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Laaris N, Puche A, Ennis M. Complementary postsynaptic activity patterns elicited in olfactory bulb by stimulation of mitral/tufted and centrifugal fiber inputs to granule cells. J Neurophysiol 97: 296–306, 2007. First published October 11, 2006; doi:10.1152/jn.00823.2006. Main olfactory bulb (MOB) granule cells receive spatially segregated glutamatergic synaptic inputs from the dendrites of mitral/tufted and centrifugal fibers as well as from the axons of centrifugal fibers (CFFs) originating in olfactory cortical areas. Dendrodendritic synapses from mitral/tufted cells occur on granule cell distal dendrites in the external plexiform layer (EPL), whereas CFFs preferentially target the somata/proximal dendrites of granule cells in the granule cell layer (GCL). In the present study, tract tracing, and recordings of field potentials and voltage-sensitive dye optical signals were used to map activity patterns elicited by activation of these two inputs to granule cells in mouse olfactory bulb slices. Stimulation of the lateral olfactory tract (LOT) produced a negative field potential in the EPL and a positivity in the GCL. CFF stimulation produced field potentials of opposite polarity in the EPL and GCL to those elicited by LOT. LOT-evoked optical signals appeared in the EPL and spread subsequently to deeper layers, whereas CFF-evoked responses appeared in the GCL and then spread superficially. Evoked responses were reduced by N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor antagonists. Reduction of extracellular Mg2+ enhanced the strength and spatiotemporal extent of the evoked responses. These and additional findings indicate that LOT- and CFF-evoked field potentials and optical signals reflect postsynaptic activity in granule cells, with moderate NMDA and dominant AMPA receptor components. Taken together, these results demonstrate that LOT and CFF stimulation in MOB slices selectively activate glutamatergic inputs to the distal dendrites versus somata/proximal dendrites of granule cells.

INTRODUCTION

Granule cells of the main olfactory bulb (MOB) are regulated by two primary classes of excitatory afferent inputs. Within the external plexiform layer (EPL), the distal dendrites of granule cells receive dendrodendritic input from mitral/tufted cells. Extrinsic centrifugal fiber (CFF) projections to MOB arise from nearly all of the primary olfactory cortical structures targeted by the outputs of mitral/tufted cells, including the anterior olfactory nucleus (AON), and piriform, periamygdaloid and lateral entorhinal cortex (for review, see Ennis et al. 2006). The somata and proximal dendrites of granule cells are preferentially targeted by these extrinsic excitatory centrifugal inputs (Davis and Macrides 1981; Luskin and Price 1983; Price and Powell 1970). Mitral/tufted to granule cell dendrodendritic synaptic transmission has been extensively studied. Glutamate released from mitral cell dendrites activates both AMPA and N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptors on granule cell spines, although the NMDA receptor plays a dominant role in regulating granule cell GABA release (Aroniadou-Anderjaska et al. 1999; Chen et al. 2000; Halabisky et al. 2000; Isaacson and Strowbridge 1998; Schoppa et al. 1998). Functionally, granule cell-mediated dendritic inhibition is thought to play a major role in infraglomerular circuits that sharpen contrast among odorant representations arising from populations of mitral cells associated with different glomeruli and thus receiving input from olfactory sensory neurons with different odorant response specificities (Luo and Katz 2001; Yokoi et al. 1995).

By contrast, comparatively less is known about the properties and functional role of extrinsic CFF input to granule cells. Projections from primary olfactory cortical structures form asymmetrical (i.e., presumably excitatory) synapses on granule cell bodies and dendrites (Price and Powell 1970). Activation of primary olfactory cortical structures, or stimulation of the anterior commissure, which is a major route for centrifugal projections to MOB, produces a negative field potential in the granule cell layer (GCL) as expected if excitatory currents are flowing into granule cells (Mori and Takagi 1978; Nakashima et al. 1978; Neville and Haberly 2003; Nickell and Shipley 1993; Patneau and Stripling 1992; Stripling et al. 1991). Cellular recording studies in vivo demonstrate that similar stimulation produces spikes or excitatory postsynaptic potentials (EPSPs) in granule cells, followed by inhibitory postsynaptic potentials (IPSPs) in mitral cells (Mori and Takagi 1978; Nakashima et al. 1978; Nicoll 1971; Yamamoto et al. 1963).

Other important aspects of CFF regulation of granule cells remain unanswered. For example, CFF projections from some divisions of the AON selectively target the distal dendrites of granule cells in the EPL (Davis and Macrides 1981; Luskin and Price 1983). The relative strength of inputs to the proximal versus distal dendrites of granule cells is unknown. The role of ionotropic glutamate receptor subtypes in centrifugal synaptic transmission to granule cells has not been investigated.

