorganization in processing of spectral and temporal input in the rat posterior auditory field induced by environmental enrichment. J Neurophysiol 107: 1457–1475, 2012. First published November 30, 2011; doi:10.1152/jn.01057.2010.—Environmental enrichment induces powerful changes in the adult cerebral cortex. Studies in primary sensory cortex have observed that environmental enrichment modulates neuronal response strength, selectivity, speed of response, and synchronization to rapid sensory input. Other reports suggest that nonprimary sensory fields are more plastic than primary sensory cortex. The consequences of environmental enrichment on information processing in nonprimary sensory cortex have yet to be studied. Here we examine physiological effects of enrichment in the posterior auditory field (PAF), a field distinguished from primary auditory cortex (A1) by wider receptive fields, slower response times, and a greater preference for slowly modulated sounds. Environmental enrichment induced a significant increase in spectral and temporal selectivity in PAF. PAF neurons exhibited narrower receptive fields and responded significantly faster and for a briefer period to sounds after enrichment. Enrichment increased time-locking to rapidly successive sensory input in PAF neurons. Compared with previous enrichment studies in A1, we observe a greater magnitude of reorganization in PAF after environmental enrichment. Along with other reports observing greater reorganization in nonprimary sensory cortex, our results in PAF suggest that nonprimary fields might have a greater capacity for reorganization compared with primary fields.

Sensory conditioning generates greater changes in nonprimary sensory cortex compared with primary cortex. For example, operant conditioning causes greater orientation tuning changes in V4 neurons compared with V1 neurons (Raiguel et al. 2006). Tone fear conditioning generates discharge reorganization in more nonprimary auditory cortex neurons compared with primary auditory cortex (A1) (Diamond and Weinberger 1984). Pairing a tone with stimulation of nucleus basalis causes relative narrowing of receptive fields in posterior auditory field (PAF) that is not observed in A1 (Puckett et al. 2007). These studies led us to hypothesize that enrichment will induce a greater magnitude of change in nonprimary auditory cortex compared with changes documented in A1 (Engineer et al. 2004; Percaccio et al. 2005).

We conducted our study in the extensively characterized PAF. Compared with A1, PAF neurons have broader receptive fields and slower response times (Doron et al. 2002; Pandya et al. 2008), and PAF prefers responding to slowly modulated stimuli (Heil and Irvine 1998; Loftus and Sutter 2001; Pandya et al. 2008; Phillips et al. 1995; Tian and Rauschecker 1998). PAF is well-established as a nonprimary cortical field distinct from A1.

To test our hypothesis that enrichment would generate greater reorganization in PAF compared with A1, we exposed rats to the same environment for the same time period as used in our earlier enrichment study in A1 (Engineer et al. 2004; Percaccio et al. 2005). Rats were housed in either a standard cage (1–2 rats in an animal colony cage) or an enriched auditory environment. In the enriched auditory environment, activity of four to eight rats triggered activity- and location-specific sounds. A CD player provided a rich variety of sounds, some of which triggered the release of a sugar pellet. After 8 wk of standard or enriched housing, we anesthetized the rats and used sound-evoked multunit, local field potential (LFP), and isolated single-unit recordings to probe the effect of enrichment in PAF.

METHODS

Sixteen female Sprague-Dawley rats aged 25 postnatal days (60–80 g) were placed in either enriched or standard environments for 8 wk. Male and female rats process acoustic input differently (Fitch et al. 1993). To avoid the possibility of any sex-based variability in cortical processing of sounds, we restricted our study to female rats. The enriched and standard housing conditions were identical to those in earlier reports published from our lab (Bose et al. 2010; Engineer et al. 2004; Kilgard et al. 2007; Nichols et al. 2007; Percaccio et al. 2005). Rats in both environments received food and water ad libitum, were on a reverse 12:12-h light-dark cycle, and were exposed to the same constant temperature and humidity levels.
Environmental Exposure

Enriched environment. Eight rats were housed in a large cage (45 × 76 × 90 cm) located in a room separate from the main rat colony. The enriched housing cage had four levels linked by ramps (Fig. 1B). Hanging chains and wind chimes hung over the entrance of two levels and produced unique sounds with rat movements. A rat’s movement onto two of the three ramps triggered delivery of a ramp-specific tone (lowest ramp = 2.1 kHz; highest ramp = 4 kHz). The weight of the rat on the ramp triggered the tone from a speaker located at the same height as the bottom of that ramp and horizontally 10 cm lateral from the ramp. The duration of the tone was the brief time spent by the rat on the ramp during transit. Each rotation of the exercise wheel evoked a tone (3 kHz). A magnet on the circumference of the exercise wheel triggered a 3-kHz tone each time it came within range of a magnet detector. The duration of the tone depended on the speed of the wheel rotation. The location of the speaker was at the same height as the base of the wheel and horizontally 10 cm lateral from the wheel. Activation of a motion detector near the water source resulted in an electronic chime from a speaker located at the same height as the water source, horizontally 10 cm lateral to the water source. The duration of the chime was the time the animal was in motion. In this report, “chime” refers to the musical sound made by a Portable Motion Detector Chime manufactured by RadioShack (catalog no. 49-426, Fort Worth, TX). A tone refers to a sinusoidal wave-shaped pure tone. Each of these sounds was behaviorally triggered, and with more than one rat in the environment there could be more than one speaker emitting a sound sometimes. With spectrally different triggered acoustic stimuli, each of which varied in duration depending on rat behavior, the occurrence of each behaviorally triggered sound had unique spectral and temporal features that provided behaviorally meaningful information about the location and activity of other rats in the cage.

The rats were exposed to 74 randomly selected sounds every 2–60 s from a CD player, seven of which triggered a pellet dispenser (Med Associates, St. Albans, VT) to release a sugar pellet to encourage attention to the sounds. While the number of complex sounds heard by the standard-housed rats was 1–2 in a hanging cage in the animal colony room. The cage had 4 levels linked by ramps. Sounds like ramp movement triggered tones (2.1 and 4 kHz); hanging chains, wind chimes, a motion detector-triggered electronic chime near the water source, and an exercise wheel-triggered tone (3 kHz) provided unique spectral and temporal features that provided behaviorally meaningful information about the location and activity of other rats in the cage. The rats were exposed to 74 randomly selected sounds—simple tones, amplitude-modulated and frequency-modulated tones, noise bursts, and other complex sounds (rat vocalizations, classical music, rustling leaves, etc.)—every 2–60 s from a CD player, 7 of which triggered a pellet dispenser to release a sugar pellet to encourage attention to the sounds. While the number of complex sounds heard by the standard-housed rats (from other neighbor cage rats and regular animal room traffic) was similar to that in enriched housing, the enriched environment was designed to have sounds that were more behaviorally meaningful.

Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of standard and enriched housing conditions. A: standard-housed rats were 1–2 in a hanging cage in the animal colony room. B: enriched-housed rats were 4–8 in a large cage separate from the animal colony room. The cage had 4 levels linked by ramps. Sounds like ramp movement triggered tones (2.1 and 4 kHz); hanging chains, wind chimes, a motion detector-triggered electronic chime near the water source, and an exercise wheel-triggered tone (3 kHz) provided unique spectral and temporal features that provided behaviorally meaningful information about the location and activity of other rats in the cage. The rats were exposed to 74 randomly selected sounds—simple tones, amplitude-modulated and frequency-modulated tones, noise bursts, and other complex sounds (rat vocalizations, classical music, rustling leaves, etc.)—every 2–60 s from a CD player, 7 of which triggered a pellet dispenser to release a sugar pellet to encourage attention to the sounds. While the number of complex sounds heard by the standard-housed rats (from other neighbor cage rats and regular animal room traffic) was similar to that in enriched housing, the enriched environment was designed to have sounds that were more behaviorally meaningful.
animals and received the approval of the University Committee on Animal Research at the University of Texas at Dallas.

**Acute Surgery**

Physiological experiments were conducted after 8 wk of differential housing. Anesthesia for surgery was induced by pentobarbital sodium [50 mg/kg intraperitoneally (ip)] to achieve a state of areflexia and maintained with supplemental dilute pentobarbital (8 mg/kg ip). The rat’s level of anesthesia was monitored by heart rate, breath rate, and toe pinch. A rectal temperature probe provided feedback to a heating pad to hold the core body temperature at 37°C. Fluid balance was maintained with a 1:1 mixture of 5% dextrose and Ringer lactate (~0.5 ml/h). The trachea was cannulated to administer humidified air, ensure adequate ventilation, and minimize breathing sounds. The cisterna magna was drained to prevent cerebral edema. The temporalis muscle was retracted, and the skull bone and dura over the right auditory cortex were removed. Viscous silicon oil was added to the brain surface to prevent desiccation. Electrode penetration points were referenced with vascular land marks and marked on a digitized photograph of the auditory cortex surface.

Experimenter s were blind to the housing condition of the rat during surgery and recordings, although in some cases unkempt fur of the rat on the recording table indicated that the rat might have been housed in the enriched environment.

**Stimulus Presentation**

Acoustic stimuli were presented to the anesthetized rats in a double-walled sound-attenuating chamber from a speaker (Motorola model 40-1221) at 90° azimuth and 30° elevation from the base of the left ear. Frequency and intensity calibrations were done with Tucker-Davis Technologies (Alachua, FL) SigCal software and an ACO Pacific (Belmont, CA) microphone (PS9200-7016).

**Tones.** Randomly interleaved pure tones (1,296; 25-ms duration, 3-ms ramps, every 500 ms) were generated with BrainWave (Tucker-Davis Technologies). The tones consisted of 81 logarithmically spaced frequencies from 1 to 32 kHz, each at 16 different intensities spaced 5 dB SPL apart from 0 to 75 dB SPL.

**Noise burst trains.** Twelve repetitions of 14 noise burst trains (3- to 20-Hz interstimulus intervals) were presented, with each noise burst 25 ms in duration and 60 dB in intensity with ramps of 3 ms and a bandwidth of 1–32 kHz. Each train was presented 2 s after the termination of the last train. The 168 noise burst trains were randomly interleaved.

**Speech.** Natural speech sounds /tad/ and /dad/ were recorded from a single native English speaker in a sound booth with a sampling rate of 44,100 Hz. As in our earlier study, the sounds were frequency shifted 1 octave higher with a vocoder without altering the amplitude envelope to better match the rat hearing range (Engineer et al. 2008). The intensity of each speech sound was adjusted so that the loudest 100 ms was 65 dB SPL. Speech sounds were presented in random order, each speech sound repeated a total of 20 times, with a sampling rate of 100 kHz and a silent interval of 2 s separating each stimulus presentation.

**Recording**

Two pairs of Parylene-coated tungsten microelectrodes (FHC, Bowdoin, ME) with 250-µm separation (1.5 ± 0.5 MΩ) were inserted orthogonal to and 600–680 µm below the pial surface (layer IV/V) of the auditory cortex to record multiunit activity from ~60 penetration sites per animal (standard = 61.7 ± 4.8 sites, enriched = 60 ± 8.6 sites). Spikes and LFP data were acquired simultaneously. The neural signals from the electrode were filtered with a high-pass filter (0.3–8 kHz) for multiunit activity and a low-pass filter (2–100 Hz) for LFPs and amplified by a factor of 10,000 with hardware (RX5-2, RP2, PA5) and software (BrainWave) provided by Tucker-Davis Technologies. Responses >600 mV were recorded as action potentials. Single units were isolated with BrainWave software.

**Data Analysis**

We started all our recordings by first demarcating the A1-PAF border and then progressed posteriorly with recording sites. In three rats, two from the enriched group and one from the standard group, unintended puncture of a major blood vessel resulted in early termination of the acute recording and resulted in few PAF recording sites, most of them close to the A1-PAF border. Onset latencies get longer and more variable in PAF neurons with posterior progression of recording sites (Doron et al. 2002). Adding data from an incompletely sampled posterior extent of PAF could introduce a sampling error. For example, adding data from sites closer to the A1-PAF border would bias the population mean onset latency to lower values. Onset latencies are correlated with repetition rate transfer functions (RRTFs) (Kilgard and Merzenich 1999) and with bandwidths (Loftus and Sutter 2001). To avoid the possibility of a sampling error confound, we restricted data analysis to those animals with relatively equal posterior extent of recording sites.

**Tuning curve analysis.** Tuning curves were determined for each site by recording responses to 81 tones spanning 5 octaves, each at 16 different intensities. Plotting the response of a site to tones on a frequency-intensity grid revealed a tuning curve (Fig. 2, B and C). Tuning curve parameters were defined by a program written in MATLAB (The Mathworks, Natick, MA). The response threshold was defined as the lowest intensity that elicited a reliable neuronal response. The frequency at which this threshold response occurred was defined as the characteristic frequency (CF).

For 10, 20, 30, and 40 dB above threshold, the lowest and highest tone frequencies that elicited a reliable response and were contiguous with the rest of the frequencies within the bandwidth were considered the lower and upper edge of each bandwidth. The spontaneous firing rate was calculated as the spike rate in the 200 ms recorded before onset of the speech stimuli. Onset latency was the time from the onset of the stimulus to the earliest reliable neuronal response reaching two standard deviations above spontaneous firing rate (Fig. 3). End latency was defined as the time when the poststimulus time histogram (PSTH)—created by summing responses to all the tones within a site’s tuning curve with a bin size of 1 ms (Fig. 3)—returned to response levels less than two standard deviations above spontaneous firing rate. The two-standard deviation criterion was not used to exclude any sites from analysis. The criterion was simply used as a standard measure for every site to define the time of the onset and end of neural responses. Voronoi tessellation was used to illustrate cortical topography (Kilgard and Merzenich 1998). BrainWave was used to visualize waveforms of spikes recorded from each site. With BrainWave .src files, spike sorting for single-unit analysis was done on the basis of features like first peak amplitude, second peak amplitude, and spike area. The researcher analyzing the waveforms was blind to the source of the housing group of the data being analyzed.

