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Starovoytov Artem, Jung Choi, and H. Sebastian Seung. Light-directed electrical stimulation of neurons cultured on silicon wafers. J Neurophysiol 93: 1090–1098, 2005. First published September 22, 2004; doi:10.1152/jn.00836.2004. Dissociated neurons cultured in vitro can serve as a model system for studying the dynamics of neural networks. Such studies depend on techniques for stimulating patterns of neural activity. We show a technique for extracellular stimulation of dissociated neurons cultured on silicon wafers. When the silicon surface is reverse biased, electrical current can be generated near any neuron by pulsing a laser. Complex spatiotemporal stimulation patterns can be produced by directing a single beam with an acousto-optic deflector. The technique can generate a stimulating current at any location in the culture. This contrasts with multielectrode arrays (MEAs), which can stimulate only at fixed electrode locations. To characterize reliability and spatial selectivity of stimulation, we used intracellular (patch-clamp) recordings to monitor the effect of targeted laser pulses on cultured hippocampal neurons. Action potentials could be stimulated with submillisecond precision and 100-micron spatial resolution at rates exceeding 100 Hz. Optimal control parameters for stimulation are discussed.

INTRODUCTION

Cultured dissociated neurons are widely used for investigating the properties of synapses (Bi and Poo 1998; Goda and Stevens 1996) and molecules important in neural function (Sampo et al. 2003). They are also used to study the dynamics of neural networks (Bi and Poo 1999). For studying the behavior of networks, it is helpful to have ways of recording and stimulating activity patterns in many neurons simultaneously. For example, flat multielectrode arrays (MEAs) have become popular for this purpose (DeMarse et al. 2001; Gross 1979; Gross et al. 1993; Jimbo and Kawana 1992; Pine 1980; Shahaf and Marom 2001; Thomas et al. 1972). MEA approach, however, is hindered by a limited accuracy of individual addressing: randomly positioned cells don’t usually match the predefined positions of microelectrodes. Controlled individual neuron-to-electrode interfacing in culture by means of growth guidance or immobilization has proven to be a challenging task (Fromherz et al. 1991; Maher et al. 1999; Sanjana and Fuller 2004; Zeck and Fromherz 2001).

Here we introduce a technique for electrical stimulation of neurons cultured on plain unprocessed silicon wafers. When a bias voltage is applied to the silicon/electrolyte interface, the silicon surface becomes depleted of majority carriers (Memminger 2001). No current flows at the interface in the dark, but a local photocurrent can be generated with a laser pulse. Effectively, a “virtual” stimulating electrode is transiently created at a particular location on the silicon surface. The location of this virtual electrode is controlled by redirecting a laser beam with an acousto-optic deflector, allowing the stimulation of spatiotemporal activity patterns.

Our approach is inspired by Colicos et al. (2001), who showed stimulation of neurons cultured on silicon by holding illumination constant and modulating bias voltage. Here we achieve true spatiotemporal control of stimulation by holding bias voltage constant and modulating illumination locally. In contrast with MEA stimulation, possible stimulation sites are not limited to a set of fixed electrode locations. A “virtual” stimulation electrode can be transiently created at any location on the silicon. Our technique does not provide the recording capabilities of MEAs, but it could potentially be combined with optical imaging of neural activity for bi-directional communication with cultured networks.

Patch-clamp recordings of neurons were performed to monitor the effects of generating photocurrent at different locations. Some locations lead to direct stimulation of an action potential in the recorded neuron. Other locations lead to indirect stimulation, presumably due to synaptic input from other neurons that are directly stimulated. Spatial maps of these locations can be easily acquired by scanning the laser across the flat neural network. Our results suggest that distant processes are often more sensitive to stimulation than cell bodies, a view supported by some theoretical studies (McIntyre and Grill 1999; Rattay 1999) as well as by MEA experiments (Wagenaar et al. 2003). The area of the network directly affected by our virtual electrode is roughly 100 microns in width. Possible reasons why this is broader than the illuminated spot are given in DISCUSSION. Temporal precision of stimulation is submillisecond, owing to the speed of the acousto-optic deflector. Repetitive stimulation of a neuron is possible at rates exceeding 100 Hz, essentially limited only by the refractory period of the neuron.