Experiments to address many of these questions are most amenable in slice preparations. At the caudal pole of the MOB, the anterior commissure and LOT are located at equivalent rostrocaudal and dorsoventral levels and thus may be preserved in vitro horizontal slices commonly used for MOB electrophysiology. The goal of the this study was to use field potential...
and voltage-sensitive dye (VSD) optical recordings to investigate postsynaptic activity patterns and the involvement of ionotropic glutamate receptors in responses elicited by focal activation of centrifugal inputs to granule cells in mouse olfactory bulb slices. The specificity of the centrifugal-evoked responses was assessed by comparing them to those elicited by antidromic activation of mitral/tufted dendrodendritic inputs to granule cells.

**METHODS**

**Tract tracing**

Animal protocols used in this study complied with institutional and federal regulations. Young or adult (19- to 60-day old) C57BL/6J mice (Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME) were anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital (100 mg/kg) and transcardially perfused with 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1M phosphate buffer. The brains (n = 3) were removed, and a coronal cut was made at the level of the caudal AON with a tungsten carbide razor blade. This cut permitted visual observation of the white matter of both the CFF tract and the LOT. A crystal of 4-(4-(dihexadecylamino)styryl)-N-methylpyridinium iodine (DiA) was inserted into the white matter CFF tract, and a 1,1'-dioctadecyl-3,3,3',3'-tetramethylindocarbocyanine perchlorate (DiI) crystal was inserted into the LOT on the lateral surface of the brain visualized under oblique reflected illumination. The DiI crystal inserted into the LOT could result in labeling of pyramidal neurons in the PC via contacts with their dendrites in layer 1b and thus cross-label the CFFs. To prevent this aberrant cross-labeling, a shallow tangential cut was made through layer II of the cortex between the DiA and DiI crystal placements to isolate pyramidal cell dendrites in layer 1b from pyramidal soma/axons in layers II/III and the CFFs. The brains were incubated at 32°C for 4 wk, vibratome sectioned, mounted onto slides with a DABCO-based anti-fading agent, and viewed on an Olympus Fluoview confocal microscope. To correlate the position and distribution of CFF and LOT axons with the slice electrophysiology experiments, we implanted DiI in the CFF tract and DiA in the LOT in 400-µm-thick, quasi-horizontal slices (n = 4 slices, procedures in the following text). Slices were processed, mounted, counterstained with the nuclear dye DAPI and then analyzed as described in the preceding text.

**In vitro slice preparation**

Mice (19- to 28-day old) were anesthetized (pentobarbital, 100 mg/kg ip), and the olfactory bulbs and a portion of the rostral forebrain were removed. Horizontal slices (400-µm-thick) were harvested as previously described (Heinbockel et al. 2004) with the following modifications to optimally preserve the CFF tract and the rostral component of the LOT. The MOB-rostral forebrain block was secured, ventral side down, to a custom fabricated chuck (designed for the standard tissue pedestal of the Electron Microscopy Sciences Model OTS-4000 vibratome, Hatfield, PA); this allowed for rotation of the MOB-rostral forebrain block in two dimensions. The block was oriented with the long rostrocaudal axis parallel to vibratome cutting path. The block was then rotated along the rostrocaudal axis to lower the MOB ~20° below the horizontal plane of the Paxinos and Franklin (2001) mouse stereotaxic atlas and along the mediolateral axis by 10–15° to raise the hemisphere being sectioned. This orientation results in a slightly oblique para-horizontal section which retains the rostral portion of the LOT as well as the centrally located CFF tract (see Fig. 1D). The resulting slices were placed in a holding chamber that contained artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF) at 30°C, aerated with 95% O2-5% CO2. After a 1-h recovery period, the slices were maintained in the same chamber at room temperature. ACSF was composed of (in mM) 124 NaCl, 25 NaHCO3, 5 N,N-bis[2-hydroxyethyl]-2-aminoethanesulfonic acid (BES), 3 KCl, 1.3 MgSO4, 2.0 CaCl2, and 15 glucose.

