Feedback Effects of Horizontal Cell Membrane Potential on Cone Calcium Currents Studied With Simultaneous Recordings
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INTRODUCTION

Center-surround antagonism of the receptive field is a fundamental feature of the visual system that improves edge detection (Hartline et al. 1956; Kuffler 1953). In the vertebrate retina, surround antagonism caused by inhibitory feedback from horizontal cells (HCs) is evident in cone photoreceptors (Baylor et al. 1971; Verweij et al. 2003). The mechanisms underlying HC to cone feedback have been controversial. Based on the presence of GABA in HCs (Lam et al. 1978) and GABA receptors in cones (Kaneko and Tachibana 1986), it was initially hypothesized that diminished GABA release accompanying light-evoked hyperpolarization of HCs produced a depolarizing postsynaptic potential in cones. However, studies with GABA agonists and antagonists have failed to support this hypothesis (Tatsukawa et al. 2005; Thoreson and Burkhardt 1990; Verweij et al. 1996). Verweij et al. (1996) found that surround illumination produced a negative shift in activation of cone $I_{Ca}$; the resulting increase in intracellular calcium can depolarize cones by stimulating a calcium-activated chloride conductance (Thoreson and Burkhardt 1991; Verweij et al. 2003). Two principle hypotheses have emerged to explain the negative activation shift in cone $I_{Ca}$ produced by HC hyperpolarization. 1) The ephaptic feedback hypothesis proposes that shifts in $I_{Ca}$ activation arise from local extracellular voltage changes produced when current flows into the invaginating cone synapse during changes in HC membrane potential (Byzov and Shura-Bura 1986). It has been suggested that connexin 26 hemigap junctions at the tips of HC dendrites in the cone synapse produce the requisite current sink (Fahrenfort et al. 2004; Kamermans et al. 2001). 2) Hirasawa and Kaneko (2003) proposed that HC hyperpolarization alkalinizes the synaptic cleft, resulting in both a negative activation shift and increase in peak amplitude of $I_{Ca}$. Calcium imaging studies of zebrafish cone terminals also support this hypothesis (Vessey et al. 2005).

In studies on cone feedback, HC membrane potential is typically manipulated by light or the use of glutamate agonists and antagonists. However, light produces a concomitant hyperpolarization in the cones that can diminish the release of vesicular protons (DeVries 2001; Hosoi et al. 2005) and thereby alkalinize the synapse without involving HCs. Glutamatergic drugs can act directly on cones themselves (Brew and Attwell 1987; Tachibana and Kaneko 1988) and may have extracellular effects as a result of activating nearby bipolar cell dendrites that also invaginate the cone synapse. In this study, we manipulated the membrane potential of HCs directly by voltage clamp while simultaneously recording $I_{Ca}$ in adjacent cones. We found that hyperpolarizing the HC membrane potential produced a negative activation shift and increased the amplitude of $I_{Ca}$ recorded simultaneously in synaptically connected cones. Both of these effects were abolished by enhancing extracellular pH buffering with HEPES. In addition to blocking gap junctions, carbenoxolone can decrease cone sensitivity (Verweij et al. 2003), complicating analysis of its effects on feedback. Bypassing the need for light stimulation, we found that carbenoxolone did not significantly alter the changes in cone $I_{Ca}$ produced by changes in HC membrane potential. These results show that HC membrane potential directly influences the voltage dependence and amplitude of $I_{Ca}$ in adjacent cones and support the hypothesis that local pH changes are responsible for the modulation of cone $I_{Ca}$ in center-surround antagonism.

METHODS

Experiments were performed on retinal slices from aquatic tiger salamanders (Ambystoma tigrinum) as described by Rabl et al. (2005). Animals were handled according to protocols approved by the UNMC Animal Care and Use Committee.
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Animal Care and Use Committee. Slices were superfused at ~1 ml/min with a solution containing (in mM) 101 NaCl, 22 NaHCO₃, 2.5 KCl, 2 CaCl₂, 0.5 MgCl₂, 9 glucose, 0.001 strychnine, and 0.1 picrotoxin. The pH was determined to be 7.4 after bubbling with 95% O₂-5% CO₂. In some experiments, pH buffering capacity was increased by adding 10 mM HEPES without adjusting osmolarity. The pH of the HEPES-containing solution was adjusted to 7.4 after bubbling with 95% O₂-5% CO₂.

