Functional Role of Auditory Cortex in Frequency Processing and Pitch Perception

MARK JUDE TRAMO,1,3 GAURAV D. SHAH,1,2 AND LOUIS D. BRAIDA2
1Department of Neurology, Harvard Medical School and Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston 02114-2696; 2Research Laboratory of Electronics, Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge 02139; and 3Eaton-Peabody Laboratory of Auditory Physiology, Department of Otolaryngology, Harvard Medical School and Massachusetts Eye and Ear Infirmary, Boston, Massachusetts 02114

Received 10 February 1999; accepted in final form 4 September 2001

Tramo, Mark Jude, Gaurav D. Shah, and Louis D. Braida. Functional role of auditory cortex in frequency processing and pitch perception. J Neurophysiol 87: 122–139, 2002; 10.1152/jn.00104.1999. Microelectrode studies in nonhuman primates and other mammals have demonstrated that many neurons in auditory cortex are excited by pure tone stimulation only when the tone’s frequency lies within a narrow range of the audible spectrum. However, the effects of auditory cortex lesions in animals and humans have been interpreted as evidence against the notion that neuronal frequency selectivity is functionally relevant to frequency discrimination. Here we report psychophysical and anatomical evidence in favor of the hypothesis that fine-grained frequency resolution at the perceptual level relies on neuronal frequency selectivity in auditory cortex. An adaptive procedure was used to measure frequency tuning in auditory cortex. Many neurons in mammalian auditory cortex respond selectively to pure tone frequencies over a narrow range of the audible spectrum, and several anatomical subdivisions of auditory cortex are organized topographically with respect to frequency selectivity (for reviews, see Aitkin et al. 1984; Brugge and Reale 1985; Clarey et al. 1992; de Ribaupierre 1997; Kaas et al. 1999; Phillips et al. 1991; Schreiner 1992). On theoretical grounds, it would seem reasonable to propose that neuronal frequency selectivity at the physiological level contributes to frequency discrimination at the perceptual level. However, the functional effects of auditory cortex lesions in animals and humans have been interpreted as evidence against this hypothesis. In their authoritative review of a large number of lesion studies in the Handbook of Sensory Physiology, Neff et al. (1975) concluded, “Frequency discrimination may be learned or relearned after bilateral lesions involving all or nearly all of primary auditory cortex in animals such as the cat and monkey and in human patients.” Similar observations have fueled the claim that “tonotopic organization at the cortical level is not necessary for the perception of tones” (Guttman and Diamond 1963). Still, few analyses of lesion effects have combined measurements of frequency discrimination at psychophysical thresholds and precise definition of pathoanatomical correlates. Moreover, a number of methodological issues hamper straightforward interpretations of lesion effects in cats, the principal source of animal data (for review, see Elliot and Trahiotis 1972).

In the present paper, we provide psychophysical and anatomical evidence supporting the hypothesis that sharp frequency tuning in auditory cortex is functionally relevant to fine-grained frequency processing.

METH ODS

Subjects

CASE A1+. MHS, a 35-yr-old mixed-handed man, formerly employed as a machinist, suffered ischemic infarcts in the distribution of the right middle cerebral artery in 1980 and the left middle cerebral artery in 1981. Details pertaining to the neurological history and examination, audiological tests, neuropsychometric tests, brain imaging, and electrophysiological studies have been previously published (Mendez and Geehan 1988; Musiek et al. 1994; Tramo et al. 1990). We summarize the auditory, pathoanatomical, and evoked potential findings here. We refer to MHS as “case A1+” to designate distribution of his lesions, which involve A1 plus surrounding cortex bilaterally.

After the first stroke, case A1+ did not complain of any hearing problems. After the second stroke, he was unable to hear anything at all. Brain stem auditory-evoked potentials were within normal limits. The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. The article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.
Computerized tomography (CT) demonstrated an old right frontotemporal infarct and a new left temporoparietal infarct. Three weeks later, he began to hear sounds as “buzzing noise.” Pure-tone detection thresholds remained markedly elevated and sound localization severely impaired. Two months after the onset of cortical deafness, pure tone and speech detection thresholds were mildly elevated, and he began to recognize some environmental sounds. Three months after onset, he began to recognize some music and, less consistently, speech. Ten months after onset, spokenee identification thresholds were moderately elevated in both ears, more so on the left. One year after onset, there were mild deficits in auditory sentence comprehension and visual confrontation naming, but identification of monosyllabic words presented monaurally and diotically via audiotape remained severely impaired. Two years after onset, there were mild-to-moderate deficits involving recognition of environmental sounds, discrimination of voiced versus voiceless consonants, and discrimination of three-element sequences that varied with respect to tone frequency pattern, temporal pattern, or vowel pattern. Eight years after onset, pure-tone detection thresholds were within normal limits but identification of monosyllabic words presented monaurally remained impaired, with greater impairment for left ear stimuli. Sentence repetition was mildly impaired. Identification of harmonic and inharmonic complex tones as “in-tune” or “out-of-tune” was severely impaired, with a response bias in favor of “out-of-tune” judgments. Performance on all subtests of the Seashore Measures of Musical Talents (Seashore et al. 1960) fell below the 20th percentile, with performance on the Timbre, Tonal Memory, and Time subtests below the 5th percentile. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) revealed lesions involving the entire right transverse gyri of Heschl (TG), all or almost all of left TG, all or almost all of the right superior temporal gyrus (STG, 98% by surface area estimation), left posterior STG (20% of surface area), and other structures (Figs. 1–3). Brain stem auditory-evoked potentials were normal, and middle latency and long latency auditory-evoked potentials were abnormal in both hemispheres. At the time of the present experiments, case AI+ complained of difficulty understanding words that were spoken or sung, especially over the telephone or in noisy environments. He reported difficulty localizing sounds. He enjoyed listening to music and was able to recognize familiar songs. Signs on neurological examination included impaired speech perception, decreased speech intonation, a spastic left hemiparesis, and left somesthetec loss. Medications were limited to coumadin. He works at home raising two young children with his wife, a grade school teacher.

Case AI+ has a high school degree, was an avid music listener before his strokes, and briefly took group guitar lessons in high school. Judging from the sequential effects of his strokes, there is left hemisphere dominance for language functions.

PATIENT CONTROLS. Four young adults with neurological disease who did not have bilateral lesions of auditory cortex were recruited for paid participation. These patient controls provided a means of assessing nonspecific effects of brain lesions, such as difficulty sustaining concentration, on auditory task performance. We refer to these patient controls as “AC± cases” to designate variable, unilateral involvement of auditory cortex. Further details of each case are provided below.

RJC. RJC, a 36-yr-old right-handed man, formerly employed as a school administrator, suffered a small brain contusion secondary to closed head trauma in a 1987 motor vehicle accident. CT demonstrated a small hyperdensity in the dorsolateral midbrain in the region of the right inferior colliculus and rostral lateral lemniscus (Fig. 4). At the time of the present experiments, RJC complained of difficulty localizing sound, balancing stereo headphones and car speakers while listening to music, remembering details, sustaining concentration, and using his right arm because of pain dating back to traumatic injuries of the right clavicle and shoulder. Neurological examination was within normal limits except for decreased light touch sensation and tenderness over the supraclavicular region. Brain stem auditory-evoked potentials showed decreased wave V amplitude following left ear stimulation and a more moderately decreased amplitude following right ear stimulation. Electroencephalography (EEG) was normal. Medications included verapamil, which abolished posttraumatic migraines, and paroxetine, which successfully treated depression. RJC has a college degree and no formal music training; recently he has been trying to teach himself how to play guitar.

