Neural correlates of auditory recognition memory in the primate dorsal temporal pole
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Ng C, Plakke B, Poremba A. Neural correlates of auditory recognition memory in the primate dorsal temporal pole. J Neurophysiol 111: 455–469, 2014. First published November 6, 2013; doi:10.1152/jn.00401.2012.—Temporal pole (TP) cortex is associated with higher-order sensory perception and/or recognition memory, as human patients with damage in this region show impaired performance during some tasks requiring recognition memory (Olson et al. 2007). The underlying mechanisms of TP processing are largely based on examination of the visual nervous system in humans and monkeys, while little is known about neuronal activity patterns in the auditory portion of this region, dorsal TP (dTP; Poremba et al. 2003). The present study examines single-unit activity of dTP in rhesus monkeys performing a delayed matching-to-sample task utilizing auditory stimuli, wherein two sounds are determined to be the same or different. Neurons of dTP encode several task-relevant events during the delayed matching-to-sample task, and encoding of auditory cues in this region is associated with accurate recognition performance. Population activity in dTP shows a match suppression mechanism to identical, repeated sound stimuli similar to that observed in the visual object identification pathway located ventral to dTP (Desimone 1996; Nakamura and Kubota 1996). However, in contrast to sustained visual delay-related activity in nearby analogous regions, auditory delay-related activity in dTP is transient and limited. Neurons in dTP respond selectively to different sound stimuli and often change their sound response preferences between experimental contexts. Current findings suggest a significant role for dTP in auditory recognition memory similar in many respects to the visual nervous system, while delay memory firing patterns are not prominent, which may relate to monkeys’ shorter forgetting thresholds for auditory vs. visual objects.
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PROCESSING FUNCTIONS OF TEMPORAL polar cortex, the rostral portion of the temporal lobe, are not well known compared with other higher-order sensory cortical areas (Olson et al. 2007), including inferior temporal cortex (ITC), rostral superior temporal gyrus, parietal cortex, and prefrontal cortex within the dual-stream cortical network model for spatial and nonspatial information processing in nonhuman primates (Hackett 2010; Mishkin et al. 1983; Poremba and Mishkin 2007) and cats (Lomber and Malhotra 2008). The temporal pole is linked to functions involving highly processed sensory information, e.g., recognition of faces and voices, species-specific vocalizations, recognition memory, semantic memory and social/emotional processing (Andics et al. 2010; Belin et al. 2002; Belin 2006; Fritz et al. 2005; Jimura et al. 2009; Nakamura et al. 2001; Olson et al. 2007; Patterson et al. 2007; Poremba et al. 2004; Tranel 2006). Lesions to higher-order auditory regions along the superior temporal gyrus, including temporal pole, severely impair auditory perception, discrimination of complex sounds (e.g., species-specific vocalizations), and short-term recognition memory (Colombo et al. 1990, 1996; Dewson et al. 1969, 1970; Fritz et al. 2005; Heffner and Heffner 1984, 1986; Iversen and Mishkin 1973; Kupfer et al. 1977; Leff et al. 2009; Weiskrantz and Mishkin 1958. The respective temporal pole neural mechanisms of auditory encoding and memory remain a mystery.

Dorsal temporal pole (dTP), consisting of granular and dysgranular areas, has extensive connections with auditory and auditory-related regions, e.g., superior temporal gyrus, parabelt areas, limbic thalamus, amygdala, hippocampus, and lateral, orbital and medial prefrontal cortices (Barbas et al. 1999; Ding et al. 2009; Kondo et al. 2005; Markowitsch et al. 1985; Moran et al. 1987; Romanski et al. 1999; Saleem et al. 2008; Yeterian and Pandya 1989), and it lies at the ventral-most portion of the proposed auditory object identification pathway (Poremba et al. 2003; Rauschecker and Scott 2009). The dTP appears analogous to ITC and ventral temporal pole (vTP), both higher-order visual cortical areas situated along the ventral “what” stream for visual object processing, showing neural correlates of visual analysis and identification through stimulus selectivity to complex objects (Desimone et al. 1984; Nakamura et al. 1994; Tanaka 1996). These visual areas also exhibit sustained firing activity within memory delays, reflecting retention of information for visual working/recognition memory (Colombo and Gross 1994; Miller et al. 1991 1993; Miyashita and Chang 1988; Nakamura and Kubota 1995, 1996). Considering the anatomical and functional analogies between visual and auditory nervous systems, dTP is a potential candidate to support complex analyses of sounds and mediate auditory recognition memory.

A few recording studies reveal stimulus-specific activity related to auditory encoding and sometimes working-memory-related activity, in the auditory cortex (Gottlieb et al. 1989; Sakurai 1994) and the prefrontal cortex (Bodner et al. 1996; Romanski et al. 2005; Russ et al. 2008). However, prior studies only use a pair of tone stimuli during auditory memory tasks, and the behavioral paradigms are sometimes simply delayed stimulus-response tasks. Neural activity revealed across auditory cortical regions may not be on par with those shown by visual electrophysiological studies, in terms of task complexity, difficulty and engagement. The present study thus examines activity of dTP neurons when monkeys perform an audi-
Auditory Stimuli

Auditory delayed matching-to-sample (DMS) task wherein two sounds, separated by a memory delay, are the same or different. A wide range of auditory stimuli is also utilized, ranging from pure tones and band-passed noises to human and monkey vocalizations. The present study assesses response profiles of single dTP neurons to discrete task events, e.g., sound presentation, memory delay, possible decision period, and behavioral response. Expectations include verification of dTP as a cortical region in which significant neuronal activity is evoked by sound stimuli, and that encoding of DMS task events, including cues, memory delays, and neural correlates of recognition memory are observed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects and Surgical Methods

Two adult rhesus macaque monkeys (Macaca mulatta) were used, a male and a female, weighing 10 and 6 kg, respectively. They were individually housed in Spence Laboratories at the University of Iowa (12:12-h light-dark cycle). All procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the University of Iowa. Monkey biscuits (Harlan Teklad, Madison, WI) were fed to animals daily with fruits, vegetables, and treats scheduled throughout the week. Monkeys had access to water ad libitum in home cages equipped with environmental enrichment. Each animal’s weight was maintained above 85% of his or her starting weight with controlled daily feeding schedules, and weight was adjusted upward based on age. Prior to surgery, each monkey was scanned with magnetic resonance imaging (MRI; 2T Sigma unit; GE Medical Systems) to locate the precise coordinates of temporal pole and to verify the placement of electrodes within the chamber grid and dTP after surgery. Placements of recording chambers were initially performed at the National Institute of Mental Health (Bethesda, MD). Monkeys were sedated with ketamine (10 mg/kg im) and anesthetized with isoflurane (1–2%). Using a stereotaxic apparatus (David Kopf Instruments), an angled 45° recording chamber (Crist Instruments) was implanted on the skull of the left hemisphere, centered at −2 mm posterior and −23 mm lateral of bregma (Saleem and Logothetis 2007), and its position was secured with titanium screws and dental acrylic. A stainless steel headpost was attached tightly against the backside of the skull for restraining head movement during dental acrylic. A stainless steel headpost was attached tightly against the backside of the skull for restraining head movement during electrode recordings. Antibiotics and analgesics were given to the animals after surgery. Recording chambers were cleaned routinely with antiseptics to inhibit infection after the bone was removed for insertion of recording electrodes.

