Inhibitory connections in the honeybee antennal lobe are spatially patchy

Cyrille C. Girardin, Sabine Kreissl, and C. Giovanni Galizia
Department of Neurobiology, University of Konstanz, Konstanz, Germany

Submitted 28 November 2011; accepted in final form 21 October 2012

Girardin CC, Kreissl S, Galizia CG. Inhibitory connections in the honeybee antennal lobe are spatially patchy. J Neurophysiol 109: 332–343, 2013. First published October 24, 2012; doi:10.1152/jn.01085.2011.—The olfactory system is a classical model for studying sensory processing. The first olfactory brain center [the olfactory bulb of vertebrates and the antennal lobe (AL) of insects] contains spherical neuropiles called glomeruli. Each glomerulus receives the information from one olfactory receptor type. Interglomerular computation is accomplished by lateral connectivity via interneurons. However, the spatial and functional organization of these lateral connections is not completely understood. Here we studied the spatial logic in the AL of the honeybee. We combined topical application of neurotransmitters, olfactory stimulations, and in vivo calcium imaging to visualize the arrangement of lateral connections. Suppression of activity in a single glomerulus with γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA) while presenting an odor reveals the existence of inhibitory interactions. Stimulating a glomerulus with acetylcholine (ACh) activates inhibitory interglomerular connections that can reduce odor-evoked responses. We show that this lateral network is patchy, in that individual glomeruli inhibit other glomeruli with graded strength, but in a spatially discontinuous manner. These results suggest that processing of olfactory information requires combinatorial activity patterns with complex topologies across the AL.
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In sensory systems, information from the receptor cells are processed via networks of neurons that interconnect laterally. This primary transformation modifies the temporal and spatial representation of the stimulus. Studying the logic of lateral interconnectivity in early sensory processing is therefore important to understanding how the brain creates reliable representations of the environment. In the olfactory system, these lateral interactions occur first in the antennal lobe (AL) (insects) or in the olfactory bulb (vertebrates).

Axons of olfactory receptor cells and the processes of olfactory bulb or of antennal lobe neurons form glomeruli. The excitatory transmitter of receptor cells in insects is likely to be acetylcholine (ACh). In the honeybee, ≈160 glomeruli are arranged on the surface of the AL, creating a spherical two-dimensional layer. Several populations of local neurons interconnect glomeruli: homogeneous local neurons branch in large areas of the AL in a uniform manner, while heterogeneous local neurons innervate only one glomerulus densely and others sparsely, allowing for more selective interglomerular connections (Flanagan and Mercer 1989; Fonta et al. 1993; Sun et al. 1993). A large subpopulation of ≈800 local neurons is GABAergic (Schäfer and Bicker 1986) and creates a local inhibitory network. Physiological experiments revealed excitatory and inhibitory lateral interactions in the AL of insects and in the olfactory bulb of vertebrates (Aungst et al. 2003; Christensen et al. 1998a, 1998b; Luo and Katz 2001; Olsen et al. 2007; Olsen and Wilson 2008; Reisenman et al. 2008; Root et al. 2007; Shang et al. 2007; Vucinic et al. 2006; Yokoi et al. 1995).

In vertebrates, geometrical arrangements (center-surround), patchy arrangements (possibly dictated by functional relationships), and intermediate arrangements have been proposed, leaving the question unresolved at the current stage (Fantana et al. 2008; Kim et al. 2011, 2012; Luo and Katz 2001). Here we specifically studied the spatial organization of lateral inhibitory connections. In particular, we asked whether the strength of inhibitory connections depends on distance, and whether activity in a single glomerulus can alter the spatial response pattern driven by an odor. Both γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA) and ACh are present in the bee AL, as shown in anatomical studies (Kreissl and Bicker 1989; Schäfer and Bicker 1986). Functionally, GABA and ACh suppress and increase neuronal activity, respectively (Michelsen and Braun 1987; Waldrop et al. 1987; Waldrop and Hildebrand 1989). Data from different species support the idea that GABA and ACh act on most or possibly all local neurons and projection neurons (see Chou et al. 2010; Christensen et al. 1998a; Distler and Boeckh 1998; Wilson 2011). Thus we used GABA and ACh to manipulate the activity in single glomeruli. We show that there is no correlation between distance and inhibitory strength between a glomerulus pair. Furthermore, activating a single glomerulus can modulate the spatial odor response pattern.

Materials and methods

Animal preparation and staining with fura. A total of 36 adult forager honeybees (female) were used for calcium imaging of olfactory projection neurons in the AL with fura-2. The detailed procedures have been described elsewhere (Fernandez et al. 2009; Sachse and Galizia 2002; Szyszka et al. 2005). Briefly, adult forager honeybees were collected at the hive entrance. They were immobilized by cooling on ice and fixed in a Plexiglas recording chamber with wax. The head capsule was opened. Trachea and glands covering the staining location were removed. A glass electrode coated with fura-2 dextran was inserted bilaterally between the calyces of the mushroom bodies to stain the projection neurons retrogradely. The capsule was closed again and sealed with wax. Bees were fed to satiation with sugar water (30%) and kept overnight in a humidified box at room temperature. The next day, the animal was prepared for optical recording. Antennae were fixed with wax. To minimize movement artifact the mandibles and the proboscis were fixed with wax. The esophagus was stretched, and the abdomen was slightly compressed with a sponge. The head capsule was reopened. Glands and trachea covering AL were removed.

Odor stimulation and iontophoresis. A custom-built olfactometer using magnetic two-way valves was used for odor stimulation. Three odors (1-nonanol, 1-hexanol, and 2-heptanone, diluted 1:100 in mineral oil) and a mineral oil control were used. This concentration was
appropriate to allow fast return (2–10 s depending on the glomerulus, see Supplemental Movie S3) to baseline after termination of the odor pulse. These odors were presented (1–3 s) at the beginning of each experiment to test odor response and to identify glomeruli with the atlas (Sachse et al. 1999). The same odors were also presented in combination with neurotransmitter injection.

