Subthreshold Resonance Explains the Frequency-Dependent Integration of Periodic as Well as Random Stimuli in the Entorhinal Cortex
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In the superficial layers of the entorhinal cortex, cells of layers II and III have common cortical input but different hippocampal targets. The cells also respond best to synaptic inputs at different frequency ranges. Layer III pyramidal cells are driven most strongly in the 0- to 5-Hz range, whereas layer II stellate cells are active at frequencies between 5 and 20 Hz (Gloveli et al. 1997; Heinemann et al. 2000). Not surprisingly, stellate cells exhibit a significant subthreshold resonance located in the frequency band of 5–16 Hz. A majority of cells have a peak in the theta band. Pyramidal cells exhibit either a less distinct resonance at low frequencies (1–5 Hz) or no resonance at all (I. Erchova, G. Kreck, U. Heinemann, and A.V.M. Herz, unpublished data).

Resonance properties are usually investigated with sinusoidal inputs or so-called impedance-amplitude profile, where Z denotes the impedance (ZAP) stimuli that consist of sine waves whose frequency changes in time (Gimbarzevsky et al. 1984). It has been questioned, however, whether the findings based on these approaches also extend to more general stimuli, such as randomly fluctuating inputs. One study implies that subthreshold responses to noise stimuli are strongly non-linear and non-resonant and suggests distinctive input-output relations under sub- and suprathreshold conditions (Haas and White 2002).

Because response properties that strongly depend on stimulus characteristics would have far-reaching consequences for our basic understanding of neural dynamics and information processing, we repeated some of the experiments. Extending the original study, we investigated not only layer II stellate cells of the entorhinal cortex (EC) but also EC layer III pyramidal cells. Both cell classes vary strongly in their dynamical characteristics so that generic properties of subthreshold input-output relations can be identified. Our data provide strong evidence that the frequency selectivity for periodic inputs does extend to the non-periodic stimuli used by Haas and White (2002). Contrary to their study, our findings thus support the hypothesis that subthreshold inputs are integrated in an approximately linear fashion. Similarly, we find that responses in the subthreshold and in the spiking regime reflect frequency selectivity rather than overall stimulus power.

M E T H O D S

Experimental methods
SLICE PREPARATION. Horizontal hippocampal slices (400 μm) were prepared from adult Wistar rats (2.5–4 mo, 350–400 g) of both sexes
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after decapitation under deep ether induced anesthesia in accordance with animal care regulations. Slices were maintained at room temperature in a submerged-style holding chamber until transferred one by one to the recording chamber (36.7°C). Slices were superfused with artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF) containing (in mM) 129 NaCl, 3 KCl, 1.25 NaH2PO4·H2O, 1.8 MgSO4, 1.6 CaCl2·2H2O, 21 NaHCO3, and 10 glucose, pH 7.4; bubbled with carbogen gas 95% O2-5% CO2.

RECORDING CONDITIONS. Intracellular recordings in medial entorhinal cortex were done using sharp glass micropipettes (electrode puller P-87, Sutter Instruments), filled with 2 M potassium acetate, 2% biocytin, 75–85 MΩ, in current-clamp mode. Data were amplified (NeroData IR 183), low-pass filtered at 3 kHz, and digitized with an IO-card (DAQ card A116E4, National Instruments) at a sampling rate of 8 kHz. For stimulus generation and data acquisition, LabView (National Instruments) was used. All recordings were done at 36.7°C, synaptic transmission was blocked by (in mM) 30 6-cyano-7-nitroquinoxalene-2,3-dione (CNQX), 60 2-amino-5-phosphonovaleric acid (APV), 5 bicuculline, and 1 CGP55845A (3-[N-((3,4-dichlorophenyl)ethyl)amino]-2-(s)-hydroxypropyl-1-[2](s)-hydroxypropyl-P-benzylphosphinic acid, a GABA_B blocker, kind gift from Novartis, Basel, Switzerland) and mimics the integrative properties of a leaky-integrator. (APV), 5 bicucculine, and 1 CGP55845A (3-[N-((3,4-dichlorophenyl)ethyl)amino]-2-(s)-hydroxypropyl-1-[2](s)-hydroxypropyl-P-benzylphosphinic acid, a GABA_B blocker, kind gift from Novartis, Basel, Switzerland) and mimics the integrative properties of a leaky-integrator.