CASE CTS. CTS, a 27-yr-old left-handed woman, currently employed as an account manager, has a left frontotemporal arachnoid cyst, left congenital deafness, mild cerebellar tonsillar herniation, paroxysmal nocturnal dystonia, left frontal sharp waves and slowing on EEG, and a childhood history of learning difficulties. MRI in 1994 demonstrated absence of normal tissue involving the anterior half of STG, middle
temporal, inferior temporal, middle frontal, and inferior frontal gyri, the temporal pole, and portions of the orbitofrontal gyri, precentral gyrus, amygdala, parahippocampal gyrus, hippocampus, and fusiform gyrus (Fig. 5). She came to neurological attention because of common migraine and musculoskeletal headaches that responded to naproxen treatment, treatment of her sleep disorder with carbamazepine, and modification of her diet. Neurological signs included sensorineural deafness of the left ear and moderate end-gaze horizontal nystagmus. CTS has a high school degree, never took music lessons, and enjoys dancing and listening to music during aerobic exercise.

CASE MAH. MAH, a 44-yr-old right-handed man, currently employed as a mail clerk, suffered closed-head trauma during a motor vehicle accident in 1975 that was complicated by cerebral contusions and complex partial seizures that typically begin with unformed auditory hallucinations. CT in 1990 demonstrated three areas of hypodensity involving the following structures: the posterior two-thirds of right...
STG, part of right TG, and adjacent portions of middle temporal, inferior temporal, supramarginal, angular, and postcentral gyri; part of the left inferior frontal gyrus and left precentral gyrus; and part of the left superior frontal gyrus (Fig. 6). EEG in 1993 showed right and left temporal lobe sharp waves and slowing. Excellent seizure control has been achieved over the past 2 years with carbamazepine and clorazepate. The neurological history is also notable for a mixed headache disorder (musculoskeletal headaches and common migraine), currently treated with naproxen; depression, currently treated with paroxetine; and past polysubstance abuse, including 5 yr of daily ethanol ingestion, now in remission for 12 yr. At the time of the present experiments, neurological signs included mild-to-moderate impairments in concentration, spatial orientation, verbal and visual recall, oculomotor control, gait, and distal peripheral nerve sensation. Laboratory data were remarkable for a mild anemia and folate deficiency.

MAH has a high school degree, 3 years of college education, and no music training.

**CASE YPT.** YPT, a 28-yr-old right-handed woman, currently employed as bank clerk, suffered a spontaneous intracerebral hemorrhage...
in 1993. MRI demonstrated signal abnormalities involving posterior portions of the right middle and inferior frontal gyri (Fig. 7). At the time of the present experiments, YPT was being treated with carbamazepine for seizure prophylaxis, and neurological signs included a mild impairment in concentration and mild clumsiness of the left hand. YPT has a college degree and no music training.

NORMAL CONTROLS. Eight adults with no active symptoms of neurological or otological disease were recruited for paid participation through advertisements. The age range was 30–52 yr with a median of 40.5 yr. The normal controls had from 12 to 16 yr of education with a median of 15.5 yr. Four subjects were left-handed, four were right-handed. None of the subjects was formally trained in music or actively performing music.

Stimulus synthesis and presentation

Sinusoids were digitally synthesized using an Ariel DSP-96 board and converted to analog signals at a sampling rate of 11 kHz per channel using a linear-phase digital anti-alias filter with a bandwidth of 4.96 kHz. Analog auditory signals were attenuated using Tucker-Davis PA4 programmable attenuators. Vibrotactile stimuli were amplified using a Crown amplifier model D-75. Sinusoidal tones (pure tones) were presented via calibrated TDH-39P headphones with the subject seated in a double-walled, soundproof booth. The method described by Gescheider et al. (1990) for measurement of vibrotactile intensity difference thresholds was adapted to deliver sinusoidal vibrations at the thenar eminence via a custom-built 1.5 × 2.5 × 3 cm vibrator secured with an elastic bandage.

Adaptive procedure and experimental tasks

A two-interval, two-alternative forced-choice paradigm and an adaptive procedure associated with a 79.4% probability of correct response (Levitt 1970) were used to measure difference thresholds for judging whether: 1) the second of two pure tone bursts was “lower” or “higher” in pitch than the first (frequency direction discrimination); and 2) judging whether the second of two pure tone bursts was the “same” as or “different” from the first (frequency change discrimination). To assess possible nonspecific effects of brain lesions (e.g., impaired level of arousal, general attention, concentration, problem-solving) on the performance of these two tasks, we also measured: 1) difference thresholds for determining the direction of an intensity change between two successive sinusoidal vibrations delivered to the hand (vibrotactile intensity discrimination); and 2) accuracy on a standardized match-to-sample test of visual line orientation perception (Benton et al. 1983). To assess whether the brain lesions affected auditory functions other than frequency discrimination, we also measured: 1) difference thresholds for determining the direction of a duration change between two successive pure tones with a long center duration of 500 ms (duration discrimination); and 2) intensity thresholds for pure tone detection.

Difference thresholds measured adaptively are expressed as Weber fractions and reported as percentages. Weber fractions were calculated by averaging the six turnaround points in each run and averaging across runs.

FIG. 4. Patient control RJC. Horizontal computerized tomography (CT) sections extending from the rostral pons to the quadrigeminal plate (A–C). Hyperdensity in the right dorsolateral pons and midbrain represents a traumatic intraparenchymal hemorrhage involving the inferior colliculus and rostral lateral lemniscus. Images were obtained on the same day as the closed head trauma. R, right; L, left.

FIG. 5. Patient control CTS. A–C: coronal T1-weighted MRI sections through the frontal and temporal lobes. The decreased signal in the left inferior frontal lobe and anterior temporal lobe represents an arachnoid cyst. D and E: T1-weighted sagittal sections through the left hemisphere. F: T2-weighted horizontal section through the cyst. R, right; L, left; A, anterior; P, posterior.
FIG. 6. Patient control MAH. Horizontal CT sections extending inferior-to-superior (A–C) through the frontal, temporal, and parietal lobes. A: the hypodensity in the right temporal lobe likely reflects an old contusion that involves Heschl’s gyrus and the superior temporal gyrus. B: the superior continuation of the hypodensity seen in A into the inferior parietal lobule is shown; in addition, there is a small hypodensity in the left frontal operculum. C: there is a hypodensity in left anterior superior frontal cortex. R, right; L, left.

FIG. 7. Patient control YPT. A–C: coronal T1-weighted magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) sections through the frontal lobe and temporal lobes. The decreased signal in the right middle and inferior frontal gyri represents the residuum of a spontaneous intracerebral hemorrhage. D: sagittal view of the right hemisphere showing the lesion in premotor frontal cortex. E and F: horizontal, T2-weighted MRI’s showing hemosiderin (hypointensity) and surrounding necrosis (hyperintensity) in the right frontal lobe. Images were obtained 4 mo (120 days) after the acute bleed. R, right; L, left; A, anterior; P, posterior.

