Pruriceptive spinothalamic tract neurons: physiological properties and projection targets in the primate
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Davidson S, Zhang X, Khasabov SG, Moser HR, Honda CN, Simone DA, Giesler GJ Jr. Pruriceptive spinothalamic tract neurons: physiological properties and projection targets in the primate. J Neurophysiol 108: 1711–1723, 2012. First published June 20, 2012; doi:10.1152/jn.00206.2012.—Itch of peripheral origin requires information transfer from the spinal cord to the brain for perception. Here, primate spinothalamic tract (STT) neurons from lumbar spinal cord were functionally characterized by in vivo electrophysiology to determine the role of these cells in the transmission of pruriceptive information. One hundred eleven STT neurons were identified by antidromic stimulation and then recorded while histamine and cowhage (a nonhistaminergic pruritogen) were sequentially applied to the cutaneous receptive field of each cell. Twenty percent of STT neurons responded to histamine, 13% responded to cowhage, and 2% responded to both. All pruriceptive STT neurons were mechanically sensitive and additionally responded to heat, intradermal capsaicin, or both. STT neurons located in the superficial dorsal horn responded with greater discharge and longer duration to pruritogens than STT neurons located in the deep dorsal horn. Pruriceptive STT neurons discharged in a bursting pattern in response to the activating pruritogen and to capsaicin. Microantidromic mapping was used to determine the zone of termination for pruriceptive STT axons within the thalamus. Axons from histamine-responsive and cowhage-responsive STT neurons terminated in several thalamic nuclei including the ventral posterior lateral, ventral posterior inferior, and posterior nuclei. Axons from cowhage-responsive neurons were additionally found to terminate in the suprageniculate and medial geniculate nuclei. Histamine-responsive STT neurons were sensitized to gentle stroking of the receptive field after the response to histamine, suggesting a spinal mechanism for alloknesis. The results show that pruriceptive information is encoded by polyodal STT neurons in histaminergic or nonhistaminergic pathways and transmitted to the ventrobasal complex and posterior thalami in primates.
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to which the sensation of itch is processed distinctly, or can be targeted separately, from pain is unresolved.

Spinal cord projection neurons with axons that ascend within the anterolateral funiculus are required for the perception of itch, because lesion of these tracts eliminates itch caused by both histaminergic and nonhistaminergic pruritogens (Bickford 1938; Hyndman and Wolkin 1943). Among the ascending tracts of the anterolateral funiculus, the spinothalamic tract (STT) responds to pruritogens with activation that reflects the time course of itch sensation in humans (Andrew and Craig 2001; Davidson et al. 2007; Simone et al. 2004). Furthermore, the response of STT neurons to histamine is inhibited by scratching the skin, demonstrating a neural correlate of scratch-induced relief and pointing to the importance of spinal processing in the control of itch (Davidson et al. 2009). In addition to activation by pruritogens, STT neurons are also excited by various noxious stimuli. This is similar to pruritogen-responsive primary afferent nociceptors (Johaneke et al. 2008; Ringkamp et al. 2011; Schmelz et al. 2003). The polyodal function of the peripheral and central neural pathways involved in pruriception is at odds with the idea that itch sensation is produced by a distinct subgroup of serially connected neurons excited only by pruritic stimuli (i.e., a “labeled line”). However, an alternative to the labeled line hypothesis has not been demonstrated. Among the possibilities proposed to contribute to the neural discrimination of itch and pain are specialized discharge patterns of primary afferent fibers (Johaneke et al. 2008), differing roles of peptidergic versus glutamatergic signaling at the first synapse (Carstens et al. 2010; Langerstrom et al. 2010; Liu et al. 2010), and spinal neural population coding (Akiyama et al. 2009a; Davidson et al. 2007).

As the target of the STT, the thalamus receives and processes somatosensory information, but its specific role in the discrimination of pruriceptive signals is unclear. The somatotopic organization of the thalamus provides a substrate for representing stimulus localization on the body (Jones 2008). However, an additional layer of organization based on topographic representation of modality could provide a substrate for the discrimination of different types of somatosensory stimuli (Patel et al. 2006; Zhang et al. 2006). To determine the precise anatomical sites within the primate thalamus that receive sensory information associated with itch, we used antidromic mapping to localize axon terminations of pruritogen-responsive STT neurons. Neurons were functionally characterized by their responses to the following stimulus modalities: mechanical, thermal, noxious chemical (capsaicin), and pruritic (both histaminergic and nonhistaminergic). Central sensi-

