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Introduction

Neurons within the spinal cord represent a primary site for the integration of somatosensory input. Spinal sensory integration is a dynamic process regulated by factors that include multisensory convergence and pathway selection (Baldissera et al. 1981; Jankowska 1992; Lundberg 1979), activity-dependent plasticity (see Millan 1999), and neuromodulation (see Randic 1996). Neuromodulatory responses within the spinal cord include actions mediated by monoaminergic systems that originate in the brain stem. These bulbospinal monoaminergic nuclei can be divided into three subtypes by their transmitter phenotype, serotonin (5-HT), norepinephrine (NA), or dopamine (DA). Neurons within these nuclei are characterized by their widespread projections throughout the spinal cord (e.g., Clark and Proudfoot 1991, 1993; Holstege et al. 1996; Marlier et al. 1991a).

The monoaminergic modulation of two prominent spinal cord functional systems has been examined in some detail. These are the control of motor output and nociception. Generally, the monoamines have been reported to facilitate motor activity and inhibit sensory systems (Basbaum and Fields 1984; Bell and Matsumiya 1981; Jacobs and Fornal 1993; Wallis 1994; Willis and Coggeshall 1991), consistent with a general hypothesis on 5-HT function in the CNS forwarded by Jacobs and Fornal (1993). Because serotoninergic, noradrenergic, and dopaminergic systems have a similarly diffuse distribution in the spinal cord (Clark and Proudfoot 1991, 1993; Holstege et al. 1996; Marlier et al. 1991a; Rajaoetra et al. 1989, 1992) and their monoamine transmitters frequently exert similar actions (Belcher et al. 1978; Bell and Matsumiya 1981; Headley et al. 1978; Weight and Salmoiraghi 1966), it is possible that these transmitter systems act at similar spinal sites and by similar mechanisms. For example, descending monoaminergic transmitters powerfully inhibit nociceptive information in neurons by activation of serotoninergic 5-HT_{1A}, 5-HT_{1B}, \alpha_{2}-adrenergic, and D_{2}-dopaminergic receptors (Kiritsy-Roy 1994; Pertovaara 1993; Zemlan 1994) all of which are negatively coupled to adenylate cyclase (reviewed in Barnes and Sharp 1999; Bylund et al. 1994; Vallone et al. 2000). However, the existence of many bulbospinal monoaminergic systems with heterogeneous transmitter phenotypes (including co-transmitters) that act on a variety of spinal metabotropic receptor subtypes (e.g., Huang and Peroutka 1987; Marlier et al. 1991b; Stone et al. 1998; van Dijken et al. 1996), suggest that neuromodulation in the spinal cord is a highly differentiated process. Indeed, more recent findings indicate that different noradrenergic or serotonergic nuclei can exert opposing modulatory actions on spinal cord nociceptive function (Calejesan et al. 1998; Martin et al. 1999). Further, the actions of 5-HT and NA on the afferent-evoked recruitment of functionally identified spinal neurons can differ considerably (Bras et al. 1989; Jankowska et al. 1997, 2000). For example, the recruitment of ascending tract neurons following primary afferent stimulation is commonly...
facilitated by 5-HT yet depressed by NA (Jankowska et al. 1997).

The ester amine acetylcholine (ACh) also modulates spinal sensory processing in the dorsal horn (Myslinski and Randic 1977; Urban et al. 1989). As it appears that there are no descending cholinergic systems in the rat (refer to Willis and Coggeshall 1991, but see Bowker et al. 1983), these actions probably arise from a population of intrinsic cholinergic interneurons found in the dorsal horn (Barber et al. 1984; Todd 1991).

Several studies have compared the actions of these biogenic amine transmitters on the modulation of sensory input onto spinal neurons (Belcher et al. 1978; Bras et al. 1989; Headley et al. 1978; Jankowska et al. 1997; Skoog and Noga 1995; Todd and Millar 1983; Weight and Salmoiraghi 1966; Willcockson et al. 1984). However, in these studies, only modifications in extracellular spiking or field potentials were recorded and transmitters were applied by iontophoresis (but see Bras et al. 1989). While it is apparent from these studies that the transmitters both have common and distinct actions on the modulation of spinal sensory input, the effects of monoamines on intrinsic cellular properties and synaptic potentials in individual neurons were not studied. Clearly, additional insight into monoamine transmitter function may be achieved by a more direct examination of their actions with intracellular recordings (e.g., Khasabov et al. 1998, 1999; Lopez-Garcia 1998; Lopez-Garcia and King 1996).