FREQUENCY DIRECTION DISCRIMINATION. Difference thresholds for frequency direction discrimination were measured at center frequencies (Fᶜ’s) of 250, 500, 1,000, and 2,000 Hz. Our method was similar to that used by Moore (1973) to define frequency difference thresholds in his highly practiced subject TC. The trial design is schematized in Fig. 8 and the results of a typical run are illustrated in Fig. 9. Each tone was presented binaurally at 40 dB above the detection threshold at each ear (i.e., 40 dB sensation level [SL]) with a starting phase of 0º and a duration of 500 ms (plateau = 480 ms, rise time = 10 ms, fall time = 10 ms). A 200-ms silent interval separated the two tones. Subjects pressed the space bar on the computer keyboard to begin each trial. Subjects were instructed to press a key labeled “L” if the pitch of the second tone was lower than that of the first tone or an adjacent, rightward key labeled “H” if the pitch of the second tone was higher than that of the first tone. The order of “lower” and “higher” trials was randomized. There was no constraint on response time; subjects were encouraged to respond as soon as they knew the answer and to guess if they were uncertain. If the subject pressed an invalid key, the trial was discarded. The time between trials was controlled by the subject and was typically one to a few seconds. Following three successive correct trials, the frequency difference (ΔF) was halved; after each incorrect trial, ΔF was doubled. The ΔF associated with a reversal in the sign of the frequency change (i.e., an increase or decrease in ΔF) was defined as a turnaround point. A run ended when the 6th turnaround point was reached. The mean of the six turnaround points was defined as the difference threshold for that run. Each subject practiced with feedback for at least three to five runs or, in a few cases, until performance worsened over any two successive runs. After a few minutes rest period, three to five test runs were completed without feedback. The initial value of ΔF on test runs was set one to two steps above the largest ΔF on incorrect practice trials so that the initial direction of ΔF change was downward. The subject’s frequency difference threshold was defined as the mean frequency difference threshold of the test runs.

FREQUENCY CHANGE DISCRIMINATION. Difference thresholds for frequency change discrimination were measured at a center frequency of 1,000 Hz. Otherwise, the stimulus parameters, trial design, adaptive procedure, and definition of difference threshold were the same as in the preceding text. Subjects were instructed to press a key labeled “S” if the second tone sounded the same as the first tone or an adjacent, rightward key labeled “D” if the second tone sounded different from the first tone. The order of “same” and “different” trials was randomized.

DURATION DISCRIMINATION. Difference thresholds for duration discrimination were measured at a frequency of 1,000 Hz and a center duration of 500 ms. Stimulus parameters, trial design, adaptive procedure, and definition of the difference threshold were otherwise the same as in the preceding text. Subjects were instructed to press a key labeled “S” if the second tone sounded shorter than the first tone or an adjacent, rightward key labeled “L” if the second tone sounded longer
than the first tone. The order of “shorter” and “longer” trials was randomized.

VIBROTACTILE INTENSITY (VTI) DISCRIMINATION. Difference thresholds for VTI discrimination were measured separately for each hand at a vibration frequency of 250 Hz and at the center vibration intensity of 20 dB SL. The vibrator was secured at the thenar eminence with an elastic bandage, and the arm was rested in the supinated position on a foam rubber pad with the subject seated. The trial design, adaptive procedure, and calculation of the difference threshold were the same as those used for frequency direction discrimination, frequency change discrimination, and duration discrimination. Because case AI+ has a left hemiplegia and thus could not respond manually with the unstimulated hand, all subjects responded by saying A1/H11001 as those used for frequency direction discrimination, frequency procedure, and calculation of the difference threshold were the same.

Figure 10 shows Weber fractions averaged across runs for each subject class. Table 1 summarizes the difference thresholds for case AI+, AC± cases, and normal subjects on each of the four psychophysical tasks that employed an adaptive procedure (frequency direction discrimination, frequency change discrimination, duration discrimination, and VTI discrimination). In case AI+, the mean Weber fraction of the five runs at each Fc ranged from 12.0 to 15.8%, with a mean average across Fc’s of 13.7% (a little over 2 semitones). In AC± cases, the mean Weber fraction ranged from 0.94 to 2.3% [mean ± SE = 1.7 ± 0.38%]. In normal subjects, the Weber fraction ranged from 0.47 to 1.0% (0.72 ± 0.09%). The Weber fractions of our untrained normal controls were only slightly larger than those previously reported in highly-practiced normals and similarly showed a decrease in Weber fractions with increasing Fc’s in this frequency range (Moore 1973).

We carried out a two-way ANOVA to assess the effects of subject class [case AI+ vs. AC± cases vs. normal controls] and Fc (250 vs. 500 vs. 1,000 vs. 2,000 Hz) on Weber fractions (the dependent variable). The random factor was runs. As expected from inspection of Figs. 10 and 11, there was a highly significant effect of subject class [F(2,212) = 434.01; P < 0.0001]. There was no significant effect of Fc on Weber fractions, indicating that, on average, ΔF thresholds were proportional to Fc [F(3,212) = 2.74; P = 0.044]. There was an interaction between subject class and Fc, indicating that the pattern of performance across Fc’s varied across different subject classes [F(6,212) = 4.83; P = 0.0001].

Given the effect of subject class and the interaction between subject class and Fc, we carried out separate ANOVAs on each subject class using Fc as the factor, runs as the random factor, and Weber fractions as the dependent variable. There was no effect of Fc in case AI+, indicating no significant variation in Weber fractions across the four Fc’s tested [F(3,16) = 0.40, P = 0.76]. However, there was a significant effect of Fc in both AC± cases [F(3,56) = 7.77, P = 0.0002] and normal controls [F(3,89) = 17.42, P < 0.0001]. Thus in Fig. 10, the progressive decline in mean Weber fractions seen with increasing Fc was significant for these two subject classes.

To assess the effect of interindividual variation on Weber fractions within the AC± cases and normal controls, we carried out separate ANOVAs on each population using subject as the random factor. There was a significant effect of Fc in AC± cases [F(3,56) = 7.77, P = 0.0002] and normal controls [F(3,89) = 17.42, P < 0.0001]. There was a significant interaction between subject class and Fc indicating that the pattern of performance across Fc’s varied across different subject classes [F(6,212) = 4.83; P = 0.0001].

RESULTS

Frequency direction discrimination

The scatter plot in Fig. 10 shows ΔF thresholds for frequency direction discrimination. Data for each run and all subjects at all four center frequencies are illustrated. There is a clear separation between case AI+ and the other 12 subjects.

Figure 11 shows Weber fractions averaged across runs for each subject class. Table 1 summarizes the difference thresholds for case AI+, AC± cases, and normal subjects on each of the four psychophysical tasks that employed an adaptive procedure (frequency direction discrimination, frequency change discrimination, duration discrimination, and VTI discrimination). In case AI+, the mean Weber fraction of the five runs at each Fc ranged from 12.0 to 15.8%, with a mean average across Fc’s of 13.7% (a little over 2 semitones). In AC± cases, the mean Weber fraction ranged from 0.94 to 2.3% [mean ± SE = 1.7 ± 0.38%]. In normal subjects, the Weber fraction ranged from 0.47 to 1.0% (0.72 ± 0.09%). The Weber fractions of our untrained normal controls were only slightly larger than those previously reported in highly-practiced normals and similarly showed a decrease in Weber fractions with increasing Fc’s in this frequency range (Moore 1973).