The generation of “virtual” electrodes on silicon exploits the properties of semiconductor electrode in contact with electrolyte. However, in its effects, our technique resembles other methods of electrically stimulating neurons. Therefore it enjoys the advantages that are typical of such methods, as well as the limitations. In particular, our technique is similar in its capabilities to MEA stimulation (Wagenaar et al. 2004). Comparison with other methods of optically controlled stimulation of neurons is found in DISCUSSION.

METHODS

Hippocampal cultures

Cells from P1–P2 rat hippocampi and culture media were prepared using a protocol described by Hagler and Goda (2001). The silicon base...
of a specially designed culture dish (Silicon culture plate fabrication) was coated with poly-d-lysine/collagen. Cess (120,000–150,000) were plated onto each dish in 2 ml of culture medium. After 2 days, one-half of the medium was replaced with culture medium containing 8 μM ARA-C (cytosine arabinoside) to limit glia growth. Thereafter, the cells were fed weekly in the same manner with the medium containing 4 μM ARA-C.

Stimulation experiments were performed with 3- to 5-wk-old cultures. It should be emphasized that our cultures contained neurons growing on a monolayer of glial cells. After 3 wk in vitro, this layer was almost completely covering the silicon surface and could have some influence on electrical stimulation (see DISCUSSION).

Silicon culture plate fabrication

We used 375-μm-thick single-side-polished (100)-oriented silicon wafers boron-doped to 7–17 Ωcm (UniversityWafer.com and Virginia Semiconductor). Native oxide was left intact. Wafers with 100-nm thermal oxide layer and wafers with lower bulk resistivities were also tested. These produced inferior stimulation results (data not shown).

Holes of 1 cm diam were cut in the bottom of 35-mm culture dishes (Corning). The silicon wafers were cut into 1.5 × 1.5-cm² square pieces and glued, polished side up, to the bottom side of each dish (Fig. 1, top). Silicone rubber was used for gluing (Dow Corning 3140). Prepared dishes were rinsed with ethanol before plating dissociated cells to improve wetting of the silicon surface.

Bias voltage

Our technique requires that a bias voltage be applied to the interface between the silicon and the solution above it. This was done by making ohmic electrical contact to the unpolished, back side of the wafer. The back side was scratched, painted with InGa eutectic (Aldrich Chemical Company), and placed on a flat copper electrode. The ground electrode was a silver/silver chloride pellet in the solution above the silicon. A DC power supply (Hewlett-Packard E3615A) was used to bias the back side negatively with respect to the ground electrode.

An equivalent circuit of this arrangement is shown in Fig. 1 (bottom). When a negative bias is applied to p-type silicon, it causes the silicon surface to be depleted of majority carriers. This depletion layer is important for our technique, because it allows silicon to be used as an electrode that is controlled by light. Both Cᵢ and Rₛ dynamically depend on applied bias and illumination. In the dark, Rₛ is high, current is negligible, and the double layer capacitor Cᵢ is charged by the applied voltage Vₛ. No measurable electric current was observed with moderately (~1 V) negative bias. When the silicon is illuminated, photogenerated electrons are driven to the surface (Zhang 2001). Depending on the applied bias, the result is either DC photocurrent or transient light-on and light-off currents (see discussion of Fig. 9).

When ionic current flows through the solution from the ground electrode toward the silicon substrate, the extracellular potential Vₑ near the silicon surface experiences an offset associated with ohmic resistance Rₛ (Fig. 1, bottom) of the bath solution. The resulting artifact is present in our captured patch voltage traces (RESULTS), providing a convenient marker for the temporal location of photocurrent pulse.

We used an additional 1-KΩ resistor in series with the sample (Rᵣ in Fig. 1, bottom) to measure the stimulating current that was generated by pulsed illumination of the interface. Effects of applied bias, optical power, and pulse duration on the amplitude and shape of photocurrent pulses were studied. Results for bias dependence will be discussed in Photocurrent dependence on stimulation parameters. The same dishes with neural culture were used for photocurrent measurements to allow for possible modification of electro-chemical properties of semiconductor-to-solution interface due to the presence of biolayers. This technique measures the total photocurrent across the interface but does not reveal the detailed spatial profile of photocurrent density.

In principle, n-type silicon could be used instead of p-type silicon. The difference is that the back side would have to be biased positively relative to bath solution to produce a depletion layer. Under illumination, holes, rather than free electrons, would be pulled to the silicon/solution interface, which would lead to anodic oxidation of the silicon surface (Zhang 2001).