Therefore, in this study, we compared the effects of bath-applied 5-HT, NA, DA, and ACh on cellular properties and primary afferent-evoked synaptic responses in individual deep dorsal horn (DDH) neurons using whole cell patch-clamp recordings (see Garraway et al. 1997; Hochman et al. 1997). Neurons in the DDH represent a functionally heterogeneous population, which include several classes of ascending tract cells and spinal interneurons (Willis and Coggeshall 1991) and may thereby account for the diversity in intrinsic and synaptic properties observed from neurons in this region (e.g., Jiang et al. 1995; Morisset and Nagy 1998, 1999; also refer to Hochman et al. 1997). Parts of these results have been presented in abstract form (Garraway and Hochman 1999).

METHODS

Preparation of spinal cord slices

All experimental procedures complied with the Canadian Council of Animal Care guidelines. Neonatal rats (Sprague-Dawley postnatal days 10–14) were first anesthetized with 10% urethan (2 mg/kg ip body wt) and decapitated, and spinal segments L2–S1 were removed. The isolated spinal cord was embedded in Agar, 2.5% wt/vol (Type E, Warner) pulled in a two-stage process (Narishige PP83) producing an adjusted membrane potential (in voltage clamp at −90 mV), the current-clamp recording configuration was used to determine resting membrane potential. Series resistance was subtracted in current-clamp mode (bridge balance), and junction potentials were measured and subtracted off-line. For the duration of the experiment, leak conductance and bridge balance were monitored; if their values were largely unahted, the experiments were continued. Mean electrode series resistance was 33 ± 4 (SD) MΩ (n = 37). At an adjusted membrane potential of −70 mV, a series of hyperpolarizing and depolarizing current steps were undertaken to obtain estimates of membrane time constant, cell resistance, rheobase, voltage threshold, action potential height, and action potential duration at half-maximal amplitude (half-width). For details on the estimation of these membrane properties, refer to Hochman et al. (1997).

Primary afferent stimulation

Primary afferents were stimulated electrically with a constant current stimulator (Eide 1972), using bipolar tungsten electrodes. In the present comparative study, we used high stimulation intensities to recruit the highest threshold unmyelinated afferents and, hence, the majority of afferent fiber types, irrespective of age (typically ≥500 μA, 500 μs) (see Thompson et al. 1990). In the present sample, 29% of the neurons received synaptic responses at intensities <500 μA, 100 μs; 49% received synaptic input at 500 μA, 100 μs, while the remaining 22% of neurons only received input at intensities ≥500 μA, 500 μs. Generally, the evoked synaptic responses were first characterized as excitatory by determining their reversal potential prior to collection of baseline events. Neurons with short-latency inhibitory synaptic responses were not included in this study. Excitatory postsynaptic potentials (EPSPs) were evoked at low frequencies (once every 20–60 s) by stimulating dorsal rootlets for a baseline period of 10–15 min while maintaining the neuron at a holding potential of −90 mV. In all cases, membrane potential was carefully monitored, and any alterations in membrane potential were noted, then countered with intracellular current injection to maintain a holding potential of −90 mV.

Application of agonists

5-hydroxytryptamine HCl (5-HT), norepinephrine bitartrate (NA), dopamine HCl (DA), and acetylcholine chloride (ACh) were obtained from RBI/Sigma. The solutions were prepared on the day of the experiment from 10 mM frozen stock solutions and bath applied at a final concentration of 10 μM. Ascorbic acid (100 μM), an antioxidant, was added to solutions containing 5-HT, NA, and DA to prevent their oxidation (Krnjevic et al. 1978). A 10 μM concentration was chosen based on previous studies involving bath application of NA, 5-HT, and ACh (e.g., Baba et al. 2000; Lopez-Garcia and King 1996;
Miyazaki et al. 1998; Urban et al. 1989). While this concentration may (e.g., Lopez-Garcia and King 1996) or may not have a maximal physiological response (e.g., Baba et al. 2000), it is likely to be below the concentration range where nonspecific binding and actions have been observed (Chesnoy-Marchais and Barthe 1996; van Wijngaarden et al. 1990). All agonists were dissolved in normal ACSF and bath applied from independent perfusion lines. Each agonist was applied for a period of 7–15 min during which time, EPSPs were continually recorded at the baseline parameters described in the preceding section.

To compare the actions of more than one agonist on the primary afferent-evoked synaptic responses and cellular properties of the neurons, we allowed a washout/recovery period of 10–20 min before subsequent drug application. Due to the restrictions in recording duration with patch electrodes, we were often unable to observe the effects of all four agonists on a given neuron. However, in all cases, the actions of at least two drugs were compared. The following three combinations of drugs were compared in most cases: 5-HT and ACh, 5-HT, NA, and DA, and 5-HT, NA, DA, and ACh. These transmitters were applied in random order, and evoked EPSPs were always recorded at baseline parameters both during drug application and washout. In separate experiments, we compared the magnitude of modulatory actions evoked by independent application of 5-HT and NA to their co-application (5-HT/NA).