Auditory Stimuli

Each auditory stimulus, 220–500 milliseconds (ms) long, was digitized and processed with a sampling frequency of 44,100 Hz and consisted of 8-bit mono-recorded sound clips. All auditory stimuli were presented through a front loudspeaker (flat ±3 dB; frequency response: 75 Hz to 20 kHz) placed ~40 cm from the head region, at 80-decibels (dB) standard sound pressure level. A collection of 96 standard stimuli was used and classified into 8 sound types in a manner similar to Ng et al. (2009). Animal vocalizations (n = 12) included sounds recorded from birds and domestic animals (e.g., cat and dog). Human vocalizations (n = 12) included speech sounds (e.g., “girl,” “thank you,” “good morning”) and nonspeech sounds (e.g., laughing, crying, sneezing) generated from unknown male and female speakers. Monkey vocalizations (n = 12) were coos, grunts, screams, shrill barks, and harmonic arches recorded in a natural monkey reserve of South Carolina (by the author A. Poremba). Music clips (n = 12) contained notes (e.g., harmonics) and sound clips (e.g., extracts of orchestra symphonies and melodies of TV commercials) generated from various musical instruments (e.g., violin, flute, trumpet). Natural sounds (n = 12) included recorded samples of natural phenomena such as fire burning, water rippling, stream flowing, wind breezing, hurricane, and thunder. Pure tones (n = 12) were digitally generated and normalized with root-mean-square methods, and their frequencies ranged between 500 and 12,000 Hz. Synthesized clips (n = 12) consisted of digitally generated sounds (e.g., rhythmic notes and frequency-modulated sweeps) and recordings of man-made environmental sounds (e.g., engine noise) and sounds resulting from metallic bombardment (e.g., coins in a machine). White noise stimuli (n = 12) were band-passed noise created with different low- and high-pass filters (lower and upper frequency limits between 10 and 10,000 Hz). The 96 standard stimuli were then sorted into 12 sound folders with each folder containing 1 sound sample from the 8 sound types.

Twenty-one additional exemplars of pure tones (250-ms long) were used for sampling spike activity of dTP neurons across different frequency at 80 dB during passive listening. They covered the frequency range between 100 Hz to 20 kHz (100–1,000 Hz at 100-Hz incremental steps; 1–10 kHz at 1-kHz incremental steps; 10–20 kHz at 5-kHz incremental steps). These 21 sinusoidal pure tones, as well as a block of 21 pure tone stimuli. The animal task, were digitally generated under the environment of MATLAB programming (Math Works, Natick, MA), and normalized with root-mean-square methods by Adobe Audition (Adobe, San Jose, CA).

Experimental Procedures

Passive listening. Recording sessions were conducted in a double-walled acoustic chamber (background noise: 35 dB; Industrial Acoustic). The animal sat in a monkey chair with its head fixed, facing a free-field loudspeaker (see above). Ninety-six standard sounds (divided into 12 sound folders) and 21 pure-tone stimuli were presented in a series of blocks. For each recording session, the animal listened to only one sound folder of eight preselected sounds (1 stimulus per sound type), and each stimulus was repeated at least eight times. A given sound folder was thus randomly used every 12 recording sessions. The order of sound presentations within each block was randomized with the LabView program. Randomized interstimulus intervals were 1, 1.2 and 1.5 s during passive listening. Once a unit was isolated, the 8 preselected sounds presentations were followed by a block of 21 pure tone stimuli. The animal was required to respond, and no food reward was given throughout the passive listening experiment. Then the animal performed the auditory DMS task with the same eight sound stimuli from this pretask passive listening.

Auditory DMS task. Each animal was trained on the DMS task with auditory stimuli as described in Ng et al. (2009). The task employed go/no-go response rules for the auditory DMS task (Fig. 1). Eight preselected sounds, used during passive listening in the same recording session, were used during the DMS task. The ratio of match to nonmatch trials was 1, after being pseudorandomly controlled by the LabView software program (National Instruments, Austin, TX). In a given trial, cue 1 (a sample stimulus) was first presented, followed by a memory delay before cue 2 (a test stimulus) was presented. After presentation of cue 2, subjects were required to wait 1 s before having an opportunity to make their response, i.e., wait time. Then, on each trial, the Plexiglas response button was lit from behind to signal the possible response period. The memory delay, inserted between two sound stimuli (i.e., interstimulus intervals), was always 5 s long per trial. On match trials, the two sounds were the same, and a correct response was made by touching the button (i.e., a go response) and a small chocolate candy reward was delivered. On nonmatch trials, the two sounds presented were different, and a correct response was scored if the monkey avoided touching the button (i.e., a no-go response), with no subsequent food delivery. Thus the current DMS task, employing go/no-go rules, used an asymmetric reinforcement contingency. On both match and nonmatch trials, the touch-sensitive
button was lit up for a maximum of 1.5 s (i.e., the possible response period). Once a button-press was recorded during this 1.5-s period, the light was extinguished, and the trial ended whether or not the response was correct. If the animal responded to five nonmatch trials in a row, a prolonged intertrial interval (ITI) (up to 30 s long) was introduced after the last incorrect button press to help correct overresponding by the animal. A mild air puff (300–500 ms in duration) directed toward a prolonged intertrial interval (ITI) (up to 30 s long) was introduced was correct. If the animal responded to five nonmatch trials in a row, light was extinguished, and the trial ended whether or not the response was correct. If the animal responded to five nonmatch trials in a row, light was extinguished, and the trial ended whether or not the response was correct. If the animal responded to five nonmatch trials in a row, light was extinguished, and the trial ended whether or not the response was correct.

