Optical Recording of Fast Neuronal Membrane Potential Transients in Acute Mammalian Brain Slices by Second-Harmonic Generation Microscopy
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INTRODUCTION

Measuring electrical phenomenon of excitable cells is vital to understanding both their intrinsic and network properties. These recordings are still predominantly accomplished through the use of microelectrodes, which are generally limited to recording from only a few positions in a sample (Stuart and Sakmann 1994). Optical methods of recording from only a few positions in a sample (Stuart and Sakmann 1994) or unless prior knowledge of the cellular properties exists (Djurisic et al. 2004). Such recordings have been made from neurons in superficial layers of thick specimens (Antic 2003; Djurisic et al. 2004; Milojkovic et al. 2004); however, these techniques are generally limited by light scattering to depths less than ~50 μm below the surface. To record Vm activity optically deep in intact systems with high spatial resolution, nonlinear optical methods [second-harmonic generation (SHG) or two-photon fluorescence (TPF)] are needed (Denk and Svoboda 1997; Denk et al. 1990; Dombeck et al. 2003; Kuhn et al. 2004; Mertz 2004; Zipfel et al. 2003a). The challenges of observing fast (~1 ms) Vm events with nonlinear microscopy are significant, mainly because of the relatively small number of photons collected per time-point. The corresponding shot noise places strict limitations on the level of detectable signals and dye response to ΔVm that are needed. Compounding this problem for TPF is the reduction in the measured dye response to ΔVm by dye molecules that are present within the focal volume but are not embedded in the plasma membrane (background). Variations in this background can have the effect of making the observed ΔF/F signal difficult to quantify in terms of ΔVm unless the background can be kept low (as in culture; Bullen and Saggau 1999) or unless prior knowledge of the cellular Vm properties exists (Djurisic et al. 2004).

SHG has the potential to solve these problems. Because of the molecular alignment requirement for signal generation of this coherent two-photon scattering process, the SHG signal only emanates from properly oriented dye molecules in the membrane (Boeuvitch et al. 1993; Campagnola et al. 1999; Mertz and Moreaux 2001); thus its effective Vm response is not significantly attenuated by background. The high membrane contrast also allows for a more direct relation between optical signal change and ΔVm. As with TPF, the nonlinear nature of SHG provides optical sectioning and micron scale resolution at depths up to ~400 μm into scattering brain tissue (Dombeck et al. 2003).

Fast SHG recordings of ΔVm have been achieved in model membranes (Moreaux et al. 2003; Pons et al. 2003) and Aplysia neurons in culture (Dombeck et al. 2004), but have not yet been applied to intact mammalian neural systems where the full advantages could be realized. Previous SHG studies were also restricted to dyes that could only label cells extracellularly and could not be intracellularly applied with a pipette. Here we report on the latest step toward high-resolution SHG imaging...
of $V_m$ in thick preparations by labeling a specifically targeted mammalian neuron below superficial layers in brain slices through intracellular application of FM4-64. We show the use of SHG microscopy for recording APs and fast voltage steps deep in slice where other techniques are not effective. For a direct comparison between SHG and TPF $V_m$ recording in optically thick preparations, we optically record fast $V_m$ signals with the two methods in brain slices using the best known V_m probes. We found the FM4-64 SHG S/N and effective $V_m$ response to be greater than that for any other tested SHG or TPF probe. Even though TPF can outperform SHG in the culture dish, SHG is superior in brain slice systems because of its low background and high plasma membrane contrast compared with TPF.

**METHODS**

**FM4-64 brain slice recordings**

**IMAGING.** The basic design of our Radiance 2000 (Bio-Rad)--based imaging system has been described (Dombeck et al. 2003, 2004). Now, however, an upright microscope (BX50WI, Olympus) is used with a 0.8-NA condenser for infrared video microscopy and collection of the transmitted SHG signal, 530/30 and 580/150 optical filters (Chroma Technology) for SHG and TPF detection, respectively, and GaAsP PMTs (H7422, Hamamatsu) for signal detection. A physiology objective (×40, 0.8 NA, overfilled back aperture) was used for illumination and epi (backward) collection. Excitation was provided by a 1,064 ± 15-nm fiber laser (Pianium, FEMTOPOWER 1060) with ∼300-fs pulses at 70 MHz, ∼10- to 20-mW average power, and near linear polarization at the sample. This excitation was used instead of shorter wavelengths (∼900 nm) because J) greater SHG responses to $\nabla V_m$ were observed at 1,064 (∼7.5%/100 mV) than 900 nm (∼5%/100 mV); 2) absorption by intrinsic flavins will affect the 450-nm SHG signal more than the 532-nm signal; and 3) the quantum efficiency of available photodetectors is higher using GaAsP PMTs to collect 532-nm light (∼40%) than using BiAlkali PMTs to collect 450-nm light (∼25%) (Zipfel et al. 2003b).