Drugs were dissolved in saline. Fresh solutions were prepared every week. Multibarrel pipettes were used to inject ACh (0.5 M, pH 4.2) and GABA (0.5 M, pH 3.5) with iontophoresis (Axoclamp 2A, Axon Instruments or MVSC-02C, npi electronic). One barrel (filled with saline, pH 7.6) was used as current balance channel. Injection current ranged from +10 to +100 nA for both GABA and ACh. For ACh injections the current was set to produce responses that were within the range of odor responses (see Supplemental Movie S3). Higher currents (up to 1,000 nA) were only used for current tests and pH tests. A retention current (~5 nA) was applied between injections. Injections lasted 1–4 s. Each drug barrel was tested, and the pipette was only used if effects (excitation with ACh and odor response suppression with GABA) were visible at the injection site. For each bee we first recorded the calcium response pattern to the odor 1-nonal, and then one of the strongly responding glomeruli (T1-17 and T1-33; see Sachse et al. 1999) was penetrated with the pipette.

Since in some experiments no balancing current was used, we tested the effects of current in control experiments (see Fig. 2). We used three-barreled pipettes for current tests. One barrel was filled with ACh (0.5 M, pH 4.2), and the two remaining barrels were filled with saline (pH 7.6). We used one of the saline barrels to balance the current. The other was used to inject saline and thus test directly the effect of current. We tested different injection currents for saline and ACh with the current balance module switched ON or OFF. For pH tests three-barrel pipettes were used and filled with ACh (0.5 M, pH 4.2), saline with pH 7.6 (current balance channel), and saline with pH 3.7 (injected to test pH). Different injection currents were tested for ACh and saline with pH 3.7, and current was either balanced or not with the barrel with saline of pH 7.6 (see Fig. 2).

**Imaging and data analysis.** We used ratiometric calcium imaging (dip objective ×20, 0.5 NA, Olympus; excitation wavelengths 340 and 380 nm and emission 510 nm). Data were acquired at 5 Hz with a CCD camera (Till Photonics). Using a CCD camera allowed us to image a large portion of the AL at high spatial resolution (image: 172 × 130 pixels corresponding to 430 × 325 μm). Unlike confocal or two-photon systems, a CCD camera records the whole field of view simultaneously because no scanning time is needed.

Data were analyzed with custom-written routines in IDL (Research Systems, Boulder, CO) and MATLAB (MathWorks). Lateral movement correction was performed by manually realigning each measurement within one animal. Ratios of 340 nm to 380 nm and emission 510 nm. Data were acquired at 5 Hz with a current ranging from +10 to +100 nA for both GABA and ACh. The false-color picture in Fig. 5 was calculated by subtracting the mean background response (18 trials, 3 frames averaged) from the response (18 trials, 2 frames averaged) just after injection termination. The picture was then spatially filtered for display purpose. An AL was considered to have inhibitory response due to ACh injection if at least one glomerulus showed a clear negative deflection in the signal (24/36 ALs, always only 1 AL per bee). These ALs were analyzed further (in the other ALs excitatory responses were detected at the injection site, but no clear negative signal was visible in any other glomerulus). We did not investigate the reason why 12 ALs showed no inhibition. Possible reasons include too small injection volumes, damage to the tissue by the pipette, or a very sparse inhibitory network so that all inhibited glomeruli were outside the field of view. The responses of single glomeruli were calculated by averaging 5 s starting at injection time. For experiments with multiple injections using the same current the mean response was calculated. For statistical analysis see below. To compare the results from several bees (see Fig. 6B) we pooled data and normalized responses (maximum inhibition set to −1 and other responses linearly rescaled). In experiments with combined odor and ACh injections (see Fig. 9) the odor response suppression was estimated by subtracting the response to odor + injection from the response to odor alone. Odor response was taken as the mean during odor presentation (1 s) and the inhibition as the integrated response over 5 s from injection time. Data are presented as means ± SE unless otherwise stated.

We measured the radius of activity produced by ACh injection on the ratiometric data (ΔF/F0). The response of each pixel was calculated by averaging 1 s of activity during the injection and by spatial averaging with 11 × 11 pixels (27.5 × 27.5 μm). We plotted the response to the injection for all pixels along a line passing through the center of the injection site (ACh response profile of the injection site) and similarly for the response to an odor at the same location (odor response profile at the injection site). We then fitted a Gaussian equation to each response profile and measured the half-width at half-height on the fits to compare the radius of activity produced by ACh and odors at the injection site.

**Statistical analysis.** Unless otherwise mentioned, significance was determined with two-sample t-tests (2-tailed). For multiple comparisons the significance level was adjusted with the Bonferroni-Holm correction.

**Anatomical analysis.** Biocytin (2%) was injected iontophoretically (+1,000 nA, 1 s ON/OFF cycles, 10 min) extracellularly into a glomerulus after recording. Dissection, visualization of biocytin, and tissue processing were according to standard procedures as described in Kreissl et al. (2010). Briefly, brains were dissected in cold 4% paraformaldehyde and fixed for 2 h before being washed extensively in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). DAPI was used as a counterstain, and biocytin was tagged with streptavidin-Cy3 (Jackson Immunoresearch Europe) at 1:500 in PBS for at least 3 days at room temperature. Brains were washed, dehydrated, and cleared in xylene, and embedded in DPX (Sigma-Aldrich Chemie, München, Germany). Brains were scanned with a Zeiss LSM 510 NL confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany). Stained cells were traced and reconstructed with Amira (Visage Imaging, Berlin, Germany).