HISTOLOGY. For staining slices were stored in 4% paraformaldehyde, 0.2 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) and 10% sucrose in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4). The tissue was immersed in 20% sucrose for 12 hours before it was cut into 50 μm-thick sections using a freezing microtome. Sections were incubated in a primary antibody against biocytin (1:2000, Vector Labs) for 1 hour at room temperature. After washing, sections were incubated in a secondary antibody coupled to Alexa 488 (1:2000, Molecular Probes, Leiden, The Netherlands).

Estimation of impedance curves

Frequency-dependent impedance curves in the subthreshold regime were estimated through the injection of a ZAP current: \( I_{ZAP}(t) = I_0 \sin (2\pi f t) \), with \( f(t) = \frac{f_m}{2\pi}\frac{d}{dt} \). Different levels of depolarization were investigated by applying holding currents between −300 and 300 pA. The time-dependent frequency \( f(t) \) of the ZAP current was increased from 0 Hz to the maximum frequency \( f_m = 20 \) Hz. The overall duration of the ZAP stimulus was 30 s, so that the ZAP current varied slowly enough to obtain a similar precision of the impedance estimate as upon injecting sinusoidal currents as verified in test experiments. Each impedance estimate was based on an average of 10 trials. Each impedance estimate was drawn from an underlying distribution that was fit by an exponential function that was convolved with a low-pass filter \( f(t) \), with \( f(t) = e^{-t/\tau} \), for \( t > 0 \) and zero otherwise. The time constant, \( \tau \), determines the cutoff frequency, \( f_c = \frac{1}{2\pi\tau} \), of the resulting stimulus. Values of \( \tau \) used were 0.2, 0.6, 1.0, and 3 ms, leading to cutoff frequencies of ~2, 8, 16, and 53 Hz, respectively. Through the filter operation, the power of the stimulus is distributed unevenly over frequency space. The smaller the cutoff frequency, the more of the total stimulus power is allocated to the lower frequencies. A 2-Hz cutoff stimulus, for example, contains 93% percent of its power in the range <20 Hz (see Fig. 2A). The larger the cutoff frequency, the more is power distributed and stimulus become more and more similar to broadband noise. As a consequence, a 53-Hz cutoff stimulus contains only 23% of its power in the frequency band <20 Hz. We refer to the first three types of stimuli as lower-frequency stimuli and the 53-Hz cutoff stimulus type as a broadband stimulus. All raw stimuli had a duration of 2 s and were normalized to a root-mean-square (RMS) amplitude of 1. The stimuli were then scaled by an amplitude factor between 0 and 250 pA. Depending on each cell, a DC component ranging between −300 and 300 pA was added. For each recording, a total of 40 different realizations of the four stimulus types (10 each) were represented in alternating order.

Linear prediction

For each cell, theoretical predictions for the standard deviation of the voltage response (also specified as RMS) were obtained from the stimulus spectra and the cell’s measured impedance function. Assuming linearity, the amplitude spectrum of the voltage response can be predicted as the product of the amplitude spectrum of the input, \( \tilde{I}(f) \), and the frequency-dependent impedance function, \( \tilde{Z}(f) \). Taking into account that the variance of any time-dependent function equals its integrated power spectrum over positive frequencies, the RMS reads

\[
\text{RMS}(V) = \sqrt{\int_0^{f_{\text{max}}} \tilde{I}(f) \tilde{Z}(f) df}
\]

The mean of the input \( \tilde{I}(t) \) was subtracted before calculation of the amplitude spectrum \( \tilde{I}(f) \).

Conductance-based model neurons

Two types of single-compartment model neurons were implemented in NEURON (Hines 1993). The models were designed to exhibit the subthreshold resonance characteristics of stellate and pyramidal cells, respectively. Care was taken that the overall shape of the impedance functions corresponded to the experimental counter-
RESULTS

Stable recordings were obtained from 11 entorhinal cortex cells, 6 of which were layer II stellate neurons and 5 were layer III pyramidal neurons. The neurons were morphologically identified based on biocytin staining. We did not distinguish between different subclasses of pyramidal cells for the purpose of this study. Figure 1, A and B, shows the responses of two representative cells to DC current injections. All stellate cells exhibited a typical sag potential in response to both hyper- and depolarizing currents. For three cells, prominent membrane oscillations in the theta-frequency band were confirmed upon depolarization. No membrane potential oscillations were observed in pyramidal cells. Three pyramidal cells exhibited a sag potential, which had a slower time course than the sag observed in stellate cells. The input impedance, estimated from the response to a current step of either 50 or −50 pA (for cells with very low firing threshold), was 32 ± 11 MΩ for stellate cells, and 72 ± 30 MΩ for pyramidal cells. The resting membrane potential of stellate cells was −65 ± 5 mV, membrane time constants were measured as 6 ± 2 ms. The resting membrane potential of pyramidal neurons was −75 ± 6 mV, their time constants were 21 ± 8 ms. Note that we state mean ± SD throughout the paper.