**SLICE PREPARATION.** Animals were treated in accordance with Cornell University Regulations (IACUC protocol 00-46-03). Transverse brain slices (250 μm thick) were made with a vibratome (Inte- 7550 PSDS, Campden Instruments) from Sprague-Dawley rats (P5–P25) in 4°C oxygenated sucrose slicing solution (Kirov et al. 2004) containing (in mM) 212 sucrose, 25 NaHCO_3, 2.5 KCl, 1.25 NaH_2PO_4, 2 CaCl_2, 1 MgCl_2, and 25 glucose (pH 7.4; osmolarity, ∼310 mosmol/L). Slices were transferred to an ∼35°C oxygenated extracellular solution containing (in mM) 125 NaCl, 25 NaHCO_3, 2.5 KCl, 1.25 NaH_2PO_4, 2 CaCl_2, 1 MgCl_2, and 25 glucose (pH 7.4; osmolarity, ∼310 mosmol/L with glucose). The slices were allowed to rest at 35°C for 30 min before being moved to room temperature until use.

**ELECTROPHYSIOLOGY, STAINING, AND LINE SCANNING.** Slices were transferred to a recording chamber continually perfused with warm (35 ± 1°C) oxygenated extracellular solution. Whole cell patch-clamp recordings were obtained under infrared video microscopy using an Axoclamp 2b amplifier (Axon Instruments) and 5–10 MQ pipettes filled with intracellular solution containing (in mM) 130 K-Gluconate, 5 NaCl, 24 KCl, 10 HEPES, 4 Mg-ATP, and 0.4 Na-GTP (pH 7.3 with KOH; osmolarity, ∼305 mosmol/L). A final concentration of 200 μM FM4-64 was added to this solution, sonicated for ∼1 min, and passed through a 0.2-μm filter to remove any dye precipitate. Once a gigahm seal was obtained, quick negative pressure pulses opened the seal for whole cell recording and dye filling. Neurons from the dentate gyrus (DG), CA1, and entorhinal cortex were used, but not identified, except by physical location. Advasep 7 (1 mM; Cydex) was added to the extracellular solution. As expected, this absorbs dye discharged into the neural tissue when obtaining a patch and likely any dye that flip-flops to the outer plasma membrane leaflet during the course of the experiments (Kay et al. 1999). SHG population labeling with dye crystals has been shown (Mertz and Moreaux 2001), but here deeper labeling was accomplished by pressure (∼50 mmHg) injecting (Stosiek et al. 2003) ∼500 μM FM4-64 in extracellular solution into the tissue through a patch pipette for 1–5 min.

TTL pulse triggering was used to synchronize the electrophysiology stimuli to each line scan (triggering jitter < 3 μs). Data were collected during the scanning fly-back to obtain line scanning rates of 1,200 lines/s for AP recordings, whereas unidirectional scanning (300 and 600 lines/s, no fly-back collection) was used for dendrite recordings; 200–256 lines were collected per individual line scan. Two to 5 s were allowed between the 35–60 individual line scans that were later averaged, with typical AP averaging taking ∼2 min and voltage step averaging on dendrites taking 3–5 min. APs were elicited in current clamp by optically recording individual line scans by current injection through the pipette. Stimulation of APs was possible with ∼200-pA and ∼8-ms pulses; however, shorter pulses of more intense current (∼1 nA, ∼1-ms duration) were used to reach threshold quickly. This stimulation protocol led to a greater AP temporal stability of less than ∼0.2 ms drift over the minutes of signal averaging not possible with the longer protocol (more than ∼2–ms drift). This was necessary to synchronize the timing of the short-duration APs with the time during which the SHG was collected per line; this synchronization protocol has been previously described (Dombeck et al. 2004). The electrode recorded APs shown in Fig. 3C are the mean of $n = 55$. This averaging can have the effect of reducing AP amplitudes by ∼10–15% and increasing AP durations up to ∼10–20% compared with single trace recordings because of the small temporal drift of the APs from trial to trial. Voltage steps were applied in voltage clamp during line scans.