Sites were classified as being in A1 or PAF by determining the A1-PAF border. The A1-PAF border was based on 1) the abrupt interruption of a decreasing tonotopic gradient at the A1-PAF border, 2) an increase in bandwidths with posterior progression of sites from A1, and 3) an increase in onset and peak latencies with posterior progression of sites from A1. In addition, the reversing tonotopic gradient at the ventral-posterior border of A1 (Doron et al. 2002) was used as a marker for the A1-PAF border. A1 border sites with driven response to tones for which a CF could not be defined were not classified as being in A1.

**Noise burst train analysis.** PSTHs were computed with 1-ms bins. A site’s response to successive noise bursts was quantified by RRTFs. A normalized RRTF for a repetition rate was calculated by finding the average evoked response to the last five noise bursts and dividing that
by the evoked response to the first noise burst (Kilgard and Merzenich 1998). Hence, values >1 indicate facilitation and values <1 indicate depression. The spontaneous firing rate was calculated as the spike rate in the 200 ms recorded before onset of the speech stimuli for that site. Action potentials within a time window of 14–85 ms after the onset of a noise burst in a noise burst train were considered as having occurred in response to the noise burst. The 14–85 ms time period is designed to include onset and response durations of neurons in PAF and is the same as that used in a previously published PAF study in rats (Pandya al. 2008), to allow for comparison. For noise burst trains presented at rates >10 Hz, the time windows associated with each noise burst in a train would overlap. Hence for rates >10 Hz the time window began 14 ms after the onset of the second noise burst and ended 85 ms after the onset of the sixth noise burst.

To examine the synchronization between neuronal firing and repeated noise bursts, we estimated vector strength (R) (Goldberg and Brown 1969) as done in previous studies from our group (Pandya et al. 2008; Percaccio et al. 2005). Essentially, vector strength is an indicator of the precision with which neurons lock their firing of action potentials to the same phase of the stimulus period. A value of 1 would indicate perfect time-locking, i.e., all action potentials fire at the same time relative to the noise burst onset, while a value of 0 indicates no time-locked responses. For calculation of vector strength, the whole period from noise burst start to noise burst start was used.

Vector strength was calculated as follows:

\[ R = \frac{1}{n} \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \]

where \( n \) = total number of action potentials, \( t_i \) is the time of occurrence of the \( i \)th action potential, and \( T \) is the interstimulus interval. To assess the statistical significance of the vector strength, we measured the Rayleigh statistic \((2\pi R^2)\), where \( n \) = total number of action potentials (Liang et al. 2002). A value >13.8 indicates statistically significant phase locking (Mardia and Jupp 2000).

Speech analysis. The average spike rate for each speech sound was estimated in 1-ms bins from the PSTH. A recording of spontaneous activity for 200 ms prior to the onset of the speech stimulus was used to calculate spontaneous firing rate (spikes/s). The speech stimulus was repeated 20 times, yielding 20 values of spontaneous firing rate for that site. Mean and standard deviation were determined from the 20 trials. The spontaneous discharge rate was subtracted from the mean firing rate in all analyses. Speech sound /tad/ consists of an initial high-frequency /t/ sound, followed by the onset of a low-frequency voicing sound, followed by the high-frequency /d/ sound. Pilot data in controls (later validated by experimental data; see Fig. 13) indicated an end of response to the onset of voicing by 140 ms. A period of 14–140 ms was chosen to compute response strength to the onset of the speech sound. The response strength calculated was only used to exclude nonresponsive sites.

Analysis of synchronicity of spikes in spike trains from paired electrodes. Among the four microelectrodes inserted into the auditory cortex, any two microelectrodes simultaneously recording from PAF were considered as a pair and their recorded spike trains were analyzed for spike coincidences as done in an earlier study (Kilgard et al. 2007). For each pair, multiunit activity recorded simultaneously was subjected to a pairwise cross-correlation analysis. The number of spike coincidences between the two electrode spike trains was counted for each bin (bin size = 1 ms) for various time shifts (=50 to 50 ms) (Brosch et al. 2005). Cross-correlation functions were computed \( J \) using 200 ms before onset of a stimulus for spontaneous activity cross-correlation and 2 using 200 ms after onset of a noise burst for driven activity cross-correlation. Simultaneous sensory stimulation of neurons at the two paired sites could introduce a covariation in firing rates. To remove the effect of sensory stimulation-induced covariation in firing rate, the shift predictor was computed and subtracted from the cross-correlation function for each 1-ms bin (Perkel 1967).

All tests for statistical significance were done by two-tailed unpaired \( t \)-test unless otherwise specified. Population means for the two
groups mentioned in parentheses in RESULTS are given as mean ± SE.
All data analysis was done off-line to avoid a potential bias in site
selection and by an observer blind to the housing condition of the rat
for the data being analyzed.

RESULTS

General Observations

Extracellular multiunit and LFP data were recorded from
283 sites in PAF (standard = 156 sites, enriched = 127 sites)
from 13 rats (standard = 7, enriched = 6). Single-unit sorting
revealed 25 well-isolated single units (standard = 10, enriched =
15). In addition, while the boundaries of PAF were determined,
79 multiunit recordings were obtained from the ventral audi-
tory field (standard = 45 sites, enriched = 34 sites) and 179
from A1 (standard = 110 sites, enriched = 69 sites). A1
low-frequency neurons were identified by their antero-
posterior (AP) frequency gradient, onset latencies shorter than
adjoining nonprimary fields, and narrow receptive fields. In
addition, the reversing tonotopic gradient at the ventral-poste-
rior border of A1 (Doron et al. 2002) was used as a marker for
the A1-PAF border. Although the number of A1 recordings in
this study was low and included mostly low-frequency sites,
enriched A1 low-frequency neurons (1–4 kHz CF) demon-
strated a significant increase in response strength measured by
LFPs (mean amplitude of first major negative deflection N1 in
response to a single 60-dB noise burst: standard = 0.19 ±
0.02 mV, enriched = −0.32 ± 0.07 mV; P = 0.0385) and
displayed a statistically insignificant trend toward decreased
receptive field bandwidth (mean bandwidth 20 dB above
threshold: standard = 2.31 ± 0.09 octaves, enriched = 2.05 ±
0.13 octaves; P = 0.09). These findings are consistent with a
previous environmental enrichment study from our lab (Engi-
neer et al. 2004). A1 neurons progressively decrease in CF with
posterior extent, and a sharp interruption of this frequency
gradient at A1’s posterior limit demarcates the A1-PAF border
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In a previous study, enrichment did not alter onset response times in A1 neurons (Engineer et al. 2004). An increase in the number of A1 tone-evoked action potentials induced by enrichment contributed to a delayed peak response, a delayed end of response, paired-pulse depression, and decreased time-locking to stimuli in A1. In sharp contrast, PAF neurons responded significantly quicker after enrichment. In response to a tone, multiunit action potentials began firing earlier and reached peak firing rate in almost half the time of standard-housed rat PAF neurons (Fig. 4, E and F, Fig. 6, Fig. 7). The return of neuronal firing rate to spontaneous levels took less time than taken by rats housed in standard cages. The rapid rise to peak firing rate and quick return to baseline led to a significantly shorter response duration compared with standard-housing rats (Fig. 7). LFP responses reflect a weighted linear summation of the extracellular potentials of cells that change their membrane potential in response to presented sounds. In essence, LFPs reflect dendritic activation of local cells (Kaur et al. 2004; Mitzdorf 1987). The first major negative peak in the evoked LFP response corresponds to the influx of dendritic current—the sink—and is referred to as N1 (~35 ms). Enrichment significantly decreased the time to maximum amplitude for N1 in PAF (standard = 41.5 ± 2.13, enriched = 34.01 ms ± 1.63; P = 0.005). Since quicker onset latencies are correlated with better cortical following rates (Kilgard and Merzenich 1999), we predicted that PAF neurons would have a better ability to respond robustly to rapidly presented sounds after enrichment. Besides, an earlier study employing the same environment used in our study revealed that although the total number of sounds was similar in both environments, the average interval between the occurrence of complex sounds in the enriched environment (~108 ms) was significantly shorter than that in the control environment (~133 ms) (Percaccio et al. 2005). To examine the impact of rapidly occurring environmental acoustic input with a probe stimulus that all PAF neurons respond to, we used repeated noise burst trains during physiological experiments.

