Reciprocal Interactions in the Turtle Hindlimb Enlargement Contribute to Scratch Rhythmogenesis
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Currie, Scott N. and Gregory G. Gonsalves. Reciprocal interactions in the turtle hindlimb enlargement contribute to scratch rhythmogenesis. J. Neurophysiol. 81: 2977–2987, 1999. We examined interactions between the spinal networks that generate right and left rostral scratch motor patterns in turtle hindlimb motoneurons before and after transecting the spinal cord within the anterior hindlimb enlargement. Our results provide evidence that reciprocal inhibition between hip circuit modules can generate hip rhythmicity during the rostral scratch reflex. “Module” refers here to the group of coactive motoneurons and interneurons that controls either flexion or extension of the hip on one side and coordinates that activity with synergist and antagonist motor pools in the same limb and in the contralateral limb. The “bilateral shared core” hypothesis states that hip flexor and extensor (HF and HE) circuit modules interact via crossed and uncrossed spinal pathways: HF modules make reciprocal inhibitory connections with contralateral HF and ipsilateral HE modules and mutual excitatory connections with contralateral HE modules. It is currently unclear how much reciprocal inhibition between modules contributes to scratch rhythmogenesis. To address this issue, fictive scratch motor patterns were recorded bilaterally as electroneurograms from HF, HE, knee extensor (KE), and respiratory (d.D8) muscle nerves in immobilized animals. D1-end (low-spinal) preparations had intact spinal cords posterior to a complete D3–D9 transection. Unilateral stimulation of rostral scratch in D9-end turtles elicited rhythmic alternation between ipsilateral HF and HE bursts in most cycles; consecutive HF bursts were separated by complete silent (HF-OFF) periods. D3–D9 and D3–D6 preparations received a second spinal transection at the caudal end of segment D9 or D8, respectively, within the anterior hindlimb enlargement. This second transection disconnected most HF circuitry (located mainly in segments D10–S2 of the posterior enlargement) from the rostral scratch network and thereby reduced the HE-associated inhibition of HF circuitry. Unilateral stimulation of rostral scratch in most D3–D9 and D3–D6 preparations evoked rhythmic or weakly modulated ipsilateral HF discharge without HF-OFF periods between bursts and without ipsilateral HE activity in the majority of cycles. In contrast, bilateral stimulation in D3–D6 and D3–D8 preparations reconstructed the HF-OFF periods, increased HF rhythmicity (assessed by fast Fourier transform power spectra and autocorrelation analyses), and reestablished weak HE-phase motoneuron activity. We suggest that bilateral stimulation produced these effects by simultaneously activating reciprocally inhibitory hip modules on opposite sides (right and left HF) and the same side (HF and residual ipsilateral HE circuitry). Our data support the hypothesis that reciprocal inhibition can contribute to spinal rhythmogenesis during the scratch reflex.

INTRODUCTION

A low-spinal turtle that is immobilized by neuromuscular blockade responds to cutaneous stimulation of the lateral midbody by generating a fictive rostral scratch motor pattern in ipsilateral hindlimb motoneurons (Robertson et al. 1985). Rostrocaudal cutaneous sensory input enters the midbody spinal cord segments (D3–D6) and activates rhythmic motor output from the hindlimb enlargement (D8–D10, S1–S2: innervating hindlimb muscles) and prehindlimb-enlargement segments (D6–D7: innervating respiratory muscles). In D9-end preparations (low spinal animals with a complete cord transection between segments D2 and D3), unilaterally evoked fictive rostral scratching is characterized by rhythmic alternation between ipsilateral hip flexor (HF) and hip extensor (HE) bursts and monoarticular knee extensor (KE) discharge during the late HF phase (Robertson et al. 1985). There is also weaker rhythmic motor output from contralateral hindlimb (Currie and Lee 1997; Stein et al. 1995, 1998) and preenlargement (Currie and Gonsalves 1997) muscle nerves that alternates with ipsilateral activity. Simultaneous bilateral stimulation in the right and left rostral receptive fields elicits bilateral rostral scratch motor patterns in which homologous nerve activity alternates on the right and left sides (Currie and Gonsalves 1997; Currie and Lee 1997; Stein et al. 1995, 1998). These results establish that there is an alternating phase relationship, probably involving reciprocal inhibition, between mirror-image hip circuitry (e.g., HF) on the right and left sides and between hip antagonist circuitry (HF and HE) on the same side during fictive rostral scratching. Recent experiments in our laboratory indicated that reciprocal inhibition could generate rhythmic activation of respiratory motoneurons in the prehindlimb-enlargement turtle spinal cord (Currie and Gonsalves 1997). In the present experiments, we assessed the ability of reciprocal inhibition between hip circuit modules to generate rhythmic motoneuron activity. According to the “bilateral shared core” model (Stein et al. 1995), HF and HE modules, comprising the core of the scratch rhythm generator, interact via crossed and uncrossed synaptic pathways: HF modules make reciprocal inhibitory connections with contralateral HF and ipsilateral HE modules and mutual excitatory connections with contralateral HE modules. These connections are supported by more recent studies (Currie 1997; Currie and Lee 1997; Stein et al. 1998). We disconnected as much HE circuitry as possible from the right and left rostral scratch networks by completely transecting the spinal cord at the caudal end of segment D9 or D8 in the anterior enlargement; this created D3–D9 and D3–D8 preparations, respectively. The cell bodies of HE motoneurons are distributed from segment D9 to S2 at the posterior end of the enlargement and are most concentrated in segments D10–S2 (Ruijgrok and Crowe 1984). HF motoneurons are distributed more anteriorly, in segments D8–D6. Lesion experiments by Morton and Stein (1989) suggested that at least some of the premotor circuitry for HF and
HE was located in the same segments as the motoneurons. These authors created isolated D₈ and D₈–D₉ preparations by completely transecting the spinal cord at the anterior and posterior ends of those segments. Rhythmic HF motor discharge, lacking quiescent (HF-OFF) periods between bursts, still could be elicited in these animals by tactile stimulation within the pocket scratch receptive field. That result showed that sufficient interneuronal circuitry exists within the D₉ and D₉ segments to generate rhythmic discharge in HF motoneurons. Similar rhythmic HF discharge without HF-OFF periods also was exhibited by D₉–D₁₀ and D₁–D₈ preparations in that study, indicating that HE circuitry, mainly located posterior to D₉, was required for normal inhibition of HF motoneurons between bursts. Thus by cutting away the posterior enlargement in the present experiments, we removed a large fraction of HE circuitry from the rostral scratch network and greatly reduced the HE-associated inhibition of ipsilateral HF discharge. Our data show that during unilateral stimulation of rostral scratch, most D₁–D₉ and D₁–D₈ turtles displayed a low percentage of normal HF cycles with complete off periods between bursts, confirming the earlier work by Mortin and Stein (1989). In contrast, bilateral stimulation of rostral scratch produced vigorous, alternating discharge in right and left HF nerves with restored HF-OFF periods on both sides and greatly increased HF rhythmicity. Our experiments support the hypothesis that reciprocal inhibition in the anterior hindlimb enlargement can contribute to hindlimb rhythrogenesis during rostral scratch motor patterns. Portions of this work were published in an extended abstract (Currie and Gonsalves 1998).