A $V_m$-independent bleaching effect during each individual line scan (∼1–5%/100 ms) was observed; this distortion to the SHG time-course was normalized from the recordings by fitting with a monoexponential decay. The time allowed between individual line scans (2–5 s) was sufficient for nonbleached dye to diffuse and replenish the scanned membrane region. Preliminary reports of research using SHG from intracellulary filled FM4-64 to detect $\nabla V_m$ in brain slices have been published in abstract form (Dombeck et al. 2005a,b; Yuste et al. 2005).

**Comparison of various SHG and TPF probes**

The most important parameter for comparing various dyes for optical $V_m$ recording is the S/N; we attempted to maximize this value for each tested dye as follows. The S/N is proportional to the $V_m$ sensitivity ($\Delta S/S$) and the square root of the photon flux (see Eq. 1). Therefore the dye concentration and wavelength for each specific dye was selected either to produce the highest photon flux and $\Delta S/S$, respectively, or to reproduce previous studies by other researchers. We varied the illumination intensity to obtain a similar photon flux for cells loaded with each dye (except for retinal, see RESULTS). The maximal photon flux, and therefore the maximal S/N, is limited by photodamage. The photodamage limit for each probe is difficult to quantify exactly (see DISCUSSION). However, various studies (see RESULTS for FM4-64 in brain slices and also Dombeck et al. 2004; L.S., personal communication; D.A.D., personal communication) found that, in several dyes, the approximate damage thresholds occur at a similar TPF photon flux. This value set the approximate illumination intensity for each dye for maximal S/N. Because the SHG photon flux is similar to the TPF photon flux, these considerations allow us to compare the S/N simply by measuring $\Delta S/S$ (SHG or TPF) for each probe. The $\Delta S/S$ was measured by applying fast (millisecond time scale) voltage steps.
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*Aplysia* neurons were prepared according to Dombeck et al. (2004). Brain slices were prepared as listed above. Staining of cultured neurons was accomplished by extracellular perfusion of the dyes: JPW1114 (Di-2-ANEPEQ; 25 μM), ANNINE-6 (as in Kuhn et al. 2004), DHPESBP (as in Dombeck et al. 2004), FM4-64 (25 μM), Di-4-ANEPPS (as in Millard et al. 2003), and Retinal (as in Nemet et al. 2004). Intracellular staining in brain slices was accomplished as listed above: DHPESBP (100–300 μM in intracellular solution containing cyclo-γ-dextran, to prevent dye precipitation, prepared as in Millard et al. 2003), JPW1114 (200 μM in intracellular solution), and ANNINE-6 (1.7 mM stock in DMSO + 20% pluronic diluted 1:20 in intracellular solution; this high concentration of DMSO and pluronic was needed to adequately stain the cells, but did not noticeably affect cell viability). Line scanning and voltage clamping of *Aplysia* neurons stained with these dyes was the same as in Dombeck et al. (2004); brain slice line scanning and voltage clamping is described above. The following excitation wavelengths, SHG and TPF emission filters, and average powers at the sample were used to measure SHG and TPF: V_m sensitivities: JPW1114 [1,064 nm, 570/150 (TPF), 530/30 (SHG), 60 mW], ANNINE-6 [980 nm, 570/150 (TPF), 30 mW; 1,064 nm, 570/150 (TPF), 530/30 (SHG), 80 mW], DHPESBP [940 nm, 575/150 (TPF), 460/30 (SHG), 15 mW], FM4-64 [1,064 nm, 570/150 (TPF), 530/30 (SHG), 15 mW], Di-4-ANEPPS [850 nm, 575/150 (TPF), 425/20 (SHG), 15 mW], and retinal [900 nm, 575/150 (TPF), 460/30 (SHG), 15 mW]. The shorter wavelengths were obtained with a mode locked Ti:Sapphire laser (Spectra Physics, Tsunami). As a control, the sensitivity of ANNINE-6 was measured in HEK293 cells at 980 nm under the same conditions as Kuhn et al. (2004); our value of −35 ± 2%/100 mV (slope at 0 mV) agrees with their value of −35 ± 5%/100 mV. The measurement in HEK293 cells was also repeated at 1,064 nm (see DISCUSSION).