We carried out a two-way ANOVA to assess the effects of subject class [case AI+ vs. AC± cases vs. normal controls] and Fc (250 vs. 500 vs. 1,000 vs. 2,000 Hz) on Weber fractions (the dependent variable). The random factor was runs. As expected from inspection of Figs. 10 and 11, there was a highly significant effect of subject class [F(2,212) = 434.01; P < 0.0001]. There was no significant effect of Fc on Weber fractions, indicating that, on average, ΔF thresholds were proportional to Fc [F(3,212) = 2.74; P = 0.044]. There was an interaction between subject class and Fc, indicating that the pattern of performance across Fc’s varied across different subject classes [F(6,212) = 4.83; P = 0.0001].

Given the effect of subject class and the interaction between subject class and Fc, we carried out separate ANOVAs on each subject class using Fc as the factor, runs as the random factor, and Weber fractions as the dependent variable. There was no effect of Fc in case AI+, indicating no significant variation in Weber fractions across the four Fc’s tested [F(3,16) = 0.40, P = 0.76]. However, there was a significant effect of Fc in both AC± cases [F(3,56) = 7.77, P = 0.0002] and normal controls [F(3,89) = 17.42, P < 0.0001]. Thus in Fig. 10, the progressive decline in mean Weber fractions seen with increasing Fc was significant for these two subject classes.

To assess the effect of interindividual variation on Weber fractions within the AC± cases and normal controls, we carried out separate ANOVAs on each population using subject as the random factor. There was a significant effect of Fc in AC± cases [F(3,56) = 7.77, P = 0.0002] and normal controls [F(3,89) = 17.42, P < 0.0001]. There was a significant interaction between subject class and Fc indicating that the pattern of performance across Fc’s varied across different subject classes [F(6,212) = 4.83; P = 0.0001].
a factor and runs as the random factor. For $AC^\pm$ cases, there
was a significant effect of subject ($F(3,56) = 3.38, P = 0.024$),
indicating that Weber fractions varied from patient to patient.
For normal controls, there was a highly significant effect of
subject ($F(7,89) = 21.13, P < 0.0001$), indicating that Weber
fractions varied from individual to individual. Apparently, this
within-class variability did not compromise detection of
across-class variability on the initial two-way ANOVA.

In view of the significant effect of subject class, we also
compared Weber fractions between subject groups. Because
the number of runs for case $A1^+$ and $AC^\pm$ cases was small,
and because Weber fractions were not evenly distributed along
an interval or ratio scale, we used a nonparametric test, the
Mann-Whitney $U$ test, to compare Weber fractions between
subject classes.

At each $Fc$, the Weber fraction for case $A1^+$ was signifi-
cantly higher than the mean Weber fraction for $AC^\pm$ cases
[$Fc = 250 \text{ Hz}, U = 100, P = 0.0008$; $Fc = 500 \text{ Hz}, U = 85,
P = 0.001$; $Fc = 1,000 \text{ Hz}, U = 100, P = 0.0008$; $Fc = 2,000
\text{ Hz}, U = 75, P = 0.0012$]. The Weber fraction for case $A1^+$
was also significantly higher than the mean Weber fraction for
normal controls [$Fc = 250 \text{ Hz}, U = 160, P = 0.0004$; $Fc = 500
\text{ Hz}, U = 140, P = 0.0005$; $Fc = 1,000 \text{ Hz}, U = 165, P =
0.0004$; $Fc = 2,000 \text{ Hz}, U = 140, P = 0.0005$]. $AC^\pm$ cases had
significantly higher Weber fractions than normal controls for
all four $Fc$’s at the $P < 0.05$ level of significance and for one
$Fc$ at the $P < 0.01$ level [$Fc = 250 \text{ Hz}, U = 441, P = 0.0234$;
$Fc = 500 \text{ Hz}, U = 329, P = 0.0118$; $Fc = 1,000 \text{ Hz}, U = 463,
P = 0.0146$; $Fc = 2,000 \text{ Hz}, U = 316, P = 0.0072$].

For all $Fc$’s combined, there were highly significant dif-
ferences between the mean Weber fraction in case $A1^+$ and
the mean Weber fraction in $AC^\pm$ cases [$U = 433, P < 0.0001$].
Likewise, there were highly significant differences between
the mean Weber fraction in case $A1^+$ and the mean
Weber fraction in normal controls [$U = 2,420, P < 0.0001$].
The mean Weber fraction in $AC^\pm$ cases was also signifi-
cantly higher than that in normal controls [$U = 2,512, P <
0.0001$].
Frequency change discrimination

In case A1+, the Weber fraction at Fc = 1,000 Hz was 6.9% (a little over 1 semitone). In AC± cases, the Weber fraction range = 0.61–1.4% and the mean ± SE = 1.0 ± 0.2%. In normal controls, the Weber fraction range = 0.31–1.5% and the mean ± SE = 1.1 ± 0.2%.

An ANOVA was carried out using subject class as the factor, runs as the random factor, and Weber fractions as the dependent variable. There was a highly significant effect of subject class [F(2,44) = 55.42; P < 0.0001]. Case A1+ had significantly higher Weber fractions than AC± cases [U = 40, P = 0.008]. Case A1+ also had higher Weber fractions than normal controls [U = 90, P = 0.005]. The Weber fractions of AC± cases and normal controls did not differ significantly [U = 213.5, P = 0.93]. We combined Weber fraction data for the 12 participants without bilateral auditory cortex lesions and found a significant difference between their mean Weber fraction (1.05 ± 0.15%) and the Weber fraction in case A1+ [U = 132, P = 0.0041].

To analyze the variability within the AC± and normal control populations, ANOVAs were run separately on each with individual subjects as the factor, runs as the random factor, and Weber fractions as the dependent variable. For AC± cases, there was an effect of subject [F(3,10) = 4.65; P = 0.028], indicating significant variability among the four patients. For the normal controls, there was also an effect of subject [F(7,22) = 4.05; P = 0.005].

TABLE 1. Difference thresholds associated with a 79.4% probability of correct response

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weber Fractions, %</th>
<th>ΔF Direction</th>
<th>ΔF Change</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>VTI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case A1+</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>37.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC± cases</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normals</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Difference thresholds for frequency direction discrimination were averaged across four center frequencies. VTI, vibrotactile intensity.

To consider how task requirements influenced ΔF thresholds, we compared Weber fractions at Fc = 1,000 Hz for frequency change discrimination (“same”—“different” judgments) and frequency direction discrimination (“lower”—“higher” judgments; Fig. 12). In case A1+, the mean Weber fraction for frequency direction discrimination was larger than the mean Weber fraction for frequency change discrimination by a factor of 1.8. In contrast, for the eight normal controls, the mean Weber fraction for frequency direction discrimination was smaller than that for frequency change discrimination by a factor of 0.7. The ratio in case A1+ was thus many SEs above the mean for normal controls (Table 2) [Student’s t(7) = 12.4, P < 0.001]. There was also a significant difference between case A1+ and AC± cases with respect to the ratio of Weber fractions for frequency direction:change discrimination [t(3) = 28, P < 0.001]. The latter ratio for AC± cases did not differ significantly from that for normal subjects [t(10) = 1.24, P = 0.30]. This pattern of results indicates a disproportionate ele-
### Table 2. Ratios of Weber fractions, auditory: VTI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ΔF Direction: VTI</th>
<th>ΔF Change: VTI</th>
<th>Duration: VTI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case A1+</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normals</td>
<td>0.05 ± 0.015***</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.02**</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.25**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC± cases</td>
<td>0.051 ± 0.017***</td>
<td>0.053 ± 0.021*</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All controls</td>
<td>0.057 ± 0.011***</td>
<td>0.097 ± 0.019***</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.23**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P values refer to the results of pair-wise comparisons between case A1+ and the other subjects. Abbreviations as in Table 1. n = 8, 4, and 12 for normals, AC± cases, and all controls, respectively. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001.