### RESULTS

**Acetylcholine produces excitatory responses.** We injected ACh in AL glomeruli of bees with a pipette by iontophoresis. ACh produced strong intracellular increases of calcium concentration at the injection site (Fig. 1). Typically, the response to ACh started one or two sampling frames (200–400 ms) after injection onset, when the current was between +30 and +80 nA. With these currents the response peaked 0.75 ± 0.24 s (mean ± SE, n = 13 bees) after injection offset. In general, the calcium level returned to baseline in <30 s even with relatively high currents (Fig. 2). The signal amplitude increased with increasing injection current (Fig. 1B). The excitatory effect was local and did not affect the entire AL directly. The radius of activity produced by ACh injections (30.6 ± 3.1 μm) was not significantly different from that produced by an odor stimulation (29.7 ± 2.0 μm, mean ± SE, n = 9 bees; paired t-test, 2-tailed, t16 = 0.31, P > 0.81). Cell bodies at the periphery of the AL...
also responded during ACh stimulation with a similar time course (Fig. 1B) and a short delay (close to our temporal resolution of 200 ms). We assume that these are the cell bodies of projection neurons in the injected glomerulus, suggesting that ACh depolarized the measured projection neurons, and that these cells express voltage-sensitive calcium channels on their somata. Therefore, ACh injections can be used to generate localized activity spots (Fig. 1A).

Next, we verified that the effect was due to ACh and not to the injecting current or the low pH of the solution by injecting saline of pH 7.6 (current test) and pH 3.7 (pH test). For comparison we also injected ACh at the same locations (Fig. 2). In all cases ACh produced an excitatory response at the injection site (Fig. 2A). In two of three bees injections of saline pH 7.6 with currents up to +1,000 nA did not produce any measurable response in the injected glomerulus. In the third bee a small excitatory response was visible with +160 nA (Fig. 2B). However, this response was of negligible amplitude compared with the response triggered by ACh with the same current (Fig. 2A). Moreover, ACh produced an inhibitory

Fig. 2. Effect of saline and current injections. In A–F the gray bar represents injection time. A: responses to ACh at the injection location. Current was +160 nA in all traces. Black, 2 examples with current balanced; blue, current not balanced. B: responses to saline (pH 7.6) at the injection location shown in A. Current was +160 nA in all traces. Black, 2 examples with current balanced; blue, current not balanced. Saline only triggered a very small excitatory signal. Note the scale difference in A and B. C: response of a distant glomerulus to the injections of ACh shown in A. Lateral inhibition was clearly visible in this glomerulus (see text for details). D: response of a distant glomerulus to the injections of saline shown in B. The small effect of saline at the injection location (B) was not large enough to trigger lateral inhibition in the distant glomerulus, while ACh did produce lateral inhibition (C). E: responses to ACh at the injection location in a different bee. Current was balanced and was +80 nA (red), +100 nA (green), +150 nA (black, repetition in blue). F: responses to saline (pH 3.7) at the injection location shown in E. Current was +50 nA, not balanced (red), +300 nA, not balanced (green), +1,000 nA, not balanced (blue), +1,000 nA, balanced (black). Only very high and unbalanced current (blue) could trigger excitation at the injection location.
response in other, distant glomeruli (Fig. 2C; see below), while saline did not (Fig. 2D). Balancing or not balancing the current (see MATERIALS AND METHODS) had no effect.

To assess the effect of the low pH in the ACh and GABA solutions we injected saline with pH 3.7 or ACh at the same locations. Typically saline with low pH could only produce a response with a current equal to or larger than +300 nA and when current was not balanced (Fig. 2, E and F). Note that in the current and pH test experiments we used particularly high currents (up to 1,000 nA) compared with standard conditions (10–100 nA). This was to maximize potential current or pH effects. We conclude that current and pH have no influence under our standard protocol (injection current \( \leq +100 \) nA). We performed additional controls with high currents and long injection times to test diffusion of ACh. We also observed that diffusion of ACh away from the injection location was slow and was only effective with large currents (\( > +200 \) nA). For example, an ACh injection with +1,000 nA (1.6 s) triggered an excitatory response at 125 \( \mu m \) from the pipette after \( -15 \) s. No lateral diffusion effects were visible with the parameters used in the remainder of this report.

**GABA suppresses odor response.** After showing that the excitatory neurotransmitter ACh elicits neural activity, we asked whether the inhibitory transmitter GABA would block activity, given that many local neurons in the AL are GABAergic (Schäfer and Bicker 1986). To this end, we elicited glomerular activity with the odor 1-nonanol, which activates glomeruli T1-17 and T1-33 (Sachse et al. 1999). We then targeted one of these two glomeruli with a multibarrel pipette containing GABA. Next, we combined GABA injections with odor stimulation. We found that GABA injections reduced odor responses in the injected glomerulus (\( n = 7 \) bees; see Supplemental Movie S2) and that the strength of suppression was dose dependent both for injection duration and for current intensity (data not shown). As for ACh injections, the direct effect of GABA injections was restricted to the targeted glomerulus (Fig. 3, A–C). For example, GABA injection into T1-17 did not influence the response of the direct neighbor T1-33.

![Figure 3](http://jn.physiology.org/)