Whole cell recordings were obtained using 8- to 15-MΩ patch electrodes fabricated from borosilicate glass (1.2 mm OD, 0.95 mm ID, with internal filament; World Precision Instruments, Sarasota, FL) on a PP-830 micropipette puller ( Narishige USA, East Meadow, NY). The pipette solution contained (in mM) 94 CsGluconate, 9.4 TEACl, 1.9 MgCl₂, 9.4 MgATP, 0.5 GTP, 0.5 EGTA, and 32.9 HEPES (pH 7.2). Cones and HCs were voltage clamped simultaneously using a Multiclamp patch-clamp amplifier (Axon Instruments, Foster City, CA). Currents were acquired using a Digidata 1322 interface and pClamp 8.1 software (Axon Instruments).

Cone input resistance averaged 430 ± 38 MΩ and charging curves were fit by single exponentials averaging 1.4 ± 0.1 ms (n = 25). Cone I_Ca was measured using a ramp voltage protocol (−90 to +60 mV, 0.5 mV/ms) applied from a steady holding potential of −70 mV. Passive cone membrane resistance measured between −80 and −60 mV was subtracted digitally, and I_Ca was fit with a Boltzmann function adjusted for driving force. With the adjacent HC held at −90 mV, the best fit Boltzmann function parameters for cone I_Ca averaged V_S0, −19.9 ± 1.1 mV; slope, −10.9 ± 0.7; E_rev = +27 mV (n = 25).

HC input resistance averaged 353 ± 79 MΩ (n = 24). Access resistance averaged 29.0 ± 3.6 MΩ (n = 49), suggesting a steady-state voltage error in HC holding potential averaging 8%. Consistent with good space clamp of HC membrane potential, excitatory postsynaptic currents (EPSCs) evoked in HCs by voltage ramps applied to presynaptic cones reversed around 0 mV as expected for a glutamate-gated cation channel (n = 12).

The criterion for statistical significance was chosen to be P < 0.05 and evaluated with Student’s t-test using GraphPad Prism 4.0. Variability is reported as ±SE.

RESULTS

Changing the membrane potential of voltage-clamped HCs altered the amplitude and voltage dependence of I_Ca recorded simultaneously in adjacent cones. We recorded from 35 cone/HC pairs of sufficient stability and quality to measure cone I_Ca at HC holding potentials of −90, −70, −40, and 0 mV. In 25 of these pairs, progressive depolarization of the HC produced a decrease in amplitude and positive shift in the activation voltage of cone I_Ca (Fig. 1A). Synaptic connectivity between the cone and HC was established by the presence of EPSCs in the HC evoked by depolarizing steps in the cone (−70 to −10 mV). Almost all cell pairs that were synaptically connected (23/25) exhibited shifts in I_Ca in response to HC polarization. In contrast, 8/10 cell pairs with no EPSC showed no shift in I_Ca activation in response to changes in HC holding potential. There is thus a strong correlation between the presence of horizontal cell to cone feedback and feedforward synaptic contacts between cones and horizontal cells.

Depolarizing the HC from −90 to −70 mV shifted the I_Ca midpoint (V_S0) significantly more positive by +0.67 ± 0.11 mV (P < 0.0001, n = 25). Depolarizing the HC to −40 and then 0 mV shifted V_S0 further positive by +1.84 ± 0.20 and +2.43 ± 0.25 mV (P < 0.0001), respectively. Peak amplitude of I_Ca averaged −85.1 ± 10.2 pA (n = 25) when the HC holding potential was −90 mV. Depolarizing HCs to −70 mV significantly diminished the peak amplitude of cone I_Ca by 7.3 ± 1.7% (P < 0.0002). Depolarizing HCs to −40 and 0 mV further reduced I_Ca by 15.7 ± 2.6 and 24.5 ± 2.8% (P < 0.0001), respectively.

To test a role for synaptic cleft pH in the feedback regulation of I_Ca by HCs, we increased pH-buffering by adding HEPES (10 mM) to the HCO₃-containing superfusate. Application of HEPES for 2–5 min blocked the changes in both amplitude and voltage dependence produced by HC polarization (Fig. 1B). These changes recovered after washout of HEPES (Fig. 1C).

We tested whether the addition of HEPES may have distorted
measurements of $I_{Ca}$ made with the ramp (e.g., by reducing the possible impact of protons released from cones themselves; Devries 2001; Hosoi et al. 2005). To do so, we compared $I_{Ca}$ measured using ramps with $I_{Ca}$ measured at the end of 100-msec steps after the impact of any protons released from the cone had subsided (Devries 2001; Hosoi et al. 2005). There were no significant differences between the voltage dependence and peak amplitude of $I_{Ca}$ measured with steps or ramps in bicarbonate medium ($n = 5$, data not shown) or after adding HEPES ($n = 4$). HEPES also did not significantly alter HC or cone $R_{in}$ (HCs: $\Delta R_{in}$ 61 ± 209 MΩ, $P = 0.70$, paired $t$-test, $n = 18$; cones: $\Delta R_{in}$ 57 ± 80 MΩ, $P = 0.07$, paired $t$-test, $n = 16$).