PAF neural responses have characteristics similar to a low-pass filter. For repeated acoustic stimuli with long interstimulus intervals, PAF neurons respond almost as well to successive stimuli as they do to the first. For more rapid incoming acoustic input, neurons respond more weakly to successive stimuli (Pandya et al. 2008). In agreement with these prior studies, our standard-housed rat PAF response to a successive noise burst was almost as strong as its response to the first noise burst for repetition rates up to 7.5 Hz in multiunit recordings (Fig. 10A). With more rapid modulation rates, the neuronal response to repeated stimuli progressively decreased (Figs. 8A and 10A). In contrast to standard-housing rat neurons, environmental enrichment induced enhancement of PAF neuronal response to rapidly repeated sounds (Figs. 8B and 10A). The average best modulation rate (the modulation rate that evoked most action potentials) for enriched rats was significantly higher than that for standard-housing rats (standard = 4.13 ± 0.27 Hz, enriched = 5.29 ± 0.37 Hz; P = 0.014). After responding to the first noise burst in a train, enriched neurons needed less time to recover. The average limiting repetition rate (the fastest repetition rate that evoked a response at least 50% of the best modulation rate) was significantly greater after enrichment (standard = 8.49 ± 0.44 Hz, enriched = 10.93 ± 0.42 Hz; P < 0.001). Enriched neurons were more resistant to undergoing paired-pulse depression. For example, at a modulation rate of 8.5 Hz, standard rats had a mean normalized response significantly less than 1, denoting paired-pulse depression, whereas enriched rats responded to the second noise burst with 20% more spikes.
Fig. 4. Representative PAF maps from standard- and enriched-environment rats demonstrate narrower receptive fields and faster time to peak response in enriched rats. Each polygon represents 1 recording microelectrode site. The color of the polygon and the value within it represent the parameter being mapped. The thick black line denotes the A1-PAF border. In A and B, color represents CF of the recorded site from multiunit recordings. Note the gradual decrease in CF of sites with posterior progression in A1; the interruption of this frequency gradient at the A1-PAF border was used as a criterion to classify sites as belonging to A1 or PAF. In addition, the reversing tonotopic gradient at the ventral-posterior border of A1 (Doron et al. 2002) was used as a marker for the A1-PAF border. Black arrows indicate sites used for representative tuning curves and PSTHs in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6. In C and D, color represents multiunit receptive fields (bandwidth 30 dB above neuronal threshold). For the standard-housed rat cortex illustrated in C, note that PAF has greater bandwidths than A1. This distinction was used as a criterion to confirm the A1-PAF border. Note that enriched rat PAF had narrower bandwidths than standard housed rat PAF. In E and F, color indicates time to peak response for multiunit recordings. For the standard-housed rat cortex depicted in E, note that PAF neurons had slower peak latency times compared with A1. Peak response times were used as criteria to distinguish PAF from A1 sites. For the enriched rat cortex illustrated in F, note that PAF sites had greater response times compared with A1 sites but these PAF response times were much quicker than standard-housed rat PAF. Open circles in A and B indicate sites that did not respond to tones. Sites that responded to tones but did not meet our criteria for A1 or PAF are indicated by “x”. Scale bars in A and B, 0.25 mm.
than evoked for the first noise burst (Fig. 10A). For faster repetition rates, the enriched PAF neurons underwent a lesser degree of paired-pulse depression. At a repetition rate of 10.5 Hz, standard-housing rat PAF neurons had minimal response to the second noise burst in a train (Fig. 9A), barely 20% of the response to the first noise burst. In contrast, enriched PAF neurons had a distinct robust second response, with a magnitude 80% of the response to the first noise burst. LFP findings mirrored that seen by multiunit recordings (Figs. 9B and 10B).

Enrichment induced neurons to fire more in time with each iteration of a noise burst in a rapidly modulated noise burst train (Fig. 11A). The average maximum vector strength for standard-housing condition rats was 0.52 ± 0.02 and for enriched rats was 0.69 ± 0.02 (P < 0.001). As a population, standard-housing rat PAF neurons had their highest vector strength at 5.12 Hz, while enrichment increased this measure to 7.6 Hz. The Rayleigh statistic, a measure of the statistical significance of vector strength (Fig. 11B), was higher for the enriched group (average maximum Rayleigh statistic: standard rats 1.77 ± 0.19 spikes/tone, enriched =1.56 ± 0.16 spikes/tone; P = 0.35). Since the most posterior or most ventral rodent PAF neurons do not respond to tones but respond preferentially to noise bursts (Doron et al. 2002), we probed multiunit PAF neuronal response strength to single broadband noise bursts, too, but did not find a difference between the two housing groups (standard = 1.69 ± 0.14 spikes/noise burst; P = 0.28). A preservation of response strength in enriched PAF neurons despite a significantly narrower response window appeared to be due to a stronger peak in multiunit instantaneous firing rate during the first 50 ms after onset of the sound (Fig. 12A) (peak instantaneous firing rate in the first 50 ms: standard 24.80 ± 3.79 spikes/s, enriched 37.97 ±

Enrichment Preserves Total Number of Evoked Action Potentials

The present study demonstrates that enrichment induces PAF neurons to fire for a significantly shorter duration. In light of this brevity of response, we expected that a narrower time window for firing might result in fewer action potentials in response to a sound. Contrary to our prediction, in response to tones enriched PAF neurons had a similar number of evoked spikes measured by multiunit recordings as control neurons (for tones above neural threshold: standard = 1.65 ± 0.2 spikes/tone, enriched =1.56 ± 0.16 spikes/tone; P = 0.35). Since the most posterior or most ventral rodent PAF neurons do not respond to tones but respond preferentially to noise bursts (Doron et al. 2002), we probed multiunit PAF neuronal response strength to single broadband noise bursts, too, but did not find a difference between the two housing groups (standard = 1.69 ± 0.14 spikes/noise burst; P = 0.28). A preservation of response strength in enriched PAF neurons despite a significantly narrower response window appeared to be due to a stronger peak in multiunit instantaneous firing rate during the first 50 ms after onset of the sound (Fig. 12A) (peak instantaneous firing rate in the first 50 ms: standard 24.80 ± 3.79 spikes/s, enriched 37.97 ±

