Electrical Stimulation of the Horizontal Limb of the Diagonal Band of Broca Modulates Population EPSPs in Piriform Cortex
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Linster, Christiane, Bradley P. Wyble, and Michael E. Hasselmo. Electrical stimulation of the horizontal limb of the diagonal band of Broca modulates population EPSPs in piriform cortex. J. Neurophysiol. 81: 2737–2742, 1999. Electrical stimulation of the horizontal limb of the diagonal band of Broca (HDB) was coupled with recording of evoked potentials in the piriform cortex. Stimulation of the HDB caused an enhancement of the late, disynaptic component of the evoked potential elicited by stimulation of the lateral olfactory tract but caused a suppression of the synaptic potential elicited by stimulation of the posterior piriform cortex. The muscarinic antagonist scopolamine blocked both effects of HDB stimulation. The enhancement of disynaptic potentials could be due to cholinergic depolarization of pyramidal cells, whereas the suppression of potentials evoked by posterior piriform stimulation could be due to presynaptic inhibition of intrinsic fiber synaptic transmission by acetylcholine.

INTRODUCTION

Modulatory effects of acetylcholine have been shown to play a role in olfactory behavior. In particular, the muscarinic antagonist scopolamine has been shown to impair short-term memory for odors (Ravel et al. 1994), habituation to familiar odors (Hunter and Murray 1989), and odor-based social recognition (Perio et al. 1989; Soffie and Lambert 1988). Lesions of the cholinergic and GABAergic inputs from the basal forebrain have also been shown to influence olfactory habituation and investigation (Paolini and McKenzie 1993, 1996).

Computational models of the olfactory system have investigated how the specific effects of cholinergic modulation on neuronal circuits could influence processing of olfactory stimuli (Hasselmo 1993; Linster and Gervais 1996; Linster and Hasselmo 1997a). The implementation of cholinergic effects in the piriform cortex in these models has been based primarily on experimental data from brain slice preparations. These data include experiments showing that cholinergic agonists depolarize piriform cortex pyramidal cells (Barkai and Hasselmo 1994), suppress neuronal adaptation (Barkai and Hasselmo 1994; Tseng and Haberly 1989), and suppress excitatory and inhibitory synaptic transmission in the association fiber pathway (Hasselmo and Bower 1992; Patil and Hasselmo 1996; Williams and Constanti 1988a).

Although brain slice preparations provide controlled conditions for analyzing modulatory effects, it is important to demonstrate that these effects appear in vivo preparations. This ensures that the effect appears with neuronal release of the modulator in anatomically intact circuits. Both the olfactory bulb (OB) and piriform cortex receive cholinergic input from the horizontal limb of the diagonal band of Broca (HDB) (Zaborsky et al. 1986a). The neurons in the HDB contain both ACh and GABA (Brashear et al. 1986), and it is known that the cholinergic and GABAergic neurons in the HDB are at least partially spatially segregated (Brashear et al. 1986). This spatial segregation of cholinergic and GABAergic neurons in different regions of the HDB allows for preferential stimulation of cholinergic neurons projecting to the OB and/or piriform cortex (Luskin and Price 1982; Zaborsky et al. 1986b). Several studies of cholinergic effects in the OB have been performed in vivo (Elagouby et al. 1991; Kunze et al. 1991, 1992; Nickell and Shipley 1988, 1993; Ravel et al. 1990), but to our knowledge only a single study has investigated the effects of cholinergic modulation in the piriform cortex in vivo (Zimmer et al. 1996).

We investigate the effect of electrical stimulation in the HDB on excitatory synaptic transmission in the piriform cortex in vivo. We investigate the effect of HDB stimulation on the population excitatory postsynaptic potentials (EPSPs) evoked by stimulation of the lateral olfactory tract (LOT) and more posterior piriform cortex (pPC). We observe 1) no effect on the monosynaptic EPSP evoked by electrical stimulation of the LOT, 2) an increase of the disynaptic component of the population EPSP evoked by LOT stimulation, and 3) a decrease of the population EPSP evoked by electrical stimulation of intrinsic association fibers from the pPC. All the observed effects are significantly decreased under the muscarinic antagonist scopolamine, suggesting that they are at least partially mediated by cholinergic pathways.

