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Sanderson, Mark I. and James A. Simmons. Neural responses to overlapping FM sounds in the inferior colliculus of echolocating bats. J. Neurophysiol. 83: 1840–1855, 2000. The big brown bat, Eptesicus fuscus, navigates and hunts prey with echolocation, a modality that uses the temporal and spectral differences between vocalizations and echoes from objects to build spatial images. Closely spaced surfaces ("glimts") return overlapping echoes if two echoes return within the integration time of the cochlea (~300–400 μs). The overlap results in spectral interference that provides information about target structure or texture. Previous studies have shown that two acoustic events separated in time by less than ~500 μs evoke only a single response from neural elements in the auditory brain stem. How does the auditory system encode multiple echoes in time when only a single response is available? We presented paired FM stimuli with delay separations from 0 to 24 μs to big brown bats and recorded local field potentials (LFPs) and single-unit responses from the inferior colliculus (IC). These stimuli have one or two interference notches positioned in their spectrum as a function of two-glint separation. For the majority of single units, response counts decreased for two-glint separations when the resulting FM signal had a spectral notch positioned at the cell’s best frequency (BF). The smallest two-glint separation that reliably evoked a decrease in spike count was 6 μs. In addition, first-spike latency increased for two-glint stimuli with notches positioned nearby BF. The N4 potential of averaged LFPs showed a decrease in amplitude for two-glint separations that had a spectral notch near the BF of the recording site. Derived LFPs were computed by subtracting a common-mode signal from each LFP evoked by the two-glint FM stimuli. The derived LFP records show clear changes in both the amplitude and latency as a function of two-glint separation. These observations in relation with the single-unit data suggest that both response amplitude and latency can carry information about two-glint separation in the auditory system of E. fuscus.

INTRODUCTION

The big brown bat, Eptesicus fuscus, actively probes the environment by emitting trains of brief (0.5–15 ms), wideband, frequency-modulated (FM) ultrasonic sounds (frequencies of ~20–100 kHz) and perceives objects, such as flying insect prey and obstacles to flight, from echoes of these sounds (Griffin 1958; Simmons 1989). Acoustic dimensions of these echoes are rendered into spatial dimensions of the biosonar images perceived by the bat by a process that is not simply a conversion of individual echo parameters into corresponding perceptual parameters. Auditory representations for the time and frequency dimensions of echoes are quite different, yet somehow information in these two representations converge onto the same perceptual dimension of images, which requires a transformation of one of these representations into the units of the other (Simmons et al. 1990). An idealized point-target returns a single echo of each broadcast at a delay corresponding to the target’s distance, or range (echo delay = 5.8 ms/m of target range), and the basis for the bat’s perception of target range is auditory processing of latency differences between responses to broadcasts and responses to echoes arriving at that particular delay (Dear and Suga 1993; Dear et al. 1993; O’Neill and Suga 1982; Simmons et al. 1996; Wong and Shannon 1988). However, a more realistic, complex target contains multiple reflecting points, called “glimts,” which return reflections at different times corresponding to their range separations (5.8 μs/mm of range difference), which are small compared with the target’s absolute range. For example, the acoustic signal returned from a flying insect, the size-and-shape dimensions of which are only 1–3 cm, contains several individual reflections of the incident sound arriving at time separations of only 60–120 μs. These time separations are much shorter than the bat’s sonar sounds, which are several milliseconds, and shorter, too, than the integration time for echo reception, which is ~300–400 μs (Simmons et al. 1989), so the reflected sounds overlap in time and combine together to interfere with each other. Integration time is the interval over which energy in a filter is summed: the output of the filter effectively blurs the separation of two discrete signals if their time separation is less than the filter’s integration time.

The combined “echo” from the insect thus has an overall delay that corresponds to target distance as represented by response latencies plus an interference spectrum, composed of peaks and notches at specific frequencies presumably represented by response-strength in frequency-tuned neurons (as previously proposed by Schmidt 1992; Simmons 1989). In spite of the difference in format between response latencies for representing target range and frequency tuning of responses for representing the echo spectrum, the bat nevertheless perceives the relatively small size-and-shape dimensions of the target along the same psychological scale of distance used for perceiving the overall distance to the target. Somehow from the echo interference spectrum the bat estimates the delay separations of the overlapping sounds returned by the target’s glints and expresses these estimates in the same numerical units as target range itself (Simmons et al. 1990, 1998), which requires an auditory representation of the features of the interference spectrum to transform the peaks and notches at different numerical values of frequency into an estimate of the delay separations in numerical values of time. Is this done by converting the frequencies of spectral features into latencies for subsequent processing alongside latencies already used to rep-
isoflurane anesthesia, the skin was washed with a 10% povidone-iodine solution followed by a 70% alcohol wash. The skin and muscle of the skull in the region of cortex and inferior colliculus (IC) were removed, and a small stainless steel post was attached to the skull with cyanoacrylate cement. After surgery local topical application of lidocaine (2% gel) was used. After allowing ≥5 days for recovery from this surgery, the awake bat was placed in a “bat-shaped” plexiglas holder and its head fixed in place using the cemented post as the point of attachment. Two holders of different sizes were made to comfortably accommodate the bats used in these experiments. If the bats showed any sign of distress that was not alleviated by an offering of mealworms or water, the experiment was terminated. Access to the IC was obtained by carefully drilling a small hole (~100–200 µm) in the skull with a sharpened sewing needle viewed through an operating microscope (Jena, type 212). This caused no apparent discomfort to the bats, which rest quietly during this procedure. For each bat, no more than four craniotomies per side were drilled. Data in this study were collected from both the left and right hemispheres of the IC. Gelfoam was used to cover each craniotomy at the end of each experimental day. No antibiotic was needed to prevent infection after each experiment. The bats did not exhibit any signs of infection during the course of these experiments, possibly because the bat’s resting body temperature is low. The experiments lasted between 3 and 6 h with mealworms and water offered at periodic intervals throughout that time period. After each experiment, the bat was returned to its individual cage in a colony room. An individual bat had a minimum of 1-day rest in between experimental days, but most often 3–4 days separated consecutive experimental days for a given bat. At the end of the experiments, the bat was given an overdose of pentobarbital sodium for use in other histological experiments. The surgical and experimental procedures conformed with National Institutes of Health guidelines.