Subthreshold frequency preference was estimated by injecting a ZAP current. Figure 1, C and D, shows the average response to five presentations of the ZAP current for the cells characterized in the panels above. The prominent peak of the ZAP response for stellate cells ∼17 s translated into a peak in membrane impedance at 11.3 Hz, see Fig. 1E. The pyramidal cell, however, exhibited low-pass filter characteristics. The impedance function showed a maximum at 0 Hz and fell off with increasing frequencies. All stellate cells had an impedance peak between 7 and 15 Hz (average across cells: 11 ± 3 Hz) and a pronounced Q value (ratio between the impedance at the resonance frequency and the impedance at 0 Hz) between 1.2 and 1.8 (average across cells: 1.5 ± 0.3). Pyramidal cells either did not show a resonance at all or the impedance had a maximum at very low frequencies (between 0 and 5 Hz, with

FIG. 1. Characteristic properties of stellate and pyramidal cells (left and right, respectively) A and B: voltage responses to DC current injection (A: −300, −200, −100, 0, 50, 150 pA; B: −300, −200, −100, 50 pA). The stellate cell exhibits membrane potential oscillations at depolarized levels and prominent sag potentials. C and D: averaged responses to ZAP-current injection (5 repetitions, C measured at rest, D measured with a DC component of −200 pA). E and F: experimental impedance functions derived from the response to the ZAP current presented in C and D (black lines) and the corresponding fits (gray lines) with the electric circuit model described in METHODS, see also (Mauro et al. 1970). Stellate cells showed a pronounced resonance at frequencies ∼10 Hz. Pyramidal cells mostly exhibited monotonically decreasing impedance functions; 3 cells also showed a small resonance at low frequencies. G and H: impedance functions of the 2 conductance-based model cells.

parts. The absolute impedance values matched qualitatively. Both types of model neurons contained fast sodium channels (Na), delayed-rectifier potassium channels (Kd), leak channels (leak), and persistent sodium channels (NaP). In addition, the stellate model neuron contained H channels as well as muscarinic potassium channels (Kn). These two conductances were responsible for the subthreshold resonance in the stellate model neuron. Their influence on subthreshold resonances has been shown experimentally for hippocampal CA1 neurons (Hu et al. 2002). The presence of H currents in stellate cells and their involvement in the generation of subthreshold membrane oscillations has been shown previously (Dickson et al. 2000; Richter et al. 2000). There is also indirect evidence for the presence of Kn currents in these cells because they are affected by retigabine (Hetka et al. 1999), which was recently shown to act on muscarinic potassium channels (Rundfeldt and Netzer 2000; Main et al. 2000). In addition, subunits of muscarinic potassium channels (in the KCNQ family) have been found to be expressed in layer II of the entorhinal cortex (Saganich et al. 2001). Because resonance in stellate cells is observed over a wide range of voltages (from hyperpolarized levels to threshold) and the activation ranges of these two currents cover the hyperpolarized (IH) as well as the depolarized (IKn) membrane potentials, we chose these currents to model the resonance in stellate cells. The peak conductances of the three ion channel types were gNaP = 24 mS/cm2, gKn = 3 mS/cm2, and gleak = 0.02 mS/cm2 in both model neuron types. The other conductances were gNaH = 0.04 mS/cm2, gKn = 0.4 mS/cm2, and gfl = 0.25 mS/cm2 (stellate model neuron), and gleak = 0.07 mS/cm2, gKd = 0 mS/cm2, and gfl = 0 mS/cm2 (pyramidal model neuron). The impedance curves for both model neurons measured at rest are shown in Fig. 1, G and H. For details of the model parameters and kinetics see APPENDIX. Small amounts of white Gaussian current noise were injected in addition to the frozen low-pass-filtered noise currents to simulate intrinsic noise sources (SD of σn = 0.01 nA for the stellate model cell, σn = 0.006 nA for the pyramidal model cell). These values for the SD were chosen to match the noise-induced model voltage fluctuations to the voltage fluctuations observed in experiments. The presence of this additional noise, however, had no significant consequences for the results.
an average of $3 \pm 2$ Hz). In addition, the $Q$ values of pyramidal cells were low (1.0–1.2, average: $1.1 \pm 0.1$). For both cell types, the impedances were estimated at a depolarization level that was used for further analysis (i.e., at rest for most cells but also at moderately hyperpolarized or depolarized levels for some cells).