**Fig. 3.** Interglomerular interactions during GABA injections. A1–A6: false-color pictures showing 6 measurements to nonanol stimulation (2 s) before GABA injection. Only the response to the first odor pulse is shown. Time traces (with additional odor pulses) of 3 glomeruli (circles) are shown in D. Note the inhibition (\( G_{\text{inh}} \)) triggered by the odor stimulus (e.g., in A5). Color bar, directions (m, medial; an, antennal nerve), and scale bar (100 \( \mu m \)) in A1 apply to all panels. Arrow in A1, injected glomerulus (T1-17). B1–B6: measurements during odor stimulation (2 s, response to first pulse only) and simultaneous GABA injection (4 s). Injection current indicated at bottom left. Otherwise same as A. C1–C6: measurements after GABA. Otherwise same as A. The odor stimulus triggered the inhibition again in \( G_{\text{inh}} \) (see, e.g., C5). A–C: false-color pictures calculated by subtracting the background response (3 frames averaged before stimulus) from the response during odor stimulation (3 frames averaged). D: time traces from the 3 glomeruli marked in A5, B5, and C5 for measurements before (black), during (red, +75 nA, gray bar), and after (green) GABA. The step function (top) shows the 4 odor pulses. For \( G_{\text{inh}} \) traces are averaged (4 trials) and plotted separately for better visibility. Note how the inhibition in \( G_{\text{inh}} \) triggered by the odor pulses (shown by arrows) disappeared during GABA injection into T1-17 (gray bar, red trace). Inhibition reappeared after recovery (green trace and 3rd and 4th pulses on red trace). E: example of Int Response measurement. Int Response is the integral (estimated with the trapezoidal method) of time traces from odor onset over 5 s (blue shaded area). It has units \% \times s. F: mean responses (\( n = 4 \) trials, error bars are SD), y-Axis shows the integrated signal (Int Response) from each of the 3 glomeruli marked in A, B, and C before (black), during (red), and after (green) GABA. The response in glomerulus \( G_{\text{inh}} \) is excitatory during GABA injection compared with inhibitory before and after (see text for details). Time traces represent the average of 11 \times 11 pixels (27.5 \( \mu m \times 27.5 \mu m \)) around the glomerular center.

J Neurophysiol • doi:10.1152/jn.01085.2011 • www.jn.org
We injected GABA in T1-17 its odor response was significantly many instances injection of ACh produced inhibitory responses. We compared the odor response in glomeruli T1-17, T1-33, and Ginh before, during, and after injection (2-sample t-test, Bonferroni correction, P < 0.01/3, integrated 5 s of odor response; see example shown in Fig. 3E; mean of measurements with +30, +50, +75, and +100 nA, Fig. 3F). When we injected GABA in T1-17 its odor response was significantly reduced (before vs. during: t0 = 17.15, P = 2.5e-6) and recovered after injection (before vs. after: t0 = 1.13, P = 0.3). The neighboring glomerulus T1-33 was not significantly influenced by the injection in T1-17 (before vs. during: t0 = 0.08, P = 0.9; another glomerulus next to T1-17 was also suppressed by GABA with current higher than +10 nA; this might be due to diffusion). Interestingly, the activity in glomerulus Ginh (located on the other side of the AL) was significantly larger (not inhibited anymore) during GABA injection in glomerulus T1-17 (before vs. during: t0 = 6.72, P = 5.3e-4) and recovered after injection (before vs. after: t0 = 0.2, P = 0.8). These results suggest that there is a direct inhibitory connection from T1-17 to other individual glomeruli in the AL. On the basis of our data we cannot decide whether this unmasked excitation is due to receptor neuron input, to lateral excitation, or to a release from direct inhibition from glomerulus T1-17.

Assuming a direct inhibitory connection, the activation of T1-17 alone (without the remaining odor-evoked pattern) should trigger inhibition in glomerulus Ginh. We therefore injected ACh (+10 to +80 nA) into T1-17 (via another barrel of the pipette) and indeed found inhibition in glomerulus Ginh at +80 nA (data not shown). Thus activity in T1-17 alone was sufficient for the inhibitory response in Ginh. We also observed that ACh induced inhibition in other glomeruli in a patterned manner. This suggests that there are several inhibitory connections from individual glomeruli toward other individual glomeruli within the AL. To map the spatial arrangement of these interglomerular connections, we used local application of ACh into single glomeruli in subsequent experiments.

**Interglomerular inhibition.** First, we asked whether inhibition in distant glomeruli depends on the magnitude of the ACh injection. To this end, we varied the injection magnitude (current). We found that the calcium concentration decrease in distant glomeruli was dose dependent. Generally, no inhibition was visible at very low injection magnitudes, although these injections triggered excitation at the injection site (Fig. 4A). In many instances injection of ACh produced inhibitory responses in neighboring glomeruli that were detectable in single trials (Fig. 4B). To assess the reliability of the ACh effect we injected the same current (+60 nA) repeatedly (n = 18). Glomeruli showed the same response pattern as in single-injection currents (Fig. 4C). In the animal shown in Fig. 4C the excitation in T1-33 triggered inhibition in T1-38 and to a lesser extent in T1-17, while T1-28 was not inhibited. Next, we asked whether the number of inhibited glomeruli increased with larger ACh injections. This analysis was performed on single trials as shown in Fig. 4B. For each bee the smallest current that produced significant inhibition (response to ACh: average over 5 s after injection, significance level: 1.5× the standard deviation over 4.8 s before injection) in at least one glomerulus was selected as “low current” and the largest current tested (or +100 nA if higher currents were used) was set as “high current.” The rational for using 1.5× as threshold is as follows: Calcium decreases due to inhibition are always small because they are limited by calcium pumping capacity of neurons against a background of already low intracellular calcium. Furthermore, background standard deviation is high, because olfactory glomeruli in the bee have a pronounced tendency to be spontaneously active (Galan et al. 2006; see the recording in Supplemental Movie S1 to judge the amount of spontaneous activity in the AL and the inhibitory effect of ACh injection; note in the movie how spontaneous activity decreased during ACh injection and how it recovered within seconds after injection termination). Our settings allowed us to detect reliably small inhibitory effects. For example, the inhibition shown in Fig. 4D is detected by a threshold set at 1.5× the standard deviation but not by a threshold at 2.5× the standard deviation. We detected inhibition in 12 bees. On average, low currents produced inhibition in 3 ± 0.8 glomeruli (mean ± SE, median = 2) while high currents inhibited 6.3 ± 1.0 glomeruli (mean ± SE, median = 6.5) per bee (Fig. 4E). This difference was significant (n = 12 bees, Wilcoxon signed-rank test, t = 3.5, P = 0.0059). Using a more conservative significance threshold of 2.5× the standard deviation for inhibition yielded 0.1 ± 0.1 glomeruli with low currents and 3.3 ± 0.4 glomeruli with high currents (Wilcoxon signed-rank test, t = 0, P = 0.015, n = 6 bees). Therefore, inhibitory connection strength differed among glomeruli: weak injections of ACh led to inhibition in significantly fewer glomeruli than strong injections of ACh into the same glomerulus. This suggests that inhibitory neural connections between glomerulus pairs are graded and at least partially independent. In our experiments, we never saw evidence for excitatory connections between the injected glomerulus and a distant glomerulus, i.e., excitation (calcium increase) was always limited to the injected glomerulus only or—with a delay (see Fig. 6C, inset)—to its direct neighbors, suggesting that these excitations were due to ACh diffusion rather than to neuronal connectivity.