Targeted illumination pulses

The optical arrangement used in our experiments is shown in Fig. 2. A 660-nm laser diode (ML101J8, Mitsubishi) was powered by a Thorlabs LDC2000 controller. The illumination power measured at the sample ranged from 0.1 to 2 mW. A bi-axial acousto-optical deflector (AOD; 2DS-75-45–633, Brimrose) was used for controlling beam position at the sample. The laser diode was coupled to the AOD through a 2-m-long optical fiber. The diffracted (1,1) component of AOD output, carrying roughly 50% of the optical power of the AOD input, was directed into a modified Olympus BXFM upright microscope and focused at the surface of the sample with a long working distance objective (XFluor 4x NA 0.28, 1-UB950). The low magnification objective provided a large field of view, exceeding 1 mm of the sample. The same objective was used to visualize the cultured neurons. Other components of the AOD output were stopped on apertures before the microscope. In addition, scan and tube lenses
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were used to guide the beam through the center of microscope objective for all the deflection angles (Tsai et al. 2002). The light-off state was implemented with the AOD by directing the beam out of an aperture positioned in the focal plane between the scan and tube lenses. To produce a light pulse, the beam was directed to a target location for a desired duration, typically 100–200 μs. AOD command voltages for \( x \) and \( y \) deflections of the laser beam were supplied by the two analog output channels of a National Instruments PCI-6052E acquisition board at 100 KHz. Since the access time of the supply is roughly 10 μs, it is possible to target several locations in the microscope field of view virtually simultaneously.

Electrophysiology and imaging

Whole cell patch-clamp recordings of neurons were performed in current-clamp mode (Axon Instruments Multi-Clamp 700A). The external bath solution had pH 7.3 and contained (in mM) 145 NaCl, 3 KCl, 10 HEPES, 3 CaCl\(_2\), 8 glucose, and 2 MgCl\(_2\) (Bi and Poo 1998). When only direct stimulation of the patched cell was of interest, synaptic transmission was blocked by adding the N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor antagonist \( \text{D}-2\text{-amino-5-phosphono-valerate (D-AP5)} \), the non-NMDA receptor antagonist \( \text{6-cyano-7-nitroquinoxaline-2,3-dione (CNQX)} \), and the GABAergic chloride channel blocker picrotoxin (PTX) to bath solution in 100, 20, and 20 μM concentrations, respectively. Partial replacement of the bath solution was performed every 1–2 h.

The internal solution of the electrodes (5–7 MΩ) contained (in mM) 130 K gluconate, 10 KCl, 0.6 EGTA, 5 HEPES, 0.06 CaCl\(_2\), 2 Mg-ATP, 0.2 GTP, 0.2 leupeptine, and 20 phosphocreatine, and 50 μM creatine-phosphokinase (Arancio et al. 1995). At most, a 25 MΩ series resistance was considered acceptable in our recordings. Membrane resistances were typically 100–200 MΩ. All chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

To visualize neurites of patched cells, the fluorescent dyes Alexa Fluor 488 hydrozide or biocytin (A10436,A12924, Molecular Probes) were added to the pipette solution in a subset of our experiments. Concentrations of 5–10 mM were used. The dye was loaded by injecting negative current (2 nA) into the cell for 15 min following the laser-directed stimulation sessions, during which regions of successful stimulation of the patched cell were detected. Fluorescent images were acquired using Sensicam QE (Cook Corp.) and a Filter set (41012) from Chroma Technologies.

User interface and data presentation format

A graphical user interface was implemented in MATLAB. In one operating mode (point-and-shoot), a microscope image of the cultured neurons was displayed on the computer screen, and the user could click at any location in the image to send a laser pulse to that location. In the other mode (scan), the computer-directed laser pulses at a grid of points in a chosen rectangular area. The results of point-and-shoot experiments are displayed as individual intracellular recordings of membrane voltage in response to laser stimulation. The results of scan experiments are displayed as two-dimensional (2D) maps of intracellular spike amplitudes or latencies with respect to stimulation location. A video of both types of data being acquired is available.\(^1\)

In scan mode without synaptic blockers (Electrophysiology and imaging), successive stimulations had to be separated by several hundred milliseconds. This allowed any network activity caused by the previous stimulation to die away before the next stimulation. With the sampled points separated by 50 μm, the visible area of the sample was covered in ~5 min. With synaptic transmission blocked, samples were scanned in <1 min. The scans, as presented in the figures, were composed of top-to-bottom passes ordered from left to right.