**RESULTS**

**Staining and imaging**

Neurons in hippocampal slices patch clamped and filled with intracellular solution containing FM4-64 and illuminated with ∼1,064-nm/∼300-fs laser pulses generate an intense SHG signal from the labeled inner leaflet of the plasma membrane, with little background signal from extracellular or intracellular sources (compare SHG in Fig. 1A to TPF in Fig. 1B). The plasma membrane to intracellular SHG signal ratio is M/I = 20.3 ± 11.8 (n = 16 cells) for soma and proximal larger dendrites. In finer dendrites and spines, the intracellular space could not be resolved, precluding measurements of this ratio. The lack of an SHG signal from intracellular membranes is likely caused by 1) dye flip-flop quickly resulting in a dye concentration equilibrium between leaflets of the intracellular membranes, destroying the asymmetry needed for SHG; 2) subsolution invaginations and foldings of the intracellular membranes (Krstić 1979; Ladinsky et al. 1999), leading to destructive SHG interference from oppositely oriented dye molecules; or 3) different partitioning coefficients for FM4-64 in the plasma membrane versus intracellular membranes (Sprong et al. 2001).

The staining time for FM4-64 varies depending on many parameters (whole cell access resistance, neuron volume and architecture, etc.), but typically an SHG signal from the soma and dendrites >100 μm distal from the soma was visible ∼5 and ∼25 min after whole cell break-in, respectively (Fig. 1C). Most cell bodies were ∼50–70 μm below the slice surface, with many of the stained dendrites >125 μm below the surface. Axons were also visible in some images (data not shown). Current-clamp recordings from stained cells reveal physiologically normal parameters: resting potential of approximately −65 ± 10 mV, input resistance of ∼150 MΩ to 2 GΩ, AP amplitudes of ∼65–100 mV, and duration of ∼1.0 ± 0.5 ms. Figure 1F shows a current-clamp recording from a stained neuron ∼45 min after whole cell break-in. Average whole cell recordings lasted ∼45 min, with some cells viable for >1.5 h, similar to cell viability without imaging or dye. Toward the end of recordings, many cells had an increased diffuse intracellular SHG signal. Additionally, a fraction (~25%) of otherwise normal neurons had a greater intracellular SHG signal (M/I ~ 5) throughout the recording time.

The high spatial resolution (~0.6 μm) allows for dendritic spine visualization. A comparison between SHG (Fig. 1D) and

---

**FIG. 1.** Intracellular second-harmonic generation (SHG) labeling of neurons in acute rat brain slices with FM4-64. A: SHG z-section shows high membrane contrast from a neuron patch clamped and filled with FM4-64 in a hippocampal brain slice. B: 2-photon fluorescence (TPF) z-section of the same neuron as A. Note the large amount of intracellular TPF signal and poor membrane contrast. C: SHG z-projection image revealing the dendritic arbor of a neuron filled in slice with FM4-64. D: SHG z-projection image of dendrite with dendritic spines in slice from P24 rat; arrowheads pointing to spines. E: TPF z-projection of the same region shown in D. Filled arrowheads point to spines seen in both SHG and TPF; open arrowheads point to those seen only in TPF. F: current-clamp recording, ~45 min after whole cell patch break-in, from the neuron seen in C shows physiologically normal parameters. G: 2 cells are labeled in the same field of view to show a method of population labeling. H: to show SHG labeling of many cells, this hippocampal cell layer was labeled by pressure injection of FM4-64.
TPF (Fig. 1E) spine images reveals that not all of the spines visible in the TPF channel are visible in the SHG channel. The filled arrowheads point to spines visible in both channels, whereas the open arrowheads point to spines visible only in the TPF channel. No spines were present in only the SHG and not the TPF channel. It is possible that the size of individual spines and/or the distance between adjacent spines leads to destructive interference of the SHG signal in some cases. Multi-cell labeling is also possible. Figure 1G shows two neurons patch clamped and filled in the same field of view, whereas Fig. 1H shows a larger population stained by pressure injecting a concentrated solution of FM4-64 into the CA1 cell layer. Although M/I appears to be greater than stated above in many figures (Figs. 1, 3, 4), this is an illusion caused by projecting many z-sections onto one plane.

Response of SHG to fast \( V_m \) transients

By applying voltage steps to the voltage-clamped neurons during line scanning, we found that the SHG signal is modulated by \( \Delta V_m \) on the physiologically relevant (~1 ms) time scale (Fig. 2, A–C). A linear response of the SHG signal with respect to \( \Delta V_m \) [linear best fit: \( \text{SHG}/V_m = (0.075\%/mV)\Delta V_m \); slope error = 0.004%/mV] is observed by applying a range of voltage steps (Fig. 2D). The SHG signal increases with positive voltage steps and decreases with negative voltage steps, opposite to the response seen from extracellularly labeled neurons in culture. This is expected from the opposite orientation of the chromophore with respect to the transmembrane electric field.