**Electrical stimulation and field potential recordings**

Bipolar stimulation electrodes (paired 50-µm stainless steel wires, insulated except at the tips) were placed in the LOT and/or the CFF tract (Figs. 1D and 2A). Constant current pulses (20–400 µA, 150 µs) were delivered through an optically isolated stimulus isolator (Grass PSIU6, Quincy, MA) driven by a pulse generator (Grass S48). The pulse generator was also used to trigger image acquisition by the A/D converter (see following text). Glass pipettes (filled with 2 M NaCl, 2–5 M Ω) were placed in the EPL or GCL to record evoked field potentials. Field potential recordings were filtered at 0.1 Hz to 3 kHz, digitized on-line at 20 kHz, and stored on an Apple Macintosh computer.
Imaging VSD signals

Individual slices were stained with the VSD RH-414 (100 μM). The dye was dissolved in ACSF, and a single slice was placed in a static bath containing this solution, continuously aerated with 95% O₂-5% CO₂ for 30–45 min. The stained slice was then transferred to an immersion-type recording chamber and continuously perfused at 2 ml/min with ACSF at room temperature. Methods used for recording VSD optical signals are similar to those described in detail elsewhere (Keller et al. 1998; Laaris et al. 2000; Wu and Cohen 1993). To wash out unbound dye, stained slices were perfused with ACSF for ≥15 min before initiating the optical recording. The recording chamber was mounted on a fixed stage upright microscope (BX50WI; Olympus Optical, Tokyo, Japan), rigidly mounted on a vibration-isolation table. A stabilized DC power source was used to power a 100-W tungsten-halogen lamp, and the light from this lamp was band-limited with interference (540 ± 30 nm band-pass; Omega Optical, Brattleboro, VT) and heat filters. Light from the preparation was collected through a ×10 water-immersion objective (0.3 NA, Olympus) and projected onto a hexagonal 464-element array of photodiodes (NeuroPlex, OptImaging, Fairfield, CT). Each photodiode sampled optical signals from a region of ~60 × 60 μm². The current output from each photodiode was separately converted to voltages and amplified in two separate stages, then multiplexed, and digitized at 12-bit resolution with an A/D converter. Optical signals were filtered at 500 Hz before digitizing. All electronic components are part of the commercial NeuroPlex system. Data were collected and stored on a personal computer controlled by NeuroPlex software.

To precisely identify the regions in the slice from which optical recordings were collected, a custom-designed beam splitting device (Microscope Services, Rockville, MD) was used to simultaneously project the images of the slice and light from light-emitting diodes embedded in the photodiode array onto the image plane of a CCD camera (Dage CCD72, Michigan City, IN). This allowed us to demarcate the locations of glomeruli, and of laminar boundaries. These anatomical features, observed in unstained slices, correlated well with their appearance in Nissl-stained sections.

Optical recordings were obtained at a sample rate of 1.63 kHz. Optical responses depicted represent the average of five consecutive traces, collected at 20-s intervals. To correct for spatial differences in illumination intensity and light path length, the signal recorded from each detector was divided by the resting light intensity calculated for the corresponding detector. The resting light intensity for each detector was calculated by subtracting the intensity values recorded while the shutter was closed from those recorded while the shutter was open, when no stimulation was applied. The resulting signal amplitudes are expressed as a fractional change in fluorescence, relative to baseline fluorescence levels (ΔF/ΔF₀). To quantify the relative changes in light fluorescence, we calculated the mean ± SE of the ΔF/ΔF₀ during the 50 ms preceding the stimulus; LOT or CFF poststimulus response amplitudes are expressed as the mean ± SE above these mean baseline values. Analyses of data were performed on an Apple Macintosh computer, using routines developed in Igor (WaveMetrics, Lake Oswego, OR). The peak amplitude and half-width of LOT- and CFF-evoked field potentials and optical signals were calculated from the control and compared with the responses collected after drug application using paired student’s t-test, and expressed as a percentage of the control. To illustrate the spatial distribution of optical signals (Fig. 3), poststimulus signal amplitudes were color-coded and interpolated as a percentage of the prestimulus signal amplitude.

In addition to VSD-related signals, optical responses may also arise from sources intrinsic to the slice (Grinvald et al. 1988; Yuste et al. 1997). To determine if such intrinsic signals contributed to the waveforms recorded in our slice, we performed experiments in slices that were not stained with the VSD (n = 2); no optical signals were detected in these control experiments. We also tested the dependence of these signals on the illumination wavelength. When the illumination was changed to a wavelength outside the absorption spectrum of the dye (≥800 nm), no optical signal was detected in the MOB. This suggests that the optical signals represent dye-related responses and are not the result of intrinsic optical signals. Dye-related optical signals may also originate from activation of glial cells. In this case, the optical responses recorded from glial cells are expected to exhibit a slow time course (>1 s) compared with that of neuronal responses (Konnerth et al. 1987). In the mouse MOB, glomerular layer astrocytes exhibit long-lasting (~1 s) responses to single olfactory nerve shocks (De St. San and Westbrook 2005). However, the evoked optical signals recorded in the present study had only a single depolarizing component, whose duration was <85 ms. Additionally, previous studies demonstrate that glial toxins do not alter VSD optical signals in MOB evoked by olfactory nerve stimulation (Keller et al. 1998). Taken together, these findings suggest that the dye-related optical signals analyzed in the present study reflect neuronal responses and are not related to signals originating from glial cells.