RESULTS

Stimulation in the presence of synaptic transmission

An example of stimulation without synaptic blockers in the bath solution is shown in Fig. 3. Patch-clamp recordings of a single neuron were captured (Fig. 3B) while performing manual point-and-shoot stimulation of various locations (Fig. 3A, color markers). For each location, two to three traces of the same color are shown. During the first 10 ms after stimulation, the traces corresponding to same location superimpose almost perfectly, showing a high degree of reproducibility. The events around 20 ms after stimulation display some temporal jitter. The traces corresponding to different stimulation locations look quite different, showing that our technique has spatial specificity.

Complete visible area scans with grid spacing of 50 μm were performed for the same patched cell. Figure 3C shows the peak voltage in response to stimulation. Some locations produce action potentials, while others produce subthreshold responses. The noisiness of the map is due to spontaneous activity. Figure 3D shows the timing of the peak voltage for suprathreshold events. Repeated scans of the sample produce roughly the same maps (data not shown).

Stimulation with synaptic transmission blocked

The dynamics of the intracellular voltage in Fig. 3 show a complex mixture of action potentials and subthreshold events. Some of this complexity could be due to synaptic inputs from other stimulated neurons. Therefore we performed experiments with synaptic blockers (AP5, CNQX, and PTX). A typical result is depicted in Fig. 4 (corresponding video record is available as supplemental material, see footnote 1). Responses from the patched neuron (Fig. 4A) were recorded both in scan and in point-and-shoot modes. When the entire field of view was scanned, two areas of successful stimulation were detected (Fig. 4B, where the grayscale labeling of each tested location corresponds to the latencies relative to the stimulation pulse). This neuron was sensitive to stimulation in two regions, with, correspondingly, 8- and 11-ms delays. Stimulation near the soma had no effect. Notably, all the data points of the larger (the lower) area are characterized by the same latency, suggesting that the same “hot spot” in the culture was stimulated when pulsing the laser in this area.

Locations along the diagonal that crosses both hot spots were tested in point-and-shoot mode (Fig. 4, A and B, color-

\(^1\) The Supplementary Material for this article (a video) is available online at http://jn.physiology.org/cgi/content/full/00836.2004/DC1.
Although voltage traces corresponding to 30 locations are shown in Fig. 4C, it is impossible to see them all in the graph. This is because the traces fall into three classes (2 distinctly different hot spots and all the other areas), and the traces within each class are almost identical, coinciding with an accuracy of 100–200 μs (Fig. 4C, inset).

Because synaptic transmission has been eliminated, the results of stimulation are markedly different from Fig. 3. First, there are no subthreshold responses to stimulation. If the neuron responds at all, it generates an action potential. Second, the action potentials are stereotyped in shape; only the latency differs between stimulation sites. These properties were observed in all our experiments involving direct stimulation only, that is, no synaptically mediated stimulation. Because of the stereotypy of the action potentials, it is likely that they are initiated in the axon, and travel antidromically to the soma, where they are recorded.

Latencies in the range of 1–20 ms were observed in our experiments. Similar surprisingly long (≥20 ms) latencies have been reported by other researchers when stimulating cultured neurons with multi-electrode arrays in the presence of synaptic blockers (Wagenaar et al. 2004).² It is not obvious

² Without synaptic blockers, stable responses with latencies even >20 ms have been observed (Bi and Poo 1999; Shahaf and Marom 2001).
**Fluorescent dye labeling of extended neurites**

In 20 experiments, fluorescent dye was added to the pipette solution and loaded into the patched cell during the stimulation session. Images of labeled cells were captured after the patch electrode was removed. The locations of the hot spots, detected during the stimulation session, were matched to the visualized processes of the patched neuron. In five cases, a single neurite or a group of neurites crossed the areas of successful stimulation. An example is shown in Fig. 5. Synaptic transmission was blocked in this experiment, so no subthreshold events were observed (Fig. 5B). Three suprathreshold regions were detected by the scan with 2-, 4-, and 5-ms delays of the peak voltage relative to the stimulation pulse. One of the labeled neurites is passing the centers of all these three areas (Fig. 5, B and C). This neurite, which is fainter and longer than others and makes characteristic sharp turns, is believed to be an axon of the patched cell. The latencies of the three stimulated areas are consistent with the assumption that stimulation travels antidromically along this presumed axon. For example, the earliest response was observed when stimulating in the topmost region, which is much closer to the soma along the neurite compared with other two regions.