A premature response during the animal. A mild air puff (300 –500 ms in duration) directed toward a prolonged intertrial interval (ITI) (up to 30 s long) was introduced was correct. If the animal responded to five nonmatch trials in a row, light was extinguished, and the trial ended whether or not the response was correct. If the animal responded to five nonmatch trials in a row, light was extinguished, and the trial ended whether or not the response was correct. If the animal responded to five nonmatch trials in a row, light was extinguished, and the trial ended whether or not the response was correct. If the animal responded to five nonmatch trials in a row, light was extinguished, and the trial ended whether or not the response was correct. If the animal responded to five nonmatch trials in a row, light was extinguished, and the trial ended whether or not the response was correct. If the animal responded to five nonmatch trials in a row, light was extinguished, and the trial ended whether or not the response was correct. If the animal responded to five nonmatch trials in a row, light was extinguished, and the trial ended whether or not the response was correct. If the animal responded to five nonmatch trials in a row, light was extinguished, and the trial ended whether or not the response was correct. If the animal responded to five nonmatch trials in a row, light was extinguished, and the trial ended whether or not the response was correct. If the animal responded to five nonmatch trials in a row, light was extinguished, and the trial ended whether or not the response was correct. If the animal responded to five nonmatch trials in a row, light was extinguished, and the trial ended whether or not the response was correct. If the animal responded to five nonmatch trials in a row, light was extinguished, and the trial ended whether or not the response was correct. If the animal responded to five nonmatch trials in a row, light was extinguished, and the trial ended whether or not the response was correct. If the animal responded to five nonmatch trials in a row, light was extinguished, and the trial ended whether or not the response was correct. If the animal responded to five nonmatch trials in a row, light was extinguished, and the trial ended whether or not the response was correct. If the animal responded to five nonmatch trials in a row, light was extinguished, and the trial ended whether or not the response was correct. If the animal responded to five nonmatch trials in a row, light was extinguished, and the trial ended whether or not the response was correct. If the animal responded to five nonmatch trials in a row, light was extinguished, and the trial ended whether or not the response was correct. If the animal responded to five nonmatch trials in a row, light was extinguished, and the trial ended whether or not the response was correct. If the animal responded to five nonmatch trials in a row, light was extinguished, and the trial ended whether or not the response was correct. If the animal responded to five nonmatch trials in a row, light was extinguished, and the trial ended whether or not the response was correct.
match and nonmatch trials. For each recorded cell, mean firing rates regarding sound presentations of cue 1 (a sample stimulus) and cue 2 (a test stimulus), memory delay, wait, and response periods were calculated for each of these task events. To verify whether the neuronal activity was significantly different from prertrial firing rate for each task event, separate one-way ANOVAs were used with post hoc Tukey’s HSD (honestly significant difference) tests for pairwise comparisons across intervals. Significant differences revealed evoked spike activity at least 2 SDs above or below the prertrial firing rate. Each trial was divided into a prertrial firing period (500 ms; before cue 1), cue 1 and cue 1 offset events (500 ms each), cue 2 and cue 2 offset events (500 ms each), delay period (4,500 ms), wait time (500 ms) and response periods (1,500 ms). Due to the dynamic nature of sound information, cue presentation (cues 1 and 2) and postcue event (cue offsets 1 and 2) were each binned into 100-ms intervals for ANOVAs to reveal fine activity change from prertrial firing rate. The other task-relevant events were divided into time intervals of 500 ms for ANOVAs; wait time (1 interval), response period (3 intervals) and delay period (9 intervals). It is necessary to conduct separate one-way ANOVAs for discrete task-relevant events during the memory task, because the nature of these events is qualitatively and quantitatively diverse. They differ in event duration (500 ms to 5 s long), presence or absence of auditory stimulation, stimulus placement within the trial, i.e., sample or test stimulus, and behavioral responses. The cue 1 and cue 2 periods are auditory events presented to the animal subjects with corresponding offset periods. The 5-s delay periods are potentially related to memory and/or attention processes in the absence of auditory stimulation. After the cue 2 offset period, the wait time period (right before the animal was allowed to produce responses) is potentially related to match/nonmatch decision-making and/or motor plans associated with the respective decision outcomes. The neuronal activity during the response periods will be assessed for any relationship to behavioral outcome, i.e., correct or incorrect performance. Additionally, reward-related activity may be observed when comparing trials during which a response was made on match-correct and nonmatch-incorrect trials but rewarded on match-correct trials only. There are four possible trial types present in the current memory task that are defined by a combination of learning rule and behavioral outcome: 1) match-correct trials, where the subjects correctly pushed the button after two matching stimuli; 2) match-incorrect trials, where the subjects failed to produce button-press responses after two matching stimuli; 3) nonmatch-correct trials, where the subjects withheld button-press responses when the two stimuli were different sounds; and 4) nonmatch-incorrect trials, where the subjects erroneously pushed the button when the two sound stimuli were different rather than matching.

The population results are based on all 225 recorded units. For each event, spike activity of each unit for a given time interval was used as a single data point. The resultant standardized values of a unit for a given event were then used for population analyses. Although this method may combine dissimilar units across various task events, it provides an overall summary of how a population of dTP neurons responds to discrete task events by trial type. Evoked activity across various task events at the population level was then examined in a similar manner to those used in single-unit analyses (one-way ANOVAs and Tukey’s HSD). To examine trial influence on population activity across similar task-relevant events, repeated-measures ANOVAs were used to evaluate whether dTP neurons would encode the matching rules (match vs. nonmatch trials), behavioral outcome (correct vs. incorrect), or a combination of both factors. Unless otherwise specified, post hoc comparisons for population analyses were conducted by paired sample t-tests with the Bonferroni procedure and Keppel’s modification to correct for multiple comparisons (Keppel 1982). The product of the number of degrees of freedom and the standard α-level of 0.05, was divided by the number of t-test comparisons. The resultant value was then used as the adjusted critical probability level. For example, the study examined if population activity changes during cue 1 varied among match-correct, nonmatch-correct and nonmatch-incorrect trials. The adjusted critical probability level would be 0.033 (0.05 “α-level” × 2 “degree of freedom” divided by 3 “number of comparisons”).

Passive listening. Each isolated unit was tested first with one-way ANOVAs to determine whether it was auditory responsive relative to prestimulus firing rate. Post hoc Tukey’s HSD tests were used with procedures similar to those used previously to control errors due to multiple comparisons. Data analysis was performed in sets of five intervals during sound presentations to capture fine changes of spike activity within dTP. Spike activity during stimulus period and stimulus offset period was then binned into 100-ms intervals (i.e., 5–100 ms intervals each). In contrast to the 96 standard sounds, 21 pure-tone stimuli were only 250 ms long and analyzed with 50-ms intervals (i.e., 5–50 ms intervals each) for comparable one-way ANOVA tests.

RESULTS

Recording Placement

Electrode placements inside the recording chamber are illustrated in Fig. 2. All units were located between 0 and +3.6 mm from bregma (Paxinos et al. 1999). Of the 225 units, 120 were located on the lateral side of dTP, and 105 on the medial side of dTP. The recording sites were mainly located in TGdd and TGDg, using the nomenclatures for subdivisions of temporal pole (Kondo et al. 2003, 2005; Saleem et al. 2008). The majority of the collected units are more rostral across the rostral temporal plane than the units in the recent study of Kikuchi et al. (2010), and the present findings reveal a similar continuation and extension of the stimulus selectivity of the rostrocaudal axis along the superior temporal gyrus in primates (see results in Passive listening). Of the total recorded units from the lateral and medial regions of dTP, respectively, 80% and 79% were responsive to one or more task-relevant events during the DMS task. Table 1 illustrates response profiles of evoked dTP units across various task events separated by anatomical locations. Within the lateral area of dTP, more units tended to be responsive during response periods 1–3 (34% or above; binomial tests, P ≤ 0.05). Within the medial area of dTP, the majority of units (40%) were evoked during response period 2, compared with other events (29% on average). Unit responsiveness to sounds was similar between lateral and medial regions of dTP during passive listening (lateral 23% vs. medial 24%) and the memory task (lateral 38% vs. medial 31%).

