Tufted Cell Dendrodendritic Inhibition in the Olfactory Bulb Is Dependent on NMDA Receptor Activity
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Christie, J. M., N. E. Schoppa, and G. L. Westbrook. Tufted cell dendrodendritic inhibition in the olfactory bulb is dependent on NMDA receptor activity. J Neurophysiol 85: 169–173, 2001. Mitral and tufted cells constitute the primary output cells of the olfactory bulb. While tufted cells are often considered as “displaced” mitral cells, their actual role in olfactory bulb processing has been little explored. We examined dendrodendritic inhibition between tufted cells and interneurons using whole cell voltage-clamp recording. Dendrodendritic inhibitory postsynaptic currents (IPSCs) generated by depolarizing voltage steps in tufted cells were completely blocked by the N-methyl-d-aspartate (NMDA) receptor antagonist D,L-2-amino-5-phosphonopentanoic acid (D,L-AP5), whereas the α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid (AMPA) receptor antagonist 2,3-dioxo-6-nitro-1,2,3,4-tetrahydrobenzo[f] quinoxaline-7-sulfonamide (NBQX) had no effect. Tufted cells in the external plexiform layer (EPL) and in the periglomerular region (PGR) showed similar behavior. These results indicate that NMDA receptor-mediated excitation of interneurons drives inhibition of tufted cells at dendrodendritic synapses as it does in mitral cells. However, the spatial extent of lateral inhibition in tufted cells was much more limited than in mitral cells. We suggest that the sphere of influence of tufted cells, while qualitatively similar to mitral cells, is centered on only one or a few glomeruli.

INTRODUCTION

The two classes of primary output neurons in the main olfactory bulb, mitral cells and tufted cells, share several common features. Each receives sensory input from olfactory nerve axons in the neuropil of the glomerular layer and in turn project their axons to piriform cortex. Within the bulb, dendrodendritic synapses form between interneurons and the primary and secondary dendrites of both mitral and tufted cells. Mitral cell dendrites are located in a compact layer referred to as the mitral cell layer (MCL), whereas tufted cells are dispersed throughout the external plexiform layer (EPL) and the periglomerular region (PGR) (Cajal 1911). Tufted cells are also considerably more diverse in their morphology (Scott and Harrison 1991).

Our understanding of olfactory bulb function is largely based on studies of mitral cells and the dendrodendritic synapses between mitral and granule cells. At this unique synapse, release of glutamate from mitral cell dendrites drives GABA release from granule cells that then leads to recurrent and lateral inhibition of mitral cells. Dendrodendritic inhibition of mitral cells can be quite prolonged and follows the slow kinetics of N-methyl-d-aspartate (NMDA) receptors on granule cells (Mori and Takagi 1978; Schoppa et al. 1998). Dendrodendritic inhibition provides the first step in the network processing of odorant responses that are mapped onto glomeruli in a highly ordered manner (Vassar et al. 1994). As a result, the duration and spatial extent of GABAergic inhibition is likely to have a major impact on sensory integration.

The role of tufted cells in olfactory processing is not well characterized, but there is reason to think their function is distinct from mitral cells. For example, the distribution of dendrites in the bulb suggests that the dendrites of principal cells may preferentially interact with different sets of interneurons. Granule cell dendrites are present either in the deep zones of the EPL where secondary mitral cell dendrites are located, or in more superficial zones of the EPL where tufted cell secondary dendrites are located (Mori et al. 1983; Orona et al. 1983). Furthermore, dendrodendritic synapses of tufted cells in the PGR may be restricted to periglomerular cell interneurons (Pinching and Powell 1971a; Price and Powell 1970a,b). Distinct interneuronal circuits may also underlie the differences in inhibitory postsynaptic potential (IPSP) size in these two types of principal cells (Ezeh et al. 1993). We examined dendrodendritic inhibition in tufted cells in both the EPL and PGR using whole cell recording from slices of young rats. Tufted cells were identified visually by their location; morphological subtypes were classified using intracellular dye injections.

METHODS

Slices of the main olfactory bulb (400 μM) were prepared from Sprague-Dawley rat pups [postnatal day 10 to 14 (P10–P14)] as described (Schoppa et al. 1998). Tufted cells, visualized by infrared DIC optics, were identified by location and morphology (Scott and Harrison 1991). All experiments were performed at room temperature (21–24°C). Whole cell voltage-clamp recordings were made in an oxygenated, magnesium-free solution containing (in mM) 125 or 140 NaCl, 25 NaHCO3, 2.5 glucose, 2.5 KCl, 1.25 NaH2PO4, and 2 CaCl2, pH 7.3. Patch pipettes (4–8 MΩ) were filled with an intracellular solution containing (in mM) 140 KCl, 10 EGTA, 10 HEPES, 2 MgCl2, 2 CaCl2, 2 NaATP, and 0.5 NaGTP, pH 7.3. In some cases, tufted cells were filled with the dye Alexa 568 hydrazide (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR; 0.1 mg/ml) and visualized after fixation using confocal microscopy (Odyssey XL, Noran Instruments, Middleton, WI). To verify the location of tufted cell dendrites, slices were stained with the dye Fast Garnet GBC (Bayer, Palo Alto, CA; 2 μg/ml) and visualized using confocal microscopy.
counterstained with the nucleic acid stain SYTO-13 (1:4,000, Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR).