In 15 of 20 experiments, the detected hot spots did not match any of the labeled neurites. However, in these cases, there was no long thin neurite that looked like an axon. Therefore we believe that the negative results of these experiments were due to failure to successfully label axons. We do not believe that these experiments contradict the hypothesis of axonal stimulation.

Even if this hypothesis is accepted, it is still not clear why the axons were only susceptible to stimulation in a limited set of locations, rather than along all the visible paths. There must be other factors determining the locations of the observed hot spots. The primary candidates are spatial nonuniformities of silicon (native oxide) surface and discontinuities of glial layer growing on it (see **DISCUSSION**).

**Optimal stimulation parameters**

The amount of photocurrent produced by a laser pulse at the silicon depends on the value of the bias voltage, as well as on the intensity and duration of the illumination pulse. Therefore we studied the effects of varying these parameters on stimulation.

To characterize the spatial selectivity of stimulation, we measured the radius (or half-width) of the region where pulsing the laser was followed by action potential spikes in the patched neuron. When stimulation strength parameters were gradually adjusted from below to above the threshold of stimulation, neuronal responses would change from “no response” to probabilistic spikes in a narrow (≤50 μm) region to 100% reliable spikes in a ≥100-μm region with probabilistic spikes at the edges.

Figure 6A shows widening of the region sensitive to stimulation with the applied bias voltage. The summary is shown in Fig. 6B, where the analysis of linear 20-μm step scans across the responding area are presented. There is a threshold voltage above which
stimulation is possible. Above threshold, the width increases with increasing bias until saturation is reached.3

As discussed below, these graphs also depend on the illumination power and duration as well as variations between different neurons. The saturated value for stimulation range could be kept below a radius of 100 μm by adjusting illumination parameters.

The dependence of stimulation range on illumination parameters is shown in Fig. 7. In Fig. 7A, illumination power is varied, while bias voltage and illumination duration are held constant. In Fig. 7B, illumination duration is varied, while bias voltage and illumination power are held constant. Again, the graphs have a threshold and a saturation.

Based on our results from many experiments, illumination at 0.3 mW for 100 μs was typically optimal for all tested cells. Threshold bias values for different neurons, on the contrary, were found to vary in a wide range (~0.4 to 1.5 V) from neuron to neuron. Furthermore, different responding locations for the same cell were usually found to “turn on” at different bias values. This is shown in Fig. 8, where spike-time-delay data recorded from the same cell are shown for different bias values applied during the scans. New responding locations were “turned on” when bias was increased from 0.4 to 0.45 and to 0.50 V. Further increase in bias value caused the responding areas to broaden, which corresponds to a loss of spatial selectivity: all three regions are seen merging at 0.65 V (bottom right subplot). Note that at 0.4 V bias (top left subplot), only a 4-ms delayed spike stimulated in a remote low-threshold (rightmost) location was detected, while the nearest, possibly somatic, stimulation did not reach the threshold (see the brightest area in all the other subplots characterized by 2-ms delay).

**Reliability of stimulation**

More than 100 silicon culture dish samples were used in our experiments, with 3–10 cells patched on each sample. Because the goal of this study was to investigate the direct stimulation capabilities of the technique, synaptic connections were blocked most of the time. For approximately two of three patched cells, it was possible to achieve stimulation within the available range of stimulation strength, in which cases one to four different stimulation sites were typically detected for each cell with variable spatial precision depending on the stimulation strength used. Both the reliability and the selectivity of stimulation are thus dependent on the stimulation parameters. According to our statistics, maximizing the strength of the photocurrent pulse allows stimulation of roughly 70% of cells in culture while setting the stimulation strength parameters to lower values provides for more selective addressing of a lower percentage of cells. For example, when using ~0.6 V bias with 100-μs pulses of 0.3-mW illumination in the presence of synaptic blockers, stimulation sites were observed for only about 20% of the patched cells.