**Inhibition is spatially patchy.** What is the spatial organization of the inhibition triggered by activity in a single glomerulus? There are three possible scenarios: 1) inhibition could be uniform across the entire AL; 2) inhibition could be patchy, but inhibitory strength could be related to distance; or 3) inhibition could be patchy and inhibitory strength not related to distance. First, we generally found that inhibition varied in amplitude and duration in different glomeruli (Fig. 5), indicating that inhibition is not uniform across the AL, and ruling out possibility 1. In Fig. 5 the false-color picture shows the patchy...
pattern triggered by the injection of ACh. To distinguish between the other two possibilities, we quantified the spatial pattern of interglomerular inhibition and asked how the strength of inhibition depended on the distance to the injection pipette. We plotted signal amplitude produced by the injection against the distance to the injection site for six bees (Fig. 6A). Significant signal changes were mostly inhibitory (48/59 glomeruli, Fig. 6, B and C). Excitatory ACh responses were only found close to the injection site and were likely caused by diffusion (Fig. 6C). In those cases they were delayed (Fig. 6C, inset). The mean distance to pipette of excitatory ACh responses (46.2 ± 6.9 μm) was significantly smaller than the mean distance to pipette of the inhibitory ACh responses (109.8 ± 4.9 μm, mean ± SE; 2-sample t-test, 2-tailed, t57 = 5.94, P = 1.8e-7). The amplitudes of inhibition were never correlated with distance (−0.48 ≤ r ≤ 0.58, 0.23 ≤ P ≤ 0.71; Fig. 6A). Analysis of normalized and pooled data showed no relationship between distance to injected glomerulus and in-

Fig. 4. Response to ACh injections and odors. Example of ACh injections with different currents. Each injection lasted 1.6 s (gray bar), and currents indicated apply to A and B. A: responses (single trials) to single ACh injections (black) in glomerulus T1-33 (injection site). The purple trace shows the response to 1-nonanol (1 s) in this glomerulus. B: responses (single trials) of glomeruli T1-17 (red), T1-28 (green), and T1-38 (blue) to ACh injections in T1-33. Note the inhibitory response in T1-17 and T1-38 with +60 nA. The fast and transient calcium increases (e.g., in B +60 nA, green trace, at 11 s) are spontaneous responses (see Galan et al. 2006 and compare Supplemental Movie S1). C: mean response to 18 ACh injections in glomerulus T1-33 (black trace, 1 s, +60 nA, gray bar). The mean responses in glomeruli T1-17 (red), T1-28 (green), and T1-38 (blue) as a result of the injections in T1-33 are also shown. Note how excitation and inhibition varies in both amplitude and duration depending on the glomerulus. T1-36 was tentatively identified (denoted by asterisk). The false-color picture (triangle shows glomerulus T1-33, the injected glomerulus) represents the mean (18 trials) normalized response during a ACh injection. The false-color picture was calculated by averaging 18 trials [each trial is the average of 2 frames after injection termination with background subtracted (average of 35 frames before injection), see MATERIALS AND METHODS]. Note that, in false-color pictures, glomerulus size depends on activity. Time traces represent the average of 11 × 11 pixels (27.5 μm × 27.5 μm) around the glomerulus center. Scale bar, 50 μm. Antennal nerve is left and lateral is top.

Fig. 5. ACh injections trigger inhibition of different amplitudes and durations in the AL. Traces show the normalized responses (normalization relative to peak of response at the injection site in T1-33) of 4 example glomeruli. Vertical gray bar shows the ACh injection. Gray shading shows SE (18 trials). Note how inhibition varies in both amplitude and duration depending on the glomerulus. T1-36 was tentatively identified (denoted by asterisk). The false-color picture (triangle shows glomerulus T1-33, the injected glomerulus) represents the mean (18 trials) normalized response during a ACh injection. The false-color picture was calculated by averaging 18 trials [each trial is the average of 2 frames after injection termination with background subtracted (average of 35 frames before injection), see MATERIALS AND METHODS]. Note that, in false-color pictures, glomerulus size depends on activity. Time traces represent the average of 11 × 11 pixels (27.5 μm × 27.5 μm) around the glomerulus center. Scale bar, 50 μm. Antennal nerve is left and lateral is top.
Inhibitory strength \((r = -0.16, P = 0.28; \text{Fig. 6B})\). These data are based on repeated injections of the same current. A similar analysis was performed on another set of experiments \((n = 14\) bees, data not shown) with single injections of different currents, confirming the finding that the inhibition triggered in remote glomeruli was not correlated with distance \((r = 0.14, P = 0.30)\). Because we systematically targeted the same glomeruli \((T1-17 \text{ or } T1-33)\) in each animal, we could also ask whether the inhibitory connectivity pattern was equal across individuals; we found no consistent pattern (see DISCUSSION).

Inhibition strength differs among glomeruli. Together, the data show that each glomerulus inhibits a patchy pattern of glomeruli across the AL \((\text{Figs. 5 and 6})\) and that inhibitory strength varies for different glomeruli \((\text{Figs. 4–6})\). To test whether glomeruli receive inhibitory input from a patchy group of glomeruli, we injected \text{ACh} at two locations in the same AL. We observed that some glomeruli received inhibition from only one location \((\text{Fig. 7, A and B})\) while others received inhibition from both locations \((\text{Fig. 7, C and D})\). This indicates that the presence of inhibition is not a property of the receiving glomerulus but rather of the connection between a specific glomerulus pair.