Analysis

Recordings were analyzed using pCLAMP (v 6.0, Axon Instruments). Both the maximum amplitude of the synaptic response and the changes in synaptic charge transfer calculated as the integral of the synaptic response (area under the curve) of individual traces were measured. Primary afferent-evoked synaptic responses in dorsal horn neurons are generally glutamatergic consisting of both early and late components; presumably (±)-α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methylisoxazole-4-propionic acid (AMPA)/kainate and N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor-mediated respectively (e.g., Gerber and Randic 1989). To determine whether, as a first approximation, the drugs differentially modulated these components of the evoked responses, we calculated area under the curve (AUC) at two time intervals that approximately separate these events: early (<200 ms) and late (≥200 ≤ 750 ms). These periods were chosen to approximately separate AMPA/kainate from NMDA receptor-mediated actions as described in an earlier study (Garraway and Hochman 2001a) (Fig. 3A). Synaptic events generally returned to baseline before 750 ms. Analysis of EPSPs within this time interval excludes actions from the long-latency peptidergic component (Urban and Randic 1984).

The applied transmitters were considered to have a modulatory action if they altered EPSP amplitude ≥10%. Because multiple drugs were added in most experiments, and in several experiments evoked responses did not return to naïve EPSP baseline values, the change in synaptic amplitude was measured as a difference in the mean peak amplitude or AUC during drug application compared with the mean values just prior to drug application (control or washout/recovery).

Similarly, the estimated membrane properties and firing properties of the neurons were compared before, during, and following washout of the drugs tested. Following analysis, graphs were constructed using Sigma Plot (SPSS) and imported into CorelDRAW (Corel) for final editing. Both AUC and peak amplitude were measured, and as reported later, similar results were obtained for the actions of 5-HT, NA, and DA. Thus unless stated, all values are reported as means ± SD of peak changes (maximum amplitude) of the synaptic response. Unless otherwise stated, the effects of the monoamines are statistically compared with control values using the Students’s t-test. Multiple pairwise comparisons on differences in values between the monoamine transmitters are not reported.

FIG. 1. Location and firing properties of recorded neurons. A: approximate topographical distribution of 36 of the 41 neurons used in the present analysis. Left side of cord presents outline of Rexed’s laminae for the lumbar enlargement. B: firing patterns of deep dorsal horn (DDH) neurons in response to current injection. In response to current steps, neurons of the DDH fired 1–2 spikes, phasically or repetitively. Neurons were held at −70 mV and hyperpolarizing and depolarizing current steps were delivered in 5-pA increments.
only phasically, 10 of which fired no more than two spikes (1–2 spikes) (refer to Lopez-Garcia and King 1994). Examples of neuronal firing patterns are illustrated in Fig. 1B. The effects of the monoamines on neuronal membrane properties will be considered later.

Effects of the monoamine transmitters on primary afferent-evoked EPSPs

The effects of bath-applied 5-HT, NA, DA, and ACh on the dorsal root stimulation-evoked EPSPs are summarized in Tables 2 and 3 and illustrated in Fig. 2. In the majority of neurons, 5-HT and NA depressed EPSP amplitude (P < 0.05), and a similar trend was observed for DA. In comparison ACh facilitated EPSP amplitude (P < 0.05; Table 3, Fig. 2A). When EPSP AUC values were compared, 5-HT, NA, and DA decreased while ACh increased AUC values (P < 0.05). These observations demonstrate that despite the heterogeneous population of neurons found in the DDH, the biogenic amine neurotransmitters alteredafferent-evoked EPSPs rather predictably with the bulbospinal monoamines having similarly depressant actions. The relative incidence of the transmitters at modulating peak EPSP amplitude were 5-HT > ACh > NA > DA (Table 2). Following washout of NA and DA, partial recovery of EPSP amplitudes generally occurred (Fig. 2B). However, in some neurons, during the washout that followed 5-HT-evoked synaptic depression, a rebound potentiation of EPSP amplitude occurred, usually exceeding control values. In addition, EPSP amplitudes generally remained facilitated following washout of ACh.

We also compared the differential effects of the agonists on the early versus the late occurring components of the evoked EPSPs by measuring the AUC for early (<200 ms) and late (≥200 ≤ 750 ms) components of the EPSP (Table 4), periods that approximately separate AMPA/kainate from NMDA receptor-mediated actions (e.g., Fig. 3A) (see also Garraway and Hochman 2001a). There were no differences in depression between early and late AUC values for 5-HT, NA, and DA (Table 4), supporting a uniform depression of both AMPA/kainate and NMDA receptor-mediated responses. However, AUC measures show that ACh preferentially facilitated the late component of the EPSP supporting a preferential facilitation of the NMDA receptor-evoked response (Table 4). Figure 3B illustrates a representative example of the effects of 5-HT and ACh on evoked EPSPs in a given cell. Figure 3C compares the AUC increases for early and late components of the EPSP following application of ACh in individual neurons. Note that in many neurons, the later component of the EPSP is dramatically facilitated compared with the early component of the EPSP.