CHRONIC PRURITUS is often associated with neuropathy, skin disease, and organ dysfunction. Many clinically relevant forms of pruritus are inadequately controlled by antihistamines, and effective alternatives are lacking (Ikoma et al. 2006; Yosipovitch et al. 2004). The recent identification of multiple and projection targets in the primate.
tization was examined after the response to a pruritogen to determine whether alloknesis may occur through a spinal mechanism similar to that described for allodynia (Simone et al. 1991, 2004).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Animal preparation.** Monkeys (*Macaca fascicularis*) between 2.5 and 10 kg were used in accordance with protocols approved by the institutional review board of the University of Minnesota. Monkeys were sedated with ketamine (10 mg/kg im) and catheterized at the cephalic vein. Pentobarbital sodium (20 mg/kg iv) produced a depth of anesthesia sufficient for intubation. α-Chloralose (65 mg/kg iv) was administered slowly over an hour. The monkey was placed on a feedback-controlled heating pad and fixed in the prone position onto a stereotaxic frame. A mixture of pentobarbital sodium (3–10 mg·kg⁻¹·h⁻¹), the paralytic gallamine triethiodide (Flaxedil; 5–14 mg·kg⁻¹·h⁻¹), and physiological saline was delivered intravenously continuously by adjustable-rate pump. The monkey was artificially ventilated, and CO₂ was monitored continuously along with arterial blood pressure, heart rate, and body temperature. Pneumothoraces were placed to reduce movement of the spinal cord. A laminectomy was performed over the lumbar enlargement, a bilateral craniotomy (interaural 0–20 mm rostral and from the midline to 15 mm lateral) exposed the cortex over both thalami, and the dura was retracted. The spinal cord was covered with warm mineral oil, and the brain was covered with a mixture of mineral oil and petroleum jelly.

**Antidromic mapping technique.** The antidromic method is advantageous because it avoids sampling bias introduced by search criteria such as mechanical or chemical sensitivity. To locate the ventral posterior lateral nucleus (VPL), a low-impedance recording electrode was placed into the thalamus (initial coordinates: interaural +8.0 mm, midline +8.0 mm, depth from cortical surface –18.0 mm) in search of neurons activated by tactile stimulation of the contralateral body. Once VPL was somatotopically mapped and its caudal boundary identified (i.e., the most caudal coordinate at which activity could be recorded from mechanical stimulation of the hindlimb), the electrode was repositioned 2 mm caudal, 2–3 mm medial, and 1–2 mm ventral from that point. This location was presumed to be within the posterior thalamus. With the electrode in place, the headstage was replaced by the cathode from a stimulus isolator; the anode, in contact with the monkey, was grounded. A second stimulating electrode was positioned in caudal VPL, within the area most responsive to mechanical stimulation of the hindlimb. Once both stimulating electrodes were positioned, a repeating square wave (“search stimulus”: 5 Hz, 200 μs, 500 μA) was delivered through both electrodes, allowing for the stimulation of a wide area of the posterior thalamus and caudal VPL. During search stimulation, an Epoxylite-insulated stainless steel recording electrode (~10 MΩ) was inserted through a perforation in the spinal pia-arachnoid and into the dorsal horn. The recording electrode was repositioned in the dorsal horn until an action potential was observed meeting the criteria for antidromic activation: constant latency from stimulation, ability to follow a stimulus at higher frequencies, to more intense mechanical stimuli). Thermal stimuli were applied to the receptive field with a contact thermal probe (9 × 9-mm surface area; Yale Instruments). Stimuli of 40°C, 45°C, and 50°C were applied at a rate of 3°C/s from a holding temperature of 30°C. All thermal stimuli were held at the test temperature for 5 s. For some cells only the 50°C stimulus was applied. Subsequent trials were begun after >90 s and only after ongoing activity returned to baseline levels. A cell was considered responsive if the mean firing rate during the 5-s test temperature was at least 150% of the baseline ongoing activity. Baseline activity was calculated as the mean firing rate during the 20 s before the heat stimulus.

STT neurons were examined for sensitization to mechanical stimuli by comparing the discharge to mechanical stimuli before and at least 10 min after activation by a pruritigogen and when ongoing activity returned to baseline. Receptive fields were 1) stroked with a cotton-tipped swab that was mounted on a flexible metal holder (4-cm stroke length in 1 direction through the receptive field), 2) poked with a 100-mN von Frey-like monofilament with a 200-μm tip at four sites within the receptive field, and 3) pricked with a 50-μm punctuate stimulus that was mounted on a monofilament probe that delivered a force of 20 mN (Sikand et al. 2009). Each mechanical stimulus lasted 2 s and was repeated four times, and the responses were averaged. Baseline activity during the 10 s immediately prior to the stimulus application was subtracted from the stimulus-evoked activity to obtain the response.