Auditory DMS Task

The monkeys performed the DMS task, on average, with accuracy of 78% (SE 1.4%) at match trials and of 66% (SE 1.1%) at nonmatch trials from all recording sessions. A similar bias toward “go” responding has been observed in other animal experiments using go/no-go paradigms in which correct “go” responses are rewarded (Bigelow and Poremba 2013). Using the overall go response rate of 57% to determine chance level accuracy for the behavioral task, chance performance of the monkeys were 57% and 43% at match and nonmatch trials accordingly. Response latency was shorter during match-correct trials (181 ± 9 ms), than during nonmatch-incorrect trials (209 ± 13 ms) (paired-sample t-tests, P < 0.001). The study collected 225 units at the left-hemisphere dTP while subjects were participating in the memory task (monkey OP: N = 112; monkey AB: N = 113). The majority of these recorded cells (80%) was responsive to at least one of the task-relevant events and considered generally task related.
Single-Unit Analysis

During the memory task, neurons of dTP were primarily active (27–39% of total cells) during cue presentations, when sound cue information might be encoded, during the wait period, when subjects might be deciding whether or not to produce a behavioral response, and during the response periods (Tables 1 and 2; Data were pooled together across all sound stimuli and trial types for each recorded unit). More neurons exhibited significantly increased activity than decreased activity to these events. Over one-half of all recorded units showed evoked activity during match-correct trials, relative to non-match trial types (nonmatch-correct: 35%; nonmatch-incorrect: 36%; binomial tests, $P \leq 0.05$). However, only 20% of recorded units showed evoked activity during match-incorrect trials. Table 3 summarizes the percentage of units showing activity change during discrete task events by trial type, and Fig. 3 illustrates examples of task-related activity by trial type.

Cues and cue offsets. About one-third of the neurons showed significantly evoked responses to the sound cues with a general drop off to 20%, on average, of neurons during the sound offset period, except in the medial portion of the dTP which remained evoked during the cue 2 offset period (Tables 1 and 2). These overall percentages are consistent with other higher-order auditory regions, such as rostral superior temporal plane (Kikuchi et al. 2010) and prefrontal cortex (Plakke et al. 2013).

Delay. Among the 21% of total recorded units showing activity changes during memory delays, the majority had significant activity present in only one 500-ms interval during the early, middle or late delay periods (Table 4); additionally, these changes were rather short-lived, intermittent, and rarely maintained at successively longer time intervals (Fig. 4, A and B). Delay-related activity revealed in dTP was different from that in higher-order visual cortical areas that sometimes show sustained delay activity along the ventral “what” pathway for visual object processing, as in ITC and vTP (Miyashita and Chang 1988; Miller and Desimone 1994; Nakamura and Kubota 1995, 1996; Woloszyn and Sheinberg 2009).

Wait and response. During wait and response periods, about a quarter of units exhibited task-relevant activity at match-correct trials, relative to other trial types (binomial tests, $P \leq 0.05$). This difference likely reflects a combination of factors e.g., the upcoming response preparation, button-press response, and the actual presence of reward after the subjects successfully responded to the match condition, relative to other trial types. Overall, spike activity was often low within dTP units during incorrect performance on match trials, which rarely occurred in these highly trained subjects. This may be associated with a low level of attention on the subjects’ part during the behavioral task.

The go/no-go learning rule of the memory task allowed the study to differentiate spike activity changes due to response, reward or both across match-correct (presence of response and reward), nonmatch-correct (absence of response and reward) and nonmatch-incorrect trials (presence of reward only). Three example units, displayed in Fig. 3, all show significant changes in activity with regard to behavioral responses during match-correct and nonmatch-incorrect trials, and additionally reveal activity changes related to rewards present during

Table 1. Percentage of units responsive to discrete task events during the delayed matching-to-sample task (cue 1, cue 2 and their offset periods, memory delay, wait time and response periods 1, 2 and 3), separated by anatomical location within the dTP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cue 1</th>
<th>Cue 1 Offset</th>
<th>Cue 2</th>
<th>Cue 2 Offset</th>
<th>Delay</th>
<th>Wait</th>
<th>Response 1</th>
<th>Response 2</th>
<th>Response 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lateral</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medial</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lateral, $N = 120$; medial, $N = 105$. The dorsal temporal pole (dTP) unit percentage is evoked above chance (binomial tests, $P \leq 0.05$) for each given task event. Data were pooled together across all sound stimuli and trial types for each recorded unit.
match-correct trials only. The present study also examined if dTP activity was related to button-press responses during match-correct and nonmatch-incorrect trials. The firing rate of each unit was assessed in relationship to the exact timing of the button press. Intervals were taken from before and after button-press during four 500-ms intervals (preresponse period and postresponse periods 1, 2 and 3). Spike data were rearranged and aligned with the first button-press produced during match-correct trials (correct go-response) and nonmatch-incorrect trials (erroneous go-response on no-go trials). Although both trial conditions involved button pressing, there were more responsive units present for match-correct than for nonmatch-incorrect trials across the preresponse period and postresponse periods 1 and 2 (Table 5; binomial tests, \( P \leq 0.05 \)). This is congruent with the shorter response latencies on match-correct vs. nonmatch-incorrect trials (paired-sample t-tests, \( P < 0.001 \); Fig. 4, C and D) and is also consistent with the previous study using the same auditory DMS task with six monkeys (Ng et al. 2009). Differences in evoked activity during response events between match-correct and nonmatch-incorrect trials also suggest information coding of reward outcomes in dTP when subjects correctly identified two matching sound stimuli.

Responsiveness across events. In general, more than one-half of the responsive units encoded at least one out of the nine events, excluding delay, during the memory task. The majority of task-related units encoded 1–3 events (58%), others, 4–6 (30%), and less often, 7 or more (12%). When linking cue-related activity with the other three major task events (delay, wait and response), 16% of active units in dTP (\( N = 179 \); binomial tests, \( P \leq 0.05 \)) encoded both cue/response, and only 2% a combination of cue/delay or cue/wait. There were 6% and 8% of active units, respectively, encoding cue/wait/response or cue/delay/response combinations (binomial tests, \( P \leq 0.05 \)).