Spatial resolution and S/N

Assuming a shot noise limited system, the S/N for \( V_m \) recording can be written

\[
\frac{S}{N} = \frac{\Delta S}{\sqrt{\phi \cdot t}}
\]

where \( \Delta S/N \) is the normalized relative signal response to \( \Delta V_m \) (S sensitivity), \( n \) is the number of averaged line scans, \( \phi \) is the number of detected photons/time, and \( t \) is the time the focal volume scans over the membrane per line; \( t \) is defined by \( t = a/v \), where \( a \) is the distance scanned over the membrane, and \( v \) is the focal volume scanning velocity. Line scanning perpendicular to the membrane (\( t \sim 10 \mu \text{s} \), \( a \) reduces to the focal volume diameter) provides high spatial resolution (~0.6 \( \mu \text{m} \); Fig. 2, A–C) and results in S/N for a single line scan of ~1 for a 90-mV step and S/N of ~5 for temporal averaging of \( n = 50 \) line scans. The shot noise corresponds to ~100 photons/membrane pass (~10 photons/\( \mu \text{s} \) on the membrane) and ~5,000 photons after averaging. Line scanning parallel to (along) the neuronal membranes is also used (Figs. 3 and 4); this amounts to increasing \( a \). The differences in S/N for fast SHG \( V_m \) recordings seen in this research are caused by one or more of the following: number of line-scans averaged (\( n \)), integration time on the membrane (\( t \)), average illumination intensity (\( I \)), degree of staining, polarization of the incident illumination with respect to membrane position, and/or \( \Delta V_m \) in the specific recording.

Detection of APs and fast voltage steps

To prove the ability of SHG to record fast neuronal \( V_m \) signals in brain slices, APs were elicited and optically recorded. The line scanning position parallel to the somatic membrane is shown in Fig. 3A. Two ~1-ms APs were elicited by current injection through the patch pipette during line-scanning. Line scan averaging (\( n = 55 \)) showed that optical intensity modulations in the SHG emission (Fig. 3B) occur during the AP time-course (Fig. 3C) with S/N ~7--8, 0.83-ms

FIG. 2. SHG line scan recording of \( V_m \) during voltage steps in a patch-clamped neuron filled with FM4-64 in a brain slice. A: SHG \( z \)-section through the soma of a patched neuron. Patch pipette is seen protruding into this \( z \)-section. Gray line represents scanned line where \( V_m \) was recorded. B: SHG signal changes recorded by line scanning the line denoted in A at 600 lines/s. Voltage-clamped neuron was given a 100-ms duration, +90-mV step after 90 ms of scanning during each line scan. \( n = 50 \) line scans were averaged. Line scan image is scaled to visualize the small increase in SHG emission (yellow). C: top: obtained from the left membrane SHG line in B, is an intensity plot of SHG emission vs. time. Bottom: command voltage during voltage clamp. D: plot of \( \Delta \text{SHG}/\Delta V_m \) over physiologically relevant \( \Delta V_m \). Functional fit shows a linear relationship. Error bars represent SD of 3--7 different measurements at each \( \Delta V_m \).
temporal, and 0.6 μm by ~7 μm x-y spatial resolution. Trains up to four APs were recorded in other experiments (data not shown). The temporal resolution of the scanning system is approximately the same as the AP duration; this can result in undersampled recordings. It is possible, however, to record the approximate AP peak voltage optically by synchronizing the time of the AP peak and the focal volume recording time on the membrane (t). Asynchrony in

FIG. 3. Fast SHG line scan recording of elicited APs in brain slice. A: to show recording of action potentials (APs) with SHG, this neuron was patch clamped and filled with FM4-64. Straight red line represents scanned line where elicited APs were recorded optically by integrating over the width. B: SHG recording of APs with S/N of ~7–8. This intensity plot of SHG emission vs. time is obtained from averaged line scans (1,200 lines/s) of the line denoted in A. n = 55 line scans were averaged. C: average current-clamp trace of elicited APs recorded optically in B.

FIG. 4. Fast SHG line scan recordings from multiple sites in the dendritic arbor of neurons in brain slice. A: to show fast SHG recordings of V_m from the dendritic arbor, neurons were filled with FM4-64, and line scan recordings were made from positions denoted by red lines, by integrating over the width, while voltage steps were applied at the soma. B: top: intensity plot of SHG emission vs. time for lines denoted in A. 1) n = 60 line scans were averaged with a recording speed of 600 lines/s. 2) n = 30 line scans were averaged with a recording speed of 600 lines/s. 3) n = 30 line scans were averaged with a recording speed of 300 lines/s. Bottom: command voltage applied at the soma. C: SHG projection image of another neuron with red lines representing the line scan positions where V_m was recorded. D: top: intensity plot of SHG emission vs. time for lines denoted in C. 1) n = 35 line scans were averaged with a recording speed of 600 lines/s. 2) n = 35 line scans were averaged with a recording speed of 300 lines/s. 3) n = 35 line scans were averaged with a recording speed of 600 lines/s. Bottom: command voltage applied at the soma.
this regard results in SHG traces not recording the AP peak voltage.