METHODS

Red-eared slider turtles (n = 11), Trachemys scripta elegans, weighing 470–650 g, were placed in crushed ice for a minimum of 2 h before surgery to induce hypothermic anesthesia (Lennard and Stein 1977). Turtles were maintained partially immersed in crushed ice during all surgical procedures. The first surgical procedure was complete transection of the spinal cord just posterior to the forelimb enlargement, between spinal segments D₁ and D₁ (D₂ = the second postcervical segment) (Zangerl 1969).

Surgical procedures

Hindlimb muscle nerves were prepared bilaterally for electromyographic (ENG) recording (see Fig. 1; nerves shown only on right side). The FT-KE and AM-KE nerves innervate triceps femoris pars femorotibialis and pars ambiens, respectively. VP-HP innervates puboischiofemoralis internus, pars anteroventralis, a hip flexor muscle. HR-KF innervates several bifunctional hip extensor-knee flexor muscles of the flexor tibialis group. Distal D₈ (d.D₈) innervates respiratory and pelvic muscles adjacent to the hindlimb. These nerves and the muscles they innervate have been described previously (Mortin and Stein 1989; Robertson et al. 1985). Motoneurons with axons in the d.D₈ nerve exhibit two phases of activity during a scratch reflex: a HF-correlated burst and a HE-correlated burst (Currie and Lee 1996b; Mortin and Stein 1989). We used d. 8 as a monitor of HE activity in some D₈–D₁₀ preparations in which the entire HR-KF (HE) motor pool was removed by the D₂–D₉ cord transection. Hereafter in the text, FT-KE and AM-KE are referred to as KE nerves, VP-HP as the HF nerve, and HR-KF as the HE nerve. In four turtles, we prepared KE, HF, and HE nerves bilaterally (experiments 1, 9, 10, and 11). In four other turtles, we prepared KE, HF, and d.D₈ nerves bilaterally (experiments 2–5). In three turtles, we prepared only KE and HF nerves bilaterally (experiments 6–9). Each nerve was freed from surrounding tissues, tied with surgical thread near its muscle insertion, and then cut distal to the tie.

We exposed spinal cord segments D₈–D₈ at the anterior side of the hindlimb enlargement by drilling a dorsal midline channel through the carapace and performing a dorsal laminectomy. The turtle hindlimb enlargement consists of three dorsal segments (D₈, D₉, D₁₀) and two sacral segments (S₁, S₂; Fig. 1). In five turtles (experiments 1–5), we covered the exposed cord with saline-soaked Gelfoam surgical sponge so that the spinal cord could be transected later at the caudal end of the D₉ (n = 4) or D₈ (n = 1) segment, during the experiment. In six turtles (experiments 6–11), we transected the cord during the initial dissection at the caudal end of D₉ (n = 2) or D₈ (n = 4), while the turtle was still on ice. Transsections were performed under a dissection microscope with fine tridectomy scissors. The completeness of transsections was confirmed visually by lifting one of the cut ends of the spinal cord upward with forceps, viewing the cord in cross-section, and then replacing it in the spinal canal.

ENG recordings and data storage

After surgery was complete, preparations were allowed to warm up to room temperature and then were immobilized with an intramuscular injection of gallamine triethiodide (8 mg/kg body wt; Sigma, St. Louis, MO). The trachea was intubated and artificial respiration used throughout the experiment. The skin was kept moist with turtle saline. Three separate rings of warm dental wax were formed around the holes in the dorsal carapace over the right and left hindlimb nerves and the exposed spinal cord, allowed to cool and harden, and glued in place with cyanoacrylate adhesive. The dissected hindlimb nerves were arrayed for recording by securing their attached threads to the rim of the wax well. The wells surrounding hindlimb nerves were filled with mineral oil; the well surrounding the exposed spinal cord was filled with turtle saline. Bipolar hook electrodes (100 µm diam silver) were used to record from the nerves, electrically insulated by the mineral oil. ENG signals were amplified and filtered (band-pass 100 Hz to 1 kHz), digitized by a PCM video adapter (Vetter; Rebersburg, PA) and stored along with a voice channel and stimulus marker on videotape (band-pass DC, 3.5 kHz). Hard copies of all recordings...
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or pocket (RESULTS) scratch cycles per episode with normal HF - OFF was followed by a period of complete quiescence (anHF - OFF period; counted the number of ‘normal’ cycles in which the HF ENG burst was followed by a period of complete quiescence (anHF - OFF period; e.g., Fig. 2, A1 and C1) and the number of cycles in which the HF burst was followed by a period of reduced ENG amplitude but not complete quiescence (noHF - OFF period; e.g., Fig. 3, A1 and C1). We defined an HF burst as a period of increased HF ENG amplitude that was accompanied by coactive discharge in the ipsilateral KE (AM-KE or FT-KE) for rostral scratch episodes; AM-KE for pocket scratch episodes) or the contralateral HF nerve. In three bilateral preparations (experiments 2, 4, and 5), clear HF bursts could not be reliably identified during unilateral stimulation of rostral scratch (e.g., Fig. 3A2); these experiments were excluded from the analysis in Table 1.