Duration discrimination

In case A1+, the Weber fraction for duration discrimination was 34.4%. In AC± cases, the Weber fraction range was 11.8–32.5% (21.9 ± 5.0%). In normal controls, the Weber fraction range was 11.7–28.06% (19.3 ± 2.9%).

An ANOVA with subject class as the factor, runs as the random factor, and Weber fractions as the dependent variable revealed a significant effect of subject class [F(2,48) = 5.55; P = 0.0068]. Separate ANOVAs on the AC± and normal control populations revealed significant variability within both subject groups [respectively, F(3,14) = 10.21; P = 0.0008; F(7,22) = 3.02; P = 0.022].

In case A1+, the Weber fraction was significantly higher than that of AC± cases and normal controls (respectively, U = 48, P = 0.008; U = 85, P = 0.0048). There was no significant difference between the Weber fractions of AC± cases and normal controls (U = 349; P = 0.093). The Weber fraction in case A1+ was significantly higher than the mean Weber fraction of AC± cases and normal controls combined (combined mean = 20.2 ± 2.0; U = 133, P = 0.015).

This pattern of results is qualitatively similar to that observed for ΔF thresholds and indicates an elevation in difference thresholds for duration discrimination at a long center duration of 500 ms in case A1+.

Vibrotactile intensity (VTI) discrimination

In case A1+, the Weber fraction in the hand ipsilateral to the lesion of sensorimotor cortex was 37.1%. In AC± cases (excluding MAH), the Weber fraction range in the dominant hand = 8.60–32.5% (22.5 ± 8.8%). In normal controls, the Weber fraction range in the dominant hand = 7.30–14.8% (10.0 ± 9.1%).

An ANOVA with subject class as the factor, runs as the random factor, and Weber fraction as the dependent variable revealed a highly significant effect of subject class [F(2,36) = 46.86; P < 0.0001]. A separate ANOVA on the AC± cases revealed significant variability from patient to patient [F(2,4) = 99.87; P = 0.0006]. Within the normal control group, there was no significant intersubject variability [F(7,20) = 2.03; P = 0.102].

The Weber fraction in case A1+ was significantly higher than that in AC± cases (U = 30, P = 0.0062). The Weber fraction in case A1+ was significantly higher than that of normal controls (U = 140, P = 0.0012). There was no significant difference in Weber fractions between AC± cases and normal controls (U = 111, P = 0.223). The Weber fraction in case A1+ was significantly higher than the mean Weber fraction in AC± cases and normal controls combined [combined mean = 13.7 ± 2.86%; U = 185, P = 0.0004]. This pattern of results is qualitatively similar to those observed for ΔF thresholds and duration difference thresholds and indicates an elevation in VTI difference thresholds in case A1+.

### Visual line orientation match to sample

Case A1+ correctly answered 29 of the 30 items on the Judgment of Line Orientation test. This performance is classified as “superior” according to scoring standards based on the distribution of corrected scores in Benton’s normative sample (Benton et al. 1983). The mean scores for AC± cases (22.2) and normal controls (22.8) were in the “average” range.

### Performance ratios

For each adaptive task, a performance ratio was computed as WF案 divided by WFother where WF案 is the Weber fraction for case A1+ and WFother the mean Weber fraction for AC± cases and normal controls combined. The results are illustrated in Fig. 13. Performance ratios for frequency direction discrimination (15.4) and frequency change discrimination (6.6) were far higher than the performance ratios for the duration discrimination (1.71), and VTI direction discrimination (2.59).

To further compare the results among auditory tasks for case A1+ and the other subjects, we computed performance ratios using the VTI difference threshold as a reference, since it was obtained in a different sensory modality using the same procedures (Table 2). The ratio of frequency direction discrimination to VTI discrimination was significantly higher in case A1+ than in AC± cases [t(2) = 17.0, P < 0.01], normal controls [t(7) = 18.7, P < 0.001], and AC± cases and normals combined [t(10) = 25.7, P < 0.001]. A similar pattern of results was observed for the ratio of frequency change discrimination to VTI discrimination: A1+ versus AC±, t(2) = 6.50, P < 0.05; A1+ versus normal controls, t(7) = 4.00, P < 0.01; A1+ versus AC± and normal controls combined, t(10) = 4.89, P < 0.001. In contrast, the ratio of duration discrimination to VTI discrimination was not significantly different in case A1+ and AC± cases [t(2) = 0.89, P > 0.20]. Moreover, the ratio of duration discrimination to VTI discrimination was significantly lower in case A1+ than in normal controls [t(7) = 4.28, P < 0.01] and in AC± cases and normal controls combined [t(10) = 3.78, P < 0.01].

These results indicate that within the auditory modality, difference thresholds for frequency direction discrimination and frequency change discrimination were elevated more than difference thresholds for duration direction discrimination centered at 500 ms. In addition, they emphasize that the ΔF threshold elevations in case A1+ cannot be attributed to differences related to nonauditory task requirements of the adaptive method.
Pure-tone detection thresholds

Figure 14 plots pure-tone detection thresholds at each Fc for case A1/H11001 and normal and patient controls combined. “Reference” thresholds correspond to 25 dB hearing level (HL), that is, 25 dB greater than the zero reference level for mean audible pressure recommended by the International Standards Organization (1975). For case A1/H11001 as well as controls, detection thresholds were within normal limits for both the left and right ears by clinical standards: the mean of the thresholds at 500, 1,000, and 2,000 Hz was ~25 dB HL (AAO-ACO 1979; Yantis 1994). Still, left ear detection thresholds for case A1/H11001 were more than 2 SEs higher than those of controls at all four frequencies tested, raising the possibility of mild hearing loss contralateral to his complete or near-complete right auditory cortex lesion.

DISCUSSION

To summarize the main results: bilateral auditory cortex lesions involving A1 were associated with elevations in frequency difference thresholds. For frequency direction discrimination (lower–higher pitch judgments), Weber fractions associated with a 79.4% probability of correct response averaged 14% at center frequencies between 250 and 2,000 Hz. These Weber fractions were about eightfold higher than those measured in neurological patients without bilateral lesions of auditory cortex, and they were almost 20-fold higher than Weber fractions measured in normal controls. For frequency change discrimination (same–different pitch judgments), Weber fractions averaged 7% at 1,000 Hz, about sevenfold higher than the Weber fractions observed in the other groups. The pattern of results on other auditory, tactile, and visual tasks indicates that the observed elevations in frequency difference thresholds cannot be attributed to nonspecific lesion effects on task performance or on hearing in general.

We interpret the present findings as evidence that fine-grained frequency processing at the perceptual level relies on the integrity of finely tuned neurons in A1 and surrounding areas. This interpretation is based on the anatomical localization of the lesions in case A1/H11001 and current knowledge about anatomical-physiological correlates in humans and nonhuman primates.