Different levels of inhibition could be due either to different connection strengths or to intrinsic properties of the receiving (inhibited) glomerulus. For example, glomeruli differ in their level of background activity, affecting their resting calcium level. This property may be intrinsic or inherited from different levels of background activity in their respective receptor neuron classes. A glomerulus in which projection neurons have a very high resting calcium level will show a strong calcium decrease when inhibited, while a glomerulus in which projection neurons have a low resting calcium level will show a small calcium decrease. Thus apparent inhibition depends not only on connectivity but also on the projection neurons being measured (note that this observation is independent of the measurement technique and would equally apply to spike counts or excitatory postsynaptic potential size in electrophysiological measurements). Therefore, in order to analyze whether inhibitory strength depends on glomerular distance, intrinsic properties of the receiving glomerulus need to be excluded. To this end, we calculated the ratio of the responses for glomeruli showing a negative deflection during injection at two locations \((\text{Fig. 7E})\). Calculating this ratio removes the effect of intrinsic properties of the receiving glomerulus, e.g., of different resting calcium levels that might be due to intrinsic properties or to tonic levels of spontaneous activity. Therefore, if we do not find a systematic distance relationship in the ratio of the two signals, inhibitory connections do not systematically decrease with glomerular distance, adding to their patchy connectivity logic. For illustration, we show a hypothetical example in Fig. 8: a distance-dependent inhibition that is not visible in the measured signal because of added variability becomes apparent when calculating the ratio and plotting it against the relative distance \((\text{Fig. 7E})\). Our measurements in honeybees show that the ratio of the inhibition did not depend on the relative distance between the injection location and the inhibited glomerulus \((\text{Fig. 7E})\). Thus both patchiness and different inhibitory strengths are properties of the inhibitory network, and not dictated by the geometry of the AL. Most importantly, there is no correlation between the amplitude of the inhibition received by a glomerulus and the distance to the source of this inhibition (injection site).
Odor responses are affected by the inhibitory network. These measurements show that interglomerular inhibition can decrease activity in projection neurons. Does this inhibition also modify the spatial activity pattern elicited by stimulation with odors? We combined ACh injections (1.6 s, +30 to +80 nA) with odor stimulations (1 s). We found that injection of ACh into a glomerulus during odor presentation suppressed the odor responses of projection neurons in other glomeruli (Fig. 9).

**Fig. 7.** ACh injection at 2 locations in the same AL. A: time traces of responses in a glomerulus remote from both injection sites. Single trials are shown. The injection at the first location (P1, red, at time 5 s) did not produce inhibition, while the other location (P2, green, at time 44 s) triggered inhibition in that glomerulus. B: averaged responses (n = 3) from injections at P1 (red) and at P2 (green) from data shown in A. C: response of another glomerulus from the same bee; otherwise as in A. Note that this glomerulus received inhibition from P1 and from P2. D: averaged responses (n = 3) from injections at P1 (red) and at P2 (green) from data shown in C. Both locations induced inhibition. E: response ratio of each glomerulus plotted against the distance difference; total of 18 glomeruli (2 bees). Yellow squares and line show how the data points would distribute if the inhibition depended on distance as in the hypothetical example of Fig. 8.

**Fig. 8.** Hypothetical case of distance-dependent inhibition. Cartoon shows 2 injected glomeruli (red and green circles with pipette) and 5 inhibited glomeruli (black circles). The real inhibition triggered by ACh injections decreases with distance (thick red and green lines). However, local factors (e.g., different resting calcium level in each glomerulus) can modify (here by multiplication) the measured signal so that the distance dependence of inhibition is not visible (thin red and green lines). Note that none of the parameters (real inhibition and unspecific factors) in the gray box can be estimated experimentally. Nevertheless, we can remove local effects by calculating the ratio of the measured signals. This reveals the distance dependence of inhibition for this hypothetical example (yellow histograms). This is also plotted in Fig. 7 with yellow squares for comparison with real data.
DISCUSSION

In this study we have manipulated the AL circuit with GABA and ACh, two abundant neurotransmitters in the AL (Kreissl and Bicker 1989; Schäfer and Bicker 1989). Our method using local injections takes advantage of directly activating or inactivating an identified glomerulus in vivo. Our goal was to examine the role of a glomerulus within this olfactory network. First, our calcium imaging results confirmed the excitatory effect of ACh (Waldrop and Hildebrand 1989) and the inhibitory effect of GABA (Michelsen and Braun 1987) in the insect AL. Second, we have shown that activating a glomerulus triggered inhibition in spatially scattered glomeruli. Furthermore, the strength of inhibition between glomeruli did not depend on the distance between them. This has important consequences for the computation in the olfactory system. Finally, we found that the interglomerular inhibitory connections revealed by ACh injections can modulate an odor response.

Technical considerations. To minimize undesired pharmacological effects (e.g., seizures), we chose to only use neurotransmitters already present in the brain to manipulate the

A–C). Thus inhibition elicited by localized ACh injections influenced the activity triggered by the odorant. This effect was quantitatively related to the interglomerular inhibitory strength (Fig. 9D). To show this, we compared the inhibition produced by ACh injection alone (1 s) to the odor suppression observed when combining injection and odor stimulation (1.6-s ACh injection and 1-s odor stimulation). To make sure that the inhibition triggered by the injection was present at odor onset, we started the ACh injection 0.6 s before the odor. Injection and odor stimulation terminated simultaneously (Fig. 9, A–C). Because the ACh injection was longer in one case and because the odor stimulation can recruit inhibition (see Fig. 3), it is likely that the total inhibition present in both situations (injection alone or injection + odor) was different. We found that the inhibition (elicited by ACh injection alone) and the response suppression (measured by combining injection and odor stimulus) were correlated (Fig. 9D; r = −0.71, P = 1.1e-5). We thus conclude that the interglomerular inhibitory network analyzed here is directly involved in processing odor-evoked responses.