Without synaptic blockers, reproducible intracellular voltage changes following stimulation pulses were detected in 100% of patched cells.

**Photocurrent dependence on stimulation parameters**

To address the physical nature of this wide variation of threshold bias values and to show the extracellular currents associated with pulsed illumination of the substrate in our
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measurable photocurrent was only present at bias values below negative 0.4–0.5 V; 2) photocurrent amplitude saturated at around −1.4 V (see the emphasized light gray curve), with further increase of applied bias affecting only the shape of photocurrent pulses; and 3) the weak bias regimen was characterized by purely capacitive biphasic photocurrent (see the emphasized black curve corresponding to −0.6 V bias), DC photocurrent was observed in the strong bias regimen (the last curve corresponds to −1.9 V), and slower transient features were present in the intermediate bias range (see the emphasized dark gray curve corresponding to −0.9 V).

Increasing illumination pulse duration in the weak bias regimen had no effect on the photocurrent amplitude and only a limited effect on total charge transferred (data not shown). Increasing optical power in the weak bias regimen had a limited effect on photocurrent amplitude and almost no effect on the amount of charge transferred (data not shown).

DISCUSSION

We have shown a method for extracellular electrical stimulation of neurons. Our method is applicable to neurons cultured on silicon, which serves simultaneously as substrate and stimulating electrode. When an appropriate bias voltage is applied, only the illuminated region of the silicon passes current, so that the stimulating electrode is light-addressable.

Multielectrode arrays can also be used for spatially controlled electrical stimulation, but only at the fixed locations on the array. With our method, any location is addressable through control of the illumination. Furthermore, our method has the advantage of using low-cost, disposable substrates.

Other methods for using light to control stimulation of neurons have been proposed. For example, a laser can be used to uncage the excitatory neurotransmitter glutamate, which stimulates neurons through glutamate receptors (Katz and Dalva 1994; Shepherd et al. 2003). Chemical stimulation has the virtue that it does not stimulate axons, unlike electrical stimulation. However, it has less temporal precision and may not be useful for repetitive stimulation if receptors desensitize. Another method is to express light-sensitive ion channels in neurons and stimulate with light (Zemelman et al. 2002). For this method, the latency to stimulation ranges from several hundred milliseconds to several tens of seconds, so the temporal control is not very fine, although the spatial resolution is very good.

To characterize our stimulation technique, we have combined it with intracellular recordings of neurons. To our kno-
edge, such characterization is still lacking for MEA stimulation. Our results suggest that axons, rather than cell bodies, are the primary sites of stimulation. Action potentials recorded in the presence of synaptic blockers seem to be antidromic. In some cases, the spike latency for each stimulation site could be explained by a velocity of propagation of 0.1–1.0 m/s. However, in other cases, the dependence of spike latency on distance from the cell body was more complicated. These cases may correspond to axons with winding, rather than straight paths. When putative axons were identified in dye labeling, the stimulation sites matched the visible path of the axon, and latency values were consistent with the travel distances to the soma. We are inclined to rule out the possibility of dendritic stimulation based on the fact that subthreshold events were not observed when synaptic transmission was blocked: if stimulation-induced changes of intracellular potential in dendrites were arriving at the soma, a monotonic dependence of recorded voltage amplitude on the strength of stimulation would be observed rather than “ON-OFF” response with a sharp threshold.

Only particular locations on putative axons were found to be susceptible to stimulation. This could be due to the effect of the glial layer or the microscopic nonuniformities of semiconductor surface and/or the native oxide. It should be taken into account that when the silicon is locally illuminated, a cloud of free electric carriers is generated. For p-type silicon, electrons, the minority carriers, are important for the photocurrent in the reversed bias regimen. The spatial extent of the cloud of free electrons photogenerated in semiconductor is determined by the minority carrier lifetime and diffusion coefficient and could be on the order of 100 μm in our case (George et al. 2000). If there were low-resistivity cross-interface pathways due to, for example, microscopic holes in the glial layer, most of the electric current would “escape” through such pathways found in a 100-μm radius around the illuminated location, and only the pathways traversed by the neurites of the patched cell would have a stimulating effect. This would explain why our recorded responses were sometimes characterized with exactly the same latency when stimulated in a rather wide area (e.g., Fig. 4B, bottom area). Notably, the same could be true for MEA stimulation: discontinuities of glial layer could disturb electric current densities around microelectrodes—the effect, however, would be hard to detect with 100- to 200-μm spacing between the electrically addressable locations.