METHODS

Surgical preparation

Adult, male Sprague Dawley rats (300–400 g) were anesthetized with urethan (1.5 g/kg ip). Levels of anesthesia were monitored by rate of respiration and foot withdrawal reflex and supplemented if necessary by intraperitoneal injections. Body temperature was maintained at 37°C with a heating pad. Anesthetized animals were placed in a stereotaxic apparatus, and the skull was exposed by scalp incision. We used a total of 11 animals for the data presented here. In seven animals, both stimulation of the LOT and stimulation of the pPC were used. Holes were drilled in the skull at positions appropriate for gaining access to the stereotaxic locations (Paxino and Watson 1986) of the LOT, the HDB, anterior piriform cortex (aPC), and pPC. Recently, it has been shown that urethan has an effect on population EPSPs such as those observed in the experiments described here (Cauthron and Stripling 1998); however, the effects measured in our experiments (relative size of evoked potentials with and without...
electrical stimulation of cholinergic afferents) should not be affected by the tonic presence of urethan anesthesia.

**Electrical stimulation**

As summarized schematically in Fig. 2, bipolar stimulation electrodes (100 μm stainless steel, Formvar-insulated except at the tips, twisted together) were placed in the LOT (4.7 mm anterior, 3.4 mm lateral; 5.2 ventral from bregma), in pPC (3.6 mm posterior, 3.0 mm lateral (14° angled laterally); 9–10 mm ventral from bregma), and in the HDB (0.0–0.5 mm posterior, 1.8–2.2 mm lateral, 8.6–9.0 mm ventral from bregma). We chose the coordinates for the HDB stimulation electrode in such a way as to ensure a high probability for stimulation of cholinergic neurons projecting to the olfactory system, based on anatomic data (Brashear et al. 1986; Luskin and Price 1982; Zaborszky et al. 1986b). Optimal placement of the recording electrode was achieved by monitoring the field potentials evoked in layer Ia of aPC. All stimulation currents were delivered by a constant current source isolation unit (Grass Instruments) controlled by a Grass Instrument stimulator. Stimulation currents for the LOT and pPC were adjusted to approximately two times threshold strength for the HDB electrode was adjusted such as to evoke no field potential response in aPC (−100–300 μA). For placement of the pPC electrode, we recorded the field potentials evoked by LOT stimulation from this electrode and placed the electrode in the deeper layers (II or III) of pPC, as determined by the polarity of the response observed in response to LOT stimulation (Haberly 1973). Field potentials elicited by stimulation of layer I of the piriform cortex can easily be contaminated by antidromic LOT activation, and the components of these field potentials are difficult to interpret. We therefore chose to stimulate layer II–III of pPC, evoking a population EPSP in aPC, presumably caused by direct electrical activation of the deeper layer association fibers. This produced a positive-going potential in layer Ia of aPC, which reversed near layer II. The locations of stimulus electrodes and the recording electrode are summarized schematically in Fig. 1.

**Recording**

For recording of field potentials, a recording electrode (100 μm stainless steel, Formvar insulated except at the tip) was placed in layer Ia of the aPC in such a way as to observe a short latency response to stimulation of the LOT. DC preamplification (Grass Instruments, X×10) was used in all recordings; the signal was then further amplified (×200) and filtered between 0.1 and 6000 Hz via a Neuralynx differential amplifier. Data acquisition, display, and control of stimulus were done by use of computer (Pentium 100 MHz and Datawave Workbench 32 software). Amplitude of field potentials was measured from the baseline (0–2 ms before the stimulus artifact) to the peak of the negative deflection (when stimulating LOT) or the positive deflection (when stimulating layer II–III of pPC).

**Drug administration**

Systemic injections of scopolamine (0.5 mg/kg ip in saline) were used to test whether the observed effects were due to activation of muscarinic cholinergic receptors. The difference between the baseline pulses and pulses after HDB stimulation was compared before and after the scopolamine injections.