The bat and the loudspeaker for stimulus delivery were situated inside a sound-proof booth (Industrial Acoustics) the walls of which were covered by anechoic foam panels. The bat was placed at a distance of 35 cm from the speaker, positioned so that the speaker was at ~0° elevation and 0° azimuth. The ambient temperature in the booth was maintained between 72 and 75°F. Single-unit activity was recorded in the IC with 3 M NaCl glass microelectrodes (2–10 MΩ) advanced by a hydraulic microdrive (Trent-Wells). Signals picked up by the electrode tip were amplified (WPI dAMP 1,000 times), and filtered to a band of 200–8,000 Hz by a variable band-pass filter (Wavelet/Rockland Model 442). Amplified spike waveforms were thresholded and time stamped (100-µs resolution) using Brainwave hardware and software (version 3). Event waveforms representing putative spikes were cluster-cut off-line using Brainwave software to remove any multunit or evoked potential activity from subsequent single-unit analysis. At the same time, analogue averaged local field potentials (LFPs) were collected from the same recorded signals using the same 200- to 8,000-Hz filter settings (acquisition and averaging on an R. C. Electronics Model ISC-16 data-acquisition board, at a 10-kHz sampling rate, using custom-written software). Acoustic travel time from speaker to bat was subtracted from all spike time stamps and field potential records. The indifferent electrode (tungsten, Frederick Haer) for these recordings was inserted into frontal cortex.

Local field potentials

We recorded the local evoked field potential to estimate the “population” response to the stimulus sequence. The field potential is the sum of synaptic and action potentials picked up by the electrode; the amplitude of the potential reflects the number, proximity, and synchronicity of electrical events near the tip of the electrode. Averaging over trials attenuates events that have variable timing from trial to trial. Because of the phase nature of the majority of NLL and IC cells and their relatively stable response latencies (Ferragamo et al. 1998; Haplea et al. 1994), field potentials are well suited to providing an estimate of the responses of large numbers of underlying neural elements.
In the auditory system, the synchronous nature of events in the lower brainstem leads to large amplitude evoked potentials. The sources of peaks N1–N4 in the evoked potential are identified by their latency (auditory nerve: N1 at 0.8 ms, CN: N2 at 1.5 ms, SOC: N3 at 1.9 ms, LLN: N4 at 2.9 ms) (Friend et al. 1966; Grinnell 1963a; Suga and Schlegel 1973). The widths of these peaks of the field potential are very brief, similar to the width of an extracellular action potential (N1: 300–800 μs; N2: 1–1.5 ms) (Grinnell 1963a). Generally, the property which most affects the volume of tissue over which an electrode “samples” field potentials is impedance, and the degree to which a field potential is a reflection of local (IC) or distant (cortex, thalamus, NLL, CN, auditory nerve) electrical events is easy to test because the IC has a tonotopic structure in the dorsal-ventral axis. Moving the electrode over the dorsalventral extent of the IC led to changes in the BF of the N4-evoked potential (Friend et al. 1966). The N4 is thought to reflect the input of NLL fibers to the IC (Friend et al. 1966; Suga 1969b; Suga and Schlegel 1973). With a low-impedance electrode (e.g., an implanted silver wire) the activity of IC units shows up as a slow component after the N4 (Friend et al. 1966; Grinnell 1963a). The slow component reaches a peak at 7–9 ms and is 15–20 ms in duration (Friend et al. 1966); this effect is due to the broadly distributed latencies of IC cells (4–26 ms) (Suga and Schlegel 1973). When the electrode was in the IC, the “hash” of poorly isolated units, not the slow component, dominated the field potential after the N4. This was due to the higher impedance of our glass microelectrodes as compared with silver wire electrodes (Friend et al. 1966; Grinnell 1963a).

Field potentials were recorded in the IC proper and also in sites ventral to the IC, probably the NLL (E. Covey, personal communication). The data plotted in Figs. 2 and 3 were collected after the electrode was advanced beyond the most ventral active sites in the IC (at depths of ~2,000 μm), through an additional ~800 μm of tissue in which no auditory-evoked responses could be recorded and into sites with large auditory-evoked potentials (hundreds of μV) corresponding in latency to the N4 response peak. These recordings required less averaging due to the strong, highly synchronous activity in the lower brainstem. Similar results were observed in recordings collected at depths <2,000 μm along the lateral extent of the IC, but these required a greater degree of trial averaging to reduce the background noise.

The averaged LFP records were plotted relative to the onset of each FM sweep in the stimulus protocol. To remove the common-mode response, the difference between an average LFP evoked by one stimulus and a reference average LFP evoked by another stimulus was calculated to form a derived LFP. The reference LFP was chosen to be the nearest neighbor response, either to a stimulus before or after the current stimulus.

\[
\text{Derived}_{i}, \text{LFP} = \text{LFP}_i - \text{LFP}_{i-1} \quad 5 \leq i \leq 16 \quad (1)
\]

\[
\text{Derived}_{i}, \text{LFP} = \text{LFP}_i - \text{LFP}_{i+1} \quad 17 \leq i \leq 28 \quad (2)
\]

Here, \(i\) is the stimulus number (see Fig. 4A for plot of stimulus number vs. stimulus condition). The recovery periods of the N4 and slow component are less than the interstimulus period of 100 ms (2 and 50 ms, respectively) (Friend et al. 1966; Grinnell 1963b). Nevertheless using the nearest LFP response as a reference reduced the effects of adaptation or other nonstationarities when using just one fixed LFP (such as the response to stimulus 4) as a reference for all other LFPs. Because the stimulus sequence was symmetric around stimulus 16 (2-glint separation of 24 μs), the following 11 derived LFPs (17–28) were computed in reverse order so as to match the first 12 (5–16). Stimuli numbers 1, 3, and 29 were not included because they were single-glint FM signals. As a baseline reference, a derived LFP was calculated between the first two 0-μs two-glint conditions, stimuli 4 and 2.

**Stimuli**

FM signals such as the big brown bat’s biosonar sounds are characterized by multiple acoustic parameters of amplitude, start and stop frequencies, harmonic structure, sweep rate (kHz/ms), sweep shape (e.g., linear, sinusoidal, hyperbolic), and duration. Several of these parameters necessarily covary—for example, if duration is changed while the frequency range is fixed, then sweep-rate changes as well. As stimuli, we used FM sweeps that matched natural *Eptesicus* vocalizations from the bat’s insect-pursuit sequence (see Simmons 1989)—a 10-ms sweep duration from an early stage of pursuit and a 2-ms duration from a later stage of pursuit. A standard 2- and 10-ms FM sweep was created in software (2 harmonics, hyperbolically sweeping from 100 to 40 kHz in the 2nd harmonic, 50 to 20 kHz in the 1st harmonic, cosine shaped rise-fall times: rise-time equaled fall-time, 400 μs for 2-ms sweeps, and 2 ms for 10-ms sweeps). The equation for the instantaneous frequency of these signals is

\[
f(t) = 1/[m(t) + b] \quad (3)
\]

where \(m\) is the change in period per unit time and \(b\) is the starting period. A linear change in period is a hyperbolic change in frequency. The frequency range of these signals (100–20 kHz) encompasses the BF of the majority of IC neurons (Casseday and Covey 1992; Ferragamo et al. 1998). Therefore each neuron had an opportunity to be exposed to an FM sweep with a spectral notch near BF.