The parameters of the conductance-based model cells were chosen such that their membrane impedance closely matched that of the two representative cells (Fig. 1). For details, see METHODS. The impedances, estimated in the same way as for experiments, are shown in $G$ and $H$ of Fig. 1.

Integration of noise stimuli in the subthreshold regime

To analyze whether the subthreshold frequency selectivity in response to periodic inputs also influences the integration of non-periodic inputs, we followed Haas and White (2002) and injected four different types of frozen low-pass filtered Gaussian noise stimuli. The four types differed in their cutoff frequency, resulting in broadband type stimuli ($f_{\text{cut}} = 53$ Hz) and stimuli with more power at low frequencies ($f_{\text{cut}} = 16, 8, \text{and } 2$ Hz). Figure 2A presents the average power spectra of these stimuli. Figure 2B presents one example for each stimulus type. Figure 2C shows the responses of a representative stellate cell to these stimuli. The raw data demonstrate that the response amplitude is larger for the low-frequency stimuli ($f_{\text{cut}} = 2, 8, \text{ and } 16$ Hz) and smaller for the broadband stimulus ($f_{\text{cut}} = 53$ Hz), although the RMS values of all injected currents were the same.

Does the response amplitude depend on the shape of the input’s power spectrum? If so, can this phenomenon be explained by the frequency selectivity observed for periodic stimuli, as measured by the impedance function? To answer these questions, we quantified the amplitude of the fluctuating responses by their RMS values and compared the different scenarios. Figure 3A presents the voltage response RMS values as a function of the input RMS values for the stellate cell described in Fig. 1. The dependence of the response magnitude on the input RMS value is approximately linear. For an input RMS value of 0 all curves approach the baseline noise level ($<0.2$ mV). For the largest RMS values, the curves bend due to a slight shift of the holding potential during the experiment. Most importantly, however, the response RMS values between the broadband stimulus and each of the lower-frequency stimuli differed strongly. On the other hand, the lower-frequency stimuli were not significantly different from each other. Figure 3B shows the average population data of the recorded stellate cells and confirms the differential response power to broadband versus lower-frequency stimuli. For all measured cells, the broadband RMS values were significantly smaller than those of each of the lower-frequency stimuli (confidence level 99% with Student’s $t$-test at all amplitudes for all recorded cells, with the exception of the lowest 10 pA amplitude, which was close to the noise level, and with the exception of the 16 and 53 Hz cutoff-frequency responses for the pyramidal cell at 200 pA presented in Fig. 3E, where the confidence level was only 80%).

Figure 3C depicts the linear theoretical predictions of the response RMS values based on the measured impedance function of the presented stellate cell (see METHODS). The good agreement between prediction and experimental data indicates that responses of stellate cells to periodic inputs as well as to noise-like stimuli can be explained by the subthreshold frequency selectivity. Figure 3D presents the corresponding results from the conductance-based model stellate cell. The model results match both, experimental data as well as the theoretical prediction.

Figure 3, E–H, shows the same analysis for the pyramidal neuron characterized in Fig. 1. In contrast to the measured stellate cells, the response RMS values differ also among lower-frequency stimuli. As is expected from linear system’s theory, taking the measured impedance function into account, the broadband stimulus had significantly lower power than any of the other lower-frequency stimuli. The conductance-based model neuron confirmed this finding.