![Fig. 5. Receptive fields in PAF of enriched rats are narrower than those in standard housed rats. A and B: representative tuning curves from multiunit recordings in PAF of standard (A)- and enriched (B)-housing rats. The position of the representative sites are indicated by an arrow in Fig. 4, A and B. The 2 representative sites are approximately equidistant (~0.4 mm) from the posterior-most point in the A1-PAF border. Bars in C plot the mean receptive field (bandwidth) size for 10, 20, 30, and 40 dB above neural threshold for standard (black)- and enriched (gray)-housing rats. Receptive fields are significantly narrower for the enriched group for almost all intensities above neural threshold. *Statistical significance at P < 0.01, 2-tailed t-test.](http://jn.physiology.org/doi/10.1152/jn.01057.2010)
3.91 spikes/s; \( P = 0.03 \). It should be noted in Fig. 12A that the enriched group had an early strong peak in instantaneous firing rate, but the total number of spikes (i.e., the area under the response curves) was the same in the two groups.

LFPs reflect summed dendritic activation, and after enrichment LFPs recorded from PAF had significantly stronger sinks (Fig. 12B) (N1 amplitude: standard = -0.24 ± 0.02 mV, enriched = -0.32 ± 0.02 mV; \( P < 0.001 \)) and sources for a 75-dB tone at CF (P2 amplitude: standard = 0.19 ± 0.01 mV, enriched = 0.23 ± 0.01 mV; \( P = 0.02 \)). A single 60-dB noise burst also elicited a stronger sink (N1 amplitude: standard = -0.1624 ± 0.01 mV, enriched = -0.1966 ± 0.01 mV; \( P = 0.04 \)) and source magnitude (P2 amplitude: standard = 0.1028 ± 0.01 mV, enriched = 0.1275 ± 0.01 mV; \( P = 0.007 \)) from enriched PAF neurons. Our results provide evidence that enrichment induces brief but strong early activation of PAF neurons.

We examined cortical responses to acoustic stimuli present in the enriched environment. In the enriched environment, 2.1-kHz and 4-kHz tones were evoked by rats stepping on ramps leading to the water and food source. A 3-kHz tone was evoked with each rotation of the exercise wheel. We observed no tone-specific change in multiunit firing rate after enrichment [spikes/tone for 2.1-kHz tone: standard = 0.13 ± 0.03, enriched = 0.08 ± 0.04 (\( P = 0.66 \)); for 3-kHz tone: standard = 0.12 ± 0.03, enriched = 0.09 ± 0.05 (\( P = 0.59 \)); for 4-kHz tone: standard = 0.12 ± 0.03, enriched = 0.09 ± 0.04 (\( P = 0.34 \))]. The proportion of PAF area responding to any of 2.1-, 3-, or 4-kHz tones (i.e., the proportion of PAF area with neurons having 2.1, 3, or 4 kHz within their bandwidths) was equal for the standard and enrichment groups (% PAF area: standard = 45.07 ± 6.2, enriched = 40.54 ± 9.55; \( P = 0.65 \)). There was no frequency-specific reorganization in PAF for the tones present in the enriched environment. Our findings in PAF are similar to those observed in A1 after enrichment, where no overrepresentation of any frequency was found after enrichment (Engineer et al. 2004). A previous study in A1 indicated that increasing the saliency of multiple tone frequencies by
pairing them with nucleus basalis stimulation induced a reorganization to all probed tone frequencies— including tones not paired with nucleus basalis stimulation (Kilgard et al. 2001). We speculate that multiple relevant sounds in the enriched environment induce a similar global reorganization in PAF neurons across all tonal frequencies.

We analyzed spike-spike coincidences in spike trains recorded from microelectrode pairs. For microelectrode pairs 250–400 μm apart and recording multiunit activity simultaneously in PAF, we observed no change in the cross-correlation height for spontaneous activity (average peak correlation coefficient: standard = 0.083 ± 0.011, enriched = 0.079 ± 0.017; P = 0.9) or for activity driven by a noise burst (average peak correlation coefficient: control = 0.083 ± 0.019, enriched = 0.095 ± 0.035; P = 0.9).

Single-Unit Responses

Reorganization in single neuron responses to tones (standard = 10 single units, enriched = 15 single units) mirrored changes detected by multiunit and LFP recordings. Single neurons in PAF of enriched rats had significantly narrower receptive fields compared with control rats for 10 dB above threshold (standard = 1.67 ± 0.25 octaves, enriched = 0.62 ± 0.08 octaves; P < 0.001), 20 dB above threshold (standard = 2.09 ± 0.32 octaves, enriched = 1.09 ± 0.15 octaves; P = 0.003), and 30 dB above threshold (standard = 2.74 ± 0.37 octaves, enriched = 1.49 ± 0.27 octaves; P = 0.009). Time to peak response for single units after tone onset (for 50 dB and louder tones) was significantly shorter for enriched neurons (standard = 48.38 ± 10.78 ms, enriched 31.13 ± 2.26 ms; P = 0.015). Similar to findings in multiunit recordings, the total evoked action potentials (standard = 0.28 ± 0.05 spikes/tone, enriched = 0.22 ± 0.04 spikes/tone; P = 0.41) in response to a tone were not different between the two experimental groups.

Collectively, these results indicate that PAF is very plastic and an enriched auditory experience can induce strong reorganization in receptive fields and temporal response properties. Given above are the physiological consequences of this reorganization when probed with pure tones and broadband noise bursts.

A PAF neuron can respond to multiple noncontinuous frequencies (Loftus and Sutter 2001). Differing frequencies induce responses with different onset times in the same neuron. This rich temporal variety of responses to various spectral inputs suggests that PAF may have a role in processing complex spectro-temporal stimuli (Loftus and Sutter 2001). Our results so far demonstrate that enriched PAF neurons have quick, strong, time-locked responses to the onset of tones and noise bursts. This led us to predict that enrichment would increase PAF’s response to a temporal cue in a complex spectro-temporal stimulus.

To examine PAF’s response to a temporal cue in a complex sound, we probed literature for well-investigated complex spectro-temporal sounds whose temporal information and the brain’s response to it had been well studied, especially in the...
context of disease models and brain reorganization. The brain's temporal response properties to speech sounds with voice onset time differences has been extensively studied (Aizawa and Eggermont 2006; Buonomano and Merzenich 1995; Eggermont 1995; Papanicolaou et al. 2003; Steinschneider et al. 1994, 1995, 1999, 2005; Tremblay et al. 1994, 1995). The brain's temporal response to delayed voicing shows deficits in disease models (Aizawa and Eggermont 2006; Floody et al. 2010; Tomita et al. 2004) and is capable of undergoing reorganization with training (Tremblay et al. 1998, 2001). Speech sound /tad/ is well established as a complex sound with a distinct well-studied voice onset time as a temporal cue. We chose speech sound /tad/ to examine enriched PAF's response to a temporal cue in a complex sound.