To evoke dendrodendritic inhibitory postsynaptic currents (IPSCs), a depolarizing voltage step (0 mV, 5 ms) was applied to the soma of a voltage-clamped tufted cell. To examine lateral inhibition, IPSCs were evoked by stimulating the glomerular layer with a tungsten electrode (0.5 MΩ, WPI, Sarasota, FL) centered on a single glomerulus. Stimulation pulses (100 V, 100 μs) were generated by a stimulation isolation unit (Winston Electronics, Millbrae, CA). IPSCs were recorded with an Axopatch 1B (Axon Instruments, Foster City, CA), filtered with the built-in 4-pole Bessel filter and digitized at 2 kHz. Access resistance ($R_a$) was constantly monitored; recording was terminated when $R_a$ was >15 MΩ. All data were analyzed using AXOGRAPH (Axon Instruments). IPSC charge was estimated by integrating the baseline-subtracted current. Statistical significance was determined using standard Student’s t-tests or repeated measures ANOVA as appropriate (Microsoft Excel, Redmond, WA).

**RESULTS**

**Dendrodendritic inhibition in tufted cells is driven by NMDA receptors**

We first examined tufted cells with cell bodies located in the intermediate zone of the EPL (Fig. 1A). In whole cell voltage clamp, a depolarizing voltage step (0 mV, 5 ms) elicited a slowly decaying IPSC due to activation of dendrodendritic synapses. Bath application of bicuculline methiodide (40 μM) completely blocked the IPSC, consistent with activation of GABA$_A$ receptors (5 ± 2.9% of control, mean ± SE, n = 3). The selective NMDA receptor antagonist D,L-2-amino-5-phosphonopentanoic acid (D,L-AP5; 50 μM) completely and reversibly abolished the IPSC, whereas the α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid (AMPA) receptor antagonist 2,3-dioxo-6-nitro-1,2,3,4-tetrahydrobenzo[f] quinoxaline-7-sulfonamide (NBQX) (10 μM) had no effect (Fig. 1, A–D). The dependence of dendrodendritic inhibition on NMDA receptor activation is also observed in mitral cells under the same conditions (see Schoppa et al. 1998). The amplitude and time course of the IPSCs were similar to those recorded in mitral cells (not shown) (see Schoppa et al. 1998).

As for mitral cells, dendrodendritic inhibition of tufted cells may occur either on primary dendrites in the glomerular layer or on secondary dendrites in the EPL (Macrides and Schneider 1982; Mori et al. 1983; Orona et al. 1984). However, tufted cells can be subtyped into three groups based on their somatic location and dendritic arbors (Scott and Harrison 1991): $T_c$, soma and dendrites in the superficial EPL; $T_m$, soma and dendrites in the intermediate EPL (Fig. 2A); and $T_s$, soma in...
Dendrodendritic inhibition in tufted cells

internal portion of the EPL with dendrites in intermediate EPL. In addition, there is at least one morphologically distinct subtype in the PGR (Fig. 2B) that is characterized by a single large primary dendrite that projects to a single glomerulus and branches repeatedly within it. Secondary dendrites are rare on PGR tufted cells (Pinching and Powell 1971a), thus inhibitory input must be largely from periglomerular cells, rather than granule cells.

Dendrodendritic IPSCs generated in tufted cells with somata in the EPL (Fig. 3A1, top) shared similar characteristics to those generated in tufted cells located within the PGR (Fig. 3A1, bottom). The IPSC decay time constant, time-to-peak, peak amplitude, and IPSC charge were similar for both groups as shown in Fig. 3A2. D,L-AP5 (50 μM) completely and reversibly abolished the dendrodendritic IPSCs in tufted cells in the periglomerular region and in all zones of the EPL. In contrast, NBQX (10 μM) had no effect (Fig. 3B). Thus despite the differences in morphology, all types of tufted cells generate dendrodendritic inhibition with similar receptor pharmacology and kinetics.

Spatial extent of lateral inhibition in tufted cells is less than mitral cells

Our results demonstrate that the general features of dendrodendritic inhibition are similar in mitral and in tufted cells. However, morphological differences, such as the longer secondary dendrites of mitral cells, could affect the extent of lateral inhibition. Hence, we compared the spatial extent of lateral inhibition in mitral cells with that of tufted cells in the intermediate EPL. A bipolar electrode was used to stimulate individual glomeruli at varying lateral distances (ΔL) from the test cell (Fig. 4A1). Under our conditions, the bipolar electrode was expected to directly activate the primary dendrites of principal cells within an underlying glomerulus. Because the primary dendrites of principal cells project only to a single glomerulus (Scott and Harrison 1991), lateral movement of the stimulating electrode can be used to quantify the spatial extent of lateral inhibition. As shown for an intermediate tufted cell (Tm) in Fig. 4A2, an IPSC generated by stimulation at a lateral separation (ΔL) of 250 μm was markedly smaller than at the control location (ΔL = 0 μm). The relative degree of lateral inhibition in intermediate tufted cells (Tm) was less than mitral cells for all distances examined (Fig. 4, B and C). Inhibition in tufted cells was completely eliminated at ΔL ≈ 400 μm, while inhibition in mitral cells was approximately half-maximal at the same distance (Fig. 4B). For all intervals (100–400 μm, binwidth 100 μm), the extent of lateral inhibition in tufted cells was significantly less than that of mitral cells (Fig. 4C).