Sound-evoked activity of dTP. Among all recorded units, nearly one-third were auditory responsive to at least one of the eight sound stimuli during passive listening (30%) or the memory task on cue 1 (34%). The number of auditory responsive units was distributed similarly across the eight sound types (3–9%), and the majority increased their firing rate from pretrial firing rate. These auditory units were selective as they mainly responded to only one of the eight stimuli (passive listening: 60%; DMS task: 83%). During pure tones presentations of the passive listening, only 20% were responsive to one pure-tone stimulus, and another 12% were responsive to two or more pure tones where the multiple frequencies that effectively evoked spike activity for a given unit were not contiguous and had many intervening frequencies between them. This small subpopulation also covered most of the frequencies presented (0.1–20 kHz), so that in this small region of cortex there was at least one neuron firing to each of the 21 pure tones even if they responded to multiple pure tones. During the memory task, only 7% of recorded units were responsive to pure tones. Three units were responsive to pure tones during both passive listening and the memory task, but even these responded to different frequency levels of pure tones during the separate tasks. Therefore, there is no clear evidence to suggest neurons of dTP exhibit stable frequency preferences in the current experiment.

Comparisons of sound-evoked activity across experimental contexts. Of the 176 units held during both passive listening and the memory task, 54% were sound responsive; and 87% of this sound responsive population showed changes in response profiles by being responsive in only one of the experimental contexts or by responding to different sounds across the two contexts. Only 13% of units were consistently responsive to the same sound stimulus across contexts. The majority of auditory responsive units in dTP tended to respond to different sound stimuli when switching between experimental conditions perhaps flexibly encoding auditory information depending on the experimental context and possibly influenced by task difficulty, demand, and/or attention.

Population Analysis

Cue. Population analyses of dTP units revealed discrete trial-type differences between cue 1 and cue 2 that primarily occurred in the first 100-ms interval (Fig. 5; see Supp. Table S1 for full analyses details; supplemental materials are available online at the Journal website); therefore, further population analyses divided the first 100-ms period into two

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task Events</th>
<th>MC</th>
<th>NC</th>
<th>NI</th>
<th>MI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cue 1</td>
<td>13*</td>
<td>11*</td>
<td>9*</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cue 1 offset</td>
<td>8*</td>
<td>8*</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cue 2</td>
<td>16*</td>
<td>12*</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cue 2 offset</td>
<td>10*</td>
<td>10*</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delay</td>
<td>10*</td>
<td>10*</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wait</td>
<td>17*</td>
<td>8*</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response 1</td>
<td>26*</td>
<td>11*</td>
<td>13*</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response 2</td>
<td>28*</td>
<td>8*</td>
<td>8*</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response 3</td>
<td>21*</td>
<td>11*</td>
<td>10*</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( N = 225. \) MC, match correct; NC, nonmatch correct; NI, nonmatch incorrect; MI, match incorrect. *For a given task event, the percentage of dTP units evoked above chance (binomial tests, \( P \leq 0.05 \)). Data were pooled together across all sound stimuli for each recorded unit.

Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task Events</th>
<th>Both</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cue 1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cue 1 offset</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory delay</td>
<td>Early</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Late</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cue 2</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cue 2 offset</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wait</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response 1</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response 2</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response 3</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( N = 225. \) The total dTP unit percentage is evoked above chance (binomial tests, \( P \leq 0.05 \)) for each given task event. Data were pooled together across all sound stimului and trial types for each recorded unit.
50-ms intervals to focus on the dynamic portion of the cue presentation periods for dTP (Supplemental Table S2). During match trials, population activity was higher at cue 1 than cue 2 (main effect of cue: $F_{(1, 2143)} = 5.77, P \leq 0.05$) with the opposite occurring on nonmatch trials (main effect of cue: $F_{(1, 2248)} = 3.97, P \leq 0.05$; Fig. 6).

To directly compare the trial types and determine response latency within the early part of the cue response, population activity changes were either present in 1, 2 or 3 successive intervals for each delay period. *For a given task event, the percentage of dTP units evoked above chance (binomial tests, $P \leq 0.05$). Data were pooled together across all sound stimuli for each recorded unit.

Each delay period consisted of 3 intervals of 500-ms intervals. Significant activity changes were either present in 1, 2 or 3 successive intervals for each delay period. *For a given task event, the percentage of dTP units evoked above chance (binomial tests, $P \leq 0.05$). Data were pooled together across all sound stimuli for each recorded unit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trial Type</th>
<th>1 Interval</th>
<th>2 Intervals</th>
<th>3 Intervals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Early delay</td>
<td>MC 29*</td>
<td>11*</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MI 30*</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NC 31*</td>
<td>12*</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NI 33*</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle delay</td>
<td>MC 34*</td>
<td>11*</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MI 39*</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NC 50*</td>
<td>12*</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NI 38*</td>
<td>8*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late delay</td>
<td>MC 51*</td>
<td>9*</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MI 39*</td>
<td>22*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NC 23*</td>
<td>31*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NI 33*</td>
<td>13*</td>
<td>8*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Percentage of units showing a significant activity change from pretrial firing rate, either increasing or decreasing, across early, middle and late periods of fixed 5-s delays during the delayed matching-to-sample task.

The activity during the first 90-ms was resampled into three 30-ms intervals for detailed analyses to capture the peak activity differences. Population data for match- and nonmatch-correct trials during the cue 1 presentation were combined (cue 1 - MCNC) due to similar increases in firing rate. Combined cue 1 were compared with match- and nonmatch-correct trials during cue 2 (cue 2 - MC and cue 2 - NC) with paired-sample $t$-tests (Supplemental Table S3). At the second 30-ms interval of cue presentation (31–60 ms from cue start), cue 2 activity was higher on nonmatch trials compared with match ($P = 0.019$ for the adjusted critical probability level of 0.033; Fig. 7). These findings suggest that a significantly reduced population response was present upon identical sound presentations during match-correct trials (e.g., individual example in Fig. 7A), and 9% of the individually recorded units showed significantly reduced activity between cue 1 and cue 2. This phenomenon, sometimes termed match suppression, has been similarly ob-

Fig. 3. Various examples of dTP neurons responsive to discrete task-relevant events during the memory task. Raster plots and peristimulus time histograms show spike activity aligned to onset of cue 1 (time = 0). Asterisks denote significant activity change against pretrial firing rate during a given 100-ms interval or a given 500-ms interval. Each bin is 100 ms. A: unit (no. 1021092a) encoded the events of cue presentations and offsets, wait and response periods during match and nonmatch trials. Compared with nonmatch-correct (NC) trials, the unit increased firing rate during wait period of match-correct (MC) and nonmatch-incorrect (NI) trials before eventual responses were produced by the subject. Significant activity change of the unit was associated with response initiation. Unit had sustained firing throughout response periods 1–3 during MC trials only. This effect was modulated by presence of food rewards. B: unit (no. 0420102b) was responsive to the events of cue 1, cue 2, and response periods during the three trial types. Compared with NI trials, this unit had sustained firing from cue 2 offset toward the wait period during NI trials in contrast to sustained firing along the entire response interval during MC trials. The effect may be due to behavioral outcomes associated with these two trial types (correct/error or reward/no reward).
served in the visual object identification pathway (e.g., ITC and vTP) located ventrally of dTP (vTP: Nakamura and Kubota 1995, 1996; ITC: Baylis and Rolls 1987; Miller et al. 1993; Miller and Desimone 1994). This trial difference regarding the activity change during presentations of cue 2 occurred rapidly and transiently, within 31–60 ms of the start of cue 2. Mechanisms such as response fatigue within dTP itself cannot explain the present finding, as population activity differences between the two correct trial types did not occur until at least 31–60 ms from the start of cue 2 presentations; additionally, as is consistent with suppression effects in nonhuman primate visual studies (Liu et al. 2009), population trial differences were time-limited, ceasing within the first 100 ms of cue 2 presentations.