The modulatory actions of ACh and 5-HT were compared in 12 neurons (Fig. 4). In six of the nine neurons where EPSPs were depressed by 5-HT, ACh facilitated the EPSPs. Thus 5-HT and ACh have different (P < 0.01; Wilcoxon signed-rank test) and predominantly opposite actions on spinal neurons in the deep dorsal horn.

The generally depressant actions of the three descending transmitters 5-HT, NA, and DA were compared in 15 neurons (Fig. 5). With few exceptions, none of the transmitters had competing modulatory actions on the evoked EPSPs. In 6 of the 15 neurons, all three transmitters produced synaptic depression while in 1 neuron, all three drugs produced synaptic facilitation. In 8 of 15 neurons, common modulatory responses were not produced by all three transmitters, but only in 3 of these neurons were opposite actions >10% observed. An example of the common neuromodulatory actions of the brain stem monoamines in a single neuron is presented in Fig. 5B. Thus unlike ACh, which generally supported synaptic facilitation, the three descending monoamines 5-HT, NA, and DA commonly exerted similar functions on spinal cord sensory input to a given cell.

### Table 1: Membrane properties of recorded neurons in the presence or absence of a biogenic amine transmitter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agonist</th>
<th>E&lt;sub&gt;MB&lt;/sub&gt;, mV</th>
<th>R&lt;sub&gt;in&lt;/sub&gt;, mΩ</th>
<th>t&lt;sub&gt;ma&lt;/sub&gt;, ms</th>
<th>Rheobase, pA</th>
<th>V&lt;sub&gt;TH&lt;/sub&gt;, mV</th>
<th>AP Height, mV</th>
<th>AP ½-Width, ms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control (33–41)</td>
<td>-59 ± 18</td>
<td>507 ± 250</td>
<td>44 ± 19</td>
<td>57 ± 42</td>
<td>26 ± 7</td>
<td>94 ± 12</td>
<td>3.7 ± 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-HT (16–28)</td>
<td>-58 ± 18</td>
<td>509 ± 187</td>
<td>42 ± 16</td>
<td>57 ± 43</td>
<td>26 ± 8</td>
<td>78 ± 19</td>
<td>4.3 ± 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA (20–26)</td>
<td>-59 ± 18</td>
<td>606 ± 288</td>
<td>46 ± 26</td>
<td>60 ± 47</td>
<td>30 ± 12</td>
<td>82 ± 12</td>
<td>4.1 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA (16–20)</td>
<td>-59 ± 19</td>
<td>583 ± 335</td>
<td>46 ± 21</td>
<td>72 ± 56</td>
<td>33 ± 11</td>
<td>82 ± 12</td>
<td>4.8 ± 2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACh (14–18)</td>
<td>-57 ± 19</td>
<td>541 ± 246</td>
<td>47 ± 26</td>
<td>69 ± 51</td>
<td>30 ± 6</td>
<td>78 ± 16</td>
<td>4.2 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± SD. The range of sample size used to calculate mean values is bracketed in left column. E<sub>MB</sub>, resting membrane potential; V<sub>TH</sub>, voltage threshold; R<sub>in</sub>, cell input resistance; t<sub>ma</sub>, membrane time constant; AP height, action potential height; AP ½ width, action potential duration at half maximal amplitude. These properties were estimated at an adjusted membrane potential of ~70 mV. 5-HT, serotonin; NA, norepinephrine; DA, dopamine; ACh, acetylcholine.

### Table 2: Incidence of neurons having EPSP amplitude depression or facilitation evoked by 5-HT, NA, DA, and ACh

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agonist</th>
<th>EPSP Amplitude, ↑</th>
<th>EPSP Amplitude, ↓</th>
<th>No Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5-HT (28)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA (26)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA (20)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACh (20)</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are percentage of sample size indicated in brackets. Shaded boxes indicate predominant action for each transmitter. EPSP, excitatory postsynaptic potential.