Simulated two-glint echoes were created by presenting two FM sweeps added together at various delay separations (0–24 μs). Figure 1 shows a spectrogram of the 0-μs two-glint separation signal (Fig. 1D), example stimuli waveforms (Fig. 1), and spectra (Fig. 1F). The minimum step size used for two-glint separation was 2 μs, which matched the D/A board’s clock (500 kHz). The use of a 2- and a 10-ms FM sweep protocol was necessary to examine the relative timing of responses to FM signals with different sweep rates and to allow us to record from the many cells in the IC that prefer slower sweep rates and/or longer durations.

The interference spectra of two-glint signals where both signals are of the same phase contain a series of peaks and notches at regular intervals given by

\[
f_{\text{peak}}(n) = n/\tau \quad (4)
\]

\[
f_{\text{notch}}(n) = (2n + 1)/(2\tau) \quad n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots \quad (5)
\]

where \(f\) is in kilohertz, \(n\) is peak or notch number, and \(\tau\) is delay in milliseconds between the first and second glint. Interference peaks are broader than the intervening notches, which make characterization of delay separation from notches convenient. Figure 1C plots the spectral notch position for \(n = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\) at delay separations of 0–100 μs. Figure 5 plots notch frequencies for the range of stimuli used in this experiment (—, \(n = 0\), subsequently this will be called the “first” or “primary” notch; - - -, \(n = 1\), termed the “secondary” notch throughout the paper).

Stimuli were presented at a 10-Hz repetition rate using the following protocol (Fig. 4A): after four stimuli with 0-μs two-glint separation, two-glint separation changed from 2 to 24 μs and then back down to 0-μs two-glint separation, in 2-μs steps. Two additional stimuli, 29 and 30, with 0-μs two-glint separation were added onto the end of the protocol. Three of the seven 0-μs two-glint separation FM sweeps were not generated using the delay and add procedure; these sweeps (Fig. 4A, *) were, in effect, single sounds, 6 dB weaker than FM sweeps generated using a delay and add with 0-μs delay. In total, 30 FM sweeps were presented in 3 s. This set of stimuli was presented to the bat for 20–33 repetitions. Stimuli were presented at −10–20 dB above threshold for the 0-μs two-glint separation stimulus. Most often the stimulus level was set at 30–50 dB SPL peak to peak.

Because of hardware constraints, there was a period of 1.2 s between the last stimulus of the protocol on a given trial and the first stimulus of the protocol on the following trial. Thus a given neuron...
was in a less-adapted state for the first several stimuli compared with later stimuli in the stimulus sequence. Recovery periods for IC units range from 3 to hundreds of milliseconds with $80\%$ of the units recovering completely within 100 ms (Friend 1966; Grinnell 1963b; Suga and Schlegel 1973). A normalized index of adaptation value for each of the 74 neurons was calculated by taking the difference in spike count between an early and late presentation of an identical stimulus condition (e.g., spike counts for stimulus 1 vs. 29, Fig. 4A) and
A strong adaptation counts across the stimulus sequence (Fig. 4). If the response to the 2nd stimulus had a significant impact on the response, then the response to the 2nd stimulus was modulated by the 1st. With identical stimuli, no other stimulus comparisons showed significant effects of adaptation (e.g., the distribution of adaptation indices calculated for stimulus 4 vs. 28 was not significantly different from 0: P = 0.24). Thus by the time the stimulus sequence reached stimulus 4 (a 0-μs 2-glint stimulus), adaptation effects at the population level had leveled off. This can be qualitatively assessed in the spike counts shown in Fig. 4, E–I; if adaptation had a significant impact on the response, then the response to the second presentation (Δ) of a stimulus within the sequence would be lower than the response to the first presentation (C) of that same stimulus. This result is also visible in the population average of spike counts across the stimulus sequence (Fig. 4D). A strong adaptation effect would have resulted in an obvious negative slope (linear regression fit of the data had a slope of −0.04 spikes/stimulus−1·s−1, R² = 0.001).

Pure tone bursts (4.4 or 10 ms) were presented at either a 20- or 4-Hz presentation rate to characterize the frequency tuning characteristics of the units. The stimuli had linear rise/fall times set at 0.44 or 1 ms for the 4.4- and 10-msec stimuli, respectively. Frequencies were presented in an ascending order. The initial stimulus had a 20-Hz presentation rate and consisted of 59 pure tones spaced logarithmically from 10 to 100 kHz. As time permitted, subsequent pure tone sequences spanning a smaller frequency range around BF with linear steps (1 kHz) were presented at a fast (20 Hz) or slow (4 Hz) presentation rate, depending on the preference of the neuron under study. The pure tone sequences initially were presented at 20 dB above threshold and then, as time permitted, one or two additional amplitudes (+10, +40) above threshold. Stimulus thresholds for BF ranged from 8 to 82 dB SPL peak to peak (mean 40 ± 20 dB SPL).

All stimuli were converted at 500 kHz using custom-written software controlling a Tucker-Davis QDA2 board. The analog signal then was high-pass filtered (5 kHz, Wavelet), attenuated (Hewlett Packard 350D), and amplified (Apex PA02M high-voltage operational amplifier) before being sent to a speaker (Panasonic leaf-tweeter, FAS-10TH1000) located 38 cm from the bat in the sound-proof booth.

Data analysis

The files of time stamps for single-unit responses to each stimulus presentation were exported to MATLAB and analyzed off-line as dot-raster plots and peristimulus time histograms (PSTH). The number of spikes per stimulus was calculated by counting the number of spikes in a 100-ms window after stimulus onset and dividing by number of stimulus presentations (usually 33). Spike-count functions were generated by fitting a spline curve to the average of the spike counts from two identical stimulus conditions in the protocol using stimuli 4–28 (see Fig. 4A for stimulus protocol). The preceding stimulus, 1–3, and the following stimuli 29 and 30, were used only for measuring adaptation effects. The 24-μs condition, stimulus 16, was only presented once during the stimulus protocol. Thus the value in the spike-count function for this 24-μs two-glint separation was based on a single spike count. In the overwhelming majority of single-unit spike-count functions, it was clear which stimulus condition evoked the smallest response (representative examples in Fig. 4, E–I). The following criterion was used to qualify a response to a particular stimulus as being the local minimum in a spike-count function: each of the two spike counts that contributed to the average value at the local minimum of a spike-count function had to be less than the smallest response evoked by the 0-μs two-glint separation stimuli (Fig. 4A, 4 and 28). The position of the local minimum, if any, was taken from the spline curve. Spike-count functions from cells with BF >50 kHz had two local minima because a second spectral notch moves across any of these frequencies for two-glint separations ≥16 μs (Fig. 4I). Each local minimum in the spike-count function was tested. Local maxima were estimated using the same criteria but with the opposite sense.