Enrichment Enhances PAF Response to a Temporal Cue in Novel Speech Sound /tad/

A1 encodes speechlike sounds in the precise timing of generated action potentials (Engineer et al. 2008). Human speech sounds /tad/ and /dad/ have the same place of articulation yet elicit distinct temporal patterns of A1 activation. After initiation of the consonant sound in /tad/, an ~70-ms delay in the onset of voicing—i.e., the onset of the vibration of vocal cords—results in two distinct onset-activation peaks in A1 (Steinschneider et al. 2003, 2005). For /dad/, a lack of delayed voicing results in a single onset-activation peak in A1.

Unlike A1, PAF responds best to temporal cues that change slowly over time. PAF neurons respond to spectro-temporally rich sounds with poorer temporal fidelity (Gourevitch and Eggermont 2007). In our study, recordings from control PAF neurons demonstrate that the onset of voicing in /tad/ elicits a weak response (Fig. 13, C and D). After enrichment, the onset of voicing evoked double the magnitude of response (Fig. 13, C and D) than that seen in control neurons (maximum firing rate within 85 ms of voicing onset: standard = 36.34 ± 3.05 spikes/s, enriched 62.36 ± 7.58 spikes/s; P = 0.006). An enhanced response to voicing in /tad/ was reflected in LFP recordings, too (max amplitude within 85 ms of voicing onset: standard = −0.1 ± 0.01 mV, enriched = −0.19 ± 0.01 mV; P < 0.001). Congruent with strong early activation of neurons to onset of tones and noise bursts, enrichment also significantly increased the amplitude of the LFP response to speech sound /tad/ (max amplitude within 85 ms of /tad/ onset: standard = −0.25 ± 0.01 mV, enriched = −0.31 ± 0.02 mV; P < 0.001).

Sound /dad/ lacks delayed voicing, and PAF neurons from both standard and enriched environment groups responded with a single onset-activation peak to /dad/ (Fig. 14). Our findings indicate that an enriched auditory experience reorganizes PAF neurons to noise bursts repeated at different speeds. In A, ratio of the mean number of action potentials evoked by 2nd–6th noise bursts in a train with respect to the 1st noise burst is plotted for each repetition rate. In B, the ratio of the LFP amplitude evoked by the 2nd noise burst in a train [EP(2)] with respect to the 1st noise burst [EP(1)] is plotted for each repetition rate. A value above 1 indicates increased response to the 2nd noise burst compared with the 1st noise burst. These results indicate that environmental enrichment enhances the activation response of PAF neurons to each iteration of a rapidly repeating stimulus. Significant differences between the groups: *P < 0.05 by 2-tailed, unequal t-test. Error bars indicate SE.
to respond more robustly to the temporal information in speech sound /tad/.

**DISCUSSION**

**Summary of Findings**

Our study demonstrates that PAF neurons have sharper receptive fields after environmental enrichment. Enriched PAF neurons also respond more quickly, with greater instantaneous firing rate, and for a briefer period to single sounds. Rapidly repeated sounds evoke a higher cortical following rate and a more time-locked response from enriched PAF neurons. Enrichment sensitizes PAF neurons to a temporal cue in /tad/, a complex spectro-temporal sound. Compared with cortical reorganization measured in an earlier enrichment study in A1, we find that PAF neurons undergo a greater degree of change after enrichment (comparison of response properties of A1 and PAF after environmental enrichment given in Table 1).

**Mechanism of PAF Reorganization: Brain Regions Involved**

PAF changes observed in our study could be explained by reorganization in at least five regions, as described below.

A1. PAF neurons receive convergent inputs from multiple frequency regions of the A1 map (Lee and Winer 2008). Since A1 neurons exhibit increased evoked responses to tones and noise bursts after enrichment (Engineer et al. 2004), an additive effect of multiple strong simultaneous inputs from A1 to a PAF neuron could increase the likelihood that a PAF neuron quickly reaches threshold to fire an action potential. This could explain shorter PAF onset latencies and stronger instantaneous firing rates after enrichment.

Medial and dorsal division of medial geniculate body. Along with receiving auditory input from the ventral region of the medial geniculate body (MGB) via A1, PAF receives direct auditory connections from medial and dorsal regions of the MGB as part of the nonclassical pathway (Read et al. 2002). The medial and dorsal regions of the MGB (but not the ventral division) are capable of undergoing long-term experience-dependent reorganization following tone shock conditioning (Edeline and Weinberger 1991, 1992). Enrichment-induced experiential reorganization in medial and dorsal MGB could be transmitted via direct connections to PAF. Environmental enrichment potentiates thalamocortical transmission (Mainardi et al. 2010), and if the relative contribution of short-latency thalamic inputs to PAF activation increased, PAF neuronal latencies would decrease after enrichment.

Higher cortical centers. PAF receives direct projections from higher cortical stations like the multisensory “parabelt” region posterior ectosylvian gyrus (Lee and Winer 2008). There is evidence that attentional modulation can induce strong changes in nonprimary auditory cortex. For example, in humans involved in a short-term tone attention task during fMRI imaging, nonprimary auditory cortex was more susceptible to change than A1 (Petkov et al. 2004). While higher cortical centers do undergo reorganization after enrichment (Del Arco et al. 2007; Gelfo et al. 2009; Kolb and Gibb 1991), it remains to be seen whether this is a consequence or a cause of reorganization in lower hierarchical centers. Chronic evoked potential recordings from electrodes over auditory and frontal cortex and the temporal development of enrichment-induced reorganization in both regions could offer some insight into this issue.

Nonauditory sensory cortex. Nonauditory stimuli, like somatosensory and visual input, influence activity in nonprimary auditory cortex (Bizley and King 2008; Bizley et al. 2007; Brosch et al. 2005; Kayser et al. 2005, 2008). Environmental enrichment induces cortical reorganization in somatosensory and visual cortices (Beaulieu and Cynader 1990a, 1990b; Coq and Xerri 1998). It is conceivable that enrichment-induced reorganization in nonauditory cortex can influence neuronal properties in PAF. A potential experiment to explore the influence of nonauditory enrichment on PAF could be to record PAF’s responses after enrichment, after silencing activity in the somatosensory and visual cortices by local infusion of GABA-A agonist muscimol or by using a cooling coil (King et al. 2007; Malhotra et al. 2004).

Local changes in PAF. Local PAF neuronal reorganization is supported by three observations. 1) LFP findings mirror those seen in multiunit and single-unit data. LFP reflects dendritic activation, which suggests that in PAF after enrichment either the input into PAF is stronger or local cortical cell dendrites are more sensitive to incoming input. While the possibility of an enhancement of input into PAF has been discussed above, there is evidence, too, supporting the presence of a substrate for increased dendritic excitation. An increase in glutamate receptors, spine number, dendritic number, and arborization has been demonstrated in all examined cortical regions, including higher cortical centers involved in processing enriched sensory input (Gelfo et al. 2009; Katz and...
Reports suggest that enrichment decreases inhibition in cortex (Baroncelli et al. 2009). The number of inhibitory synapses decreases (Beaulieu and Cynader 1990b) and basal levels of GABA decrease in cortex after enrichment (Sale et al. 2007). This could contribute to greater cortical excitability and, hence, decreased onset latencies and increased instantaneous firing rate in PAF.