### Table 3: Effects of 5-HT, NA, DA, and ACh on the evoked synaptic responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agonist</th>
<th>Peak Amplitude</th>
<th>Total AUC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5-HT (28)</td>
<td>-30 ± 49*</td>
<td>-36 ± 48*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA (26)</td>
<td>-13 ± 42*</td>
<td>-20 ± 66*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA (20)</td>
<td>-10 ± 33</td>
<td>-17 ± 37*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACh (20)</td>
<td>22 ± 26*</td>
<td>54 ± 63*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean percentages ± SD of change in EPSP peak amplitude and total AUC. Minus indicates depression. Note that with the exception of DA, the transmitters had significant actions on both peak amplitudes and areas under the curve (AUC). * Overall effect of transmitter on changes in EPSP amplitude and AUC is significant (P < 0.05).
In 10 neurons, 5-HT and NA were applied individually as well as co-applied. Co-application of 5-HT and NA evoked synaptic depression of a greater magnitude than the sum of 5-HT and NA applied alone in 3 of the 10 neurons tested (Fig. 6A, asterisks). The effects of co-applied 5-HT and NA were not significantly different from the effects of 5-HT alone but significantly greater than effects of NA alone (*P*, 0.05; Tukey test), suggesting a prominent contribution from 5-HT on synaptic depression when transmitters are combined.

Effects of the agonists on cellular properties

As a population, none of the transmitters had significant effects on cell passive membrane properties, rheobase or volt-
The effects of the agonists on EPSP amplitude were plotted against cell input resistance ($R_{\text{in}}$) to identify corresponding actions that would support a postsynaptic site of action (Fig. 7A). However, with the exception of ACh, where a relatively weak relationship existed ($r^2 = 0.18$), no relations were found.

The actions of the biogenic amines on firing properties were examined in 32 neurons by counting spike numbers during 1.2-s depolarizing current pulses at approximately twice rheobase current intensities. Overall, the monoamines significantly increased firing in these neurons ($P < 0.05$). However, when neurons were divided into those that initially fired either phasically ($n = 19$) or repetitively ($n = 13$) in response to current injection, only the population of neurons that fired phasically had an increased spike number ($P < 0.01$). In addition, when the actions of the individual transmitters were separated and compared in this population significant increases were produced only by 5-HT ($P < 0.01$). In this population, 14 of the 19 cells had increased number of spikes during current injection in the presence of the transmitters (5/6 from neurons initially firing 1–2 spike population; Fig. 7B, □). This increase in spike number was largely attributable to the observation that the cells that originally fired phasically were converted into neurons that fired repetitively during monoamine transmitter application. An example is presented in Fig. 7C. In contrast, in cells initially capable of repetitive firing, no such trends were evident (Fig. 7B, □). No relationship existed between the type of neuronal firing observed in response to current injection (e.g., phasic vs. repetitive) and the effects of the agonists on EPSP amplitude.

**DISCUSSION**

**Summary**

In this study, we investigated the effect of each biogenic amine transmitter on primary afferent-evoked synaptic responses and membrane properties in deep dorsal horn neurons. First, we observed that 5-HT, NA, and DA generally had common actions on evoked EPSPs in individual neurons, with the dominant action being depression. In contrast, ACh generally increased EPSP amplitude, even in the same neurons where synaptic depression was evoked by the bulbospinal monoamines. The order of the incidence and magnitude of evoked modulatory actions on EPSP amplitude was 5-HT > ACh > NA > DA. Second, while 5-HT, NA, and DA tended to uniformly depress short- and long-latency components of the evoked EPSPs, the ACh-induced facilitatory response was significantly greater for the later, presumably NMDA receptor-mediated component of the EPSP. Third, we observed that co-application of 5-HT and NA could produce a much greater synaptic depression than either transmitter applied independently. Finally, while passive membrane and threshold properties of neurons were unaffected by the monoamines, membrane firing properties in the subpopulation of neurons initially expressing a phasic firing pattern were converted to repetitive.
on individual neurons, these transmitters were also capable of evoked by the three transmitters arising from brain stem nuclei mention, that in addition to the common actions generally onto deep dorsal horn neurons. It is, however, necessary to produce a widespread depression of sensory synaptic input Coggeshall 1991), the bulbospinal monoamine transmitters can produce the same modulatory action on EPSPs when applied alone (31 and 23%, respectively). Individual traces are presented superimposed in gray, while the average response is presented overlaid as a thick-black trace.

**Common actions of the monoamines on evoked EPSPs in individual neurons**

Consistent with previous in vitro studies, we observed that 5-HT generally produced synaptic depression greater than the sum of each agonist applied alone. A: histogram showing population of cells tested with NA/5-HT. In 3 of 10 cells (asterisk), NA/5-HT-induced a synaptic depression greater than the sum of each agonist applied alone. B: evoked EPSPs obtained from cell 2 in histogram above. Note that the depression of the EPSP is greater during co-application of 5-HT and NA (65%) than either drug applied alone (31 and 23%, respectively). Individual traces are presented superimposed in gray, while the average response is presented overlaid as a thick-black trace.