**Pruritogenic and algogenic stimuli.** Histamine dihydrochloride (20 μg in 10 μl of 0.9% saline), pH 5.0, or a pH-matched vehicle (saline) was delivered intradermally through a 28-gauge needle (Simone et al. 2004). In initial experiments, cowhage was applied by pressing an entire pod onto the receptive field, leaving 50–100 trichomes inserted in the skin. Later, cowhage was applied from the pod and inserted into a cotton-tipped swab so that the distal tip of each trichome pointed out. Approximately 20 trichomes were applied by dabbing the swab against the skin. Cowhage was rendered inactive by placing the pod in an autoclave for 20 min. Inactive cowhage was applied in the same manner.
in which active cowhage was applied. Autoclaved (inactive) cowhage does not produce itch, but the structural integrity of the trichomes is maintained (Sikand et al. 2009). Every cell received each of the four treatments, with the vehicle always preceding histamine and the inactive cowhage always preceding the active cowhage. Four equidistant points were marked within the mechanically identified receptive field, and each control or pruritogen application occurred near one of these points. Subsequent stimuli were applied to an area of skin that was free of a bleb or wheel caused by a previous injection. The order of presentation of vehicle/histamine and inactive/active cowhage was randomized. Trichomes from active and inactive cowhage were removed from the skin after the test with a piece of low-tack paper tape. A minimum of 20 min was taken between stimulus applications. STT neurons were considered responsive to an itch-producing agent when the rate of action potentials \((i)\) increased at least 150\% from the mean ongoing baseline discharge rate and \((2)\) outlasted any response to the control stimulus by at least 60 s. STT neurons were tested for sensitivity to an intradermal injection of capsaicin (10 \(\mu\)g in 10 \(\mu\)l of 7% Tween 80 in normal saline) with a 28-gauge needle after testing with pruritic agents. Analog voltage recordings were amplified; filtered (10–30 kHz), digitized at 33 kHz, and then waveform discriminated and saved on a PC with custom DAPSYS software (www.dapsys.net).

**Histology:** At the end of each experiment, an electrolytic lesion was made at the LTP in the thalamus (15 \(\mu\)A, 45 s) and at the recording point in the spinal cord (25 \(\mu\)A, 20 s). Monkeys were perfused with 1 liter of cold normal saline and then with 3 liters of 10\% formalin overnight and then blocked with 1\% formalin to produce a Prussian blue reaction at the tip of the electrode were identified. All thalamic nuclei were identified in histological sections by location, intensity of staining, size and orientation of cells, and the pattern of fibers passing through the area. The nomenclature for the macaque thalamus in this study was derived from Jones (2008). The atlases of macaque brains at BrainMaps.org (Mikula et al. 2007) were used as supplemental guides.

**Data analyses.** Stimulation intensity maps for antidromic thresholds were superimposed over tracings of thalamic sections by using the lesion marking the LTP as an anchor point and the distance between track marks and the track mark orientation as guides. Color contour plots of the current threshold at each stimulation point were generated with SigmaPlot v. 10.0 (Systat Software). Measures of the variability in spike trains were assessed by using spike time data beginning 30 s after the application of histamine, cowhage, and capsaicin. This was to limit the mechanically evoked activity associated with the application of the stimulus, and to avoid possible latent periods before activity evoked by pruritogens reached the response criteria. Spike times over the following 3 min were used to analyze the spike train; however, for a few cells data up to only 2 min were used because the receptive field was manipulated by the experimenter. The coefficient of variation (CV) was calculated for each pruritogen-responsive STT neuron. CV is the standard deviation of interspike intervals (ISIs) divided by the mean duration of ISIs within a spike train. All statistical analyses were performed with paired or unpaired Student’s \(t\)-tests, ANOVA, or Fisher’s exact test, as appropriate.

**RESULTS**

In adult macaques, 111 STT neurons were identified by antidromic stimulation from the contralateral thalamus and tested for responses to mechanical and chemical stimuli applied to the skin; 91 of these neurons were also tested for responses to thermal stimuli. Each primate STT neuron responded to mechanical stimulation of its receptive field; 29.7\% were classified as HT, and 69.4\% were classified as WDR. A single neuron in this study responded to innocuous brushing but not to noxious pinch and was classified as a low-threshold type. The itch-producing agents histamine and cowhage were applied to the receptive field of STT neurons in pseudorandom order subsequent to the application of their control (i.e., vehicle for histamine or inactivated cowhage spicules). We found that 20.0\% (22/110) of STT neurons were activated by histamine and 12.8\% (14/109) were activated by cowhage. There was little overlap in the histamine-responsive and cowhage-responsive subsets of STT neurons. Of pruritogen-responsive STT neurons only 5.5\% (2/36) responded to both pruritic agents.