Anatomical considerations

Deficits in frequency processing in case A1/H11001 were associated with chronic, bilateral hemispheric infarcts involving all of the transverse gyrus of Heschl (TG) in the right hemisphere; all or almost all of TG in the left hemisphere; the posterior one-fifth of the left superior temporal gyrus (STG) and adjacent...
inferior parietal lobule; all or almost all of right STG; and portions of right frontal, parietal, medial temporal, and inferior temporal cortex. None of the other four neurological patients, including one with multiple cerebral contusions and right TG and STG damage (case MAH), had a single experimental run in which the frequency difference threshold was higher than the 23 thresholds measured in case A1+.

TG runs across the middle third of the superior surface of STG deep within the lateral (Sylvian) fissure. Some individuals possess two or more TGs in one or the other hemisphere (Campain and Minckler 1976; Musiek and Reeves 1990), and most have a greater volume of white matter on the left than on the right (Penhune et al. 1996). TG receives the geniculo-temporal radiation and contains the highest concentration of neurons and myelinated fibers in all of superior temporal cortex (Brodmann 1909; Campbell 1905; Economo 1929; Galaburda and Sanides 1980; Rademacher et al. 1993). These cytoarchitectural and connectional features, combined with electrophysiological data on minimum response latencies measured with intracortical electrodes (Liegiois-Chauvel et al. 1991, 1994, 1995), cortical surface electrodes (Celesia 1976; Celesia and Puletti 1969; Celesia et al. 1968), subdural strip electrodes (Lee et al. 1984), and scalp electrodes (Scherg et al. 1989), indicate that all of A1 resides within TG. However, TG and A1 are not co-extensive: minor portions of TG (and all supernumerary TGs) contain non-koniocortical fields (Rademacher et al. 1993).

Whether all of STG may be considered auditory association cortex and whether auditory association cortex extends beyond the boundaries of STG remain uncertain. Cortical depth and surface electrode recordings of click-evoked potentials in humans suggest that all or almost all of auditory cortex lies within the posterior two-thirds of superior temporal cortex (Celesia 1976; Celesia and Puletti 1969; Celesia et al. 1968; Howard et al. 2000; Lee et al. 1984). Likewise, maximal increases in glucose metabolism have been observed in posterior superior temporal cortex during binaural stimulation with combined speech and music (Mazziotta et al. 1982). Oxygen utilization increases from the posterior extreme to almost the anterior extreme of STG during binaural stimulation with speech and white noise (Binder et al. 1994), and blood flow increases in the middle temporal gyrus as well as STG during binaural stimulation with speech and nonspeech sounds (Belin et al. 2000). In macaques, free-field stimulation with a potpourri of species-specific vocalizations, human voices, and environmental sounds increases glucose metabolism throughout superior temporal cortex, from the posterior end of the lateral fissure anteriorly to the temporal pole (Poremba et al. 1999).

It is likely that residual auditory functions in case A1+ are subserved by spared auditory association cortex residing in left anterior STG and by subcortical structures. Although inputs to the left auditory association cortex from damaged left A1 and damaged right auditory cortex were interrupted, auditory information may have reached the spared regions via ascending pathways from the dorsal and medial nuclei of the medial geniculate complex, the pulvinar, the posterior nucleus, the suprageniculate nucleus, and/or the limitans nuclei. These parallel ascending inputs to auditory association cortex are well-documented in macaques (Akert et al. 1959; Burton and Jones 1976; Chow 1950; Clark 1936; Clark and Northfield 1937; Hackett et al. 1998; Hashikawa et al. 1992, 1995; Jones and Burton 1976; Locke 1960; Mesulam and Pandya 1973; Molinari et al. 1995; Morel et al. 1993; Pandya and Sanides 1973; Pandya et al. 1994; Poliak 1932; Rauschecker et al. 1997; Siqueira 1965; Walker 1937). In addition, the ventral nucleus of the medial geniculate complex, the main source of afferent input to A1, provides weak inputs to auditory association cortex surrounding A1.

Physiological considerations

At present, little is known about frequency processing at the cellular level in human auditory cortex. However, a wealth of knowledge is available in the experimental animal literature (for reviews, see Clarey et al. 1992; de Ribaupierre 1997; Kaas et al. 1999). For phylogenetic, anatomical, and functional reasons, knowledge about the single-unit physiology of macaque auditory cortex may be especially relevant to understanding frequency processing in humans.

Like humans, macaques house koniocortex within the middle third of the superior surface of superior temporal cortex.
deep within the lateral fissure (Bonin and Bailey 1947; Sanides 1972; Walker 1937). While it is not customary to designate TG in the macaque, several authors have identified a small elevation along the superior surface of STG that stains heavily for Nissl, myelin, cytochrome oxidase, and parvalbumin (Bonin and Bailey 1947; Jones et al. 1995; Merzenich and Brugge 1973; Pandya and Sanides 1973; Tramo 1998). Bonin and Bailey (1947) remarked that the superior surface of STG in Macaca mulatta “bears a very slight elevation, recognizable when one is acquainted with Heschl’s [transverse] gyrus in man, but easily overlooked otherwise” (p. 17). In M. fuscata, Jones et al. (1995) identified a similarly situated “annectant” gyrus as the gross anatomical correlate of koniocortex and high-density parvalbumin staining. These observations indicate that macaques have a rudimentary gross morphological homologue of human TG which houses histologically-defined A1. For heuristic purposes, it might be advantageous to refer to this structure as the “transverse gyrus” in macaques as well as humans.

Microelectrode mapping studies of A1 and other “core area” fields in anesthetized macaques have demonstrated frequency-selective neurons that are topographically organized with respect to “best frequency”—the pure tone frequency associated with maximal firing at a given intensity (Kosaki et al. 1997; Merzenich and Brugge 1973; Morel et al. 1993; Pfingst and O’Connor 1981; Rauschecker et al. 1997). In addition, several fields in the “belt area” of auditory association cortex surrounding A1 contain neurons that are frequency-selective and topographically organized. In general, neurons in these belt fields are less sharply tuned than neurons in core fields (Kosaki et al. 1997; Rauschecker 1995, 1997). In alert rhesus monkeys, 500-ms and 50-ms pure tones presented at frequencies and intensities similar to those used in the present experiment evoke responses in individual core area neurons over bandwidths ranging from approximately 0.02 octaves (about a quarter of a semitone) to 5 octaves (60 semitones); the majority of cells respond over 0.1 octaves (about a semitone) or more (Recanzone et al. 2000; Tramo 1998; Tramo et al. 1999).

Macaques can discriminate 50-ms pure tones differing in frequency by about 3% (half a semitone) at 500 Hz and 60 dB SPL (Sinnott and Brown 1993). Thus there may exist individual neurons with frequency selectivity sufficient to support frequency discrimination at the difference thresholds observed in our control subjects. Still, the overwhelming majority of core area neurons in macaques respond over bandwidths greater than a semitone. The results of microelectrode recordings in anesthetized owl monkeys who had been trained on a frequency-change discrimination task indicate that perceptual acuity correlates with the number of A1 neurons tuned to frequencies used in the task (Recanzone et al. 1993).