The bulbospinal monoamine transmitter systems project widely throughout the spinal cord (Holstege et al. 1996; Marlier et al. 1991a; Rajaofetra et al. 1992), and there are many serotonergic, noradrenergic, and dopaminergic receptors in the dorsal horn (e.g., Huang and Peroutka 1987; Marlier et al. 1991b; van Dijken et al. 1996). Hence, the effects of the bath-applied bulbospinal monoamine transmitters observed here probably reflect the actions of these transmitters on their respective families of receptors. However, it is also possible that part of the observed depression of the longer-latency portion of the EPSP is due to the transmitter having a direct voltage-dependent block of the NMDA receptor ionophore (Chesnoy-Marchais and Barthe 1996). That 5-HT, NA, and DA had common actions in most cells suggests that receptors for all three transmitters are co-localized on many neurons and/or primary afferent terminals. In another study, we have demonstrated that the synaptic depression produced by 5-HT in similarly located neurons is only partly mediated by 5-HT1A receptors while 5-HT7 receptors are predominantly responsible for producing synaptic facilitation (Garraway and Hochman 2001b).

In contrast to the depressant actions of the monoamines observed here, Jankowska and colleagues (1997, 2000) demonstrated that nociceptive afferent input from different afferents to different groups of spinal interneurons or ascending tract cells is modulated by NA and 5-HT in a highly differentiated manner. Depending on the neuron and afferent fiber type, they observed that NA and 5-HT could have common facilitatory, inhibitory, or opposing modulatory actions on synaptic input strength as measured using peristimulus time histogram measures of extracellular spike latency and frequency. An explanation for the observed differences between their results and ours is our stimulation at high intensities to also recruit high-threshold C and Aδ fibers, which comprise the largest fraction of primary afferent fibers (Snider and McMahon 1998; Willis and Coggeshall 1991). Therefore the strong depressant actions of the monoamines in our study may result from a dominating activation of high-threshold afferents that mask more subtle differential modulatory actions on the low-threshold afferents studied by Jankowska and colleagues. Another explanation for our observed differences may relate to our finding that EPSP amplitude can decrease concomitantly with increases in firing postsynaptically. Together, these studies support a complex and functionally differentiated modulation of sensory-evoked firing properties in spinal cord neurons.

Despite the similar actions of the three transmitters arising from the brain stem, the magnitudes of synaptic depression differed in order of 5-HT > NA > DA. In addition, the depression evoked by 5-HT was more widespread as a greater proportion of cells underwent synaptic depression by 5-HT. The differences in depression may reflect the relative effectiveness of different bulbospinal systems in mediating modulatory actions. Alternatively, the relative magnitude of effects may be due to differences in potency, as the transmitters may not be working at the same relative point on their dose-response curves (e.g., Elliot and Wallace 1992).

The actions of 5-HT and NA on antinociception have been extensively studied (Basbaum and Fields 1984; Fitzgerald 1986; Jones 1991; Millan 1995). Previous studies have demonstrated that noxious input leads to the release of both NA and 5-HT in the spinal cord (Satoh and Omote 1996; Tyce and...
Yaksh 1981; Yaksh and Tyce 1981), and these transmitters can also produce antinociception following release after stimulation of specific supraspinal sites (e.g., Cui et al. 1999; Sorkin et al. 1993). In this study, we observed that co-application of 5-HT and NA could produce synaptic depression of a greater magnitude than 5-HT or NA applied alone. It is possible that both transmitters are co-released physiologically under conditions where a maximal sensory depression is sought. While nociceptive input does not appear to evoke release of dopamine (Satoh and Omote 1996), both stimulation of the A11 dopaminergic cell group and exogenous application of DA can elicit antinociception (Fleetwood-Walker et al. 1988). Overall, the role of DA in mediating antinociception remains poorly studied, and it is possible that DA may play a different role in the modulation of primary afferent input than 5-HT and NA.

Facilitatory actions of ACh

In contrast to the bulbospinal transmitters, ACh generally facilitated primary afferent-evoked responses. Although some studies have reported inhibitory or antinociceptive effects of cholinergic agonists (e.g., Bleazard and Morris 1993), facilitatory or excitatory actions, consistent with our observations, have also been reported. For instance, Urban et al. (1989) reported an increase in excitability of spinal cord dorsal horn neurons by ACh, while Baba et al. (1998) reported a muscarinic-induced facilitation of GABA release in substantia gelatinosa neurons of the rat. We also observed that ACh caused significantly greater facilitation of the late, largely NMDA receptor-mediated, component of the evoked EPSP. This is consistent with previous studies demonstrating the facilitatory effects of cholinergic agonists on NMDA receptor-mediated events in various CNS regions including hippocampus (Marino et al. 1998) and striatum (Calabresi et al. 1998) via M1-like receptor activation. M1 receptor activation leads to an increase in protein kinase C (PKC), and PKC enhances NMDA receptor activity (e.g., Chen and Huang 1992; Xiong et al. 1998).