The zone of axon termination within the contralateral thalamus was determined by surrounding the most rostral antidromic stimulation site (i.e., the LTP) in three dimensions with current pulses \(>500 \mu\)A that failed to elicit antidromic action potentials. An example of an LTP surrounded by sites of antidromic current pulses is shown in Fig. 1, \(A\) and \(B\). This axon projected from a histamine-responsive STT neuron recorded in the contralateral marginal zone and had a receptive field over four toes of the hindlimb (Fig. 1, \(C\) and \(D\)). The LTP was determined histologically to be within the ventral posterior inferior nucleus (VPI). The LTP was surrounded (medially, dorsoventrally, and rostrally) by stimulation points at which 500-\(\mu\)A pulses were unable to elicit antidromic action potentials, indicating that the axon did not extend outside of the identified zone. Three stimulating pulses delivered at the LTP in the thalamus evoked three action potentials recorded in the spinal cord, each with a latency of 14.0 ms, indicating an estimated conduction velocity of 15.7 m/s (Fig. 1E). The neuron was further examined for responses to mechanical stimuli applied to the receptive field and was classified as HT (Fig. 1F). There was no response to a 50°C cutaneous heating stimulus (not shown). Next the neuron was tested for evoked activity in response to an intradermal injection of vehicle and then of histamine (20 \(\mu\)g in 10 \(\mu\)l). Histamine evoked a discharge >150\% of baseline activity for longer than 60 s compared with the vehicle injection (Fig. 1G). No such discharge was seen after cowhage spicules were applied to the skin (Fig. 1G, inset). Injection of capsaicin evoked a robust and long-lasting discharge (Fig. 1G).

An example of an STT neuron that responded to cowhage is shown in Fig. 2. The LTP (Fig. 2, \(A\) and \(B\)) was surrounded in the rostral, mediolateral, and dorsovenal planes by stimulation sites that failed to produce antidromic action potentials, indicating the zone of axon termination to be within the VPL nucleus. This HT cell, located in the superficial dorsal horn (SDH), was responsive to noxious, but not innocuous, cutaneous mechanical stimulation applied to the receptive field (Fig. 2, \(C–E\)). The response to cowhage began after a latent period, and the elevated activity lasted for \(\approx 2\) min (Fig. 2F).

**Histamine-responsive STT neurons.** STT neurons responsive to histamine were found both in the SDH (13/22) and within the nucleus proprius and lateral reticulataed area of the deep dorsal horn (DDH; 9/22). Of SDH-located histamine-responsive STT neurons, 46\% were classified as HT and 54\% were WDR. Of those located in the DDH, 22\% were HT and 78\% were WDR. Baseline activity of histamine-responsive STT neurons was 2.44 ± 0.90 spikes/s (range 0–18.7 spikes/s). No difference was detected between the baseline firing rates of SDH and DDH neurons (\(P = 0.63, t\)-test). The mean response of 15 histamine-responsive STT neurons to an intradermal
injection of histamine is shown in a peristimulus time histo-
gram in Fig. 3A; 8 units were omitted from this analysis because of the section in A showing the location of each antidromic test site (gray dot). The minimum current amplitude required to elicit antidromic action potentials is shown by a color contour plot. Outside of the contour plot antidromic stimulation did not elicit action potentials. C: receptive field. D: lesion marking the recording site in the superficial dorsal horn (SDH) (arrow). E: antidromic action potentials (i) follow high-frequency triple stimulation (iii) and demonstrate collision (ii; absence of 1st spike of the triplicate, white arrow) when an ascending orthodromic action potential occurs (black arrow). F: responses to mechanical stimulation [brush (Br), pressure (Pr), pinch (Pi)] of the receptive field. G: application of cowhage produced no activation, and intradermal injection of vehicle produced some activation during the injection, but the cell returned quickly to baseline. Injection of histamine produced a large initial discharge and a long-lasting period of activity. Capsaicin also activated the cell. Arrows indicate time of injection/application. 3V, 3rd ventricle; CL, centrolateral n.; CM, centromedian n.; LD, lateral dorsal n.; LG, lateral geniculate; LP, lateral posterior n.; LV, lateral ventricle; MD, mediodorsal n.; MG, medial geniculate; Pla, anterior pulvinar; PLi, inferior pulvinar; VMb, basal ventromedial n.; VPM, ventral posterior medial n.; VPL, ventral posterior lateral n.

**Fig. 1.** Characterization of a histamine-responsive spinothalamic tract (STT) neuron with an axon termination in ventral posterior inferior nucleus (VPI). A: section of thalamus containing the lesion (arrow) marking the furthest rostral low threshold point (LTP) (+8.0 mm rostral of interaural plane). B: illustration of the section in A showing the location of each antidromic test site (gray dot). The minimum current amplitude required to elicit antidromic action potentials is shown by a color contour plot. Outside of the contour plot antidromic stimulation did not elicit action potentials. C: receptive field. D: lesion marking the recording site in the superficial dorsal horn (SDH) (arrow). E: antidromic action potentials (i) follow high-frequency triple stimulation (iii) and demonstrate collision (ii; absence of 1st spike of the triplicate, white arrow) when an ascending orthodromic action potential occurs (black arrow). F: responses to mechanical stimulation [brush (Br), pressure (Pr), pinch (Pi)] of the receptive field. G: application of cowhage produced no activation, and intradermal injection of vehicle produced some activation during the injection, but the cell returned quickly to baseline. Injection of histamine produced a large initial discharge and a long-lasting period of activity. Capsaicin also activated the cell. Arrows indicate time of injection/application. 3V, 3rd ventricle; CL, centrolateral n.; CM, centromedian n.; LD, lateral dorsal n.; LG, lateral geniculate; LP, lateral posterior n.; LV, lateral ventricle; MD, mediodorsal n.; MG, medial geniculate; Pla, anterior pulvinar; PLi, inferior pulvinar; VMb, basal ventromedial n.; VPM, ventral posterior medial n.; VPL, ventral posterior lateral n.