The width of the valley, or dip, in the spike count function around the minimum was measured by using the spike count at the 0-μs two-glint stimulus as a baseline: the 50% level between baseline and minimum was found and the width at 50% was measured (see arrows in Fig. 7C for examples). For spike count functions with a minimum that fell near the upper border of the two-glint separation axis (Fig. 7A), only the lower half-width of the dip was measured.

First-spike latency was estimated by taking the mean of the distribution of first-spike times in a window after stimulus onset. Simultaneous displays of PSTHs and dot rasters were used to identify and select appropriate analysis window start and stop times (Heil and Irvine 1998). The window start and stop time, relative to FM sweep onset, was identical across an entire stimulus protocol. The window length was identical for all the data collected for a single unit (window length = stop – start time; usually set to 20 ms). These windows excluded most of what we presumed to be spontaneous activity. Even low-rate spontaneous activity could bias first-spike latency estimates calculated from fixed windows that started at stimulus onset and ended 25 ms later. No latency analysis was carried out on units with high spontaneous rate (>10 spikes/s, n = 2). Estimates of first-spike latency based on less than eight spikes across all trials with a given stimulus were discarded. A shift in first-spike latency in responses to the two-glint separation protocol was qualitatively assessed as follows. First-spike latency was plotted versus stimulus condition as shown in Fig. 4, E–I, right (for clarity, these plots only show the first-spike latencies for stimuli 4–16, see Fig. 4A). The plots were examined to determine whether the first-spike latency values for identical stimuli later in the protocol (17–28) exhibited a similar trend wherein latencies increased specifically around two-glint separations that placed a notch near BF.

RESULTS

LFPs

When the separation of two-glint stimuli is >400–500 μs, separate neural responses should be observed for each stimulus (Friend et al. 1966; Grinnell 1963b). Our standard recovery cycle experiment was conducted using two-glint separations that spanned a range from 4 ms to 200 μs (Fig. 2). The main response in the LFP is called the N₄ (Fig. 2A, labeled R₁ on the bottom trace), and occurs at a latency of 3 ms; this is appropriate for neural elements in the NLL (Covey and Casseday 1991; Haplea et al. 1994). We analyzed the N₄ response because of its brief duration and low variability from trial to trial. A separate N₂ response (labeled R₂ in Fig. 2A) to the second of a pair of FM sweeps was visible down to a 1-ms two-glint separation (Fig. 2A, arrow). Recovery to 100% of peak-to-peak height (relative to the response evoked by the initial FM sweep of each 2-glint pair) was achieved at an two-glint separation of 2 ms (Fig. 2B). The derived LFPs for these recovery cycle experiments show a biphasic waveform with a latency correlated to two-glint separation (Fig. 2C, and the surface plot in E). This relationship is quantified by a linear fit to the peak latency values of the derived LFPs (y = 0.9713x + 3.090, R² = 0.999; Fig. 2D). The derived LFPs
waveform disappears at the 800-μs two-glint separation (which is the difference between the responses to 800 and 600-μs 2-glint stimuli plotted in 2A, indicated Fig. 2, C and E, arrows).

Another stimulus protocol was run using smaller steps between successive two-glint conditions (1,400- to 100-μs 2-glint separations in 100-μs steps): the derived LFPs showed a consistent biphase waveform present down to the difference between the 800- and 700-μs two-glint conditions (Fig. 2F, bottom arrow). These results with FM sweeps generally agree with other recovery cycle experiments, which report separate N4 responses evoked by click stimuli with intervals sometimes as short as 500 μs (Friend et al. 1966; Grinnell 1963b). Recovery cycle results vary with differences in stimulus intensity, spectra, and duration (Friend et al. 1966, Grinnell 1963b), and the difference in recovery times of 800 versus 500 μs is probably due to the longer stimulus duration used in the present experiments.

The unexplored region of these response functions is for stimuli with two-glint separations smaller than the minimum recovery time for a full-fledged second response. The derived LFPs for glint separations <500 ms showed complex oscillations, often with opposite phase (Fig. 2F, top arrow). The derived LFPs in Fig. 2F show a structure both in amplitude and latency, indicating that information may be available to the auditory system to deconvolve two separate events from a single complex response. For this reason, we focused on responses to two-glint separations <25 μs.

Averaged LFPs in the IC also were collected in response to two-glint separations presented well within the shortest recovery time of the N4 LFP (using the single-unit stimulus protocol described in METHODS consisting of 2-glint stimuli with separations ranging from 0 to 24 μs in 2-μs steps). These stimuli have deep spectral notches (Fig. 1F), the position of which is a function of two-glint separation (Fig. 3C, top). Figure 3A shows a series of averaged LFPs from a single recording site as shown in Fig. 2 (depth, 3,500 μm; BF, 66 kHz). Sixteen
stimulus repetitions were used in the averaged LFPs, but the effect was clearly visible in with just one presentation. The shape of the local evoked potential changed when a stimulus was presented that had a notch near the BF of the recording site. The peak LFP amplitude decreases for stimuli with a two-glint separation of 8 and 22 μs. The local minima (Fig. 3B) occur at 7.5 and 21 μs, which have spectral notches, 66.7 and 71.4 kHz, respectively, near BF (the site was tuned to 71 kHz, see Fig. 3C, bottom). Figure 3C, top, shows the position of spectral notches in the two-glint stimuli. The vertical dotted line shows where BF for this site intersects with the primary and secondary spectral notches: at 7 and 21 μs, respectively, nearly matching the minima in Fig. 3B.