**Comparison of hip flexor (HF) electroneurographic cycles during unilateral and bilateral stimulation of rostral scratch receptive field(s).** Either electrical (E) or mechanical (M) stimulation was used. Average percentages and their SDs (in parentheses) were calculated from percentages of cycles per episode showing complete HF - OFF periods (see Data analysis). Statistical significance within each experiment (bilateral stim. vs. unilateral stim.) was determined by the one-tailed Mann-Whitney U test (Siegel 1956). * P < 0.01. † P < 0.04. ‡ P < 0.0001.

from each experiment were written with an eight-channel thermal-array recorder (Astro-Med; West Warwick, RI). Selected sequences also were redigitized off-line at 2 kHz per channel on a PC computer using a Digidata 1200A A-D converter with Axotape 2.0 software (Axon Instruments; Foster City, CA), formatted with Datapac II (Run Technologies; Laguna Hills, CA) and Corel draw (Corel; Ottawa, Canada) software, and plotted on a laser printer.

**Stimulation**

We used either mechanical or electrical stimulation of the shell to evoke fictive rostral scratch reflexes. Scratch episodes always were separated by rest periods of ≥2 min. Mechanical stimulation was applied by gently rubbing a site on the shell with a fire-polished glass probe. In some cases, the probe was mounted on a hand-held force transducer (Grass Instruments-Astro-Med) to record the force and timing of the stimulus. Rubs with the glass probe were applied with a force of 0.2–1.4 N and lasted 15–20 s. Electrical stimulation was applied either unilaterally or bilaterally to sites in the rostral scratch receptive field via pin electrodes inserted into the shell epidermis 2–3 mm apart (Currie and Stein 1990). Pulses of 10- to 20-V amplitude and 1-ms duration were delivered in 50-pulse trains with an interpulse interval of 320 ms. For experiments in which bilateral electrical stimulation was applied, pin electrodes were inserted into mirror-image sites (SP2 or SP2.5) (see Martin and Stein 1990) in the right and left rostral scratch receptive fields; during bilateral stimulation, identical trains of synchronized pulses were delivered to both sides. Unilateral and bilateral stimulus trains were applied in the following sequence: right, left, bilateral, right, left (Stein et al. 1995).

**Data analysis**

**PERCENTAGE OF SCRATCH CYCLES WITH NORMAL HF-OFF PERIODS.** To determine the average percentage of rostral (Table 1) or pocket (RESULTS) scratch cycles per episode with normal HF-OFF periods, we examined thermal-array printouts of scratch ENGs. The total number of complete scratch cycles that occurred during stimulation was determined for each episode. Within an episode, we counted the number of ‘normal’ cycles in which the HF ENG burst was followed by a period of complete quiescence (anHF-OFF period; e.g., Fig. 2, A1 and C1) and the number of cycles in which the HF ENG burst was accompanied by coactive discharge in the ipsilateral KE (AM-KE or FT-KE) for rostral scratch episodes; AM-KE for pocket scratch episodes) or the contralateral HF nerve. In three bilateral preparations (experiments 2, 4, and 5), clear HF bursts could not be reliably identified during unilateral stimulation of rostral scratch (e.g., Fig. 3A2); these experiments were excluded from the analysis in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>Preparation</th>
<th>Stimulation</th>
<th>Number of Episodes</th>
<th>Average Percent of Cycles per Episode with Normal HF-OFF Periods</th>
<th>Number of Episodes</th>
<th>Average Percent of Cycles per Episode with Normal HF-OFF Periods</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 (E)</td>
<td>D3–D9</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.0 (0.0)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>100.0 (0.0)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (M)</td>
<td>D3–D8</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>43.9 (15.8)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>85.3 (11.2)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 (E)</td>
<td>D7–D8</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>55.0 (24.5)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>88.1 (7.1)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 (M)</td>
<td>D7–D8</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.0 (0.0)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>70.0 (18.2)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 (M)</td>
<td>D7–D8</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.3 (7.0)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>76.7 (13.7)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 (M)</td>
<td>D7–D8</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15.6 (18.8)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>74.8 (8.2)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 (M)</td>
<td>D7–D8</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.0 (0.0)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>61.4 (12.2)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 (E)</td>
<td>D3–D9</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>84.7 (12.5)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>98.8 (3.9)*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1. Bilateral stimulation of rostral scratch reconstructed normal HF-OFF periods in D3–D9 and D3–D8 preparations**

**Rectified-smoothed ENG recordings.** Rectified-smoothed ENG data (shown in Figs. 4, A and B, and 5 and used in time series analyses) were prepared by digitizing HF nerve recordings (100 Hz to 1 kHz bandwidth) off-line at 2 kHz per channel; digitized files then were full-wave rectified, rebinned at 100 Hz with a 100-point nonmoving average (50-ms binwidth), and smoothed with an even-weighted 10-point moving average (100-ms binwidth), using Datapac II software.