Pharmacological and ionic manipulations

Pharmacological agents were prepared immediately before use from stock solutions and dissolved in ACSF. The following agents were obtained from RBI-Sigma (Natick, MA): d-(-)-2-amino-5-phosphonopentanoic acid (AP5), and tetrodotoxin (TTX). Nominally Ca²⁺-free solutions were prepared by replacing Ca²⁺ with equimolar concentrations of Mg²⁺; nominally Mg²⁺-free solutions were prepared by replacing Mg²⁺ with equimolar concentrations of Ca²⁺.

RESULTS

Location and segregation of LOT and CFF tracts

The MOB is connected to the frontal pole of the brain by a slender stalk referred to as the olfactory peduncle. Collectively, the olfactory peduncle includes the AON, tenia tecta, and dorsal peduncular cortex (Haberly and Price 1978). The peduncle also contains two major fiber tracts: the LOT, the major output fiber system of the bulb consisting of the axons of mitral/tufted cells, located on the lateral surface of the peduncle, and the anterior commissure, a fiber tract that carries the bulk of centrifugal afferent inputs to the MOB, located at the center of the peduncle (Davis and Macrides 1981); we refer to anterior commissure here as the CFF tract. One goal of the present study was to determine if these two tracts can be independently stimulated in the slice preparation to selectively activate these two major input and output systems of the MOB in electrophysiology experiments. Although the location of the efferent and afferent fiber tracts between MOB and olfactory cortex were described close to a century ago (Cajal 1911), their degree of separation at the caudal pole of the mouse MOB and whether mitral/tufted cell axons and CFFs uniformly segregate into one of the two pathways is unclear.

To verify the distribution of axons in these two fiber tracts, we first placed DiI and DiA crystals into the tracts at the level of the AON in fixed, intact brains. The pattern of labeling was identical in all experiments (n = 3 brains). DiI placed into the LOT retrogradely labeled mitral cells in the mitral cell layer (MCL) and tufted cells throughout the EPL as well as lateral/ apical dendrites in the EPL and apical dendritic tufts in the GL (Fig. 1, A and C). The axons of mitral/tufted cells in the bulb coalesced within and projected mainly though the IPL, although many small mitral/tufted cell axon bundles “cut corners” and projected through the superficial granule cell regions...
en route to the LOT at the caudal aspect of the MOB. DiA placed into the CFF tract labeled a dense plexus of axons and terminals throughout the GCL (Fig. 1, B and C). Labeled fibers were sparse in the EPL and GL. Tracer injections into the CFF tract never labeled mitral or tufted cells. These results show that the bulk of projections coursing through the CFF tract preferentially terminate in the GCL and IPL.

To confirm the location and axonal distribution of these fiber tracts in the slices used in electrophysiology experiments, we implanted DiI in the CFF tract and DiA in the in LOT in 400-μM-thick quasi-horizontal slices (Fig. 1, D and E). Because the LOT and CFF tract are located near the floor of the rostral forebrain, only one or two slices through the ventral MOB contained both tracts. Inspection of fresh wet mounts indicates that both tracts are well preserved and separated by 0.8–1.0 mm (Fig. 1D). DiI placements into the CFF tract (n = 4) anterogradely labeled axons that projected widely throughout the GCL but did not retrogradely label mitral/tufted cells (Fig. 1E). In contrast, DiA labeling of the LOT was highly selective for mitral/tufted cells (Fig. 1E).