Cowhage-responsive STT neurons. STT neurons responsive to cowhage were found both in the SDH (9/14) and within the DDH (5/14). Of the superficially located cowhage-responsive STT neurons, 44% were classified as HT and 56% were WDR. Of cells located in the DDH, all five were classified as WDR.
Mean baseline activity of cowhage-responsive STT neurons was 3.5 ± 1.2 spikes/s (range 0.13–13.5 spikes/s) and did not differ between SDH and DDH neurons (P = 0.32; t-test). The mean response to cowhage is shown in a peristimulus time histogram along with the relative contributions by the SDH and DDH subsets of STT neurons in Fig. 4A. Similar to the response profile for SDH histamine-responsive STT neurons, cowhage-responsive SDH neurons accounted for a greater proportion of initial activity, and all of the discharge beyond 5 min. A latent period after cowhage application of 30 s was observed in 7 of 14 cowhage-responsive STT neurons (see, e.g., Fig. 2E). Intradermal capsaicin evoked a discharge in six of eight cowhage-responsive STT neurons, and the mean response is shown in Fig. 4B. Of cowhage-responsive STT neurons, 70% responded to a 5-s, 50°C heat stimulus with a mean response of 10.2 ± 6.4 spikes/s (Fig. 4C). This was not different from the response to heat in histamine-responsive STT neurons (P = 0.32, t-test). The spinal location of each cowhage-responsive STT neuron recorded and its capsaicin and heat sensitivity are shown in Fig. 4D.

**Pruriceptive and nociceptive activation.** The discharge produced by histamine in histamine-responsive STT neurons was compared against the discharge produced by cowhage in cowhage-responsive neurons. Additionally, the response to pruritogens was compared against the response to capsaicin (Fig. 5). Baseline activity was not different between histamine-responsive and cowhage-responsive STT neurons (P = 0.48, t-test). The capsaicin-evoked discharge was not different between histamine and cowhage responders in any bin throughout the time course of the recorded response (2-ANOVA), and the data were pooled in further analyses. As shown in Fig. 5A, the mean response to capsaicin was significantly larger than the response to either of the pruritogens (2-ANOVA, Tukey posttest, P < 0.001 vs. histamine-responsive, P < 0.001 vs. cowhage-responsive neurons). The overall discharge rate evoked by histamine versus cowhage was not different, despite the fact that the responses were from nearly exclusive cell populations (based on the pruritic response) and were evoked by different stimuli. All pruritogen-responsive STT neurons responded to noxious mechanical stimulation and were classified as nociceptive. All pruritogen-responsive neurons responded to capsaicin or to heat, and a majority responded to both (Fig. 5, B and C). The proportion of STT neurons responsive to capsaicin was significantly larger in the histamine-responsive subset than in the nociceptive-only population (Fisher’s exact test, P < 0.01). The proportion of heat-responsive STT neurons was not different between any groups.

**Spike train analyses.** An analysis of the variability of ISIs was performed to determine whether histamine and cowhage produced different spike timing dynamics, and whether differences in spiking patterns could be detected between pruritic and noxious stimuli. The variability of ISIs was assessed for each spike train by using the CV (Fig. 6A). The mean CV during the response to histamine (1.74 ± 0.13) was not different from the CV during the response to cowhage (1.47 ± 0.22) (P = 0.28, unpaired t-test). Within groups, the CV during the response to a pruritogen did not differ from the CV during the response to capsaicin. The high degree of variability in spike timing, indicated by CV values well over 1, reflects an irregular discharge pattern. Indeed, spike trains for both the histamine- and cowhage-responsive subsets of STT neurons showed bursting behavior, i.e., a series of short-latency
spikes (intraburst) followed by a period of quiescence (interburst; Fig. 6B). To further characterize the discharge pattern, normalized ISI distribution histograms were generated for histamine- and cowhage-responsive STT neurons (Fig. 6C). For these plots, ISIs from each spike train were sorted into 20 log-scaled bins and the number of ISIs contained within each bin was divided by the total number of ISIs over the spike train. The ratio in each bin was multiplied by 100 to obtain percentage, and mean percent/bin was calculated from all responders. ISIs generated during the response to pruritogens were distributed bimodally, indicating a prevalence of bursting. Peak ISIs clustered around short intervals (intraburst < 10 ms) and longer intervals (100 < interburst < 600 ms). The distribution of ISIs generated by the response to capsaicin was also bimodal. However, a leftward shift in the distribution of “interburst” ISIs occurred in the histamine-responsive subset during the capsaicin response, indicating that capsaicin produced ISIs with significantly shorter interburst intervals.