Figure 4 shows the ability to record fast $V_m$ events in the dendritic arbor using SHG. Scanning positions parallel to dendritic and somatic membranes on two neurons in slice are shown. During line scanning, voltage steps were applied through the patch pipette at the soma. After line scan averaging ($n \geq 30$), the S/N varied between $\sim 3.5$ and $5.0$. Many of the probed dendrites were $< 2 \mu m$ in diameter and $\sim 100 \mu m$ below the slice surface. The recording plane for the oblique dendrite in Fig. 4C (inset) is actually $\sim 30 \mu m$ above the apical dendrite seen in this $z$-projection image.

Photodamage was seen during some FM4-64 SHG AP recordings when a dose corresponding to $I \sim 7$ MW/cm² and $t \sim 65$ µs was reached. In many cases below this dose, the damage is negligible in comparison with nonimaging controls, as determined by AP amplitude and duration stability, resting potential stability, and absence of gross morphological changes. The dendritic arbor is more sensitive to photodamage than the soma, so the dose in the arbor was reduced compared with that used at the soma. It was also found that increasing the time between each individual line scan during averaging helped reduce photodamage. Many seconds ($\sim 5$ s) works well, but many successful recordings were also made with $\sim 2$ s between scans.

**Backward-directed SHG component**

In addition to the large forward propagating SHG signal used for recordings in this research, the stained neuronal membranes also generate a small backward directed SHG signal in slice. A SHG forward versus backward ratio of $6.6 \pm 1.1$ was deduced from SHG images of filled neurons, both in forward and epi propagation directions, with fluorescence calibration for absorption and scattering in the tissue, and collection efficiency differences of the optics and detectors in each direction. These measurements were made $50$–$100 \mu m$ deep into $250$-$\mu m$-thick brain slices from P16–P17 rats. Like the forward propagating signal, the backward signal emanates predominately from the plasma membrane, with little background. The S/N for $V_m$ recording with the SHG backward-directed component is reduced by a factor of $\sim \sqrt{6.6}$ compared with the SHG forward propagating signal.

**Staining and $V_m$ sensitivity with various TPF and SHG optical probes**

We initially compared the optical $V_m$ recording S/N for many of the best known SHG and TPF $V_m$ probes in cultured *Aplysia* neurons (stained by extracellular perfusion of the dyes) to select the best candidates for use in intact brain tissue: ANNINE-6, FM-4-64, DHPESBP, Di-4-ANEPPS, JPW1114, and retinal. The most important parameter for comparing these dyes is the S/N, which depend on many parameters (see Eq. 1); however, we found that the S/N was most strongly related to $\Delta S/S$ (see METHODS), making it possible to compare the S/N simply by measuring $\Delta S/S$ (SHG or TPF) for each probe.

Although retinal (Nemet et al. 2004) showed a high SHG $\Delta S/S$ ($\sim 20\%$/100 mV), the S/N was reduced by an effective SHG cross-section far lower than the other probes that could not be overcome because of poor staining efficiency and severe photobleaching problems. In contrast to the measurements performed by Millard et al. (2003, 2004) on the slow (seconds) time scale, no SHG response to fast (milliseconds) $\sim 100$-mV voltage steps was seen from neurons stained with DI-4-ANEPPS, and the TPF $\Delta S/S$ was $\sim 3%$/100 mV. This result implies that there is no correlation between fast (electro-optic or reorientational; Moreaux et al. 2003; Pons et al. 2003) and slow SHG $V_m$ response mechanisms. The largest $\Delta S/S$ was measured in culture with ANNINE-6, FM-4-64, DHPESBP, and JPW1114. The membrane staining ability and $\Delta S/S$ (SHG and TPF) of these four probes in culture are summarized in the first column of Fig. 5 and in Dombeck et al. (2004) and Moreaux et al. (2003).
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S/N and photodamage considerations

Increases in S/N are dependent on 1) increasing $\phi$ in Eq. 1 to decrease the shot noise and/or 2) increasing $\Delta S/S$ in Eq. 1. Both 1 and 2 can be accomplished though the design of better dye molecules. This is the subject of current experiments in our laboratory and reports of others (Barzoukas et al. 1996; Millard et al. 2004; Moreaux et al. 2003; Nemet et al. 2004; Pons et al. 2003). It is hoped that design and screening of many SHG probes on the physiologically relevant fast time scale will soon lead to similar increases in SHG $\Delta S/S$ and low bleaching as have been reported for TPF from ANNINE-6 (Kuhn et al. 2004). Other incremental increases in point 1 above have been made and will continue to be sought through the engineering of higher transmission optics and higher quantum yield photodetectors (such as the GaAsP detectors used here).