Modulation of neuronal firing properties

The values of membrane and threshold properties obtained here are comparable to those obtained from an earlier study on the properties of similarly located neurons (Hochman et al. 1997). The monoamine transmitters did not significantly alter

![Fig. 7. Effects of the monoamine transmitters on neuronal membrane properties. A: relationship between changes in $R_i$ and changes in EPSP peak amplitude. Regression line for ACh is shown ($r^2 = 0.18$; NS). $=\bar{\rightarrow}$, mean values. In the presence of ACh, many data points fall within the $\Xi$, indicative of a correspondence between increases in peak amplitude and increases in input resistance. B: several neurons initially having phasic repetitive firing properties in response to current injection preferentially undergo increases in spike numbers. Spike numbers were counted during a 1.2-s depolarizing current pulses at approximately twice rheobase current intensities. Histograms present percentage change in firing number produced by the monoamine transmitters for neurons originally displaying phasic (●) or repetitive firing (■) in response to current injection. Although all 4 transmitters tended to increase the firing of phasic neurons, only 5-HT produced a significant increase in the number of spikes ($P < 0.01$). Note that neurons originally firing repetitively in response to current injection are unaffected by application of agonist. C: reversible modulation of the monoamine transmitters on membrane firing properties. Current steps were delivered at 20-pA increments and voltage responses are presented superimposed. Note that the bulbospinal monoamines converted firing patterns from phasic to repetitive.](http://jn.physiology.org/ by 10.220.33.2 on November 7, 2017)
the passive membrane or threshold properties of DDH neurons. However, in neurons that originally fired phasically, the monoamines could reversibly transform firing behavior from phasic to repetitive. These neurons did not display accelerated discharges in response to injection of depolarizing current steps or continued membrane depolarization and firing after current step termination. Thus the increased duration of firing is unlikely to be due to the activation of a plateau potential (see Morisset and Nagy 1998). Interestingly, Lopez-Garcia and King (1994) showed that firing patterns in response to current injection are functionally correlated to the source of primary afferent input. For example, wide dynamic range (WDR) neurons receive convergent input from both low- and high-threshold afferents, and these cells generally fire repetitively. If we applied this classification to the neuronal firing properties observed following the application of monoamines, the monoamines could convert the functional properties of neurons from those that previously had restricted sensory conduction to a WDR profile. Interestingly, the majority of neurons in the dorsal horn of awake sheep, obviously having normal bulbospinal activity, are WDR (Herrero and Headley 1995). It is also possible that the monoamines do not alter convergent properties to DDH neurons but rather, the classification scheme developed by Lopez-Garcia and King (1994) does not apply to the behavior of neurons in the presence of monoamine transmitters.

It may seem inconsistent that the changes in neuronal firing properties were unrelated to the observed changes in EPSP amplitude. However, since different receptor subtypes may be found at pre- and postsynaptic sites, it is not surprising that the monoamine transmitters exert different actions on the synaptic and firing properties of spinal neurons. For instance, while one class of receptor may depress sensory input (e.g., Khasabov et al. 1999), another class may increase the excitability of these neurons (e.g., Wallis et al. 1991). Opposing pre- and postsynaptic actions may be a widely employed strategy to alter the network properties of spinal neurons. For example, a depressed sensory input with increased neuronal responsiveness could support a transfer of control from peripheral to descending command systems.

Possible sites of action

Although the bulbospinal monoamines are capable of exerting direct postsynaptic actions, several observations suggest that the depression they produced on EPSPs is mediated via presynaptic mechanisms. First, none of these transmitters had effects on the passive membrane properties that would support a reduction in EPSP amplitudes by postsynaptic mechanisms (i.e., a decreased $\tau_m$ or $R_m$). In addition, the identical percent depression on early, presumable AMPA/kainate and late, presumably NMDA receptor-mediated, components can be explained by a reduction in glutamate transmitter release. Consistent with a presynaptic site of action, all three transmitters, 5-HT, NA, and DA, have been shown to depress synaptic responses presynaptically as a result of their ability to increase potassium conductance (e.g., North and Yoshimura 1984, see also Barnes and Sharp 1999) or by inhibiting both N- and L-type calcium channels (e.g., Wikström et al. 1999). Other studies suggested that 5-HT and NA may mediate presynaptic inhibition of glutamate release from primary afferents in the guinea pig (Travagli and Williams 1996), while presynaptic $D_2$ dopamine receptor mediates depression of spinal reflexes (Gajendiran et al. 1996). In addition, many monoaminergic receptors are present on primary afferent terminals (e.g., Daval et al. 1987; Hamon et al. 1989; Kidd et al. 1993; Ridet et al. 1994; Stone et al. 1998), and application of 5-HT has been shown to generate a primary afferent depolarization (PAD) that corresponds to presynaptic inhibition of primary afferents (Khasabov et al. 1998, 1999; Lopez-Garcia and King 1996). Interestingly, Khasabov et al. (1998) demonstrated that capsaicin treatment, which selectively destroys unmyelinated primary afferent fibers, significantly reduced 5-HT-induced PAD in the neonatal rat. Thus 5-HT may mediate spinal inhibitory actions by reducing transmitter release from nociceptors. The ability of the monoamine transmitters to reduce nociceptive transmitter release at the first CNS synaptic input site supports a critical role in antinociceptive function.