Figure 2, A and B, shows the average changes in $V_{50}$ and amplitude produced by HEPES application in 14 experiments compared with control measurements in the same cells. The shift in $V_{50}$ is plotted relative to the $V_{50}$ value obtained when the HC was held at −90 mV, and amplitude changes are plotted as a fraction of the peak amplitude measured when the HC was held at −90 mV. The shift in $V_{50}$ and the amplitude changes in $I_{Ca}$ produced by HC polarization were both significantly reduced by HEPES. In addition to blocking effects of HC polarization, HEPES produced a significant negative shift in $V_{50}$ that averaged −4.0 ± 1.0 mV (paired $t$-test, $P = 0.0018$, $n = 14$) and an insignificant increase in $I_{Ca}$ amplitude that averaged 19 ± 21% (paired $t$-test, $P = 0.17$) when the HC was held at −40 mV. The negative shift observed after adding HEPES is consistent with alkalining the synaptic cleft by 0.4 pH units (Barnes et al. 1993).

To test for a contribution from hemigap junctions on HC to cone feedback, we applied the gap junction blocker, carbenoxolone. Consistent with studies using light or glutamatergic drugs to manipulate HC membrane potential (Hirasawa and Kaneko 2003; Vessey et al. 2005; Verweij et al. 1996), this study shows that directly manipulating the membrane potential of a voltage-clamped HC can significantly influence the voltage dependence and amplitude of $I_{Ca}$ in synaptically connected cones. Hyperpolarizing a single HC from −40 to −70 mV, similar to the membrane hyperpolarization evoked by a bright light flash, produced a −1.2-mV negative shift in the $V_{50}$ value of $I_{Ca}$ and increased the peak amplitude by 8%. These changes are smaller than those produced by diffuse illumination in newt cones (−2.55 mV and −12%; Hirasawa and Kaneko 2003), presumably because unlike voltage-clamp experiments, light hyperpolarizes the entire syncytium of postsynaptic horizontal cells.

Carbenoxolone had no significant effect on the changes in voltage dependence and amplitude of $I_{Ca}$ produced by HC polarization. Application of carbenoxolone for 2–5 min inhibited gap junctional communication between adjacent rods (Cadetti et al. 2005) and increased HC $R_{in}$, suggesting that it is likely to inhibit hemigap junctions at HC dendrites. We limited application time to minimize the direct inhibition of $I_{Ca}$ that can be produced by carbenoxolone (Vessey et al. 2004), but it is conceivable that longer application of carbenoxolone might have had a stronger influence on the effects of HC polarization on cone $I_{Ca}$. With this caveat, these results do not support the hypothesis that HC to cone feedback derives from an ephaptic feedback mechanism involving hemigap junctions in HC dendrites (Fahrenfort et al. 2004; Kamermans et al. 2001).

**DISCUSSION**

**FIG. 2.** Changes in the activation midpoint ($A$ and $C$) and peak amplitude ($B$ and $D$) of cone $I_{Ca}$ produced by progressive depolarization in simultaneously recorded HCs. Shift in $V_{50}$ ($A$ and $C$) is plotted relative to that obtained when the HC was held at −90 mV. Change in amplitude ($B$ and $D$) is plotted as a fraction of amplitude measured when the HC was held at −90 mV. Application of HEPES (10 mM) significantly reduced changes in both $V_{50}$ ($A$ and amplitude ($B$, $C$) relative to paired control measurements ($n = 14$; 2–4 measurements/cell) made before HEPES application in the same cones ($\bigstar$). Carbenoxolone (0.2 mM; $\triangle$) did not significantly alter the shift ($C$) or amplitude ($D$) changes produced by HC polarization relative to paired controls ($n = 7$, $\triangle$). $P < 0.05$, paired $t$-test. pH of all solutions was 7.4.
Application of HEPES almost completely abolished the activation shifts and amplitude changes produced by membrane potential changes in voltage-clamped HCs. The efficacy of HEPES is consistent with previous studies (Hirasawa and Kaneko 2003; Vessey et al. 2005) suggesting that protons are responsible for the changes in $I_{Ca}$ produced by HC to cone feedback. Extracellular alkalinization causes a negative activation shift and increases the amplitude of $I_{Ca}$ (Barnes et al. 1993). The changes in $I_{Ca}$ produced by HC hyperpolarization support the hypothesis that HC hyperpolarization alkalinizes the extracellular space in the synaptic cleft. The mechanism by which HC hyperpolarization produces such a pH change is unclear, although there is evidence for involvement of an amiloride-sensitive proton conductance in HCs (Vessey et al. 2005).
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