**Histology**

At the end of the experiment, current was passed through the recording and stimulation electrodes (via a 9-V battery) to deposit iron in the tissue. Transcardial perfusion was then performed with saline and potassium ferrocyanide in 10% buffered formalin. After storage in 10% formalin with 20% sucrose, brains were sectioned and stained with Neutral red for localization of the recording and stimulating electrodes.

**Experimental protocol**

These experiments quantified the effect of electrical stimulation of the HDB on evoked responses in aPC (Fig. 1). As reported previously by other authors for similar experimental protocols (Nickell and Shipley 1993; Zimmer et al. 1996), we could not observe an effect when single electrical pulses were used for stimulation in the HDB. We therefore tested the effect of a brief tetanus (4 pulses of 0.3-ms width separated by 10 ms) in the HDB on the evoked responses to a subsequent single 0.3-ms pulse in either the LOT or the pPC. A single baseline pulse was given in the LOT or pPC electrode, and the response in the aPC was recorded (baseline pulse). After a delay of 1 s, the tetanus in the HDB electrode was given followed by a second
Effects are indicated by *. D (50 ms). The effect on component B1 observed at 50 ms was highly significant with the same stimulation currents that previously caused enhancement (50-ms delay 30 min after injection of 0.5 mg/kg scopolamine (in 6 animals)).

LOT-evoked response (B1), an accurate measurement of the slope artifact and the peak response). For the disynaptic phase of the potentials (measured between baseline 1–2 ms before the stimulation amplitude of the peak and the slope of the rising phase of the potentials), we measured both the amplitude of the peak and the slope of the rising phase of the potentials. For the monosynaptic component of the population EPSP, we measured both the amplitude of the peak and the slope of the rising phase of the potentials. The following positive component is called period 2 and is thought to result from inhibitory input to pyramidal cells. In the experiment described here, we observed the effect of a brief tetanus in the HDB on period 1 of the population EPSP evoked by LOT stimulation.

No significant effect of HDB stimulation on the monosynaptic population EPSP (A1) was observed in our experiments (n = 8; Fig. 2), as previously reported by Zimmer et al. (1996). In contrast, component B1 of the population EPSP was increased by the preceding stimulation in the HDB (Fig. 2B). As shown in Fig. 2C, a significant effect (169 ± 7.3% of the baseline response; n = 8; P < 0.01) was observed, only for Δt = 50 ms. This stimulation induced increase in B1 was blocked by scopolamine; 30 min after injection of 0.5 mg/kg scopolamine, no significant effect was observed after the tetanus in the HDB electrode with a delay of Δt = 50 ms (110 ± 6% of test response; n = 6; P > 0.2). Scopolamine had no effect on the response to the test pulse in the LOT. Thus the baseline used for comparison did not change. Figure 2 summarizes the effects on the population EPSP evoked by stimulation of the LOT.

Modulation of the population EPSP evoked by stimulation of the pPC

For these measurements, the stimulation electrode was placed into layers II–III of pPC. Electrical stimulation of the deeper layers of pPC evokes a complex population response.
recorded in layer Ia of aPC, of which we considered only the first peak, which is presumably the monosynaptic response evoked by stimulation of intrinsic association fibers and which could be reliably obtained in our experiments. When recorded in layer Ia of aPC, the first deflection of the response evoked by stimulation of the deeper layers is positive. Consistent with anatomic data, this potential reverses from positive to negative around the same location as the response evoked by stimulation of the LOT reverses from negative to positive. The first peak is negative if recorded in the deeper layers (layers II–III) of aPC, suggesting that we are stimulating association fibers that terminate in layers II–III or in the most proximal portion of layer Ib (Haberly 1985). In most animals tested (8/10), a significant decrease in the population EPSP evoked by stimulation of the deeper layers of pPC was observed after a tetanus in the HDB (Fig. 3A). The maximal decrease was observed when the pPC pulse occurred 50 ms after the HDB stimulation (78% ± 0.6% of the test response; \( P < 0.0001, n = 10 \)) (Fig. 3, A and C). In contrast to the effect observed for LOT stimulation, the effect on the pPC-evoked EPSP was still significant at a delay of 100 ms (84% ± 0.8% of test response) and at a delay of 250 ms (92% ± 1.2% of test response). Injection of 0.5 mg/kg scopolamine completely abolished the observed decrease in size induced by HDB stimulation (\( n = 8 \)). Interestingly, scopolamine by itself had an effect on the amplitude of the test pulse. When the deeper layers were stimulated, 30 min after the administration of 0.5 mg/kg scopolamine, the average rising slope of the population EPSP increased to 146 ± 5.2% of the response before scopolamine injection, suggesting that these potentials are under tonic suppression by muscarinic receptor activation.