Discussion

NMDA receptor dependence is a general property of dendrodendritic inhibition in the olfactory bulb

Previous reports have demonstrated an unusual dependence of mitral cell dendrodendritic inhibition on NMDA receptor activity in granule cells (Isaacson and Strowbridge 1998; Schoppa et al. 1998). Our results indicate that inhibition in tufted cells shares this property regardless of their morphology or location. Mitral and tufted cells receive inhibitory input from granule and periglomerular cells. Direct recordings from granule cells have demonstrated that excitatory postsynaptic currents (EPSCs) from single mitral cells are conventional in that they have both AMPA and NMDA components, but that the AMPA receptors act primarily to facilitate the depolarization necessary to relieve magnesium block of NMDA receptors. Both the long duration of the NMDA receptor–mediated EPSC as well as its calcium permeability appear to contribute to the release of GABA at dendrodendritic synapses in the bulb (Chen et al. 2000; Halabisky et al. 2000; Schoppa and Westbrook 1999).

Although we did not examine EPSCs in periglomerular (PG) cells evoked by stimulation of single tufted cells, PG cells also express NMDA receptor subunits (Giustetto et al. 1997). Thus we expect that tufted cells also drive the activation of NMDA

Fig. 3. The characteristics of reciprocal IPSCs were similar for different tufted cell subtypes. A1: representative reciprocal IPSCs in a tufted cell in the PGR (top) and a tufted cell in the EPL (bottom) showed a similar timecourse. A2: the decay time constants, time-to-peak, peak amplitude, and total charge were not statistically different for the tufted cell subtypes. For this analysis, tufted cells in all layers of the EPL were pooled. B: the relative sensitivity of the reciprocal IPSCs to AP5 and NBQX were also indistinguishable between subtypes. Tufted cells were subtyped based on the location of their soma. Tg, tufted cell in PGR; Ti, tufted cell in superficial zone of EPL; Tm, tufted cell in intermediate zone of EPL; Td, tufted cell in the deep zone of EPL.
Morphological differences between classes of principal cells have functional consequences on signal processing

Although morphological differences between subtypes of interneurons (Kosaka et al. 1998; Mori et al. 1983; Orona et al. 1983) as well as principal cells in the olfactory bulb have been long recognized, the functional consequences of this cellular diversity remains poorly understood. In our experiments, tufted cells located in the PGR, whose dendrodendritic synapses are limited to PG cells (Pinching and Powell 1971b), were not functionally distinct from tufted cells in the EPL that contact PG cells and granule cells (Mori et al. 1983; Orona et al. 1983). Tufted cells in the EPL, while similar in overall morphology to mitral cells, have shorter secondary dendrites (Mori et al. 1983; Orona et al. 1984), which might predict differences in lateral inhibition. Consistent with this idea, we found that the spatial extent of lateral inhibition in tufted cells located in the intermediate zone of the EPL was less than mitral cells. Secondary dendrites of tufted cells in superficial zones of the EPL are even shorter than those in deeper zones (Mori et al. 1983; Orona et al. 1984). Thus the spatial extent of lateral inhibition may be even less in superficial tufted cells than in the tufted cells in the intermediate EPL. We were not able to explore this issue using direct glomerular stimulation because of the likelihood of electrical artifacts in superficial tufted cells adjacent to the stimulator. Our results suggest that the extent of lateral inhibition of intermediate tufted cells is local, extending for several glomeruli (≈400 μM), whereas the extent of lateral inhibition of mitral cells extends more broadly (≈750 μM). Our experiments were performed in magnesium-free solutions that enhance NMDA receptor responses. However, lateral inhibition is also present in mitral cells in physiological extracellular magnesium, although the spatial extent of lateral inhibition is somewhat reduced (Schoppa, unpublished observation).

The precise spatial map of odorant receptors onto glomeruli in the bulb is thought to provide the basis for the odorant code. However, lateral inhibition is likely to be important in tuning of the glomerular map. Several lines of evidence suggest that local interglomerular interactions mediated by tufted cells could occur. For example, odorant receptors that respond to similar odors are highly homologous (Malnic et al. 1999). Likewise, olfactory receptor neurons that express highly related odorant receptors appear to project their axons to glomeruli that are in close proximity (Tsuboi et al. 1999). Consistent with active local inhibitory interactions, neighboring principal cells that respond to n-aliphatic aldehydes are often inhibited by aldehydes whose aliphatic chain is one or more carbon shorter (Yokoi et al. 1995). Such issues are presumably important in odor detection and discrimination as odorants activate multiple glomeruli (Mori et al. 1999; Rubin and Katz 1999) to generate the combinatorial code that constitutes a perceived odor (Malnic et al. 1999).
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