In human auditory cortex, extracranial recordings of local field potentials and functional imaging techniques have provided evidence of frequency selectivity. Butler (1968) showed that the peak-to-peak amplitude of the N100 potential recorded at the vertex is sensitive to the frequency difference between successive sinusoidal tones (monaural stimulation at 70 dB SL, duration = 600 ms, ISI = 4.4 s, probability of occurrence standard tone:deviant tone = 3:1). The larger the frequency difference between tones, the larger the amplitude. Butler attributed the correlation between frequency difference and N100 amplitude to frequency-dependent refractoriness and differences in the amount of overlap between frequency-selective neuron populations. The effect of frequency difference on N100 amplitude is independent of the harmonic relationship between the standard and deviant tones (Kussmaul et al. 1992).

The amplitude and latency of tone-evoked magnetic potentials peaking around 100 ms poststimulus (N100m) vary monotonically with the width of surrounding notched noise (Sams and Salmelin 1994). N100 and N100m amplitude differences can be elicited only at or above frequency difference thresholds for same-different discriminations (Csépe et al. 1992; Sams et al. 1985). These findings suggest that neural mechanisms underlying the sensitivity of N100/N100m amplitude to frequency differences are relevant to frequency resolution at the perceptual level.

The location of frequency-selective neurons generating the N100 and other potentials has been extensively investigated using dipole source analyses of scalp-recorded electrical fields (Giard et al. 1994; Scherg and Cramon 1985; Scherg et al. 1989) and magnetic fields (Gallen et al. 1993; Hari et al. 1984; Kuriki et al. 1995; Lavikainen et al. 1995; Nakasato et al. 1995; Reite et al. 1994; Tiitinen et al. 1993). To summarize the main results: most or all neural generators of lateral scalp potentials peaking between 18 and 200 ms after acoustic stimulation lie within TG or close by along the superior surface of STG, and some potentials peaking between 150 and 300 ms may originate within the lateral surface of STG, dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, and parietal cortex. In general, the effects of focal lesions on extracranial electrical field potentials (Aaltonen et al. 1993; Graham et al. 1980; Kilényi et al. 1987; Knight et al. 1988; Kraus et al. 1982; Praamstra et al. 1993) and magnetic field potentials (Makela 1993; Makela and Hari 1992) support the notion that neurons in TG and adjacent STG are the major contributors to evoked potentials peaking after about 13 ms and before 200 ms poststimulus onset. In our case A1+—middle- and long-latency auditory-evoked potentials are grossly abnormal (Musiek et al. 1994).

Evidence that neurons within human auditory cortex are topographically organized with respect to frequency selectivity was first obtained using magnetoencephalography (MEG). Some authors reported a low- to high-frequency gradient of the N100m potential along the anterior to posterior axis of posterior superior temporal cortex (Elberling et al. 1982; Pantev et al. 1988). Others reported a low- to high-frequency gradient along its lateral to medial axis (Huotilainen et al. 1995; Romani et al. 1982a,b; Yamamoto et al. 1992), consistent with early claims based on lesion effects (EconoMo 1929). One octave is represented by approximately 2–3 mm of cortical surface. Analyses of scalp-recorded electrical potentials also suggest that posterior superior temporal cortex contains a topographic organization of frequency-selective neurons, with the low-frequency representation anteriorly and slightly lateral to the high-frequency representation (Scherg et al. 1989).

Functional imaging studies have provided converging evidence of frequency selectivity and a low- to high-, anterolateral-to-posteromedial frequency representation in and around TG, albeit with considerable intersubject variability (Lauter et al. 1985; Strainer et al. 1997; Weissinger et al. 1997). Conspicuously missing from some human studies is the multiplicity of topographically organized fields one would expect on the basis of microelectrode mapping experiments in macaques. Over the past several years, several MEG studies have reported evidence...
for two frequency representations in posterior superior temporal cortex (Cansino et al. 1994; Pantel et al. 1995; Tiitinen et al. 1993; Verkindt et al. 1995). Recent functional MRI results obtained by Talavage and colleagues (2000) via stimulation with high- and low-pass filtered music and band-limited, amplitude-modulated noise show four separate high-frequency regions and four separate low-frequency regions. Among the most significant pieces of evidence that human auditory cortex contains frequency-selective neurons is the microelectrode study of an epilepsy patient by Howard and colleagues (1996). Of 26 neurons encountered in three penetration sites spanning 8 mm of TG, 19 were judged to be sharply tuned.

In summary, on the basis of the gross topography of the lesions observed in case AI+, physiological-anatomical correlates in macaques, and electrophysiological data in humans, case AI+ likely had complete loss of frequency-selective neurons in right A1 and surrounding areas, complete or near-complete loss in left A1, and sparing of some frequency-selective neurons in left belt areas anterior and adjacent to A1. Thus the fact that his ability to resolve frequencies was coarsened but not abolished may be attributable to preservation of neurons in the belt areas of left STG.

Functional effects of auditory cortex lesions in primates

Perhaps the most influential experiments contributing to the belief that primate auditory cortex plays no role in frequency discrimination were carried out by Evarts (1952a,b). Two young M. mulatta learned an operant conditioning task that required differential responses to 350 Hz (“go”) and 3500 Hz (“no-go”) tones. Correct responses were rewarded with food, and incorrect responses were punished by electric shock. The intensity of the tones was approximately 50 dB S.L re: human detection thresholds. After they reached the response criterion of 80% correct, all but a small portion of anterior superior temporal cortex was aspirated in the left and right hemispheres. Part of the insula was also taken. The extent of the lesions was confirmed postmortem, and near-complete retrograde degeneration of the parvocellular portion of the medial geniculate nucleus was observed. Ten days post-ablation, both monkeys performed well in their initial training session and quickly re-achieved the preoperative performance criterion. Two additional macaques were trained an unspecific amount of time after surgeries that had been carried out for a previous experiment. One macaque had near-complete bilateral lesions of superior temporal cortex and one had a near-complete lesion on the right and a partial lesion on the left that spared a large part of the superior surface of posterior superior temporal cortex. Both of these previously lesioned macaques learned the task as quickly as the first two macaques had learned it before their surgeries. Evarts interpreted these findings as evidence that near-complete bilateral lesions of auditory cortex do not impair the initial learning or post-lesion retention of frequency discrimination. He found the results “difficult to reconcile with the strict tonotopic organization” found in primate auditory cortex and speculated that small remnants of remaining auditory cortex were “of great functional importance.” Inasmuch as Evarts’ task incorporated a frequency difference threshold corresponding to a Weber fraction of 164%, these experiments simply did not assess the role of auditory cortex in fine-grained frequency discrimination.

On the basis of animal lesion experiments on pure tone frequency discrimination and his demonstration of impaired virtual pitch perception following unilateral right anterior temporal lobectomy in patients with intractable epilepsy, Zatorre (1988) argued that “simple frequency discrimination (i.e., with pure tones, or with complex tones when the fundamental [frequency] is present) is not permanently disrupted even by large bilateral lesions of auditory cortex.” However, patients who committed more than 25% errors on a control task, in which complex tone fundamental frequencies (F0’s) were present, were excluded from the missing F0 task. In addition, the F0 differences tested by the method of constant stimuli (Weber fraction = 40%) were well above normal threshold (cf. Patterson 1973). Using a dichotic-diotic match-to-sample recognition task, Sidtis and Volpe (1988) found impaired complex pitch perception with F0 present in a population of right hemisphere stroke patients; they did not use pure tone stimuli nor did they report which gyri were lesioned. Robin et al. (1990) subsequently demonstrated elevations in the F0 difference threshold averaged across five stroke patients with lesions involving right TG and/or STG (mean Weber fraction approximately 14%); five patients with similar left-sided lesions had normal thresholds (mean Weber fraction, less than 1%).