In contrast to the bulbospinal monoaminergic transmitters, ACh probably mediates its facilitatory actions predominantly postsynaptically. ACh had a preferential facilitatory action on the late, presumably NMDA receptor-mediated, component of the EPSP, consistent with observed modulatory actions of $M_1$ muscarinic receptor activation on NMDA receptor activity (Calabresi et al. 1998; Marino et al. 1998). If facilitation in synaptic strength involved only presynaptic mechanisms that increase glutamate release, one would expect to see a uniform facilitation of both AMPA/kainate and NMDA receptor-mediated responses. Interestingly, the presumed postsynaptic action of ACh in this study is consistent with the dominant postsynaptic localization of cholinergic receptors in the spinal cord dorsal horn (Gillberg and Asmark 1991), particularly the muscarinic receptors which are expressed about two to three times higher than the nicotinic receptors (Gillberg et al. 1988).

Experimental issues

There are several potential limitations to our experimental approach. 1) Bath-applied transmitters will activate all their respective receptors simultaneously, whereas physiologically, it is possible that there is some degree of preferential activation of receptor subtypes by separate descending serotonergic systems (Wei et al. 1999). Thus physiological conditions may exist where synaptic facilitation dominates over the depression observed in this study. Nonetheless, for 5-HT at least, the dorsal horn contains many 5-HT varicosities that end blindly and do not form conventional synapses (Poulat et al. 1992; Ridet et al. 1994) and in this regard have been suggested to modulate spinal activity via volume transmission (Zoli and Agnati 1996). Thus if 5-HT and the other descending monoamine transmitters act via volume transmission, their actions would nonspecifically activate all receptor subtypes. Interestingly, in this regard, the net effect in most neurons would be a significant synaptic depression. 2) Our use of high-intensity electrical stimulation to recruit the majority of afferents (Thompson et al. 1990) prevents a comparison of modulatory actions between specific afferent fiber populations. For example, Jankowska and co-workers (Jankowska et al. 1995, 1997, 2000; Noga et al. 1992; Riddell et al. 1993) demonstrated that 5-HT and raphe-spinal stimulation can exert a differential control over primary afferents of different modalities. 3) The DDH spinal region is functionally heterogeneous, yet we
treated all dorsal horn neurons as a single population. Jankowska and co-workers (2000) have demonstrated that the actions of 5-HT may depend on the functional identity of the spinal neuron studied. The animals were recorded from immature rats (P10–14), and hence the actions may be different in adult rats. However, this is the preferred age range to study sensory integrative mechanisms in vitro due to its near-intact circuitry, and near mature developmental status (Fitzgerald 1985; Fitzgerald and Koltzenburg 1986; Lopez-Garcia and King 1994; Thompson et al. 1990; Woolf and King 1987). Furthermore, the modulatory actions observed here are unlikely to differ from those seen in the adult. If we take 5-HT as an example, 5-HT immunoreactivity is found in the gray matter at all spinal cord levels at birth, and staining density increases, peaking at P7 in cervical and P14 in lumbar cord (Bregman 1987). In addition, Wallis et al. (1993) showed that the strong descending inhibition of the monoaminespecific reflex in the P1 rat is mediated by serotonin. Thus at least for 5-HT, descending modulatory systems are present at birth (but see Fitzgerald and Koltzenburg 1986).

The aforementioned limitations notwithstanding, the present observations demonstrate that the descending monoamine transmitters 5-HT, NA, and DA have broadly similar depres- sant actions in the spinal cord and on the same neurons, and these actions are opposite to the facilitatory actions of ACh, which is normally released from intrinsic spinal neurons. That these effects were similar in the majority of neurons sampled suggests that descending monoaminergic systems are capable of modulating spinal sensory integration in a diffuse and general manner.

Significance

In conclusion, we provide the first comparative analysis of the actions of the biogenic amine transmitters on synaptic and cellular properties of DDH spinal neurons. 5-HT, NA, and DA are involved in the descending control of spinal sensory integra- tion, and the present observations suggest that the separate brain stem monoaminergic systems can affect spinal sensory integration in a remarkably similar manner. With respect to the control of sensory input, these studies increase our understanding of how these transmitters act, perhaps to maximize antino- ciceptive actions.
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