FIG. 2. Stimuli and responses. A: actual average power spectra of the 4 stimulus types, which differed in their cutoff frequency, $f_{\text{cut}}$. The black line indicates the idealized theoretical power spectra, the labels in the panel’s top right corner denote the percentage of stimulus power that is contained in the frequency band $<20$ Hz. Lower-frequency stimuli ($f_{\text{cut}} = 16$ Hz and below) have a large percentage of power allocated at frequencies $<20$ Hz. The stimulus $f_{\text{cut}} = 53$ Hz is more broadband-like and less than $1/4$ of its power is allocated to frequencies $<20$ Hz. B: examples of individual stimuli. All stimuli have the same standard deviation (RMS = 50 pA). C: responses of the stellate cell presented in Fig. 1. The RMS voltage fluctuation is marked in the top right corner. Response amplitudes of the broadband stimulus are significantly lower than those of the lower-frequency stimuli.
RMS values reflect only the total amount of power. A more detailed picture is given by the distribution of response magnitude over frequencies, which is depicted in Fig. 4, A and B, on the basis of the experimental responses. For both cell types, the deviations between the responses to the broadband \( (f_{\text{cut}} = 53 \text{ Hz}) \) stimulus and the lower frequency stimulus \( (as \text{ exemplified by the } f_{\text{cut}} = 8 \text{ Hz stimuli}) \) are largest at low frequencies. In addition, the impedance function can also be calculated directly from the responses to noise stimuli. These results are presented in Fig. 4C and D. Complex-valued impedance functions were obtained for each stimulus and averaged over all stimuli \( (at \text{ fixed input RMS values}) \). This average is justified because there were no significant differences between the impedance functions derived from stimuli with different cutoff frequencies and the impedance fluctuations decrease due to the increased size of the total data set. For the stellate cell, an impedance peak \( \sim 10 \text{ Hz} \) is visible. For the pyramidal cell, the impedance decreases monotonically. Because the power spectrum of the used broadband noise stimuli is relatively flat \( (see \text{ Fig. 2A}) \), the overall shapes of the impedance curves \( (\text{Fig. 4, C and D}) \) mirror the amplitude spectrum of voltage responses \( (\text{Fig. 4, A and B}) \).

Integration of noise stimuli in the spiking regime

We also examined whether the differential integration of noise stimuli in the subthreshold regime extends to the spiking regime in terms of firing rates. Again, we analyzed responses to the set of noise stimuli injected on top of a subthreshold holding current \( (or \text{ at rest}) \). The amplitudes of the noise stimuli were increased so that spikes were elicited. Figure 5A shows the average firing rate of the stellate neuron from Fig. 1 in response to the broadband \( (53 \text{ Hz}) \) stimulus and the lower frequency stimulus \( (\text{as exemplified by the } f_{\text{cut}} = 8 \text{ Hz stimuli}) \). The lowest RMS values are achieved when the power spectrum of the used broadband noise stimuli is relatively flat \( (see \text{ Fig. 2A}) \), the overall shapes of the impedance curves \( (\text{Fig. 4, C and D}) \) mirror the amplitude spectrum of voltage responses \( (\text{Fig. 4, A and B}) \).
response to the four noise stimuli (with RMS = 200 pA). Similar to the results in the subthreshold regime, the firing rate evoked by lower-frequency stimuli was higher than that in response to the broadband stimulus (confidence level 99% with Student’s t-test for stimuli with 8- and 16-Hz cutoff frequency, 90% for stimuli of 2-Hz cutoff frequency). The result for the model stellate cell showed the exactly same trend. The measured data as well as the model data suggest that the 16-Hz cutoff stimulus elicited the highest firing rate, but the differences between the three lower-frequency stimuli were not significant. An extended analysis with the conductance-based model cell involving a 10-fold higher number of stimuli per stimulus type suggests an equal firing rate for the 8- and 16-Hz cutoff frequency stimuli (firing rate of ~5 Hz), a lower firing rate (~3 Hz) for the f_{cut} = 2-Hz stimulus, and a firing frequency of ~1.5 Hz for the broadband stimulus (data not shown).

For the pyramidal cells, the subthreshold frequency selectivity was also translated into a differential firing rate (Fig. 5C). The more stimulus power was allocated to lower frequencies, the higher the firing rate. The t-test confirmed a significant difference between the mean firing rate in response to the broadband stimulus and the mean firing rates of the three lower-frequency stimuli (confidence level 99%). The conductance-based pyramidal model showed a qualitatively similar behavior. Thus the stimuli resulting in larger response amplitudes in the subthreshold regime also cause the cells to fire more strongly. As firing rate data were not available for the cell presented in Fig. 1B, data from a different pyramidal cell are shown in Fig. 5C. The ratio of firing rates in response to different stimuli types depended on the stimulus amplitude. As expected, there was a regime of smaller amplitudes in both the experiment and model where only the lower-frequency stimuli caused a cell to spike, while no spikes were observed for broadband stimuli of the same RMS value. For larger amplitudes, the broadband stimulus triggered spikes too but did not reach the firing rate of lower-frequency stimuli in the amplitude regime tested.