**Neural correlate of pruritic dysesthesia.** Dysesthesias such as a rekindling of itch can sometimes be evoked with mechanical stimuli applied to an area that was previously itchy (Sikand et al. 2009; Simone et al. 1991). After each control agent and pruritogen were delivered, STT neurons were examined for changes in response to mechanical stimuli to determine whether any hypersensitivity could be detected.
ity was assessed with a cotton-tipped swab that was gently stroked across the receptive field, a von Frey-like monofila-
tment that was poked against the skin within the receptive field, and a pricking stimulus. Activity induced by stroking in histamine-responsive STT neurons was significantly enhanced after the response to histamine compared with the responses to stroking after the vehicle (Fig. 7). All other mechanical stimuli generated responses after the pruritogen that were similar to the responses after the vehicle or inactive application.

Termination zones. Axon termination zones within the con-tralateral thalamus were completely mapped by microanti-
dromic stimulation for six histamine-responsive and six cow-
hage-responsive STT neurons. All histamine-responsive STT neurons were found to terminate within a band of nuclei located within the posterior and ventrobasal complex of the thalamus. These nuclei included the posterior (Po), the VPI, and the VPL. Cowhage-responsive STT neurons possessed axons that were tracked to the same nuclei as histamine-responsive axons, with the addition of termination zones located in the suprageniculate and medial geniculate nuclei. The identified zone of axon termination for each completely surrounded LTP is shown in Fig. 8, and the functional characterization of each cell is indicated. Incompletely surrounded LTPs indicate the location of an axon projection, and may reflect either an axon of passage or a zone of termination. The locations of incompletely surrounded LTPs are illustrated in Fig. 9, with the spinal location and mechanical sensitivity of each associated STT neuron indicated. Although no nucleus within primate thalamus could be classified as an “itch projection center,” axons of pruritogen-responsive STT neurons were most often tracked to the VPL nucleus (15/34, 44%), suggesting a prominent role for VPL in the processing of pruritic information.

Receptive fields. The cutaneous receptive field of each pru-itogen-responsive STT neuron is illustrated in Fig. 10. Recep-
tive fields ranged in size from one digit to most of the

---

**Fig. 4.** Functional characterization of cowhage-responsive STT neurons. **A:** response to cowhage (inactive activity subtracted) is shown in 15-s bins (n = 11) and sorted by SDH (n = 6) and DDH (n = 5). **B:** mean capsaicin discharge over time (combined SDH and DDH, n = 4). **C:** mean response to a 50°C heat ramp in heat-responsive/cowhage-responsive STT neurons (n = 7). **D:** locations of each cowhage-responsive STT neuron and heat and capsaicin profiles.
DISCUSSION

A lack of understanding of the complex neural systems responsible for itch may have impeded the development of new treatments for pruritus, which continue to be based primarily on antihistamines (Thurmond et al. 2008). Intractable pruritus might be overcome by therapy directed at mechanisms of nonhistaminergic itch, which was recently recognized to be largely propagated by distinct primary afferent fibers and spinal neurons (Davidson et al. 2007; Johanek et al. 2007; Namer et al. 2008; reviewed in Davidson and Giesler 2010). In this study we anatomically and functionally tested STT neurons for sensitivity to histamine or the nonhistaminergic pruritogen cowhage and mapped their projection targets within the thalamus. Our results demonstrate that distinct subsets of polymodal primate STT neurons transmit information from histaminergic or nonhistaminergic itch-producing stimuli to the contralateral ventrobasal complex (VPL and VPI) and posterior nucleus (Po). Axons from cowhage-responsive STT neurons were additionally found to terminate in suprageniculate and medial geniculate nuclei, suggesting the possibility of a broader target area for nonhistaminergic pruriceptive projections.

A recent imaging study showed that histamine- and cowhage-induced itch produced overlapping but distinguishable patterns of forebrain activation (Papoiu et al. 2012). In the thalamus, cowhage-induced itch was suggested to have produced more extensive activation than histamine-induced itch, consistent with the present antidromic mapping data. Both cowhage and histamine predominantly elicit the sensation of itch, but other concomitant sensations blend with the overall sensation (Sikand et al. 2009). Subjects who rated a set of attributes describing their sensory experience reported that cowhage produced more “stinging,” “sharp,” and “prickly” sensations than histamine (Kosteletzky et al. 2009). These distinct sensations may be related to the additional areas of activation within the thalamus associated with cowhage-induced itch. Observation of the pattern of neural activation evoked by additional recently identified histamine-independent itch mediators chloroquine, BAM8–22, and cathepsin-S (Liu et al. 2009; Reddy et al. 2010; Sikand et al. 2011) could narrow the neural regions commonly activated by all prurigens.