The physical mechanism of this type of stimulation is not fully understood. Measurements (Fig. 9) show sustained DC photocurrent under strong bias when hydrolysis of water is enabled and transient light-on and light-off currents associated with surface states dynamics at lower bias values (Memming 2001). In our experiments, stimulation was possible by means of biphasic transient photocurrent in the weak bias regimen, suggesting that capacitive nonfaradaic currents are capable of suprathreshold depolarization.

Because bias values weaker than the relevant electrochemical potentials could be used for most of the patched cells, the capacitive coupling model of stimulation (Fromherz and Stett 1995) seems to be relevant. Processes were only susceptible to stimulation in particular locations, unique for each patched cell. Local discontinuities or low resistivity regions of the glial layer could allow for better capacitive coupling of semiconductor/solution double layer with the neural membrane of traversing neurites.

Some cells could only be stimulated with intermediate-to-strong bias values. Even though DC photocurrent in the strong bias regimen should be accompanied by electrochemical reactions at the surface, neither adverse effect on the culture nor the modification of electrical properties of interface were observed during 1- to 2-h stimulation experiments. This could be due to a short-duration of stimulation pulses (100–200 μs) and/or due to the slow reverse reaction during the prolonged “dark” time periods.

The spatial resolution of any electrical stimulation technique, including our own, is compromised by the fact that passing fibers of neurons are stimulated. This can lead to stimulation of a neuron with a distant cell body, so that the radius of directly affected part of the network is determined by the length of neural fibers. Despite these limitations of electrical stimulation, we expect our

---

**Fig. 8.** Spike-delay results acquired from the same patch using different bias values as shown on top of each map; 100-μm scan step. Location of the patched cell is marked. Shades of gray correspond to different spike-time delays; values are shown at the bottom right.

**Fig. 9.** Photocurrent traces for different bias values in 0.4- to 1.9-V intervals. Two pulses of laser illumination, 0.3- and 10-ms durations, were applied in each case. Curves for −0.6, −0.9, and −1.4-V bias are emphasized.

---

\( \text{H}_2\text{O} + e^- \rightleftharpoons \frac{1}{2}\text{H}_2 + \text{OH}^- \)

We observe transition from capacitive to DC photocurrent in the 1- to 2-V range (see Fig. 9), which is in agreement with roughly −1 V standard potential of this reaction vs. Ar/ArCl electrode, taking into account possible overpotential required for sustained measurable current (Bard and Faulkner 2001).
method to be useful for creating spatiotemporal patterns of activity in neurons, as shown in Fig. 3.

Excluding the added radius due to passing fibers, the spatial resolution intrinsic to our technique is around 100 microns, which is in agreement with the expected bulk diffusion length assuming a sub-10-\(\mu\)m minority carrier lifetime (Bousse et al. 1994). One can imagine some possible ways of improving this. One possibility is to dope the silicon with gold. The recombination centers should reduce the lateral diffusion of photogenerated minority carriers. Another possibility is to platinize the silicon surface to facilitate charge transfer from the silicon to the solution (Dominey et al. 1982). One can also imagine methods of patterning the silicon surface to improve the spatial resolution.

The structure and the operating principles of our stimulating electrode resemble those of a light-addressable potentiometric sensor (LAPS), except that no oxide layer is intentionally grown on the semiconductor surface. The LAPS is a semiconductor-electrolyte device for measurement of local surface potential changes, with the measurement point conveniently controlled by scanning the laser (Ismail et al. 2002; Parak et al. 1997). As in the case of LAPS, we locally modulate conductivity in the depletion layer of semiconductor by means of local free carrier photogeneration. Minority carrier diffusion is believed to be put a limitation on the spatial resolution of LAPS (George et al. 2000), which supports our understanding of the observed stimulation range broadening. Interestingly, the use of LAPS for extracellular recording of spiking activity in plated cells is being investigated (Ismail et al. 2003). It is too early to know whether integrating such recording with our stimulation technique is feasible because extracellular voltage changes to be recorded are near detection threshold for LAPS and optimizing the device for recording may contradict the optimal stimulation recipe laid out in this article.
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