**FIG. 3.** Effect of stimulation of HDB on the population EPSP in layer Ia in response to stimulation of layers II–III in posterior piriform cortex. A: responses to baseline pulse (baseline response) and test pulse 50 ms after HDB stimulation (\( \Delta t = 50 \) ms). In these experiments, we considered only the first peak of the response, which represents the monosynaptic population EPSP and which could be reliably obtained at a short latency. The line with arrow indicates the measurement of the peak of the response used in the analysis; the pointed lines show the measurement of the onset slope. After stimulation of the HDB, the first positive peak was reduced in most animals. B: responses to baseline pulse and test pulse 50 ms after HDB stimulation 30 min after the injection of 0.5 mg/kg scopolamine. Scopolamine abolished or greatly reduced the suppression of the first peak after HDB stimulation. Each trace is the average of 10 stimulations. A and B are from the same animal. C: average results (shown as average ± SE) obtained in 10 animals. Results are shown as percentages of the response to the test pulse (100%). The average suppression obtained at different delays \( \Delta t \) are shown. The maximal effect is obtained for \( \Delta t = 50 \) ms, but a significant effect could still be seen at 100 and 250 ms. Control: the same stimulation protocol was used (\( \Delta t = 50 \) ms), but the stimulation current in the HDB is <10 µA. Scop: response measured with a 50-ms delay 30 min after injection of 0.5 mg/kg scopolamine (\( n = 8 \)). The effects observed with delays of 50 and 100 ms were highly significant (\( P < 0.01 \)) when compared with the control experiment; no significant effect was obtained at either delay in the scopolamine condition. D: comparison of responses recorded at different intervals \( \Delta t \) after the HDB stimulation and the test response. Traces are averages of 10 responses from the same experiment.

**FIG. 4.** Location of stimulation electrodes in HDB and in posterior piriform cortex. A: schematic representation of the location of stimulation electrodes in the HDB. All recording sites were located in sections between 0.26 anterior to Bregma and −0.36 posterior to Bregma but are shown here in a single section. HDB: horizontal limb of the diagonal band of Broca; MCPO: magnocellular preoptic nucleus; LOT: lateral olfactory tract; PC: piriform cortex; OT: olfactory tubercle. B: schematic representation of the location of the stimulation electrodes in posterior piriform cortex approximately −3.6 posterior from Bregma. PC: piriform cortex; En: endopiriform nucleus; PRh: Perirhinal cortex.
Stimulation sites

After each experiment, all recording and stimulation sites were verified histologically. Only animals in which the stimulation sites were located in the area designated as HDB (Paxino and Watson 1986) were considered for the analysis of the results described here. Figure 4 shows a summary of the lesion sites produced by the HDB electrode (Fig. 4A) and the pPC electrode (Fig. 4B). The locus of stimulation was in the area of the HDB shown to contain a high density of cholinergic neurons projecting to the olfactory system (Brashear et al. 1986; Luskin and Price 1982; Zaborszky et al. 1986b).

Discussion

The results presented here demonstrate that electrical activation of cholinergic input from the horizontal limb of the diagonal band modulates evoked synaptic potentials in the piriform cortex, causing 1) suppression of monosynaptic potentials evoked by stimulation of pPC, 2) enhancement of the disynaptic activity induced by LOT stimulation, and 3) no change in the monosynaptic activity induced by LOT stimulation. These results are consistent with brain slice physiological experiments showing cholinergic suppression of intrinsic synaptic potentials in layer Ib with little or no effect on synaptic potentials elicited by afferent fiber stimulation in layer Ia (Hasselmo and Bower 1992).