The extent of overlap in the forebrain for modalotopic representation of nociceptive and pruriceptive sensations is unclear. A degree of shared neuroanatomy between itch and pain is suggested by similar burning and stinging parathesias that are reported by poststroke central neuropathic itch and neuropathic pain patients (Shapiro and Braun 1987; Yosipovitch et al. 2004). The thalamus is integral for somatosensory processing, and while thalamic activation in humans was

hindlimb. Neurons in the DDH possessed a higher proportion of larger receptive fields. The thalamic projection target associated with each receptive field is noted, as is the sensitivity to heat and mechanical stimuli.

**Fig. 6.** Analysis of spike timing in pruritogen-responsive STT neurons. A: the level of the coefficient of variation (CV) of interspike intervals (ISIs) indicates an irregular discharge pattern for pruriceptive STT neurons. The variability is not different between histamine and cowhage or between pruritogen and capsaicin. B: sample of representative spike trains from 1 cowhage- and 1 histamine-responsive STT neuron showing the typical bursting pattern of AP firing. C: normalized ISH distribution histograms. Bimodal peaks indicate that histamine, cowhage, and capsaicin evoke discharges that exhibit bursting behavior. Capsaicin discharge possesses significantly shortened interburst duration compared with histamine (**p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, 2-ANOVA, Tukey posttest).

**Histamine**

- **(n=15)**
- **Impulses/s**
- **Before**
- **After**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STROKE</th>
<th>POKE</th>
<th>PRICK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impulses/s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Cowhage**

- **(n=10)**
- **Impulses/s**
- **Before**
- **After**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STROKE</th>
<th>POKE</th>
<th>PRICK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impulses/s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 7.** Sensitivity to mechanical stimuli before and after a response to a pruritogen. Stroking the receptive field with a cotton-tipped swab evoked a greater discharge after a histamine response than before the histamine response (**p < 0.05**, paired t-test). Other mechanical stimuli elicited no change in discharge after a response to histamine or cowhage.
detected after the induction of itch in some imaging studies (Herde et al. 2007; Leknes et al. 2007; Mochizuki et al. 2003; Valet et al. 2008), it was below the threshold for detection in others (Drzezga et al. 2001; Hsieh et al. 1994). A test of the hypothesis that the magnitude of thalamic activity differed between itch and pain confirmed that histamine-evoked itch produced significantly less thalamic activation than an equally intense pain stimulus (Mochizuki et al. 2007). The idea that itch and pain are transmitted by shared pathways but pruritic stimuli evoke less neural activity than nociceptive stimuli is consistent with the present results in which histamine or cowhage evoked significantly less activity than the painful chemical capsaicin within the same STT neuron. Additionally, brief noxious mechanical and thermal stimuli consistently evoked higher discharge rates in pruriceptive STT neurons than pruritic agents. Our data suggest that the lower metabolic activity observed in the thalamus during itch may be a result of both the modest proportion of pruriceptive STT neurons and the lower activity of these neurons when stimulated by pruritogens compared with algogens.

The intensity theory of itch, which postulates that low- or high-intensity firing within a primary sensory neuron generates itch or pain, respectively, has been challenged by evidence from transcutaneous electrical stimulation experiments that have found that altering stimulation frequency does not convert itch to pain (Ikoma et al. 2005; Tuckett 1982). Also, C-fiber
discharge patterns in humans did not correlate with itch or pain (Handwerker et al. 1991). The experimental evidence against the intensity theory is focused on primary afferent fibers and does not exclude the possibility of intensity coding in the CNS. Additionally, the possibility of pruriceptive or nociceptive information coded within the timing dynamics of the spike train of spinal neurons is unresolved. Interestingly, in response to histamine and cowhage, peripheral C fibers in the monkey were found to fire in a bursting pattern (Johanek et al. 2008). Here we show that pruriceptive STT neurons fired in a bursting pattern to both pruritogens and also to a subsequent application of capsaicin. The interval between bursts was significantly longer during histamine than during capsaicin, limiting the discharge rate and possibly contributing a direct signal for itch. Interburst intervals during the response to cowhage likewise appeared longer than those during the response to capsaicin, but it is cautioned that this was not significant.

“Itchy skin,” or alloknesis, is itch evoked by gentle mechanical stimulation (Simone et al. 1991). The magnitude and duration of histamine-induced alloknesis develop in a dose-dependent manner (Simone et al. 1991), but alloknesis after cowhage was reported to develop in only ~50% of trials (LaMotte et al. 2009). Alloknesis was hypothesized to reflect sensitization of pruriceptive spinal neurons. Sensitization of pruriceptive neurons could be a mechanism for some forms of chronic pruritus. Previously, we found a single STT neuron that doubled its discharge to stroking the skin after histamine (Simone et al. 2004). Here we examined the mean response to stroking, a punctuate stimulus, and a pricking stimulus before and after responses to histamine or cowhage. Histamine-responsive (but not cowhage-responsive) STT neurons developed a significantly sensitized response to stroking, but no changes in response to punctuate or pricking stimuli were observed in either type of STT neuron.