Fig. 4. Various examples of dTP neurons responsive to memory delays and button-press responses during the memory task with activity temporally aligned with the onset of behavioral responses. Asterisks indicate significant activity change from pretrial firing rate for 100-ms or 500-ms intervals. Each bin is 100 ms. Units [no. 0426101a (A) and no. 1005091b (B)] showed limited and intermittent activity during three periods of fixed 5-s memory delays. Evoked activity change only lasted for fragments of 500- to 1,000-ms intervals for each trial type. Note that these units also encoded other task events, mainly associated with auditory cue events, wait and response periods. These two examples, as well as the rest of the data, suggested that significant activity change of dTP during memory delays may not necessarily indicate the memory trace of a perceived sound. Button-press responses (black arrows) were followed by food rewards (gray arrows) at MC trials, compared with when an erroneous response was made on NI trials and no reward was presented. A food reward followed the first button-press during MC trials by ~200 ms. C: unit (no. 1028091a) showed increases in firing that immediately followed responses (at time = 0) on both MC and NI trials, indicating the evoked activity is associated with go responses. However, the increased firing was continuous and maintained only during MC trials, which result in food rewards, suggesting that this unit may encode behavioral outcomes including the rewarding event. D: unit (no. 0426101a) increased firing 500 ms before button-press responses and then decreased firing during MC trials. A combination of response and reward modulated the activity of this unit.
A detailed analysis, similar to that of cue 2 directly above, was also used to clarify trial type differences at cue 2 offset, where changes occurred toward the end of the interval (Supplemental Table S2). All spike data during the last 90 ms of cue 2 offset were resampled into 30-ms time intervals for match-correct, nonmatch-correct, and nonmatch-incorrect trials. Activity at match-correct trials was higher than the two nonmatch trial types during the first and last 30-ms intervals (paired-sample t-tests, two-tailed, \( P < 0.05 \)) for each given task event. Data were pooled together across all sound stimuli for each recorded unit.

Table 5. Percentage of units showing a significant activity change from pretrial firing rate, either increasing (+) or decreasing (−), during the occurrence of button pressing (MC and NI trials)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Preresponse</th>
<th>Postresponse 1</th>
<th>Postresponse 2</th>
<th>Postresponse 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( N = 225 \). The total dTP unit percentage is evoked above chance (binomial tests, \( P \leq 0.05 \)) for each given task event. Data were pooled together across all sound stimuli for each recorded unit.


delay. Population activity was not significantly different from pretrial firing rate during the memory delay period for any of the four trial types individually (one-way ANOVAs). However, population analyses on trial type showed that activity during the delay is significantly higher on incorrect trials than correct trials (repeated-measures ANOVAs; within-subject factors: delay periods (early, middle and late) and time intervals (three 500 ms per interval per period)) for match trials \( F(2, 20.398) = 14.43, P \leq 0.05 \) and nonmatch trials \( F(2, 20.398) = 14.18, P \leq 0.05 \) respectively (Supplemental Table S4).

Wait and response. After processing the cue 2 presentations during the cue and offset periods, the subject was required to wait to make a response during the possible reward and reward periods. Evoked activity change was associated with the involvement of behavioral responses and reward during wait and response periods. During match-correct trials \( F(4, 56.249) = 14.85 \), increased spike activity was present for all wait and response intervals. For the nonmatch-correct and nonmatch-incorrect trials, significant activity change was found at both the wait period and two out of three response periods during nonmatch-correct trials \( F(4, 56.249) = 3.77, P \leq 0.05 \) and nonmatch-incorrect trials \( F(4, 56.249) = 9.03, P \leq 0.05 \). There was no significant activity change from pretrial firing rate in any wait or response periods during match-incorrect trials.

To examine effects of choice behavior and reward, four events (wait period and response periods 1–3) and trial type were used as within-subject and between-subject factors, respectively, for repeated-measure ANOVAs. Overall, trials associated with behavioral responses (match-correct and nonmatch-incorrect trials) robustly evoked stronger population activity of dTP than those without (match-incorrect and nonmatch-correct trials). The resultant effects occurred as early as the wait period and extended into some portion of the three response periods. Activity during match-correct trials at the wait period and response periods 1 and 2 was higher than that of match-incorrect [trial × event interaction: \( F(3, 61,194) = 2.65, P \leq 0.05 \)] but for nonmatch-correct trials the effect stopped after response period 1 [trial × event interaction: \( F(3, 61,194) = 8.01, P \leq 0.05 \), Fig. 8].

Sound type. Population analysis of dTP units across the eight different sound types was conducted by combining population responses during cue 1 presentations between match-correct and nonmatch-correct trials, as there was no difference in population response to cue 1 between these two trial types. In repeated-measures ANOVAs, sound type was the between-subject factors, and interval (five 100-ms intervals) was the within-subject factors. There was a main effect of interval \( F(4, 71,968) = 10.82 \) showing that population activity during the first 100-ms interval of combined cue 1 presentations was the highest among the entire interval of cue presentations (paired-sample t-tests, two-tailed, \( P < 0.001 \)). There was also a main effect of sound type \( F(2, 17,992) = 2.35, P \leq 0.05 \). Responses to human vocalizations were significantly higher than those of natural sounds and synthesized clips (paired-sample t-tests, two-tailed, \( P = 0.011 \)), and pure tones or white noises were significantly higher than those of synthesized clips (paired-sample t-tests, two-tailed, \( P = 0.013 \)). When the eight sound types were reorganized into simple (i.e., pure tones) vs. complex (the rest of the seven types) based on their acoustical features and properties, population analysis did not reveal any significant difference between simple and complex sound types.