Local neurons have patchy morphology. A patchy connectivity could be achieved by morphologically homogeneous neurons with different synaptic densities in different glomeruli or by morphologically patchy neurons. To investigate the morphology of local neurons connected with a single glomerulus, we visualized them by iontophoretic application of the tracer biocytin into a single glomerulus. We found that several local neurons were labeled, some of which were heterogeneous local neurons and some homogeneous local neurons (an example is given in Fig. 10).
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Network. Several pieces of evidence in our data suggest that the AL was not disrupted beyond the activity at the injection site. First, with ACh injections of the amplitude used here the excitation remained localized and we never observed excitation in remote glomeruli. Second, while ACh injections produce relatively long-lasting responses (several seconds), odors do as well (compare Fig. 4A and Fig. 9B). This, in fact, shows that ACh injections are likely to mimic an odor that would activate a single glomerulus. Finally, the response pattern to odors during a GABA or ACh injection is completely normal in glomeruli outside of the injection site (except for the additional lateral inhibition; see Figs. 3 and 9). Therefore, we are confident that our injections did not produce dysfunctional activity in the AL.

Spatial organization of lateral inhibitory connections. Population analyses of electrophysiologically recorded cells have suggested that the macroglomerular complex (special glomeruli for pheromone processing in moths) inhibits other glomeruli in a distance-independent manner (Reisenman et al. 2008). Our imaging technique in honeybees, which allows us to record simultaneously the activity in multiple glomeruli in an organism lacking a macroglomerular complex, also revealed distance-independent inhibition. This principle might therefore represent a fundamental connectivity rule of the AL. In the olfactory bulb of vertebrates, however, distance-dependent and distant-independent interactions have been reported (see e.g., Aungst et al. 2003; Fantana et al. 2008; Kim et al. 2011, 2012; Luo and Katz 2001; Vucinic et al. 2006; Yokoi et al. 1995). Interestingly, computer simulations using distance-independent inhibition can reproduce physiological data (Cleland and Sethupathy 2006; Linster et al. 2005). Here, we directly measured the strength of inhibition simultaneously at several locations, using calcium imaging. Our results show that the lateral inhibitory network at the first stage of olfactory processing in the honeybee is patchy, and both the presence and the strength of inhibition between two glomeruli cannot be predicted by their relative distance. Furthermore, comparing across individuals we did not find a predictable pattern of inhibited glomeruli. While part of this could be due to difficulties in glomerular identification, it appears that, unlike the very stereotypical excitatory pattern elicited by odors, the inhibitory connectivity matrix is more variable across animals, as recently reported in Drosophila (Chou et al. 2010). This may reflect modifications by developmental plasticity and/or learning in the adult. The effect of local networks is best visible in processing of odor-mixture stimuli (e.g., Desig et al. 2006; Silbering and Galizia 2007) and olfactory learning effects (Fernandez et al. 2009; Rath et al. 2011). A scattered connectivity may be the substrate for and/or the consequence of this plasticity. The results mentioned above and our results confirm the hypothesis that the olfactory network map (and possibly other maps in the brain) results from a combination of hard-wired, templated (e.g., olfactory receptor neurons to projection neuron in a glomerulus connection), and plastic, more diverse connections (e.g., the lateral inhibitory connections shown in this study). Figure 10A shows a cartoon of the AL network including only the most relevant connections. Inhibition between glomeruli is provided by two anatomical types of local neurons: heterogeneous and homogeneous local neurons (Flanagan and Mercer 1989; Fonta et al. 1993; Sun et al. 1993). Activating a heterogeneous local neuron in Fig. 10A with ACh would inhibit the three glomeruli differently since they receive different amounts of inhibition from that local neuron. Figure 10B shows two reconstructed local neurons from one AL. Local neurons such as the gray neuron (heterogeneous) or the gray neuron (homogeneous) in Fig. 10B are likely to represent the anatomical substrate of the physiological effect reported in the present study. In other words, a patchy anatomical structure of heterogeneous local neurons might contribute to the patchy lateral inhibition observed in this study. Alternatively, homogeneous local neurons could also contribute if they were functionally heterogeneous. Note that both GABA and GABA inhibition have been reported in the AL of insects (e.g., Christensen et al. 1998a; Olsen and

Fig. 10. A: schematic circuit of the AL showing 3 glomeruli in blue (Glo 1, Glo 2, and Glo 3). Each neuron in the schematic represents a population of neurons in vivo. Each glomerulus receives input from olfactory receptors (ORNs) and produces output via projection neurons (PNs). Glomeruli also receive inhibition from homogeneous (red) and heterogeneous (gray) local interneurons (LN). The homogeneous local neuron innervates each glomerulus equally, while the heterogeneous local neuron forms many synapses only in Glo 3 and no synapse in Glo 1. Only the most important connections are included in the cartoon, and the inhibitory synapses (red and gray dots) can, in principle, be GABAergic or use a different transmitter (e.g., histaminergic). In our experiment, activating the gray heterogeneous local neuron with ACh would trigger different amounts of inhibition in Glo 1, 2, and 3. This would be reflected in the PNs with strong inhibition in Glo 3, moderate inhibition in Glo 2, and no inhibition in Glo 1. B: reconstruction of a homogeneous (red) and a heterogeneous (gray) local neuron in the AL of the honeybee. The somata of these neurons are on the left. Note that the heterogeneous local neuron (gray) is well suited to produce patchy inhibition across the AL since it forms connections in a restricted number of glomeruli.