The derived LFPs in Fig. 3D show large peaks and troughs wherever a difference in either amplitude or latency (or both) occurs between one evoked LFP and the LFP evoked to the previous stimulus. As expected, the largest peaks in the derived LFPs occur for those LFPs evoked in response to stimuli with two-glint separations near 8 or 22 μs. What was not expected a priori was that the timing of peaks and troughs in the derived LFP would move in a systematic fashion, correlated with the timing of the spectral notches in the two-glint stimuli. Figure 3E plots the timing of the primary and secondary spectral notches (dashed and solid lines, respectively) in the two-glint stimuli. Because of the hyperbolic FM sweep shape (Eq. 3, Fig. 1D), the timing of the spectral notches is related linearly to two-glint separation (see Fig. 3C, top, which plots spectral notch position on a hyperbolic frequency axis). The stimuli are
composed of two harmonics sweeping down from 50 to 20 and 100 to 40 kHz, respectively (Fig. 1D). The first prominent spectral notch (83.3 kHz) appears with a latency of 0.274 ms in second harmonic of the 6-μs two-glint separation stimulus. For the 12-μs two-glint stimuli, the primary spectral notch of 41.7 kHz occurs with a latency of 1.91 ms, at the end of the second harmonic. For the 14- to 24-μs two-glint stimuli, the primary notch then moves through the first harmonic starting from 0.547 to 1.981 ms (bottom dashed line). The secondary notch appears in the second harmonic of the stimuli for two-glint separations of 16–24 μs (solid line). For this recording site, the latency of the trough in the derived LFP approximately follows the timing of the primary and secondary spectral notch as they appear in the second harmonic (top dashed and solid line, respectively). Latency data from another site with a BF tuned to 23 kHz more closely match the timing of the primary spectral notch as it moves through the first harmonic (Fig. 3F, bottom dashed line). For these two cases, the latency to the peak of derived LFP showed greater variation because the complex nature of the waveform led to maximum values that could occur on either side of a trough. The point here is not to show strict transformation of the spectral notch timing in the peak or trough of the waveform but that the waveforms shift in a manner consistent with spectral notch timing. This is most obvious in the surface plots of Fig. 3, G and H. The overall amplitude of the derived LFPs are smaller in Fig. 3, H versus G, because the stimuli were presented at 68 dB SPL as opposed to 88 dB SPL in Fig. 3G.

The derived LFP qualitatively resembles a band-pass filtered, rectified, and low-pass-filtered version of the stimuli themselves. Because the notches occur at particular times in the FM sweeps, the envelopes of the signals undergo a systematic change as a function of notch frequency, and this change is reflected in the timing and amplitude of the evoked potential.

The N1 reflects a prominent source of input into the IC (Suga 1969b), a nucleus that is an obligatory site at which all ascending information from the lower brain stem terminates. The output from the NLL feeds forward into IC where we expected to see similar responses in IC single-unit activity but with variable translations in onset time due to the IC delay lines (Ferragamo et al. 1998; Saitoh and Suga 1992).

**IC single-units**

Two-glint stimuli with separations between 0 and 24 μs were presented to 74 single-units recorded in the IC of three bats. BFs were obtained for 65 of 74 units and ranged between 20.5 and 96 kHz (median 43 kHz, mean 48.2 ± 19.5 kHz). Single units discharged between one and two spikes for each presentation of a single FM sweep set ~20 dB above threshold. Some units preferentially responded to the longer FM sweeps (10 ms), and their responses are included with units which responded to short FM sweeps (2 ms).

**Spike count**

When presented with two-glint FM sweeps with separations between 0 and 24 μs, the majority (62/74, 84%) of single units showed decreased response strength for specific values of two-glint separation. An example PSTH in Fig. 4B shows that the neuron’s response decreased for two-glint separations of 6 and 18 μs. Figure 4C plots a surface of all the spike counts for the 65 units with measured BFs. Spike-count functions from five representative units (BFS 22–93 kHz) are plotted in Fig. 4, E–I (left). The spike count data in Fig. 4, C and E–I, show that activity decreases for particular stimulus conditions as a function of BF.

The local minima in spike-count functions occur for stimuli that have a spectral notch that lies on or near BF. The local minima in the spike-count function for all units with BF data are plotted in Fig. 5 (9 of 65 units did not have detectable minima for the sound levels used here). Each point plots the response minimum to either the short- or long-duration sweep, whichever the unit responded to best. No appreciable difference was observed when the data were divided into separate plots based on FM sweep duration. The data points are fit well by the lines for the FM signals’ first and second spectral notches (— and - - - respectively). High-frequency units (BF >50 kHz) often show two local minima in their spike-count functions. The first minimum corresponds to the first spectral notch of two-glint FM stimuli (Fig. 5, ○). The second mini-

---

**FIG. 3.** Derived LFPs show systematic changes in both latency and amplitude for 2-glinit separations down to 6 μs. A: averaged LFPs (N_average = 16) from same site as that in Fig. 2. This plot shows the responses to the 1st half of the 2-glinit stimulus protocol that is plotted in Fig. 4A (stimulus 2 is at top of Fig. 3A, followed by stimuli 4–16). B: height of the peak amplitude in each evoked potential in A. LFP amplitude decreases by 50% for 2-glinit stimuli with notches near the BF of this recording site, 71 kHz: the stimuli of 8- and 22-μs 2-glinit separation have spectral notches at 62.5 and 66.2 kHz, respectively. Circle shows peak amplitude for the 1st 0-μs 2-glinit echo (stimulus 2 in protocol, see Fig. 4A). C: recording site BF predicts the minimum LFP amplitude to 2-glinit stimuli. Bottom: LFP peak amplitude to pure tones presented at 58 dB SPL reaches a maximum at 71 kHz. Peak amplitude was measured in a window 0–10 ms after stimulus onset. Horizontal dashed line indicates the maximum LFP amplitude measured within a time window 40–50 ms after stimulus onset. Top: BF from the bottom panel (vertical dotted line) intersects the primary (n = 0, dashed) and secondary (n = 1, solid) spectral notch functions for the 2-glinit stimuli at ~7 and 21 μs, respectively (horizontal arrows). Note that the hyperbolic scaling of the frequency axis results in a linear relationship between 2-glinit separation and spectral notch position. D: derived LFPs from data in A. Scale for A and D is 400 μV/tick mark. E: timing of trough in the derived LFP of D relates to the timing of the primary (dashed) and secondary (solid) spectral notches. Topmost dashed line, latency of the primary notch in the second harmonic. Bottom dashed line, latency of the primary notch in the first harmonic. Solid line, latency of the secondary notch, which for this range of 2-glinit separations, only occurs in the 2nd harmonic of the stimulus. Trough latency was zeroed by the latency to the 4-μs 2-glinit stimulus. F: derived LFP trough latency from another recording site (data shown in H) with a BF of 23 kHz. Latency of the derived LFP trough matches the latency of the primary spectral notch in the first harmonic. For this site, the 1st significant trough in the derived LFP occurred at 4-μs 2-glinit separation. G: surface plot of derived LFPs from D; z axis scale for μV is inset at right. H: surface plot of derived LFPs used for the latency measurements in F. Note that this site, with a BF of 23 kHz, is responsive to frequencies in the 1st harmonic of the signals (a downward sweep from 50 to 20 kHz, see Fig. 1D). Therefore the derived LFP has a large relative amplitude and follows the spectral notch latency for 2-glinit separations from 14 to 22 μs.
maximum corresponds to the second spectral notch of two-glint stimuli (Fig. 5, ○; see also Fig. 1F, 2-glint separations ≥16 μs, for the spectra of stimuli with 2 notches).