With respect to FM4-64 and the current photon collection/detection technology, the factor most limiting S/N is photodamage, and in fact, the shown S/N in Figs. 2–4 was obtained near the damage thresholds for soma and dendrite recordings. Studies aimed at understanding and decreasing this problem should help to increase S/N by accommodating increases in incident laser intensity that will increase $\phi$. Photodamage is not well understood, and its source remains an open question (Zipfel et al. 2003b), although it is generally agreed that this damage stems from absorption of the incident illumination. Damage during SHG is likely therefore to stem from absorption and nonradiative photoproducts of the chromophore. The damage is a complicated function of $I$, $t$, staining, cell morphology, and other unknown factors (Hopt and Neher 2001; Koester et al. 1999), and here was found to vary from cell to cell and even within one cell. A study is underway in our laboratory to characterize the photodamage and possibly to reduce its influence through the use of antioxidants. The results of this study may also increase S/N enough for the detection of fast $V_m$ events in a single trial.

To detect $V_m$ signals of 100 mV optically in a single trial using SHG from FM4-64 with S/N = 5, it would be necessary to collect $\sim$4,500 photons per membrane recording time $t$. This could be accomplished given the SHG $\phi$ and $\Delta S/S$ shown here for FM4-64, with the only change being an increase in $t$ to $\sim450 \mu s$. In the line scanning configuration, this integration time could be achieved by increasing $a$, the distance scanned over the membrane; however, this was found to cause photodamage in brain slices unless $I$ was simultaneously reduced. For this reason, damage studies, better photo-detectors, and/or higher effective cross-section dye molecules are needed to increase the number of collected photons. Improvements in dye molecule performance can also increase $\Delta S/S$. Assuming a dye with $\Delta S/S \sim 20%/100$ mV, the number of collected photons needed to measure a 100-mV $V_m$ signal optically with S/N = 5 is $\sim$600 photons per membrane recording time $t$. If we also assume a fourfold increase in $\Phi$ over that shown in this research for FM4-64, an integration time of $t \sim 15 \mu s$ would be needed. Assuming the proper scanning system such as random access scanning (Bullen and Saggau 1999; Iyer et al. 2004; Otsu et al. 2005; Roorda et al. 2004), this integration time could allow for $\sim$65 different locations in the brain slice to be sampled at 1 kHz or $\sim$20 locations at 3 kHz. This shows the potential of SHG to optically record fast $V_m$ signals at many different positions deep within scattering brain tissue.

Comparison of various SHG and TPF probes for $V_m$ recording

SHG and TPF currently provide the best methods for high spatial resolution imaging deep in scattering tissue (Denk and Svoboda 1997; Denk et al. 1990; Dombek et al. 2003; Mertz 2004; Zipfel et al. 2003a). We have compared many of the best known SHG and TPF $V_m$ probes to select the best candidate for use in intact brain tissue. Of these probes, JPW1114 and FM4-64 can be filled into neurons in brain slice to generate an intense plasma membrane SHG signal. On the other hand, ANNINE-6 shows little dye partitioning into the membrane and high background in brain slice; consequently, we measured a TPF $\Delta S/S$ reduction of $\sim$23 times compared with the culture dish experiments. It is important to observe that the TPF $\Delta S/S$ of JPW1114 in brain slice is also drastically reduced by an order of magnitude. In this case the dye is well partitioned into the plasma membrane producing an SHG signal; however, the effective TPF $\Delta S/S$ is still greatly affected by the poor membrane contrast and high background. Furthermore, this problem is not specific to intracellular staining; pressure injection of ANNINE-6 into brain slices also results in a low membrane contrast compared with FM4-64 SHG, likely caused by binding of the dye to extracellular components such as the extracellular matrix. The SHG $\Delta S/S$ was reduced only slightly (20–30%) between the culture dish and intracellular filling in intact systems. A similar SHG $V_m$ sensitivity dependence on the slow time scale has also been observed between different cell types (Sacconi et al. 2005). These marginal effects may be
explained by the vastly different environments of extracellular staining of *Aplysia* neurons (with a salt concentration approximately twofold greater than mammalian neurons) and intracellular staining of mammalian neurons in brain slice, small SHG background variations (see *M/I* measurements above), or possibly by the different physical and chemical properties of the plasma membrane environments.