Among studies of bilateral auditory cortex lesion effects in humans, the two cases reported by Jerger and colleagues (1969, 1972) are some of the best studied psychoacoustically and most often cited. The first concerned a 21-year-old ambidextrous man with successive left then right hemispheric strokes diagnosed on the basis of clinical history, examination, technetium brain scan, EEG, and cerebral arteriography. (CT and MRI were not yet available for routine clinical use.) Following the initial stroke, there was a mild language deficit, mild right facial weakness, and mild right-sided somesthetic loss. Following the second stroke seven months later, he became deaf. Within three months, pure-tone detection thresholds returned to near-normal levels. Abnormalities on technetium brain scan showed increased uptake in the left temporal and right temporoparietal regions. Although the resolution of nuclear brain scans does not permit precise localization of the lesions, the combination of sudden, transient deafness and these uptake abnormalities is consistent with bilateral lesions involving TG and surrounding cortex. Three months after the second stroke, a series of audiological tests and psychoacoustic experiments were carried out. The method of constant stimuli and a two-interval, two-alternative forced-choice paradigm were employed to assess the patient’s ability to determine whether the first of two pure tones was higher or lower in pitch than the second tone (Fc = 500 Hz, intensity = 80 dB SL, duration = 1,000 ms, presentations binaural and monaural). The frequency difference was fixed at a Weber fraction of 40% and the interstimulus interval (ISI) was parametrically varied. The patient was able to achieve the criterion performance of 75% accuracy at ISIs approximately equal to that employed in the present experiment. Thus Jerger et al. tested frequency direction discrimination approximately 40 times above the normal threshold we measured in our control group; case AI+ would have been able to reach criterion at this generous frequency difference. Jerger’s second case concerned a 62-year-old man with impaired speech recognition and normal pure-tone detection thresholds in whom bilateral lesions of TG, posterior STG, and adjacent structures were subsequently documented at autopsy. Fre-
quency direction discrimination was tested using the same paradigm, except the frequency difference was even greater (Weber fraction = 164%). As we would expect, this patient met the response criterion of 75% at ISIs similar to those used in the present experiments. The emphasis of Jerger’s experiments was on the effect of ISI manipulations on frequency discrimination; indeed, he found that frequency discrimination, even at this large frequency separation, was severely impaired at short ISIs. The observations of Efron (1963), Albert et al. (1972), and Tallal and colleagues (Tallal 1976, 1980; Tallal and Piercy 1974, 1975) indicate that left auditory cortex is specialized to process rapidly occurring spectral events; this may account, at least in part, for the auditory comprehension deficits observed in patients with sensory (Wernicke’s) aphasia and pure word deafness (Phillips and Farmer 1990; Selnes et al. 1983). The present results indicate that neural mechanisms within the auditory cortex are essential to fine-grained resolution in the spectral domain as well as the time domain.

There is some precedent in the macaque and human literature to suggest that auditory cortex lesions coarsen frequency resolution. Massopust et al. (1967) measured frequency difference thresholds near 500 Hz in rhesus monkeys by stepping down Weber fractions from 164 to 0.6% as criterion performance (75% correct) was reached. Preoperatively, all macaques were able to reach criterion at Weber fractions of 3% or lower (about a semitone or less). Five macaques had partial, bilateral ablations of involving a third or more of superior temporal cortex with extension into the insula and/or pericentral operculum. Postoperatively, frequency difference thresholds increased in the range of 7–25 Hz from preoperative thresholds (Weber fractions increased between 1.4 and 4.9%), and the size of the increase correlated with the size of the lesion. Harrington et al. (2001) reported that two Japanese macaques with near-complete bilateral lesions of superior temporal cortex had pure tone frequency difference thresholds that were over twice those of two monkeys without lesions (center frequency near 625 Hz). The average Weber fraction associated with discrimination scores less than 0.80 [hit rate – (hit rate × false alarm rate)] was 5.8% compared with 2.4% in monkeys without superior temporal lesions. Divenyi and Robinson (1989) reported a mean Weber fraction of approximately 11% for frequency change discrimination in four right hemisphere stroke patients; the mean Weber fraction was about 3% in left hemisphere stroke patients and about 1% in eight normal controls. The anatomical location of the lesions with respect to TG and STG was not given. Tramo (1990) previously presented evidence of impaired frequency direction discrimination in case AI+ on the Seashore Measures of Musical Talents Pitch Discrimination subtest (Seashore et al. 1960). Poor performance was attributable to a high error rate in trials where the Δf between pure tones was smallest. Subsequently, preliminary studies employing an adaptive procedure showed elevated difference thresholds for frequency direction discrimination (Tramo et al. 1994). Kazui et al. (1990) measured frequency difference thresholds required to discriminate a change in the frequency of a continuous pure tone in a patient with bilateral temporal lobe lesions. Pure-tone detection thresholds for left ear stimulation were “abnormally high”; the method and criteria used to measure detection thresholds were not defined. Findings on CT and MRI were said to be consistent with acute “right temporal” and old “left temporal stem and left parietal subcortex” ischemic infarcts. Our review of the six published MRI scans (3 sections in 2 planes) finds evidence of lesions involving left TG and STG as well as the white matter underlying right TG. Weber fractions for pure tones at 500, 1,000, and 2,000 Hz ranged from 6.5 to 14.5% in the left ear and 5.5 to 8.5% in the right ear. The range of threshold elevations observed by Kazui et al. overlapped that observed in case AI+ for frequency change discrimination in the present study (7% at 1 kHz). Recently, Johnsrude et al. (2000) reported that seven of eight patients with right anterior temporal lobectomies extending into right TG had elevated difference thresholds for frequency direction discrimination around 1 kHz. Weber fractions (mean = 10.8%) were approximately three times higher than those of left anterior temporal lobectomy patients and two times higher than those of right temporal lobectomy patients without TG involvement. Unlike Divenyi and Robinson’s right hemisphere stroke patients, Johnsrude’s patients with right TG and anterior STG excisions did not differ from normal controls and the other patient groups in a frequency change discrimination task. Taken together, these observations suggest that damage of right TG and STG in case AI+ is principally responsible for his deficit in frequency direction discrimination, and they raise the possibility that his impairment in frequency change discrimination is attributable to additive effects of right and left primary auditory cortex lesions.

Impaired tonal consonance perception has previously been reported in case AI+ (Tramo et al. 1990). The elevations in frequency difference thresholds observed in the present study, combined with evidence of normal or near-normal roughness perception (Tramo et al. 2001), support the hypothesis that his bias to hear well-tuned musical chords as out of tune is attributable to a deficit in the fine-grained analysis of chord frequency components and, consequently, an inability to extract their harmonic relationships.

Concluding remarks

It is difficult to reconcile our experimental results and review of the literature with the traditional view that neuronal frequency selectivity in auditory cortex plays no role in frequency discrimination at the perceptual level. The auditory cortex, especially A1 and other subdivisions populated by sharply-tuned neurons, makes an essential contribution to fine-grained frequency discrimination, akin to the contribution of somatosensory cortex to two-point tactile discrimination (Adams et al. 1997; Head and Holmes 1911; Mountcastle and Darian-Smith 1968). This basic aspect of auditory cortex function supports the perception of music, voice, speech, environmental sounds, and auditory objects in general.
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