Besides local minima, there were also local maxima in the spike-count functions of 29% of the units (19/65). This elevated response cannot be due to spectral peaks alone because the reference spike count is the two-glint signal with 0-μs separation that has the greatest spectral amplitude of all the stimuli. One example is plotted in Fig. 6A. This neuron has a BF of 31 kHz and did not respond to the 16-μs two-glint stimulus (this stimulus has spectral notches at 31.3 and 93.8 kHz). The 14-μs two-glint stimulus (notch at 35.7 kHz) reliably evoked about two spikes per trial, much higher than for any other stimulus condition.

The maximum response in the spike-count function was widely distributed across two-glint separation values for lower-frequency BFs (<50 kHz, Fig. 6B). For units with BF >50 kHz, the maximum in the spike count always occurred at a two-glint separation greater than the delay that evoked the minimum spike count. This is due to the presence of multiple notches in the spectrum for two-glint separations ≥16 μs; the data were replotted in terms of notch frequency nearest to BF in Fig. 6C. The spike count minima fall near the line with unity slope (√; n =18, one neuron had no local minimum in its
Intensity

Spike-count functions changed in two ways with increases in signal level. First, overall spike counts increased with increases in signal level (Fig. 7, A–D). Second, the shape of the function around the minima changed (this will be termed the “dip” in the spike-count function). At higher sound levels, some spike-count functions no longer showed clear minima (Fig. 7, B and D). A short range of stimulus levels was presented to any one unit, so only limited data were available to study the effect of level on spike-count functions. Of those neurons for which data were available from more than one stimulus level (n = 27), 22 exhibited a minimum in the spike-count function at more than one stimulus level. The majority (19/22, 86%) showed a decrease in the width of dip in the spike-count function when stimulus level increased (Fig. 7, A–C). For example the width of the dip in the spike-count functions of Fig. 7C (→) decreased from 6.9 to 2.6 μs for a 10-dB increase in stimulus level.

Duration

Data were collected from 11 single units to examine responses to both the 2- and 10-ms duration FM sweeps presented at the same level. For most neurons (9/11), spike counts generally increased for the longer duration stimuli (Fig. 8) presumably due to the longer dwell time of signal energy in the excitatory tuning area or tuning to specific sweep rates (Heil and Irvine 1998). The additional number of spikes evoked per trial by the 10- versus the 2-ms FM sweep was small: the largest increase was by 1.71 spikes for the unit in Fig. 8A. The consistent increase in spike count of Fig. 8A was found in four neurons, three of which had BF between 22 and 25 kHz and one with a BF of 40 kHz. The remaining seven neurons, with BFs from 31 to 71 kHz, exhibited functions similar to that of Fig. 8B where the increase in the number of spikes per stimulus for 10- versus 2-ms FM sweeps was < 1 (2 of these functions were ambiguous in that for several 2-glint stimuli the 2-ms duration FM sweep evoked a greater number of spikes than the 10-ms duration stimulus). This difference may be due to the hyperbolic shape of the of the FM sweeps used in this study (see Eq. 3). For a given duration, sweep rate in the first and
show an increase in first-spike latency for stimuli with a spectral notch near the BF (see Data analysis). For the unit in Fig. 4I (BF 93 kHz), both the 6- and 18-μs two-glint separations create a notch at 83.33 kHz, and both stimuli evoke noticeable shifts in latency relative to neighboring stimulus conditions. For the 6-μs two-glint stimulus, first-spike latency decreased by ~2 ms relative to nearby two-glint conditions. The data plotted here are representative of just over half of the population used in Fig. 5 (33/55 units showed a shift in latency for stimuli with 2-glint separations adjacent to the 2-glint separation that evoked the minimum spike count; 1 neuron from Fig. 5 had a spontaneous rate >10 spikes/s and was not included in the latency analysis). The remaining 22 cells either

**Latency**

The first-spike latencies for five units are plotted in Fig. 4 (E–I, right). Four of the five latency functions (Fig. 4, F–I)

**FIG. 6.** A: in addition to local minima, the spike-count functions of 19/65 (29%) of the units had local maxima where spike counts were greater than the response to the 0-μs 2-glint stimulus (see METHODS). These local maxima in the spike-count functions are presumably due to inhibitory sidebands in the frequency tuning areas. Symbols for A are same as those used in Fig. 4. B: position of the spike-count maxima (●, n = 19) and minima (○, n = 18; 1 of the 19 neurons did not exhibit a minima in its spike-count function) are plotted with respect to BF and overlaid on the position of the primary (- - -) and secondary (—) spectral notches. Spectral peak function lies between the primary and secondary spectral notches (zzz). C: data from B are replotted in terms of the spectral notch nearest to BF vs. BF. Spike-count minima (○) fall nearest the line with unity slope. The spike-count maxima are scattered above and below this line.

second harmonics is slowest (and thus signal energy per unit time is greatest) for frequencies near 20 and 40 kHz, respectively.
showed no clear shift in latency or the spike latency plots were incomplete and could not be examined for a shift due to low spike counts (≥8 spikes were required to measure mean 1st-spike latency). Increasing the number of stimulus trials would allow a more complete assessment of how these stimuli affect first-spike latency.

First-spike latency values were available for 25 of 27 neurons that were presented with the stimulus protocol at different levels. First-spike latency decreased with increasing stimulus levels for most of these neurons (23/25). The latency shifts spanned a range from 252 to 500 ms/dB with a median value of 88 ms/dB.

In 8/11 cases where single units were presented with both 2- and 10-ms duration stimuli at the same level, first-spike latency increased for the longer FM sweep. This is a common finding for FM stimuli in which only duration changes because the timing of effective frequency that drives the neuron changes in proportion to signal duration (Bodenhamer and Pollak 1981; Heil and Irvine 1998).

**DISCUSSION**

On a trial-by-trial basis, the majority of IC neurons discharge between one and two spikes per FM sweep. Although many features of an FM sweep govern discharge probability (intensity, sweep rate, etc.), this study focuses on how the delay...
between two FM signals affects spiking activity. The data in Fig. 5 indicate that spike count minima directly encode information about two-glint signals down to a 6-μs separation.