Spatial organization of lateral inhibitory connections. Population analyses of electrophysiologically recorded cells have suggested that the macroglomerular complex (special glomeruli for pheromone processing in moths) inhibits other glomeruli in a distance-independent manner (Reisenman et al. 2008). Our imaging technique in honeybees, which allows us to record simultaneously the activity in multiple glomeruli in an organism lacking a macroglomerular complex, also revealed distance-independent inhibition. This principle might therefore represent a fundamental connectivity rule of the AL. In the olfactory bulb of vertebrates, however, distance-dependent and distant-independent interactions have been reported (see e.g., Aungst et al. 2003; Fantana et al. 2008; Kim et al. 2011, 2012; Luo and Katz 2001; Vucinic et al. 2006; Yokoi et al. 1995). Interestingly, computer simulations using distance-independent inhibition can reproduce physiological data (Cleland and Sethupathy 2006; Linster et al. 2005). Here, we directly measured the strength of inhibition simultaneously at several locations, using calcium imaging. Our results show that the lateral inhibitory network at the first stage of olfactory processing in the honeybee is patchy, and both the presence and the strength of inhibition between two glomeruli cannot be predicted by their relative distance. Furthermore, comparing across individuals we did not find a predictable pattern of inhibited glomeruli. While part of this could be due to difficulties in glomerular identification, it appears that, unlike the very stereotypical excitatory pattern elicited by odors, the inhibitory connectivity matrix is more variable across animals, as recently reported in Drosophila (Chou et al. 2010). This may reflect modifications by developmental plasticity and/or learning in the adult. The effect of local networks is best visible in processing of odor-mixture stimuli (e.g., Desig et al. 2006; Silbering and Galizia 2007) and olfactory learning effects (Fernandez et al. 2009; Rath et al. 2011). A scattered connectivity may be the substrate for and/or the consequence of this plasticity. The results mentioned above and our results confirm the hypothesis that the olfactory network map (and possibly other maps in the brain) results from a combination of hard-wired, templated (e.g., olfactory receptor neurons to projection neuron in a glomerulus connection), and plastic, more diverse connections (e.g., the lateral inhibitory connections shown in this study). Figure 10A shows a cartoon of the AL network including only the most relevant connections. Inhibition between glomeruli is provided by two anatomical types of local neurons: heterogeneous and homogeneous local neurons (Flanagan and Mercer 1989; Fonta et al. 1993; Sun et al. 1993). Activating a heterogeneous local neuron in Fig. 10A with ACh would inhibit the three glomeruli differently since they receive different amounts of inhibition from that local neuron. Figure 10B shows two reconstructed local neurons from one AL. Local neurons such as the gray neuron (heterogeneous) or the gray neuron (homogeneous) in Fig. 10B are likely to represent the anatomical substrate of the physiological effect reported in the present study. In other words, a patchy anatomical structure of heterogeneous local neurons might contribute to the patchy lateral inhibition observed in this study. Alternatively, homogeneous local neurons could also contribute if they were functionally heterogeneous. Note that both GABA and GABA inhibition have been reported in the AL of insects (e.g., Christensen et al. 1998a; Olsen and
Wilson 2008) and that both might be involved here. Another potent inhibitory neurotransmitter in the honeybee is histamine (Sachse et al. 2006). Addressing questions about which inhibitory neurotransmitter(s) was involved in the effect we observe is beyond the scope of this work. Also, the effects reported here might be the result of monosynaptic or polysynaptic pathways.

Implications for odor processing. From a developmental and efficiency point of view, a network should be optimized for total connectivity length, as shown, for example, with the development of ocular dominance stripes in the mammalian visual cortex (e.g., Mitchison 1992), unless there are constraints with respect to temporal delay lines. Given the small size and spherical arrangement of the bee AL, total connectivity length appears to be the main factor. Therefore, we would expect that glomeruli that are interconnected should tend to be neighbors. The fact that our data prove this assumption wrong suggests that olfactory processing requires strategies that are compatible with the constraints of the olfactory space (see Cleland 2010). This might also influence another peculiarity of the bee AL: most local neurons enter the core of the AL, but all glomeruli are arranged as a spherical sheet in the periphery. In this arrangement, all glomeruli are topologically equidistant from the AL center, an ideal arrangement if there is no two-dimensional logic for their position.

Interestingly, we only detected inhibitory interactions (except close to or at injection site), although excitatory connections exist in Drosophila (Olsen et al. 2007; Root et al. 2007; Shang et al. 2007). This suggests that the lateral network might be dominated by inhibition in honeybees. Up to now, no excitatory local neurons have been shown in insects other than Drosophila.

Despite excitatory and inhibitory interglomerular interactions, it has been proposed that receptor neuron input constitutes the dominating excitatory signal onto projection neurons (Sachse and Galizia 2003; Root et al. 2007). Our GABA injection experiments showed that the excitatory response pattern to odors was relatively stable (except for the injected glomerulus) when one glomerulus was pharmacologically silenced. Furthermore, glomeruli inhibited via lateral inhibition with ACh injections still responded, albeit less, to the odor. These two observations support a model in which a strong input imposes the spatial response pattern while the global activity of the network modulates, mainly via lateral inhibition, the response of the glomeruli. Nevertheless, one should consider that data (present study and others) were obtained by using squared pulse odor stimulation of relatively high concentrations. Under natural stimulation conditions (fluctuating odor mixtures at low concentrations) the influence of the patchy inhibitory network on spatiotemporal activity patterns in the AL is likely to become more important. For example, in response to dynamic stimuli projection neurons produce reliable responses across trials, and these responses are odor specific (Geffen et al. 2009). Furthermore, the temporal patterns of these responses depend on GABAergic inhibition (Christensen et al. 1998b). The patchy inhibitory network described in the present work is ideally placed to play a role in such computation.

Conclusion. In summary, we show that the bee AL inhibitory network is structured in a complex spatial arrangement, following a logic that is not dictated by geometrical position in the AL. This network is capable of significantly shaping odor responses. Whether, and how, this patchy arrangement is used for odor-mixture coding, and how it is influenced by olfactory learning, remain to be elucidated.
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