These results show that 1) the SHG \( \Delta S/S \) is not degraded by background signal (as is TPF), and consequently, the S/N is greater by almost an order of magnitude with SHG than TPF using currently known probes in brain slices; 2) together with the linear response to \( \Delta V_m \), the low SHG background makes it possible to quantify directly the SHG \( V_m \) response in terms of \( \Delta V_m \). This is more difficult with TPF because of spatial variations in the background (see Fig. 5 or Antic and Zecevic 1995); 3) the SHG \( \Delta S/S \) is more consistent in different cell types and staining environments than TPF; and 4) of the tested nonlinear optical \( V_m \) probes, the best S/N achieved in brain slice is provided by FM4-64 SHG.

Our results suggest that it will be difficult to increase the TPF membrane contrast, given the JPW1114 results. Therefore the most promising direction to follow to increase the optical \( V_m \) recording S/N with nonlinear microscopy in intact systems is to increase \( \Delta S/S \) and/or the effective cross-section of SHG or TPF probes. Simply increasing the TPF \( \Delta S/S \) and/or cross-section of probes such as ANNINE-6 or JPW1114 without considering the TPF background will likely not lead to a solution to the problem. For example, to overcome this background problem, a TPF \( \Delta S/S \sim 20 \) times greater than ANNINE-6 would be needed. According to Kuhn et al. (2004), increases in \( \Delta S/S \) for ANNINE-6 should be possible at longer wavelengths. Although our \( \Delta S/S \) measurements at 980-nm agree with Kuhn et al. (see METHODS), under the same conditions we measure only an \( \sim 30.8 \pm 1\%/100\text{-mV} \) sensitivity at 1.064 nm. Together, these arguments and results imply that the most promising direction of engineering to follow for nonlinear microscopy \( V_m \) recording is to increase the \( \Delta S/S \) and effective cross-section of SHG probes.

For in vivo applications a large fraction of the TPF signal can be epi-collected, whereas only a small fraction of the SHG signal can be collected in this direction. Wavelength scale inhomogeneities (Mertz and Moreaux 2001) or secondary scattering of the forward propagating SHG signal are the likely cause of the backward propagating SHG signal. The longer scattering length presented to the forward propagating SHG photons in vivo compared with in slice may increase the SHG backward scattered signal. New technologies to manipulate the polarization and phase of the illumination light within the focal volume (Novotny et al. 2001) may also increase the SHG backward propagating signal by optimizing coherent addition conditions. Even if the backward propagating SHG signal cannot be increased in the future, currently the S/N for intact systems \( V_m \) recording with back propagating FM4-64 SHG is still approximately three times better than can be achieved with ANNINE-6 epi-collected TPF and approximately the same as JPW1114 epi-collected TPF. In theory, combining the epi-TPF and back-propagating SHG signals from JPW1114 may provide a slight advantage over FM4-64 in vivo; however, the rapid flip-flop rate of JPW1114 compared with FM4-64 must also be considered.

Possible applications and future directions

Micron scale \( V_m \) dynamics deep in intact neural systems, where much of the volume of activity and dendritic integration resides, have been inaccessible until now because of the light scattering limitations of linear optical methods. We have shown the optical recording of fast neuronal \( V_m \) transients deep in intact mammalian neural tissue with micron scale resolution using SHG microscopy from targeted neurons patch clamped and filled with FM4-64. This technique should find applications in the near future in studies that directly record and quantify fast \( \Delta V_m \) from dendritic spines (Tsay and Yuste 2004) and from fine dendrites. Deeper single cell patching/SHG recording can be implemented using TPF targeted patch clamping (Margrie et al. 2003). Because the SHG emission is always at one-half the illumination wavelength, it can also be combined easily with functional fluorescent indicators such as Ca\(^{2+}\)- and Na\(^{+}\)-sensitive dyes. With further improvements in the S/N, labeling methods (Hinner et al. 2004), and a combination with faster and more flexible imaging modalities (Bullen and Saggau 1999; Iyer et al. 2004; Kobayashi et al. 2002; Otsu et al. 2005; Roorda et al. 2004; Sacconi et al. 2003; Tsien and Bacsai 1995), SHG should move the imaging field closer to large scale high-resolution \( V_m \) recordings of population activity deep in thick tissue preparations.
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