All primate pruriceptive STT neurons responded to noxious mechanical and some combination of noxious thermal and chemical stimulation, indicating that they were polymodal chemonociceptive neurons. Pruriceptive STT neurons were found in both the SDH and DDH, but superficially located neurons contributed the bulk of the overall response to each pruritic agent. Previous work in cats showed histamine-responsive SDH STT neurons that were unresponsive to mechanical stimulation and mustard oil, and it was suggested that these cells were selective for itch (Andrew and Craig 2001). This selectivity for histamine may reflect species differences between cats and primates, and may also reflect methodological differences in the testing of adequate mechanical, thermal, and chemical stimuli. In the mouse, spinal and trigeminal neurons with undetermined projections responded to histamine and to the peptide SLIGRL, an activator of the proteinase-activated receptor (PAR)2 that is involved in nonhistaminergic itch. These mouse spinal and trigeminal neurons also responded to noxious thermal and chemical stimuli, indicating their polymodal nature, although a rare neuron was found to be selective for pruritogens (Akiyama et al. 2009a, 2009b, 2010). The majority of spinal neurons in cat and mouse did not respond to pruritic agents. Our results show that two-thirds of primate STT neurons were nonpruriceptive (i.e., nociceptive only), indicating that itch is encoded and transmitted to the brain by a limited subset of spinal neurons. It is possible that other pruritogens such as BAM8–22 or chloroquine, not used in this study, could have activated some of the nonpruriceptive neurons.

The contribution to pain sensation of the subset of pruriceptive spinal neurons is unclear. A group of superficially located pruriceptive spinal neurons in mice was discovered that could be identified by the expression of the gastrin-releasing peptide receptor (GRPR) (Sun and Chen 2007). Elimination of the neurons expressing GRPR reduced scratching in mice exposed to various pruritogens, but pain behaviors were not affected (Sun et al. 2009). These results demonstrate the importance of the subset of dorsal horn neurons expressing GRPR for itch-related behavior. The electrophysiological properties of single spinal neurons expressing GRPR have not yet been reported, so it is not known whether they can be activated by nociceptive stimuli. Because the pruriceptive subset of spinal neurons is small compared with the nociceptive-only population, their con-
alternative activator of PAR2, the peptide SLIGRL, induced scratching in mice and a discharge in a subset of dorsal horn neurons (Akiyama et al. 2009a, 2009b; Shimada et al. 2006). SLIGRL has also been identified as an activator of MrgrpC11, and its activation induced scratching in mice; however, SLIGRL activation of PAR2 appeared to have a role in hyperalgesia and not itch (Liu et al. 2011). Whether, or the extent to which, cowhage mucunain activates Mrgrps or PARs to produce itch in primates remains to be answered. Recently, in addition to C fibers, mechanically sensitive Adnociceptors were found to be responsive to cowhage and histamine and contributed to the sensation of itch (Ringkamp et al. 2011).

Primary afferent pruriceptors contain “pruritceptors” such as histamine receptors, PARs, or Mrgrps but also contain “nociceptive receptors” such as TRPV1 (Imamichi et al. 2009; Shim et al. 2007), TRPA1 (Wilson et al. 2011), and bradykinin receptors (Han et al. 2006). Some of these nociceptive receptors have been shown to be critically involved in the cellular responses to a pruritogen. Therefore, it could be expected that spinal neurons responsive to pruritic stimuli would also be responsive to noxious stimuli. Given this polymodality of STT neurons, the finding of distinct subsets of histaminergic and nonhistaminergic STT neurons is surprising. A possible explanation for why histaminergic STT neurons did not respond to cowhage is that peripheral histamine fibers may terminate at a depth in the skin below where cowhage spicules could penetrate. If true, this would suggest the interesting hypothesis that termination depth in the skin of primary afferent fibers guides the central input to distinct subsets of STT neurons. This anatomy may also provide a reason for why intradermal injections of SLIGRL, but not cowhage spicules, could activate spinal neurons responsive to histamine (in addition to the ability of SLIGRL to activate Mrgrps on histamine-responsive sensory neurons). On the other hand, it is probable that intradermal injection of histamine extends into the epidermis where the cowhage-responsive fibers presumably terminate. This suggests that cowhage-responsive fibers, despite being exposed to histamine, do not transmit histaminergic information to the STT.

New ways to alleviate itch could take advantage of the “endogenous” itch control system. Previously, we found that scratching the receptive field inhibited histamine-evoked activity in STT neurons, pointing to a spinal mechanism for the control of itch (Davidson et al. 2009). A candidate inhibitory interneuron that acts to regulate itch was recently identified in the SDH (Ross et al. 2010). Also, blocking GABAergic and glycnergic transmission in the spinal cord blocked scratch-induced inhibition of ongoing activity in dorsal horn neurons from a mouse model of dry skin pruritus (Akiyama et al. 2011). Together these observations indicate that prurceptive STT neurons are positioned within a complex and plastic circuitry that could provide a locus for pharmacological control of pruritus.
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