Additional results about spike timing reliability in response to frozen-noise stimuli can be summarized as follows: in agreement with Fig. 2 of Haas and White (2002), spike jitter depended more strongly on amplitude than on frequency content. Within our limited data set for this specific question (3 cells, 1 amplitude each, 7–10 repetitions per stimulus, 40 different stimuli of 2-s length), the broadband stimulus type did not seem to induce significantly higher jitter than the other stimulus types; although on average more spikes were skipped for broadband stimuli. This may be explained by the lower effective amplitude of broadband stimuli. From our experience, the used stimulus types may not be ideal to test the influence of subthreshold resonance on spike timing reliability.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we have investigated the influence of subthreshold resonance on the cellular integration of incoming signals. Analyzing the responses to low-pass filtered noise stimuli in cells of the entorhinal cortex, we find that the integration of both periodic and non-periodic stimuli is frequency-selective. Experimentally measured subthreshold responses to noise stimuli can be predicted with high accuracy from the frequency-dependent impedance function of a cell and the power spectrum of the stimulus. The integration of periodic and non-periodic inputs is therefore governed by the same mechanism of frequency selectivity and is in full accordance with the response characteristics of a linear system. Consequently, it is not surprising that in pyramidal as well as stellate cells, broadband noise stimuli cause subthreshold voltage responses of significantly less power than stimuli with a higher amount of power allocated at low frequencies. Conductance-based model cells show only minute differences in the integration of signals when compared to experimental observations or linear predictions. This strengthens the view that there is no need for an additional biophysical mechanism that would have a differential effect on the integration of periodic and non-periodic signals.

Subthreshold resonance is shaped by the dynamics of ionic currents (Hutcheon and Yarom 2000), whose activation often depends on the membrane potential. Subthreshold resonances may therefore change with voltage. Resonances shaped by an H current are mainly present at rest and in a hyperpolarized regime. They decrease in size with depolarization because the H current is preferentially activated in the hyperpolarized regime (Hutcheon et al. 1996b). Half-activation voltages in stellate cells were estimated between −77 and −95 mV (Dickson et al. 2000; Richter et al. 2000). In the additional presence of a slow non-inactivating potassium current, such as an \( K_n \) current, however, subthreshold resonance can also extend to depolarized values of the membrane potential (Hu et al. 2002). While the currents underlying stellate cell resonance at more depolarized levels of membrane potential have not been iden-

**FIG. 5.** Subthreshold frequency selectivity influences firing rate. A: average firing rate in response to each stimulus type for a stellate cell. Similar to the subthreshold regime the firing rates obtained from responses to the lower-frequency stimuli were larger than that of the broadband type stimuli (input RMS = 200 pA). B: the firing rates of the model stellate cell confirm the finding. Stimulus amplitudes (equal for all stimulus types) were adjusted to yield similar firing rates as in the experiments. C: for pyramidal cells the firing rate is a monotonic function of the stimulus cutoff frequency, analogous to the subthreshold regime. D: qualitatively, the model pyramidal cell shows the same trend. For all panels, the error bars indicate the SD of firing rates within 1 stimulus type.
tified yet, it has been found that subthreshold resonance is not constrained to rest or the hyperpolarizing regime. In fact, resonance in stellate cells shows only a small dependence on membrane potential: the impedance values moderately increase toward threshold (I. Erchova, G. Krecek, U. Heinemann, and A.V.M. Herz, unpublished results), as would be expected from voltage-dependent conductances. The change in resonance frequency with voltage is small. Accordingly, we find that the significant difference in response magnitude between broadband stimuli and lower-frequency stimuli is preserved over depolarized and hyperpolarized values of the membrane potential (data not shown). The difference between the stimuli tends to increase toward threshold. Because, overall, resonance in stellate cells does not dramatically change with membrane potential, we do not expect a qualitative dependence of signal integration on membrane potential.

The observed integration of subthreshold signals differs from the findings of Haas and White (2002) in the same experimental system; they do not describe a difference in response magnitude between broadband and lower-frequency stimuli. Comparing the observations in both studies, the responses reported by those authors show a higher level of stimulus-uncorrelated noise. This difference in noise may explain the deviation in the overall RMS voltage response between both studies. Why the studies differ in their observations regarding the response magnitude of broadband and lower-frequency stimuli, however, remains unclear.