**Spike train metrics: spike count**

As a function of delay, each two-glint signal has one or more notches in its spectrum. A two-glint stimulus with a spectral notch near BF evokes little or no activity from IC units (Figs. 4 and 5). This is as expected, and Fig. 9 provides a schematic explanation for the responses. Figure 9A shows the spectrogram (left) and spectrum (right) of a two-glint single harmonic FM signal that sweeps from a high to low frequency. Figure 9B depicts how an FM signal at three different two-glint separations would interact with the excitatory tuning area of a neuron (gray area). The first signal (dotted line) has a two-glint separation that is long enough such that the spectral notch is below BF. Thus the cell responds when this signal enters its tuning curve above BF (marked by a small star which corresponds to effective frequency; see following text). The second signal (solid line) is of a shorter two-glint separation and accordingly has a higher frequency spectral notch. In this case, the center of the notch is at BF, and the shoulders of the notch straddle the tuning curve such that no portion of the signal sweeps though the tuning curve at a level high enough to elicit activity. The third signal (dashed line) has an even shorter two-glint delay, and its spectral notch is above BF. After sweeping through the notch frequency, the signal level increases as frequency decreases to the point where it runs over the upper edge of the tuning curve (the lower star).

The details of how intensity affects spike counts (Fig. 7) are explained in Fig. 9C. The two main effects of stimulus level shown in Fig. 7 are a small shift in overall spike count and a spectral notch reducing the driving energy in that frequency region (lightest pixels in Fig. 4C along a given vertical band). Alternatively, cells with inhibitory sidebands near the spectral notch(es) would be activated above their normal firing rate (this is not apparent in Fig. 4C because local maxima were present in slightly <1/3 of the population and not necessarily at the stimulus levels used in Fig. 4C).

**Sideband inhibition**

The data in Fig. 6 indicate that inhibitory mechanisms in the lower brain stem and IC could be useful for enhancing the contrast of spectral edges. The increase in spike count above that evoked by the 0-μs condition may be due to the presence of sideband inhibition in the frequency tuning curves of IC units (Casseday and Covey 1992; Suga 1969a; Suga and Schlegel 1973). When a spectral notch falls on an inhibitory region of the frequency tuning curve, excitatory drive to the cell is augmented due the release from inhibition. For the neuron in Fig. 6A, we assume that the elevated response to the 14-μs two-glint stimulus is due to the spectral notch at 35.7 kHz falling on an inhibitory region of the frequency tuning curve for the cell (BF 31 kHz, inhibitory regions of the frequency tuning curves were not measured for neurons in this study). Each neuron did not undergo an extensive intensity protocol using two-glint stimuli. Therefore because inhibitory sidebands often exhibit different thresholds and bandwidths relative to excitatory regions of the tuning curve, the data in Fig. 6, B and C, may undersample the larger IC population, which does express inhibitory sidebands in their frequency tuning curves. Nevertheless each ● in Fig. 6C provides an estimate of the location of the center frequency of an inhibitory region relative to BF. Figure 6C shows that the spike count maxima occur for two glint-separations with spectral notches above or below BF.

Inhibitory sidebands in the auditory system are thought to sharpen frequency tuning (Suga et al. 1997), create FM directionality (Fuzessery 1994; Heil et al. 1992; Suga and Schlegel 1973), or participate in encoding spectral shape (Shamma et al. 1993). With notched broadband stimuli, neural inhibition can create local “hotspots” of activity on the tonotopic axis, alongside the position of any spectral notch. The schematic in Fig. 9D provides a simple model for this phenomenon, using only one inhibitory sideband, placed on the upper side of the frequency tuning curve. In the case of the tuning curve shown in Fig. 9D, stimulus 3 evokes the strongest response because it only passes through the excitatory region and never passes through the inhibitory area for this cell.

For clarity, the models depicted in Fig. 9 leave out many details. Traditional two-tone frequency tuning curves (like that of the schematic in Fig. 9D) delineate the regions of the frequency-amplitude plane that provide inhibitory input with the caveat that inhibition arrives with the same delay and duration as does excitation. However, this need not be true. The duration of sideband inhibition, as revealed by forward masking experiments in anesthetized cat primary auditory cortex, can last up to hundreds of milliseconds (Brosch and Schreiner 1997; Calford and Semple 1995). The timing of inhibitory inputs has been estimated from extracellular responses of bat IC units in a study by Gordon and O’Neill (1998). They report latency differences between inhibitory and
excitatory regions of the frequency tuning curve ranging from 0 to 6 ms. The authors interpret this dispersed timing of inputs as creating tuning to sweep rate and/or sweep direction. In the case of two-glint stimuli, adding the dimension of time to the response area in Fig. 9D makes for a cell that can pass or reject a variety of FM signals depending on sweep rate, direction, and spectral shape.

**Spike train metrics: first-spike latency**

A crucial task of the auditory system is to accurately register when an acoustic event takes place. A fundamental perceptual dimension of biosonar, range, is carried initially by the timing of spikes evoked by the pulse and echo. By using a cross-correlation-like algorithm, the bat’s auditory system computes the delay between activity evoked by the pulse and echo to estimate target range (see Simmons et al. 1996). Performing computations on these latency differences requires some form of a delay line followed by coincidence detectors.

In the bat, delay lines with operating ranges in tens of milliseconds are thought to be created in the IC (Ferragamo et al. 1998; Saitoh and Suga 1995). The IC projects mainly to the thalamus, where coincidence detecting cells appear in high numbers [coincidence detecting cells also appear in the mid-brain; in the nucleus intercollicularis (Dear and Suga 1993; Feng et al. 1978) and in the IC itself (Portfors and Wenstrup 1999)].

Single units in the NLL can register the timing of acoustic events with minimum variability of 30 μs in first-spike timing (Covey and Casseday 1991). This timing information is passed on to the IC with a corresponding increase in first-spike latency variability. The first-spike latency of IC cells encodes when energy at a particular frequency occurs with a variability on the order of hundreds of microseconds to milliseconds (Ferragamo et al. 1998; Pollak et al. 1977). Because the majority of IC cells spike only one or two times to an FM sweep, the variability and timing of the first spikes bears on how neurons in the thalamus will integrate activity from the IC and perform coincidence detection on delayed inputs.