**Time series analyses.** Time series analyses were used to examine rectified-smoothed HF nerve recordings for periodic trends in burst amplitude (autocorrelation, power spectra) and to identify relations between HF bursts on the right and left sides and any time delays between those bursts (cross-correlation). For autocorrelations, recordings from the left HF nerve, each consisting of 1,024 data points (10.23 s at a 100-Hz sampling rate) and beginning 3 s after stimulus-onset, were obtained from four consecutive rostral scratch episodes evoked by left stimulation (Fig. 4C and four consecutive episodes evoked by bilateral stimulation (Fig. 4D) in experiment 2. Left-stimulation data were concatenated end to end into a single 4,096-point ASCII file; bilateral-stimulation data were concatenated into a second ASCII file. Both files then were imported into the Systat 7.0 software package (SPSS; Chicago, IL) for analysis. Cross-correlation (Fig. 5B) was performed on the same four bilateral-stimulation episodes used in Fig. 4D by importing both left and right HF recordings (1,024 points per channel), as a single ASCII file, into the Systat program. Plots show the correlation of the time series variable (HF voltage) with itself (autocorrelation) or with the contralateral nerve series (cross-correlation), negatively shifted by a number of time lags (l = 1, 2, 3, etc. The maximum number of lags in correlation plots was 500, lag duration was 10 ms. Power spectrum analyses were performed on rectified-smoothed left HF nerve signals with a fast Fourier transform (FFT) program in
the Datapac II software package. In Fig. 4, E and F, the average power spectra were calculated for four consecutive rostral scratch episodes evoked by left stimulation (Fig. 4E) and four consecutive episodes evoked by bilateral stimulation (Fig. 4F) in experiment 2, using the same 1,024-point data sequences that were analyzed by autocorrelation in Fig. 4, C and D. The DC offset was removed from each data sequence by calculating the mean voltage for the entire sequence, then subtracting that value from each data point. Removing DC offset greatly reduced low-frequency components (0.0–0.1 Hz) in the power spectra, which when present, tended to mask nearby higher-frequency peaks. In Fig. 6, we calculated the average total power within the 0.29- to 0.49-Hz bandwidth for 16 scratch episodes (4 episodes each from 4 different experiments) in each of the following categories: left stimulation in D3–D8 preparations, bilateral stimulation in D3–D8 preparations, left stimulation in D3–D9 preparations, bilateral stimulation in D3–D9 preparations. The D3–D8 preparations chosen for analysis were experiments 2, 4, 5, and 7; two other experiments (3 and 11) were excluded because they exhibited normal HF-OFF periods in >50% of rostral scratch cycles during left stimulation (see Table 1). The D3–D9 preparations were experiments 1, 6, 9, and 10; one other experiment (8) was excluded because of an insufficient number of scratch episodes. We calculated total power as

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{3} P_i \]

where \( P_i \) = the power coefficient of frequency component \( i \), and \( 3 \) = the number of frequency components within the specified bandwidth (0.29, 0.39, 0.49 Hz).

RESULTS

Unilateral and bilateral stimulation of rostral scratch in D3-end preparations

UNILATERAL STIMULATION. Bilateral ENG recordings were obtained from hindlimb (HF, HE, KE) and respiratory (d.D8) muscle nerves during fictive rostral scratch motor patterns in five D3-end preparations that had intact spinal cords posterior to a D2–D3 transection site (Fig. 1). Fictive rostral scratching was elicited by mechanical or electrical stimulation (see METHODS) of the shell-surface within the rostral receptive field, located on the lateral “shell-bridge,” anterior to the hindlimb (Mortin and Stein 1990; Mortin et al. 1985). In one D3-end preparation, we recorded from KE, HF, and HE nerves bilaterally (Fig. 2, A1–C1). During unilateral stimulation (B, 1 and 2), agonist nerves (e.g., HF) exhibited alternating activity on the right and left sides.
(Fig. 2, A1 and C1) and HF (Fig. 2A1) nerves that was out-of-phase with ipsilateral activity (see also Currie and Lee 1997; Stein et al. 1995). In four other D3-end preparations, we recorded from KE, HF, and d.D8 nerves bilaterally (e.g., Fig. 2, A2–C2), using d.D8 as a monitor of the HE phase of the scratch. Motoneurons with axons in the d.D8 nerve innervate respiratory muscle and exhibit two phases of activity during a scratch reflex: an HF-correlated burst and an HE-correlated burst (Currie and Lee 1996b; Mortin and Stein 1989). Among all D3-end preparations, the average percentage of rostral scratch cycles per episode with normal HF-off periods was 86.6 ± 18.6% (62 episodes in 5 turtles) during unilateral stimulation. This is very close to the percentage of normal cycles observed during unilateral stimulation in previous work with D3-end preparations (84.1%) (see Stein et al. 1998).

**BILATERAL STIMULATION.** Simultaneous stimulation of mirror-image sites in the right and left rostral receptive fields evoked bilateral rostral scratching in which the activity of homologous nerves (e.g., HF) alternated on the right and left sides (Fig. 2B, I and 2) (see also Currie and Lee 1997; Stein et al. 1995, 1998). We observed right-left alternation of HF discharge during bilateral rostral scratching in all five D3-end preparations in this study. We include these examples of normal unilateral and bilateral rostral scratching in the present paper so that direct comparisons can be made with the responses of D3–D9 and D3–D8 preparations, in which most HE circuitry was disconnected (see Fig. 3, following text).

**Unilateral and bilateral stimulation of rostral scratch in D3–D9 and D3–D8 preparations**

The D3–D9 and D3–D8 preparations in this study were created by a second spinal transection at the caudal end of the D9 or D8 segment, respectively, within the anterior hindlimb enlargement (Fig. 1). The somata of most HE motoneurons reside posterior to these transections in segments D10–S2, whereas HF motoneurons are distributed in segments D8–D9 (Ruigrok and Crowe 1984). Spinal transection experiments performed by Mortin and Stein (1989) indicated that some of the interneuronal circuitry associated with the HF and HE modules was located in the same segments as their respective motoneurons. Thus it is likely that our transections in the anterior enlargement disconnected most HE motoneurons and some associated premotor circuitry from the right and left rostral scratch networks. In five turtles, we transected at the caudal end of D9 (n = 1) or D8 (n = 4) after first recording D3-end responses (see preceding text). In six other turtles, we transected the cord at the caudal end of D9 (n = 4) or D8 (n = 2) during the initial
dissection, without recording D3-end responses. All preparations were allowed ≥20 min to recover from cord transection before recording scratch responses. Turtles did not exhibit significant spinal shock because shell stimulation evoked robust fictive scratching within 5 min after spinal section (see also Mortin and Stein 1989). No significant change was noted in the rhythmicity or percentage of HF-OFF periods section (see also Mortin and Stein 1989). No significant change between positive and negative correlations; the cycle period (in D; measured from lag = 0 s to next positive peak) was 2.67 s. E and F: average fast Fourier transform (FFT) power spectra for the same scratch episodes used in C and D, respectively. Peak frequencies in E and F are indicated by vertical gray lines. During left stimulation (E), the peak power coefficient (0.09) occurred at a frequency of 0.29 Hz. During bilateral stimulation (F), the peak power coefficient (0.32) occurred at a frequency of 0.39 Hz, very close to the frequency (0.37 Hz) calculated from the autocorrelation period in D. Secondary peak in F, to the right of the maximum, was a harmonic artifact.