In our study, enrichment induced quick curtailing of responses, suggesting an increase in local stimulus-evoked inhibition. In addition, PAF bandwidths narrow to a greater extent than can be explained by direct transmission of bandwidth changes in A1, suggesting increased local stimulus-evoked surround inhibition in PAF after enrichment. The fact that basal GABA levels decrease (Sale et al. 2007) but there is no decrease in evoked GABA release in primary sensory cortex (Nichols et al. 2007) suggests that enrichment could affect basal and evoked GABA levels differently in the cortex. We propose that while enrichment decreases basal levels of GABA, it increases local stimulus-evoked GABAergic inhibition of pyramidal cells in PAF.

Fig. 12. Environmental enrichment induces strong early activation of neurons in PAF. A: population mean multiunit response to tones (1-ms bins). B: population mean LFP response to a 75-dB tone at the CF of the recorded site. PAF responses from standard (dashed)- and enriched (black)-housing rats demonstrate a strong early activation of neurons after environmental enrichment (standard = 156 sites, enriched = 127 sites). Gray areas indicate SE.

Fig. 13. Environmental enrichment enhances response of PAF neurons to a temporal cue in speech sound /tad/. Time-amplitude representation of /tad/ in A and /tad/ speech spectrogram in B illustrate that for speech sound /tad/, after initiation of the consonant sound (open pentagon on time axis), there is a ∼70-ms delay in the onset of voicing, i.e., the onset of the vibration of vocal cords (onset of voicing indicated by open arrow on time axis and dotted line that runs through all panels). Mean population multiunit (C) and LFP (D) responses from PAF neurons (standard = 156 sites, enriched = 127 sites) indicate that enriched rat PAF responds significantly stronger to the onset of voicing (thick arrow) compared with standard housed rats (thin arrow). Gray areas indicate SE.
Role of Enriched Acoustic Environment in Cortical Reorganization

Along with enriched auditory stimuli, environmental enrichment involves a larger cage, an exercise wheel, and more social contact with other rats. A previous study explored the contribution of a larger cage size, social factors, and motor activity in enrichment-induced auditory cortex reorganization (Percaccio et al. 2007). Rats housed in a large cage with three other rats for social interaction but no other enhancement in the acoustic environment did not have auditory cortex properties different from standard-caged rats. Rats housed in a cage with a running wheel but with no auditory cue associated with each revolution of the wheel did not have auditory cortex changes associated with environmental enrichment. For a rat in a single cage separate from but well within hearing range of the enriched cage, sounds heard from the enriched cage were enough to drive enrichment-induced reorganization (Percaccio et al. 2007). The Percaccio et al. (2007) study underscores the relevance of the acoustic stimuli in the enriched environment in driving cortical reorganization.

Task-Related and Environmental Enrichment-Induced Cortical Plasticity

It is currently not fully determined whether 1) each relevant sensory stimulus in the enriched environment induces reorganization in an instrumental or classical conditioning manner or

Table 1. Summary of environmental enrichment-induced changes in A1 and PAF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>A1</th>
<th>PAF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Receptive field bandwidth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandwidth 10 dB above threshold</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>35% ↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandwidth 20 dB above threshold</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>28% ↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandwidth 30 dB above threshold</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>15% ↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandwidth 40 dB above threshold</td>
<td>7% ↓</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUA onset latency, ms</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>42% ↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUA peak latency, ms</td>
<td>5% ↑</td>
<td>42% ↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUA end latency, ms</td>
<td>5% ↑</td>
<td>37% ↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFP N1 peak latency</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>18% ↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auditory evoked potential N1 peak latency</td>
<td>30% ↑</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response to rapidly repeated sounds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUA best modulation rate</td>
<td>16% ↓</td>
<td>22% ↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUA maximum phase-locked rate</td>
<td>16% ↓</td>
<td>33% ↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firing strength</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUA tonal response strength, spikes/tone</td>
<td>30% ↑</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUA noise burst response strength, spikes/noise burst</td>
<td>39% ↑</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFP N1 peak amplitude to tone</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>25% ↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auditory evoked potential N1 peak amplitude to tone</td>
<td>66% ↑</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUA spontaneous firing rate, spikes/20 ms</td>
<td>21% ↑</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± SE. Statistical significance was assessed with Student’s t-tests. ↓ or ↑ indicates a decrease or increase in value. All % change observations for primary auditory cortex (A1) plotted in this table are determined from values published earlier (Engineer et al. 2004). PAF, posterior auditory field; MUA, multiunit activity; LFP, local field potential; NS, not statistically significant; NA, not applicable. LFPs in PAF were recorded from the same intracortical electrodes used to record MUA. Auditory evoked potentials in A1 from an earlier study (Engineer et al. 2004) were recorded in an awake rat from an epidural ball electrode placed over A1.
2) exposure to the enriched sounds away from an instrumental or a classical conditioning context would be sufficient to drive brain reorganization. Evidence for the latter is provided by a study in which rats separated from but within hearing range of the enriched cage underwent enrichment-induced reorganization (Percaccio et al. 2007).

Task-related cortical plasticity differs in some respects from reorganization induced by environmental enrichment. Training on tasks that require attention to spectral input typically induces cortical reorganization specific to the region of the cortex being activated (Fritz et al. 2003; Recanzone et al. 1993). Although our enriched environment included some behaviorally relevant tones, in the present study and in a previous environmental enrichment study (Engineer et al. 2004), we observed cortical reorganization across all tonal frequencies. Training on a task that required attention to the rapidness of temporal input induced A1 neurons to fire in a more time-locked manner to rapid sounds (Bao et al. 2004). In contrast, environmental enrichment induced A1 neurons to fire in a less time-locked manner to rapid sounds (Percaccio et al. 2005). However, both task-related training and environmental enrichment (1) induce immediate-early gene Arc activation (Carpenter-Hyland et al. 2010; Finaud et al. 2001), (2) require BDNF for reorganization (Alonso et al. 2005; Sale et al. 2004), and (3) involve induction of the cAMP-CREB system (Cancedda et al. 2004; Rapanelli et al. 2010). The mechanisms inducing cortical reorganization in the two paradigms appear to be similar.

Reorganization in Primary vs. Nonprimary Sensory Cortex: Comparison with Previous Studies

Multiple studies have documented greater reorganization in nonprimary compared with primary sensory cortex. More neurons in secondary auditory cortex (AII) compared with A1 underwent tone-specific increases in response strength after fear conditioning (Diamond and Weinberger 1984). Pairing of tones with nucleus basalis stimulation increases frequency selectivity and response strength for the paired tone in PAF, but not in A1 (Puckett et al. 2007). Neurons that responded to a single tone paired with nucleus basalis stimulation had bandwidths (at 40 dB above threshold) 19% narrower than their controls. In our study, environmental enrichment narrowed bandwidths (at 40 dB above threshold) by 13%. Greater nonprimary cortex reorganization has been seen across sensory systems. Visual cortex area V4 undergoes greater orientation tuning reorganization than V1 (Raiguel et al. 2006).