A mixture of coos, grunts, harmonic arches, warbles, shrill barks and screams composed the sound stimuli for the monkey vocalization sound type. Population analysis was examined among these subtypes of vocalizations, because dTP may be crucial for socio-emotional processing in humans and monkeys (Olson et al. 2007; Zahn et al. 2007). The initial analysis did not reveal any significant effect of monkey vocalization subtypes (repeated-measures ANOVAs with three trial types: combined cue 1 across match- and nonmatch-correct trials, cue 2 of match-correct trials, and cue 2 of nonmatch-correct trials), but significant findings could have been obscured by a wide range of biological/ethological significances of stimulus subtypes (e.g.,
from food-related scenarios, social grooming to agonistic encounters between monkeys). A population analysis of coos vs. screams only, representing highly divergent communication subtypes in terms of social and ethological significance (positive vs. negative valence), showed that dTP activity during screams was significantly higher than during coos at cue 2 during match-correct trials $[F_{(1,728)} = 8.96, P \leq 0.05]$. Future studies will need to assess responses to hetero-specific calls and perhaps backward or scrambled calls to ascertain the significance of this observed difference.

Fig. 5. Population activity of 225 units across discrete task events for the three trial types. Underlined single asterisks denote significant activity change against pretrial firing rate during a 100-ms interval of cue presentation or cue offset. Double asterisks denote significant activity change against pretrial firing rate during a 500-ms interval of a target event (i.e., wait time, response periods R1, R2, and R3). Shaded areas behind the darker curve for each trial type illustrate the range of standard error for its respective averaged population mean ($\pm$1 standard error of mean). Standardized spike data shown on the graph were binned into 50-ms intervals. Time 0 denote the onset of a cue 1. Note that button-press responses were present during response period R1 of MC trials (correct go-response), which were followed by a food reward. Behavioral responses were also present during the NI trials (erroneous go-response). Note that population activity during match-incorrect (MI) trials was not significantly different from pretrial firing rate and had significantly less activity than the other three trial types. Population activity during MI is thus not depicted to facilitate visual comparison of the other three trial types on the same graph.

Fig. 6. Cue effects associated with spike activity changes during the cue 1 and cue 2 presentations. Population spike activity of the two trial types was highlighted during cue presentations. Correct and incorrect trials were collapsed across match trials and nonmatch trials, respectively. Horizontal lines, arrows and asterisks denote significant differences between cue 1 and cue 2 during the first 100-ms interval of cue presentations. While suppression on population activity was present at cue 1, enhancement on population activity was found at cue 2 during nonmatch trials compared with cue 1.
DISCUSSION

Neurons in dTP encode auditory stimuli and a number of memory task events, i.e., decision wait time, response period, and reward delivery; however, evoked activity during memory delays was limited within the region. With nearly one-third of dTP units responsive to cue presentations across trial types, auditory encoding is a robust feature of dTP with population activity during the sample sound associated with correct memory performance. Neural dTP encoding of the sample sound, and other task-related neural correlates in dTP in addition to recognition memory correlates, are likely to be crucial for mediating auditory analysis and identification facilitating accurate recognition memory performance.

In the DMS paradigm, sustained delay activity and modulated activity on identical stimulus presentations (match suppression or enhancement) are often present in the visual nervous system and are considered neural correlates of working and recognition memory, respectively (Desimone 1996; Miller et al. 1996; Nakamura and Kubota 1996). Here the study reveals that reduced population activity during a repeated sound presentation (i.e., cue 2 during match trials) was present, and the resultant magnitude was significantly lower than that when the animals correctly distinguished two different sounds (i.e., nonmatch-correct trials). Similar to vTP and ITC along the visual object identification pathway (Baylis and Rolls 1987; Miller et al. 1993; Miller and Desimone 1994; Nakamura and Kubota 1995; Persson et al. 2002; Woloszyn and Sheinberg 2009), dTP shows match suppression on identical sound presentations, suggesting a robust signature of recognition memory within the auditory nervous system. Humans also exhibit reduced activity in anterior superior temporal gyrus when subjects passively listened to repeated stimuli or performed short-term memory tasks with verbal stimuli (Buchsbaum and D’Esposito 2009; Buchsbaum et al. 2011; Dehaene-Lambertz et al. 2006). Suppression on auditory cortical responses is also revealed in human auditory cortex during a nonverbal, auditory DMS task, relative to passive listening and number-counting conditions. Cortical suppression occurs during the late delay period and the first 100 ms of the second sound presentation, and the effect is enhanced when using sounds with increased acoustic structure (Rong et al. 2011). For any given trial of the current task, match suppression on identical sound stimuli may indicate that a recent, repeated sound is familiar within a single trial context and is more quickly and efficiently processed than a relatively novel or different sound during nonmatch trials, sometimes referred to as bottom-up processing (Desimone 1996; Grill-Spector et al. 2006). Although mechanisms underlying reduced activity patterns are still under debate (Liu et al. 2009; McMahon and Olson 2007; Persson et al. 2002; Sawamura et al. 2006). Additionally, there was an increase in dTP activity to cue 2 when a different sound was presented on nonmatch trials, which may be an additional processing pattern to differentiate between match and nonmatching trials.

Compared with higher-order visual areas, the memory-processing component of dTP is largely reflected by its match suppression on identical sound presentations present in dTP during MC trial conditions. A: an example of a unit (no. 1028091c) showing match suppression when the monkey correctly identified two matching sounds. Asterisks and brackets denote significant activity difference between cue 1 and cue 2 (paired sample t-test, *P < 0.05). Each interval is 100 ms. Red bars represent sound presentations, and black ticks represent action potentials in a raster plot of spike activity. The unit was responsive to four sound stimuli during the delayed matching-to-sample task: an animal vocalization, a human vocalization, and a synthesized clip. Match suppression occurred when the two matching sound were an animal vocalization or a human vocalization, but not the other two sounds. Compared with visual studies showing match suppression (e.g., Miller et al. 1993; Nakamura et al. 1994; Nakamura and Kubota, 1995), the present study does not use a priori selection criterion, which involves assessing the most effective stimuli. This may account for the selective nature of the suppression response at the level of individual units. B: detailed analysis of population activity (N = 225) during cue 2 between trials of MC, NC and NI. The brackets and asterisks denote a significant trial difference in discrete 30-ms intervals (P < 0.033). Results suggest that active suppression may be present during presentations of cue 2 during MC trials. The effect was very early and transient during the cue 2 presentations, within 31–60 ms from cue onset.
The use of auditory stimuli with no a priori assessment of the neurons’ preference, although typical during visual studies, may account for this difference. Other possibilities include distributed encoding patterns of memory-related activity (Zhou et al. 2007), or activity patterns during the delay may instead be related to task progression. Significant dTP population response during the memory delay period immediately preceding the test stimulus suggests possible temporal processing of trial information. On a functional level, less robust memory performances and shorter forgetting thresholds for auditory stimuli compared with visual have been observed in nonhuman primates (Buffalo et al. 1999; D’Amato and Colombo 1985; Fritz et al. 2005; Scott et al. 2012; Wright 1998, 1999). The observed transient, intermittent delay-related dTP activity may be a potential factor related to less robust behavioral performance on auditory recognition tasks and lowered performance at longer delays (Funahashi 2006). On the other hand, delay activity revealed in ITC is more susceptible to intervening stimuli presented during visual memory delays compared with prefrontal cortices (Desimone 1996); therefore, sustained activation may not be the optimal mechanism to maintain memory traces in these higher-order sensory regions, reflecting instead an attention/expectation aspect of objects relevant to a behaviorally engaging task. The prefrontal cortex may also be a potential candidate for auditory encoding that maintains a cue-induced memory trace during delay periods (Bodner et al. 1996; Desimone 1996; Funahashi 2006; Fuster and Jervey 1982; Miller et al. 1996; Plakke et al. 2013). Current findings suggest that evoked activity of dTP during memory delays of an auditory DMS task may not necessarily link to the memory trace of a perceived sound. Whether or not a weak capacity exists for maintaining a task-relevant cue, the present study suggests encoding differences for delay activity in dTP relative to visual studies, but not in recognition memory encoding.