Because our transections in the enlargement removed most or all HE motoneurons in the majority of preparations, we did not always monitor HE motor output (see Surgical procedures). We focused instead on HF activity during unilateral and bilateral scratching because a large fraction of the HF motor pool and associated premotor circuitry is located within the D8 segment (Mortin and Stein 1989; Ruigrok and Crowe 1984) and so, remained connected to the rostral scratch network in all D9-D8 preparations. Some of our unilaterally evoked rostral scratch episodes (e.g., Fig. 3, A1 and C1) contained cycles that could be described as ‘‘HE deletions.’’ HE deletions were defined in previous work as rostral scratch cycles that exhibit consecutive HF bursts without clear quiescent (HF-OFF) periods separating them and without corresponding HE bursts; to define these as rostral scratch cycles, the monoarticular knee extensor FT-KE also must be active during the latter part of each HF burst (Stein et al. 1995).

Data analysis. Each recording session included four different experiments. Bilateral stimulation produced a highly significant negative correlation at lag = 0 s, indicating that left and right HF activity was close to 180° out of phase. Cycle period (2.67 s, measured between positive peaks) was identical to that of the autocorrelation in Fig. 4D. Cross-correlograms are shown with means ± 2 SE (±-±).

![FIG. 4. Time-series analysis of periodicity in left HF motor output during left (A, C, and E) and bilateral (B, D, and F) stimulation of rostral scratch receptive fields. A, B, Raw (middle traces) and rectified-smoothed (top) ENG recordings from the left (Lt) HF nerve in a D3–D8 preparation. Same recordings shown in Fig. 3, A2 and B2. Rectified-smoothed recordings (see METHODS) were used for all time-series analyses. C and D: autocorrelograms of rectified-smoothed recordings from the left HF nerve during 4 consecutive scratch episodes evoked by left stimulation (C) and 4 consecutive episodes evoked by bilateral (D) stimulation. Autocorrelograms are shown with means ± 2 SE (±-±). Bilaterally evoked responses exhibited a strong periodicity with alternation between positive and negative correlations; the cycle period (in D; measured from lag = 0 s to next positive peak) was 2.67 s. E and F: average fast Fourier transform (FFT) power spectra for the same scratch episodes used in C and D, respectively. Peak frequencies in E and F are indicated by vertical gray lines. During left stimulation (E), the peak power coefficient (0.09) occurred at a frequency of 0.29 Hz. During bilateral stimulation (F), the peak power coefficient (0.32) occurred at a frequency of 0.39 Hz, very close to the frequency (0.37 Hz) calculated from the autocorrelation period in D. Secondary peak in F, to the right of the maximum, was a harmonic artifact.](http://jn.physiology.org/)

![FIG. 5. Left and right HF bursts alternated in a D3–D8 preparation during bilateral stimulation of rostral scratch. A: rectified-smoothed ENG recordings from the left and right HF nerves in experiment 2; same scratch episode as shown in Figs. 3B2 and 4B; B: cross-correlation of left and right HF bursts in same 4 consecutive bilateral scratch episodes analyzed in Fig. 4, D and F. Plot shows a near-maximum, highly significant negative correlation at lag = 0 s, indicating that left and right HF activity was close to 180° out of phase. Cycle period (2.67 s, measured between positive peaks) was identical to that of the autocorrelation in Fig. 4D. Cross-correlograms are shown with means ± 2 SE (±-±).](http://jn.physiology.org/)

![FIG. 6. Average total power calculated from FFT analysis of rectified-smoothed Lt HF recordings for the 0.29- to 0.49-Hz bandwidth, comparing episodes evoked by left and bilateral stimulation (see Data analysis). Each average was calculated for a total of 16 scratch episodes (4 episodes each from 4 different experiments). Bilateral stimulation produced a highly significant increase in the average integrated power within this frequency band for D3–D8 and D7–D8 preparations. Error bars indicate standard deviation values for the average power. Statistical significance within the D3–D8 and D7–D8 groups (bilateral stim. vs. left stim.) was determined by the 1-tailed Mann-Whitney U test (Siegel 1956). * P < 0.001.](http://jn.physiology.org/)
many of our D$_3$–D$_9$ and D$_3$–D$_8$ responses, HE activity was not recorded (e.g., Fig. 3, A2–C2), and the FT-KE nerve was often inactive during some cycles (e.g., latter part of Fig. 3C1) or during the entire scratch episode (Fig. 3, A2–C2). It was not possible to define such cycles as HE deletions, therefore we avoided that terminology. We refer instead to HF cycles with or without HF-OFF periods (see Table 1).

PERCENTAGE OF CYCLES WITH HF-OFF PERIODS DURING UNILATERAL AND BILATERAL STIMULATION. The D$_3$–D$_9$ preparation in Fig. 3, A1–C1, displayed strikingly different motor output within a given hindlimb in response to unilateral and bilateral stimulation of rostral scratch receptive fields. Unilaterally evoked responses did not exhibit normal alternation between HF and HE bursts during the period of stimulation (Fig. 3, A1 and C1). Instead, these episodes displayed continuous, rhythmically modulated output from the ipsilateral HF nerve and weak KE discharge near the end of some, but not all HF bursts; there were no quiescent (HF-OFF) periods between HF bursts and no associated ipsilateral HE discharge during the period of stimulation. It is interesting, however, that both of the unilaterally evoked responses displayed a cycle of normal HF-HE alternation, with a complete HF-OFF period, after the end of the stimulus train. Such “HE afterdischarges” were very common during unilaterally evoked rostral scratch episodes in all three D$_3$–D$_9$ preparations in which we recorded from KE, HF, and HE nerves; we also observed the HF-OFF period after stimulus-offset in two other D$_3$–D$_9$ preparations where we recorded only KE and HF nerves. In contrast to unilaterally elicited responses, bilateral stimulation of rostral receptive fields reconstructed normal rostral scratch cycles with complete HF-OFF periods, near-normal HE burst-amplitudes, and strong KE discharge during the period of stimulation (Fig. 3B1). This result is consistent with the hypothesis of Stein et al. (1995; their Fig. 9) that HF modules make reciprocal inhibitory connections with contralateral HF and ipsilateral HE circuitry and mutual excitatory connections with contralateral HE circuitry.