We find that the frequency-selectivity for subthreshold stimuli also translates to the spiking regime. When the amplitudes of noise stimuli are increased to elicit spikes, maximum firing rates are obtained for inputs that concentrate power around the resonance frequency. These firing rates, however, are more sensitive to the particular choice of the stimulus set. For model cells, the subthreshold response magnitudes (RMS values) are stable with regard to different stimuli drawn from the same stimulus type, whereas for stimuli with amplitudes large enough to cause spikes, the firing rates depend more strongly on the specific stimuli chosen. The stimulus type eliciting maximum firing rate is either the 16-Hz cutoff stimulus or the 8-Hz cutoff stimulus. This observation goes along with the finding that for the set presented in this paper (Fig. 5), no significant distinction between the 16 and 8-Hz cutoff stimuli could be achieved. Nevertheless, the data indicate that additional non-linearities induced by spiking may influence frequency-selectivity. The effect of subthreshold frequency preference on spiking responses agrees qualitatively with observations made in previous studies, which have shown subthreshold resonance to influence spike timing reliability (Haas and White 2002) and firing-rate modulation (Richardson et al. 2002).

In conclusion, we have shown that the subthreshold frequency selectivity in stellate and pyramidal cells in entorhinal cortex does act equally on different types of stimuli. The output power is fully determined by the power spectrum of the stimulus and the cell’s subthreshold frequency preference. The integration of subthreshold signals is approximately linear over a wide range of amplitudes. For spiking responses, the frequency selectivity observed below threshold qualitatively holds; mechanisms such as spike-induced afterhyperpolarization can be expected to slightly modify the frequency selectivity.

Because subthreshold resonances can act as a frequency-dependent amplifier of small-amplitude signals, they are likely to play an important role in the frequency dependent gating of signals to the hippocampus. Our results demonstrate that there is no sudden change in the response characteristics between the subthreshold and the spiking regime. Perhaps surprisingly, responses in both scenarios are well described by simple neuron models.

**APPENDIX**

The kinetics of all currents were adjusted to 36°C. The value of the capacitance was $C_m = 1 \mu F/cm^2$. The cell geometry was cylindrical with diameter and length measuring 89.2 \mu m. For the kinetics and parameters of Na, K, Na_p, and leak currents (apart from the peak conductances, which are stated in METHODS), we refer the reader to (Golomb and Amitai 1997). The reversal potentials were $E_{Na} = 55 mV$, $E_k = -90 mV$, $E_{leak} = -80 mV$, $E_h = -43 mV$.

The slow non-inactivating muscarinic potassium current was defined as

$$I_{mus} = g_{Kmus}(V - E_k)$$

$$\frac{dn_s}{dt} = \frac{(n_s - n_s^o)}{\tau_n}$$

$$\tau_n = 1000[3.0(\exp((V - \theta_1)/\sigma_{n1}) + \exp(-(V - \theta_2)/\sigma_{n2})) \times T_{adj}]$$

where $g_{Kmus}$ is the peak muscarinic conductance, $E_k = -90 mV$, $\theta_1 = -35 mV$, $\sigma_{n1} = 10 mV$, $\theta_2 = -35 mV$, $\sigma_{n2} = 40 mV$, $T_{adj} = 20 mV$, and $T = 36°C$ (for reference, see Yamada et al. 1989; Guiffreund et al. 1995). Technically, values of $\tau_n < 0.001$ were set to 0.001. Exponentials with arguments larger than 50 were set to exp(50).

The H current was defined as

$$I_h = g_h(0.8h_1 + 0.2h_2)(V - E_h)$$

$$\frac{dh_1}{dt} = \frac{(h_1 - h_1^o)}{\tau_1}$$

$$\frac{dh_2}{dt} = \frac{(h_2 - h_2^o)}{\tau_2}$$

$$h_s = 1(1 + \exp((V + \theta_h/7))$$

where $g_h$ is the peak H conductance, $E_h = -43 mV$, $\theta_h = 82 mV$, $\tau_1 = 40 ms$, $\tau_2 = 300 ms$. The equations are based on Spain et al. (1987); see also Bernard et al. (1994) and Hutcheon et al. (1996a).
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