The synaptic potentials elicited by stimulation of the pPC probably arise from associative connections from pPC to aPC, in contrast to the potentials elicited by LOT stimulation, which involve induction of both afferent synaptic currents in layer Ia and associative synaptic currents in layers Ib and in deeper layers (Biella and DeCurtis 1993; Ketchum and Haberly 1993). Our results suggest that the observed suppression of the population EPSP is mediated by muscarinic receptors, as systemic injections of scopolamine reduce the suppression here in the same manner that scopolamine blocks suppression of potentials induced by carbachol in slice preparations of the piriform cortex (Hasselmo and Bower 1992; Williams and Constanti 1988a,b).

The enhancement of disynaptic activity evoked by LOT stimulation probably results from the direct cholinergic depolarization of piriform cortex neurons described in vitro (Barkai and Hasselmo 1994; Tseng and Haberly 1989), which seems to cause a sufficient increase in spiking of pyramidal cells and disynaptic transmission to overcome the simultaneous suppression of intrinsic synaptic transmission.

Relation to previous in vivo results

The data presented here complements results from previous work in the OB showing that stimulation of the HDB suppresses synaptic potentials elicited in the OB by stimulation of the anterior commissure (Nickell and Shipley 1993). The commissural connections from one OB to the granule cells in the contralateral OB could be considered analogous to intrinsic connections between the pPC and the aPC, although suppression of transmission at these synapses has not been investigated in slice preparations of the OB. The enhancement of disynaptic potentials elicited by LOT stimulation here could result from an increase in excitability of pyramidal cells; indeed previous results reported in the piriform cortex (Zimmer et al. 1996) show an enhancement of spontaneous activity in piriform cortex pyramidal cells after electrical stimulation of the HDB.

A similar cholinergic modulation of synaptic transmission has been shown in in vivo experiments in the hippocampal formation. Iontophoretic injections of acetylcholine or muscarine into stratum radiatum of region CA1 have been shown to suppress population EPSPs elicited by stimulation of the fimbria (Rovira et al. 1982, 1983). Repetitive stimulation of the medial septum also causes suppression of evoked synaptic potentials in stratum radiatum of region CA1 (Rovira et al. 1983) in an experimental paradigm similar to the experiments presented here. Systemic injections of scopolamine blocked the observed effect in that study as well, suggesting that it is at least partially mediated by muscarinic receptors. Other studies have demonstrated the stimulation of the medial septum causes enhancement of population spikes in the pyramidal cell layer of region CA1 (Ben Ari et al. 1981; Kminevic and Ropert 1981, 1982) and the granule cell layer of the dentate gyrus (Bilkey and Goddard 1985; Fantie and Goddard 1982). This effect shows a rapid time course similar to the effect described here and could result from cholinergic depolarization of pyramidal cells, as suggested by our results for piriform cortex pyramidal cells.

Functional significance

Our results support the notion that cholinergic modulation of population EPSPs occurs within the intact animal. We observe an enhancement of the disynaptic potential elicited by LOT stimulation, which may result from depolarization of pyramidal cells. We also observe a suppression of the population EPSP evoked by stimulation of intrinsic association fibers. These two effects are complementary; a depolarization of pyramidal cells accompanied by a suppression of intrinsic association fiber transmission can increase the signal-to-noise ratio in response to afferent input from the LOT (Hasselmo et al. 1997). Previous computational modeling work suggests that this cholinergic modulation might allow afferent input to have a dominant influence on physiological activity in the piriform cortex (Barkai et al. 1994; Hasselmo and Linster 1997; Hasselmo et al. 1992). The results presented here show that during activation of the HDB the influence of intrinsic connections arising from pPC is considerably weakened relative to the unchanged magnitude of monosynaptic afferent input. A dominant influence of afferent input, enhanced by the depolarization of the pyramidal cells, would be appropriate for learning of new information with minimal interference from previously stored representations (Hasselmo and Bower 1993; Hasselmo and Linster 1997b). This would be particularly appropriate for the proposed function of the piriform cortex as an associative memory network (Haberly 1985; Haberly and Bower 1989) because neural network models of associative memory require greater influence of afferent input during storage of information than during retrieval.
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