The column labeled “Unilateral Stimulation” in Table 1 describes the average percentage of rostral scratch cycles per episode that displayed normal HF-OFF periods during unilateral stimulation of the rostral receptive field. Six D$_3$–D$_9$ and five D$_3$–D$_8$ preparations were tested; out of these 11 experiments, three D$_3$–D$_8$ preparations (experiments 2, 4, and 5) were excluded from analysis in Table 1 because we were unable to reliably identify individual motor bursts in ipsilateral hindlimb nerves (see following text). The remaining eight preparations exhibited clear HF bursting during unilateral stimulation; of these, the majority (n = 6) displayed a very low percentage of cycles with normal HF-OFF periods (0.0–20.8%). Two other experiments, both D$_3$–D$_8$ preparations (experiments 3 and 11), were atypical in displaying a high percentage of cycles with normal HF-OFF periods during unilateral stimulation. The column labeled “Bilateral Stimulation” in Table 1 presents the average percentage of cycles per episode that exhibited normal HF-OFF periods during bilateral stimulation, ranging from 48.6 to 100.0%; all eight preparations displayed a significant increase in the percentage of cycles with HF-OFF periods compared with unilateral stimulation.

COMPARISON OF HF RHYTHMICITY DURING UNILATERAL AND BILATERAL STIMULATION. In three of six D$_3$–D$_8$ turtles, unilateral stimulation of the rostral receptive field elicited only irregular, modulated discharge in the ipsilateral HF and d.D8 nerves; individual HF bursts could not be reliably identified during unilateral stimulation (e.g., Fig. 3A2). In these preparations, bilateral stimulation did not reestablish complete HF-OFF periods but did significantly increase HF “rhythmicity” (regular periodic modulations of ENG amplitude) compared with unilateral stimulation (e.g., Fig. 3B2). During bilaterally evoked responses, distinct bursting appeared de novo in the right and left HF recordings and alternated from side to side. Small HE phase bursts also were displayed by the right d.D8 respiratory nerve during the low points between right HF bursts (Fig. 3B2, △), indicating that some HE-associated circuitry was present within the D$_3$–D$_9$ spinal cord and could be activated during bilateral stimulation. The increase in HF rhythmicity that occurred during bilateral stimulation was even more apparent in rectified-smoothed ENG recordings (Fig. 4, A and B) and in autocorrelation plots (Fig. 4, C and D). Spectral analyses were also performed using an FFT algorithm (Fig. 4, E and F); these showed that during bilateral stimulation, a large power peak developed at a frequency of 0.39 Hz, corresponding to an average cycle period of 2.56 s. This value is in good agreement with the average cycle period measured between the first and second positive peaks in the autocorrelogram (2.67 s; Fig. 4D).

Figure 5 shows a cross-correlogram of rectified-smoothed left and right HF recordings during bilateral stimulation. Note that it is also highly periodic and shows a near-maximal negative correlation at lag 0, indicating that left and right HF bursts were tightly coupled and out of phase. The cycle period measured from peak to peak in this cross-correlogram was 2.67 s, the same as that obtained from the autocorrelogram in Fig. 4D.

Pooled FFT data showed that bilateral stimulation increased the average total power (see Data analysis) within the 0.29–0.49 Hz bandwidth for D$_3$–D$_9$ (n = 4) and D$_3$–D$_8$ (n = 4) preparations relative to unilateral stimulation (Fig. 6). This increase was significant for both groups at P < 0.001, using the one-tailed Mann-Whitney U test (Siegel 1956).

Unilateral stimulation of pocket scratch in D$_3$–D$_9$ and D$_3$–D$_8$ preparations

Fictive pocket scratching was elicited by mechanical stimulation of the skin-shell border within the ventral pocket receptive field, located ventral and anterior to the thigh within the “hindlimb pocket” region (Mortin and Stein 1990; Mortin et al. 1985). Normal rostral and pocket scratch motor patterns in D$_3$-end preparations exhibit different knee extensor (AM-KE and FT-KE) timing within the HF-HE activity cycle (Robertson et al. 1985). In the rostral scratch, both FT-KE and AM-KE bursts begin and end during the HF phase. During the pocket scratch, FT-KE bursts begin and end during the HE (HF-OFF) phase, whereas AM-KE bursts begin during the HF phase and cease during the HE phase. In the present experiments, we compared HF activity during fictive pocket and rostral scratch responses in D$_3$–D$_9$ and D$_3$–D$_8$ turtles. Unilaterally evoked pocket scratch responses displayed a high percentage of normal HF cycles with clear HF-OFF periods in D$_3$–D$_9$ and D$_3$–D$_8$ preparations, in contrast to the near-zero percentage observed during unilaterally evoked rostral scratching in the same preparations. Figure 7A shows a rostral scratch episode from a D$_3$–D$_9$ preparation in which there was rhythmic HF discharge with no HF-OFF periods between most bursts. Figure 7B shows a pocket scratch episode from this same animal in which
sharp

defined HF bursts exhibited abrupt terminations and distinct OFF periods in every cycle. In two turtles, we had a sufficient number of pocket scratch episodes to permit a statistical comparison with rostral scratch episodes. The average percentage of cycles per episode with complete HF-OFF periods during unilateral rostral scratch and unilateral pocket scratch stimulation were as follows (average ± SD): experiment 7, a D3–D9 preparation (rostral, 10 episodes): 3.3 ± 7.0%, (pocket, 10 episodes): 91.4 ± 5.3%; experiment 9, a D3–D8 preparation (rostral, 12 episodes): 15.6 ± 18.8%, (pocket, 7 episodes): 100.0 ± 0.0%. In both experiments, the pocket scratch average was significantly higher than the rostral scratch average at P < 0.0002, using the Mann-Whitney U test (Siegel 1956). One interpretation of these data is that some interneuronal circuitry that inhibits HF is present within the D8 and D9 segments but interpreting these data is that some interneuronal circuitry displayed HF bursts separated by distinct quiescent periods.