Along with the above-mentioned reports, our study of enrichment’s effect in PAF suggests that nonprimary sensory cortex is more plastic than primary cortex. However, instances of greater reorganization in primary sensory cortex also have been reported (Polley et al. 2006). It is likely that the scale and direction of experience-dependent changes in different cortical fields will depend on many sensory and cognitive factors (Hochstein and Ahissar 2002).
also should have slowed down. However, in the present study PAF response times got significantly faster after enrichment. This indicates that the changes seen can be attributed to environmental enrichment and not barbiturate anesthesia. Our study could detect evidence of strong underlying network reorganization as depicted earlier in multiple anatomical studies. This suggests concrete cortical reorganization that is expressed even in the absence of higher cortical input lost in anesthesia. Our earlier studies in A1 indicate that enrichment-induced changes are similar in awake and anesthetized rats.

Awake recordings reflecting nonprimary auditory cortex reorganization after environmental enrichment have been conducted previously, and the findings support the present study. In the study of Engineer et al. 2004 (Fig. 6 and Discussion), in awake epidural recordings it was observed that enrichment-induced increase in amplitude of evoked potential N1b peak was greater than that in the N1a peak. The N1a peak has a latency (20–25 ms) that corresponds to the peak latency of A1 neurons measured by microelectrodes (Rutkowski et al. 2003) and has its source in rodent A1 (Ohl et al. 2000). The latency of the N1b peak (~45 ms) corresponds to peak activity in a nonprimary auditory field, most likely PAF. For the four nonprimary auditory fields described in the Sprague-Dawley rat (Polley et al. 2007)—anterior auditory field (AAF), ventral auditory field (VAF), suprarhinal auditory field (SRAF), and PAF—the peak latencies are AAF ~18 ms, VAF ~30 ms, SRAF ~30 ms, and PAF ~50 ms (Pandya et al. 2008; Polley et al. 2007; Rutkowski et al. 2003). Peak neural activity in PAF neurons best corresponds to peak N1b of the awake rat epidural evoked potential. In awake rats, peak N1b increases to a greater extent after enrichment than the peak corresponding to A1, indicating that a nonprimary cortical region, most likely PAF, undergoes greater reorganization than A1 after environmental enrichment, and this supports the present study’s hypothesis.

Compared with epidural recordings, multiunit recordings from PAF of awake rats could potentially offer a better spatial resolution and confirmation of PAF reorganization after long-term (8 wk) environmental enrichment. However, the feasibility of chronic multiunit recordings from rat PAF is questionable. Sprague-Dawley rat auditory cortex surface is without gyri or landmark blood vessels that demarcate an auditory field. The topographic location of an auditory field is not fixed in relation to the bregma and can vary as much as 0.5 mm in any direction between rats (for reference, A1’s area is ~1.35 mm²) (Polley et al. 2007). Classification of an electrode site as being in PAF (and not in A1, AAF, VAF, or SRAF) would need to be confirmed physiologically with a wide and dense array of active electrodes still functional 8 wk after implantation. However, the quality of awake multiunit recordings deteriorates after implantation with the onset of local inflammation (Polikov et al. 2005). While use of collagenase-coated electrodes and the anti-inflammatory agent minocycline helps decrease deterioration of electrode activity over time and offers recordings from a subset of 8–14 implanted electrodes for 4 wk (Paralikar and Clement 2008; Rennaker et al. 2007), there is currently no record of a technique that offers the possibility of 8 wk of dense, active intracortical recordings from a Sprague-Dawley rat after 8 wk in an enriched environment. One way to address this issue would be to implant electrode arrays before and after environmental enrichment in matched animals. However, if this was done, one would need to take the following factors into account. 1) This would involve an unpaired analysis. Given the reduced number of recording sites in awake recordings (~8–14 sites distributed over at least A1 and PAF), for the same effect size, standard deviation, and sampled site number seen in our anesthetized recordings, the study would require a large number of animals (~40–70 total for both groups) to confirm our finding. 2) Cortical effects of enrichment fade rapidly in a nonenriched environment (Engineer et al. 2005), and time spent by the animals recovering from electrode implantation surgery could attenuate cortical reorganization that occurred prior to surgery. Future development of intracortical electrodes that are viable for months will help examine reorganization of multiunit activity after long-term enrichment in awake rats.

Sensory cortex researchers design environmental enrichment cages with a strategy to maximize the variety of textures, object shapes, and visual cues experienced by the rodents. This includes insertion of artificial objects like plastic pipes and symmetrical wooden blocks (Baroncelli et al. 2009; Ortuzar et al. 2011). A similar strategy of having a wide variety of acoustic stimuli was employed in the construction of our enrichment cage, including acoustically rich but artificial sounds like classical music. Artificial stimuli in the enrichment cage increase the richness of sensory stimuli and likely contribute to brain reorganization that might not be seen in a more naturalistic environment. The location of the speaker for sounds from the CD player was different from that for behaviorally driven sounds like ramp-and-running wheel-activated tones. A rat in the enrichment cage would be exposed to multiple behaviorally driven sounds as well as a unique source for nondriven but unpredictable and spectrally and temporally rich sounds. PAF plays an important role in sound localization (Lomber and Malhotra 2008; Malhotra et al. 2004). The presence of a unique location for the different driven and nondriven sounds in the enriched environment could have contributed to engaging PAF more in the enriched environment compared with the standard cage environment. PAF recordings from an animal trained to localize sound in an instrumental conditioning experiment will help determine the contribution of sound localization in driving PAF reorganization in enriched animals.

Individual rats likely know their own locations without relying on the sounds triggered at different locations. The sounds are behaviorally relevant to the rats because they inform them about the location of other rats, which has high social value. The presence of multiple and spectrally varied relevant sounds likely contributes to a global increase in response to all tones, without overrepresentation of any single tone in the environment.

Our initial evaluation of reorganization of PAF responses to complex sounds is limited to investigating the response to the presence or lack of a temporal cue in sounds /tad/ and /dad/, respectively. Further experiments investigating responses to other voiced and unvoiced consonant-vowel sounds and parametrically varying voice-onset delays of modeled artificial sounds could yield greater insights into the operative mechanisms involved. Additional studies to systematically test a wide range of complex sounds should provide a more comprehensive view of reorganization of responses in higher auditory fields to complex sounds.

This study was not designed to make direct comparisons between A1 and PAF neurons in the same animals. Although
such a design would be ideal, we believe comparison with our earlier studies of enrichment effects in A1 is justified. The housing conditions in this study were identical to those in the earlier study. The time course of enrichment and neurophysiology and analysis methods were also the same. The few A1 recordings we obtained while delineating PAF’s anterior border suggest that the A1 changes in the rats used in this study were comparable to the changes in previous reports.

Conclusion

Fear conditioning, operant conditioning, and nucleus basalis stimulation protocols suggest that nonprimary cortex is more plastic than primary cortex. Our results in PAF support our hypothesis that nonprimary cortical regions can more robustly undergo long-lasting experience-dependent changes compared with primary cortex.
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