A combination of decision-making processes and behavioral responses play a significant role in dTP activity modulation, as single-unit and population analyses yielded robust activity changes during wait and response periods. The go/no-go rule with asymmetric reinforcement contingency allows evaluation of distinct effects for button-press responses (match-correct and nonmatch-incorrect trials) and food rewards (match-correct trials only) with activity of many dTP units robustly strengthened or weakened by food rewards. Increased population activity of dTP is always present in trials when button-press responses were produced, regardless of correct or incorrect performance. The increased activity of dTP may occur immediately after presentations of a test stimulus (i.e., cue 2 offset and/or wait periods during match trials), in which decision-making is initiated and followed by a button-press response. Reward expectation and outcome also complementarily influence population encoding of dTP during the auditory DMS task.

Prior studies consistently show influence of response behavior and reward contingency robustly modulating neuronal activity of other auditory primary cortical areas in nonhuman...
primates. The primary auditory cortex exhibit response-related activity associated with bar press and release in monkeys (Brosch et al. 2005). These neuronal activities are sometimes correlated to choice behavior when animal subjects correctly discriminate auditory stimuli based on changes in amplitude-modulation (Niwa et al. 2012). Neuronal activity of the primary auditory cortex and posterior belt fields is remarkably modulated by reward size, reward expectancy and mismatch between expected and delivered reward (Brosch et al. 2011). Positive and negative reinforcement notably increase or decrease neuronal activity of A1 when ferrets discriminate between noise and pure tone in the same task with different behavioral contingencies (David et al. 2012). Evidence of task-relevant activity during reward and response periods suggest a top-down feedback to the auditory nervous system, perhaps facilitating auditory learning and memory. The present findings also reveal that dTP shows differential population responses across the eight sound types not explained by the purely acoustical properties of the sounds (e.g., simple vs. complex), but in some instances may contrast the ethological significance (e.g., coos vs. screams). The human temporal pole is sensitive to auditory and visual stimuli associated with social and/or emotional content (Jimura et al. 2009; Liu et al. 2007; Royet et al. 2000; Zahn et al. 2007), and lesions to primate temporal pole, amygdala, and/or orbitofrontal cortex induce symptoms of Kluver-Bucy syndrome (Olson et al. 2007). A neural network connecting these three areas may therefore mediate information processing of reward outcome and emotion (Barbas et al. 1999; Höistad and Barbas 2008; Reser et al. 2009; Saleem et al. 2008). With some connections between dTP and striatum (Kondo et al. 2003), motor information as well as reward information may be transmitted to dTP and mediate goal-directed behaviors. Present findings parallel the proposed role of temporal pole in processing socio-emotional information and reward outcome (Olson et al. 2007). During auditory object processing, temporal pole neurons may help in facilitating recognition and retrieval using episodic or emotional details to familiar stimuli.

This first memory survey of auditory responsive units in dTP suggests these neurons are highly stimulus selective, parallel to a recent study reporting auditory selectivity within the adjacent rostral superior temporal plane (Kikuchi et al. 2010). Compared with Kikuchi et al. (2010), present electrode placements are more rostral, mainly within TGdd and TGdg, with no rostro-caudal or medial-lateral differences in dTP response profiles. Our recent study (Ng et al. 2010) suggests that dTP neurons do not faithfully encode specific frequencies, when the same animal subjects passively listened to a set of 129 sounds, ranging from pure tones, band-passed noises to human and monkey vocalizations. Although some neurons respond to multiple pure tones, these frequency levels are often far apart from each other and are not adjacent, as might be expected in traditional auditory processing regions (Kaas and Hackett 2000). Although our study did not specifically aim to assess dTP spike activity to visual objects or images, no units responded to the lighted response button used on all trials for signaling the possible response period, suggesting this area may be auditory selective. Future recording studies using a variety of sensory stimuli will elucidate dTP sensory selectivity.

Among the auditory responsive units, many of their response-encoding profiles were modified across experimental contexts but remained consistent within either the passive presentations or the memory task. Units sometimes encoded additional stimuli when the memory task started, or dropped some or all of the sounds to which they previously responded, or switched firing preferences to different sound stimuli. These findings suggest that the majority of dTP neurons show flexible encoding across contexts and may be influenced by task demand, complexity, and/or attention. Effects of context on the auditory system have been documented to discern whether behavioral engagement influences neuronal activity of auditory primary regions. Tasks requiring attention and behavioral responses from animals robustly modulated, either by increasing or decreasing spike activity changes compared with passive conditions (Otazu et al. 2009; Scott et al. 2007; Sutter and Shamma 2011). These current observations that the memory task evokes more dTP neurons than the passive listening context in a region beyond secondary auditory cortex processing is supported by previous findings in primary cortical regions. This finding also contrasts with those for visual stimuli and recordings in vTP in which preferences and firing patterns are more stable, and normally an a priori criterion is often applied to use preferred visual stimuli; whereas, in the present study, sound stimuli and the memory task were presented to every neuron identified.

Understanding of recognition memory is historically based on studies that have examined behavioral and neural correlates of memory functions from the visual nervous system in monkeys and humans. Interactions between higher-order visual cortical areas and the medial temporal lobe system govern how universal models for neural substrates of memory functions would extend across other sensory modalities. However, the sensory nature of stimulus quality is different between the visual and auditory modalities; in the latter, temporal components become pivotal for auditory processing (Wang et al. 2008). Auditory functions manifest in ways that are both behaviorally and neuronally different from their visual counterparts in the short-delay memory domain; yet there are strong similarities between all other aspects of recognition memory, i.e., cue, match suppression, decision, reward, and response encoding, albeit processed in different cortical regions. Continued examination of these sensory processing pathways will provide a more unified theory of recognition memory and stimulus processing, which are critical subcomponents of communication processing.
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