DISCUSSION

The goal of the present experiments was to investigate mechanisms of hip rhythmodogenesis during fictive rostral scratching in turtles. Our results provide evidence that reciprocal inhibition between hip circuit modules in the anterior hindlimb enlargement can generate rhythmodyce during bilateral hindlimb motor patterns. We use the term “module” to describe the group of coactive neuronal elements that control the activity of agonist (e.g., HF) motoneurons for one limb and coordinate the activity with that of synergist and antagonist motor pools in the same limb and in the contralateral limb (Jordan 1991; Jordan et al. 1986; Stein and Smith 1997; Stein et al. 1995). We attach special significance to hip rhythm generation because previous work that examined phase-dependent resets demonstrated that hip (but not knee) motor output is linked tightly to the timing of the fictive scratch rhythm for the entire limb (Currie and Stein 1989). The importance of hip circuitry in the control of the overall limb rhythmodyce also was demonstrated by Andersson and Grillner (1981, 1983) for fictive stepping in cats. We focus on HF activity in part because HF motoneurons (Ruigrok and Crowe 1984) and at least some HF premotor circuitry (Mortin and Stein 1989) are located in the anterior two segments of the spinal hindlimb enlargement (D8 and D9), while most HE circuitry is located in more posterior segments (D10, S1, S2). The anterior segments of hindlimb enlargement are known to display the greatest rhythmodic capacity for turtle (Mortin and Stein 1989) and cat (Deligianni et al. 1983; Gelfand et al. 1988) scratching as well as for locomotor activity in various preparations (neonatal rat: Cowley and Schmidt 1997; Kjaerulf and Kiehn 1996; cat: Grillner and Zanger 1979; chick embryo: Ho and O’Donovan 1993).}

The bilateral shared core model of the turtle rostral scratch CPG proposes that HF and HE modules make reciprocal inhibitory connections with each other on the same side (e.g., right-HF-HE), and with their mirror-image homologs on the opposite side (e.g., right-left HF) (Stein et al. 1995). In addition, the model suggests that HF modules make mutual crossed excitatory connections with contralateral HE modules. It is currently unknown to what extent individual HF and HE modules can be independently rhythmodenic and whether rhythmodicy can be produced in the scratch network by reciprocal interactions between modules. The goal of the present experiments was to assess the capability of reciprocal inhibition between hip modules to contribute to scratch rhythmodogenesis. Our approach was to transect the spinal cord at the posterior end of segment D9 or D8 in the anterior hindlimb enlargement, which disconnected as much HE circuitry as possible from the right and left rostral scratch networks (Figs. 1 and 8, A1–CI). Most of the resulting D3–D9 and D3–D8 preparations responded to unilateral stimulation of the rostral scratch receptive field with rhythmodic ipsilateral HF discharge that lacked “HF-OFF” periods between bursts in most cycles and weak contralateral HE bursts in some preparations that were coactive with ipsilateral HF bursts (Figs. 3, A1 and Cl, and 8, A1, A2, CI, and C2). Thus unilateral rostral stimulation in D3–D9 and D3–D8 preparations produced activation (at the level of motoneuron discharge) of the mutually excitatory ipsilateral HF and contralateral HE modules alone, generating only weak rhythmodicy. In contrast, bilateral stimulation of rostral scratch, which simultaneously excited reciprocally inhibitory hip modules on opposite sides (right and left HF) and on the same side (HF and residual HE circuitry spared by the transection), evoked vigorous alternating discharge in right and left HF nerves that exhibited enhanced HF rhythmodency on both sides (Figs. 4, 6, and 8B, I and 2) and reconstructed HF-OFF periods between bursts (Figs. 3 and 8B, I and 2, and Table 1). We interpret these results to mean that reciprocal inhibition between hip modules can help to terminate HF bursts and increase HF rhythmodicy under conditions where mutually inhibitory modules are strongly coactivated (as during bilateral scratching). Crossed reciprocal inhibition between right and left HF modules probably contributed the most to these effects, assuming that relatively little HE circuitry remained in D3–D9.
modulated HF discharge on one side without activation of either the ipsilateral antagonist (HE) or the contralateral mirror-image (HF) motoneurons (Fig. 3, A1 and C1). These observations support the view that some independent HF rhythmicity can be elicited by scratch stimuli in the absence of either crossed or uncrossed reciprocal inhibition. Experiments with in vitro mammalian spinal cords, in which inhibitory neurotransmission was blocked, also have indicated that limb rhythmo genesis can occur in the absence of synaptic inhibition (Bracci et al. 1996; Cazalets et al. 1996; Cowley and Schmidt 1995, 1997; Ho 1997; Ozaki et al. 1996). Note, however, that reciprocal inhibition between hip modules in the turtle need not necessarily produce motor output from both modules. For example, it seems plausible that a subset of ipsilateral HE and contralateral HF interneurons might be active in antiphase with the “independent” HF bursts on one side, without producing detectable motor output from ipsilateral HE or contralateral HF. Such “subthreshold” reciprocal interactions might contribute to the rhythmicity of HF motor output. Future experiments could begin to address this issue by searching with an extracellular microelectrode in the ipsilateral HE (D10–S2) and contralateral HF (D3–D9) areas of the spinal cord for interneuronal units that exhibit antiphasic discharge during independent HF bursting on one side.

It may be argued that bilateral stimulation of rostral scratch could increase HF rhythmicity and the occurrence of HF-off periods not because of reciprocal inhibitory interactions but simply because it provides more sensory drive to HF modules. A unilateral rostral stimulus, in transected D3–D8 and D3–D9 turtles, may not excite the ipsilateral HF module sufficiently for it to become rhythmically active. We believe this is unlikely for two reasons. First, one would expect that if HF modules were more intensely activated by bilateral stimulation, that this would be reflected in larger HF burst amplitudes. However, Stein et al. (1995; their Fig. 3A) showed that there was no significant difference in HF burst amplitudes during unilateral and bilateral stimulation of rostral scratch in D3-end preparations. We also did not observe noticeably larger HF bursts during bilateral rostral stimulation in the present study (e.g., Fig. 2), although we did not quantify burst amplitudes. Second, there is no basis for believing that more intense activation of HF increases its rhythmicity and the occurrence of off periods between bursts. In fact, during a typical rostral scratch episode in D3-end turtles, spontaneous HE-deletion cycles that lack HF-off periods nearly always occur at the beginning of the episode, when HF burst amplitudes are greatest (Robertson and Stein 1988; Stein et al. 1982, 1995).