Most of the echoes a bat hears will have one or more notches in their spectra. Spectral notches retard the timing of these spikes for cells tuned to and nearby the notch center frequency (see Fig. 4, F–I, right). Assuming that coincidence detecting cells in the thalamus average a large number of inputs from the IC, those cells tuned to regions of the spectrum near a notch could provide biased pulse-echo delay estimates. Behavioral experiments have shown that small changes in overall signal level can advance or retard the bat’s perceptual estimate of pulse-echo delay (Simmons et al. 1990, 1998). These results are explained by the amplitude-latency trading effect, which biases coincidence detector estimates to earlier or later delay values, depending on echo level (Simmons et al. 1990). However, the presence of spectral notches themselves does not appear to affect the bat’s perception of the delay to the near-glint of a two-glint target (Simmons et al. 1990, 1998), suggesting that knowledge about the notch is applied to segregating responses from the overall delay estimation.

**Latency, effective frequency, and FM sweeps**

In general, first-spike latency increases with decreasing RMS stimulus amplitude (Aitkin et al. 1970; note, however, the exception of paradoxical latency shift observed first by Sullivan 1982). Heil (1997a) showed that a single unit’s response latency to pure tones more closely correlates with the second derivative of the onset envelope, not steady-state SPL. For pure tone stimuli, this means that the duration and shape of signal rise time dictates response timing (and spike discharge probability) (Heil 1997b). How to analyze responses to FM stimuli of in light of Heil’s work (1997a,b) is unclear because the experimenter does not have direct access to the signal envelope that is driving a neuron. Nevertheless it would be expected that a unit’s latency increases for a signal with a spectral notch near BF because the amplitude of the signal’s spectrum near BF decreases. Thus first-spike latency should loosely covary with spike probability (see Fig. 4, E–I).

When driven by FM signals, auditory neurons usually respond to a frequency above or below BF depending on the direction of the sweep (“effective frequency”) (Heil and Irvine 1998). The effective frequency varies as a function of direction, start and stop frequency, and intensity in relation to the frequency tuning curve of the cell in question. In general, effective frequency does not vary with sweep rate but is closely correlated with the position at which it enters the frequency tuning curve (Heil and Irvine 1998) (depicted as small stars in Fig. 9 where descending sweeps 1 and 3 enter the tuning curve area). IC neurons in Eptesicus respond ~2 kHz above BF in response to typical signals such as those employed in this study (Bodenhamer and Pollak 1981). Thus it might be expected that the data points in Fig. 5 should fall to the right of the curves for notches. However, Fig. 5 plots the stimuli that evoked the minimum spike count; this ensures alignment of the data points with BF and not effective frequency. Figure 9A predicts that this stimulus will always be centered over BF (signal 2), whereas responses to signals 1 and 3 would be at slightly higher frequencies.

**LFPs and population activity**

Many single-unit recordings showed clear changes in response strength and latency as a function of two-glint separation (e.g., Fig. 4, F–I). The LFP reflects the input to the IC and correlates well with spike-count data (compare Figs. 3B and 4H, left, 2 separate recordings from sites with similar BF). The latency of the peak in the derived LFP correlates with the timing of IC single-unit responses (compare Figs. 3C and 4H, right).

Summarizing the activity of a neural population usually requires collapsing data recorded from many single units, recorded in different animals on different days, into one representation. Alternative approaches use multi-electrode arrays, which can uncover temporal relationships between neurons not apparent in a serial reconstruction (e.g., Abeles et al. 1993). We have employed LFPs to assay auditory brain stem population activity. LFPs reflect summed electrical activity consisting of action and synaptic potentials, and interpreting peaks and valleys in a field potential record is only possible given some information about the underlying anatomic arrangement and functional dynamics of cell bodies and fiber bundles. Because the N1 has been identified as the “ascending lateral lemniscal evoked potential” (Suga 1969b), the data in Figs. 2 and 3 provide an idea of the synchronous input to IC cells. However, IC responses themselves constitute the IC’s output,
which is much more dispersed in time. Summarizing the population response of the IC from serial single-unit recordings of IC cells naturally emphasizes spike count (see Fig. 4C) due to the widely differing, dispersed latencies and the heterogeneous response properties of IC cells. However, such a summary is possible if one keeps track of response latencies (BodENhamer and Pollak 1982; Ferragamo et al. 1998).

Models

A simple spectral model of echolocation using elements like those depicted in Fig. 9 predicts a sensitivity to two-glint separations of \( \sim 5 \mu s \). In the case of standard Eptesicus echolocation signals, as long as there are a reasonable number of neurons tuned to frequencies between 90 and 100 kHz, and their activity can be compared with other frequency bands, then a spike-count “spectral representation” in the IC could code for two-glint echoes down to \( \sim 5 \) or \( 6 \mu s \) (Fig. 5).

Spectral models that do not explicitly incorporate time (spectral dissimilarity model of SCHmidt 1992; filter bank model of Johnson 1980) and spectrogram-like models (Beuter 1980) have been used to discriminate between different two-glint target echoes. These models do not describe minimum resolvable glint separation as compared with a \( 0-\mu s \) delay. The spectrogram correlation and transformation (SCAT) model proposed by Saillant et al. (1993) elaborates on the spectrogram approach by adding a processing step that transforms spectral shape information directly into a time estimate of the secondary glints. The SCAT model gives biased estimates of the first and second components of a two-glint echo when glint separation is less than \( \sim 20 \mu s \) (Peremans and Hallam 1998; Saillant et al. 1993). Straightforward analysis of the Eptesicus signals using temporal information alone (cross-correlation) only allows a resolution down to \( \sim 6 \mu s \), due to overlapping correlation peaks of the first and second echo.

Conclusions

A perceptual confound may occur for the bat when notches caused by certain elevations are similar to those caused by closely spaced reflecting surfaces. For example, the external ear imposes a notch at \( \sim 35 \) kHz on the spectrum of echoes returning from point-like objects at elevations \( \sim 30^\circ \) below horizontal (Wotton et al. 1995). A \( 16-\mu s \) two-glint echo (double the travel time from 1st to 2nd glint) corresponds to a spacing of \( 2.75 \) mm between two reflecting points in space. If this echo returns from anywhere above the horizontal, no significant external ear filtering occurs (Wotton et al. 1995). This two-glint signal has a spectral notch at \( 35.71 \) kHz (the shoulder of the secondary notch at 107 kHz attenuates high frequencies from 70 to 100 kHz). If the bat attends primarily to the region of the spectrum containing the first harmonic (50–20 kHz) (Mogdans and Schnitzler 1990), then these two signals (1 from a 2-glint target above the horizontal and the other from a single-glint target below \( 0^\circ \) elevation) may appear very similar in their spectra, and associating the echo with a particular elevation or fine-structure would be ambiguous.

Because of the association between spectral notches and two aspects of the spatial images of echolocating bats (2-point resolution, elevation), physiological studies combined with psychophysical data of Eptesicus’ auditory system can yield insight into how a sensory system combines spectral and temporal information to build a spatial model of its acoustic environment.
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