Rostral and pocket scratch CPGs displayed different capacities to generate normal HF cycles in turtles where most HE circuitry was removed (D3–D9 and D3–D9 preparations). Unilateral rostral stimulation evoked rhythmically modulated discharge in ipsilateral HF motoneurons with a very low percentage of off periods between bursts (Table 1; Figs. 3 and 7A). In contrast, unilateral pocket stimulation elicited vigorous HF bursts separated by distinct, long-lasting off periods in nearly all cycles (Fig. 7B). It is unlikely that the increased occurrence of HF-off periods during pocket scratch was due to more intense activation of the HF module by pocket sensory input. Distinct off periods were present even between the weakest HF bursts in the rostral scratch (Fig. 7B; bursts 8 and 9) but were absent even between the strongest HF bursts in the rostral scratch (Fig. 7A; bursts 1 and 2). A possible explanation is that
some HE-associated interneurons (components of the ipsilateral HE module) that inhibit HF are present within the D₈ and D₉ segments and are strongly excited by unilateral pocket scratch stimulation but less excited by unilateral rostral scratch stimulation. Activation of these HE-associated inhibitory interneurons may not be sufficient during unilateral rostral stimulation to completely terminate HF bursts. Bilateral rostral stimulation may reconstruct HF-OFF periods and increase HF rhythmicity, in part, by strongly exciting these same HE interneurons (via crossed pathways) that are accessed by unilateral pocket sensory input.

We previously explored the participation of the portion of spinal cord anterior to the hindlimb enlargement (preenlargement segments D₃–D₇) in the generation of turtle rostral scratch motor rhythms (Currie and Gonsalves 1997). The D₇ spinal cord segment is immediately anterior to the hindlimb enlargement and contains two populations of motoneurons, “TD7” and “OD7,” that innervate the transverse-abdominus and oblique-abdominus respiratory muscles, respectively (Currie and Gonsalves 1997; Mortin and Stein 1989). In D₇-end turtles, unilateral stimulation of the right rostral scratch receptive field evoked rhythmic coactivation of right HF, right TD7, and left OD7 motoneurons that could alternate with weaker coactive bursts in left TD7 and right OD7. D₇–D₈ preparations had two complete spinal transactions, one at D₃–D₄ and a second at D₇–D₈ that disconnected the entire hindlimb enlargement from the rostral scratch network. In D₇–D₉ turtles, unilateral stimulation of the right rostral receptive field evoked only tonic or weakly modulated discharge in right TD7 and left OD7 respiratory motoneurons. However, bilateral stimulation of rostral receptive fields reestablished vigorous bursting in which coactive right TD7 and left OD7 bursts alternated with coactive left TD7 and right OD7 bursts. These results indicated that simultaneous activation of reciprocally inhibitory circuit modules could generate rhythmicity in preenlargement networks and therefore implied that similar mechanisms might operate in the hindlimb enlargement to enhance hip rhythmicity. Furthermore because the D₇ segment exhibited little or no rhythmicity during unilateral stimulation but strong rhythmicity during bilateral stimulation, preenlargement D₇ networks may contribute significantly to the increased rhythmicity that we observed in D₇–D₈ and D₈–D₉ preparations during bilateral stimulation in the present study (Figs. 3, 4, and 6).

Pharmacological experiments support the conclusion that some but not all inhibition in turtle spinal motor networks is mediated by strychnine-sensitive glycine receptors (Currie and Lee 1996a, 1997). During the fictive flexion reflex, turtles exhibited a crossed inhibition of contralateral hip flexor activity (Currie and Lee 1996a; Currie and Stein 1989) similar to that of mammals (Eccles and Sherrington 1931; Holmquist 1961; Jankowska et al. 1967; Sherrington 1906). The crossed inhibition associated with flexion reflex appeared to involve glycnergic transmission in turtles because it was abolished and replaced by crossed excitation after strychnine application to the hindlimb enlargement (Currie and Lee 1996a). However, during bilateral fictive rostral scratching, prolonged application of 50 μM strychnine to the turtle hindlimb enlargement produced only a slight increase in the variability of interlimb phase values (right vs. left hip flexor bursts) and did not abolish right-left (interlimb) or flexor-extensor (intralimb) alternation (Currie and Lee 1997). That result contrasts with fictive locomotion studies in which strychnine synchronized the normally alternating discharge in mirror-image right- and left-side muscle nerves (lamprey: Cohen and Harris-Warrick 1984; Hagevik and McClellan 1994; neonatal rat: Cowley and Schmidt 1995; Kremer and Lev-Tov 1997; Kudo et al. 1991; cat: Noga et al. 1993) and intralimb flexors and extensors (neonatal rat: Cowley and Schmidt 1995; cat: Kriellaars et al. 1988; Noga et al. 1995). Bilateral stimulation of rostral scratch in turtles actually reconstructed rostral scratch motor rhythms with normal interlimb (right-left HF) and intralimb (HF-HE) alternation after unilaterally evoked scratch responses were rendered almost completely tonic by strychnine (see Fig. 8 in Currie and Lee 1997). Other investigators also have described strychnine-resistant right-left alternation in fictive motor patterns elicited by chemical (Kremer and Lev-Tov 1997; McPherson et al. 1994) or electrical (Magnuson and Trinder 1997) stimulation of the spinal cord. The persistence of interlimb and intralimb alternation in the presence of strychnine implies that other transmitter systems, such as GABAₐ (Cowley and Schmidt 1995; Kremer and Lev-Tov 1997) and strychnine-insensitive glycine receptors (Kuhse et al. 1990) may contribute to reciprocal inhibition in turtle scratch networks. Future experiments are required to identify these transmitter systems and further assess the role of both crossed and uncrossed reciprocal inhibition in hindlimb rhythmogenesis during fictive scratching and other rhythm motor activity, including fictive locomotion (Juraneck and Currie 1998).
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