Origin and time course of LOT- and CFF-evoked responses

LOT STIMULATION. Consistent with previous findings in vivo and in MOB slices (Aroniadou-Anderjaska et al. 1999; Nickell and Shipley 1992; Rall et al. 1986), single LOT shocks (20–100 μA) elicited a negative field potential in the EPL (not shown), reflecting inward currents in the distal dendrites of granule cells and a corresponding positive field potential in the GCL (Fig. 2B, Table 1), reflecting outward currents in granule cell somata. LOT-evoked field potentials in the GCL exhibited a single peak at a latency of 7.3 ± 0.8 ms and a duration of 27.9 ± 4.1 ms (n = 10). The GCL field potential did not contain an early presynaptic fiber component. However, LOT-evoked field potentials recorded in the EPL or MCL exhibited an early component in some slices with an onset latency of 1.3 ± 0.2 ms (n = 7, Table 1); the exact onset latency of the early component could not be measured accurately in all experiments as it sometimes overlapped with the stimulation artifact. This presynaptic component persisted in the presence of glutamate receptor antagonists or Ca²⁺-free ACSF but was abolished by TTX (data not shown). LOT-evoked optical signals in the GCL (n = 23; Fig. 2A and B, Table 2) had a slower onset latency (10.0 ± 0.6 ms) and a longer duration (66.9 ± 3.8 ms) than the corresponding field potential recorded in the GCL. An early presynaptic component of the optical signal was not detected in the GCL or other MOB layers. This may be due to the organization of mitral cells in a thin layer of neurons, to the relatively low sampling frequency, or to the relatively low numerical aperture of the objective used.

CFF STIMULATION. Consistent with results in vivo (Mori and Takagi 1978; Nakashima et al. 1978; Nickell and Shipley 1993), shocks to the CFF tract produced a negative field potential in the granule cell layer (Fig. 2A), reflecting inward currents in the distal dendrites of granule cells and a corresponding positive field potential in the GCL (Fig. 2A) evoked by LOT (left) and CFF (right) stimulation (LOT, 20 μA; CFF, 100 μA). In normal artificial cerebrospinal fluid (nACSF, top traces), the LOT-evoked FP is positive, whereas the CFF-evoked FP is negative. • • • • • • • , stimulation onset. *Note that presynaptic values for lateral olfactory tract (LOT) stimulation were taken from field potentials recorded in the mitral cell layer/external plexiform layer (MCL/EPL) (see text for details).

![FIG. 2. LOT- and CFF-evoked field potentials and optical signals. A: optical recording setup. Top: videographic image of a 400-μm-thick forebrain-MOB slice. Hexagonal frame demarcates the border of the 464-element photodiode array. The position of the field potential electrode, and the CFF and LOT stimulation electrodes are indicated. Bottom: higher magnification videographic image of the area within the hexagon in upper panel. Scale bar = 400 μm. B, right and left: simultaneous recordings of field potentials (FPs) and voltage-sensitive dye (VSD) optical signals (OS) in the granule cell layer evoked by LOT (left) and CFF (right) stimulation (LOT, 20 μA; CFF, 100 μA). In normal artificial cerebrospinal fluid (nACSF, top traces), the LOT-evoked FP is positive, whereas the CFF-evoked FP is negative. • • • • • • • , stimulation onset. *Note that presynaptic values for lateral olfactory tract (LOT) stimulation were taken from field potentials recorded in the mitral cell layer/external plexiform layer (MCL/EPL) (see text for details).](https://jn.physiology.org/doi/abs/10.1152/jn.00350.2006?journalId=10.1152/jn)
potential in the GCL, reflecting inward currents in the proximal dendrites and somata of granule cells (Fig. 2C, Table 1) and a corresponding positive field potential in the EPL, reflecting outward currents in granule cell distal dendrites. Typically, CFF stimulation required intensities two- to threefold higher (100–400 μA) than those for LOT stimulation to produce responses of equivalent amplitude. This may due to greater preservation of LOT fibers than CFFs in the slice or to other factors such as the diameter or excitability of the CFFs. The CFF-evoked GCL field potential had two major components: an early negativity (3.5 ± 0.4 ms onset latency, n = 5), followed by a larger-amplitude, longer-duration negativity (8.2 ± 0.4 ms onset latency, 30.8 ± 2.2 ms duration; n = 8). The corresponding optical signal in the GCL had two components (Fig. 2B): a fast component (3.0 ± 0.3 ms onset latency, n = 16) apparent in some cases only after application of Ca<sup>2+</sup>-free ACSF (see following text), followed by a larger-amplitude, slow component (6.8 ± 0.4 ms onset latency, 71.6 ± 3.8 ms duration; n = 27). The early component of the CFF-evoked field potential and optical signal may correspond to a compound action potential in the CFFs, as it was most frequently observed when the GCL recordings were made close to the stimulation site. Consistent with this, the early component of CFF-evoked field potential and optical responses persisted when the slices were perfused with nominally Ca<sup>2+</sup>-free ACSF to suppress synaptic transmission, whereas the later, slow components were abolished (n = 6; Fig. 2B). The early component of CFF-evoked responses that persisted in Ca<sup>2+</sup>-free ACSF was eliminated by subsequent application of TTX (0.5 μM; n = 6); no responses remained under this condition (Fig. 2C). Additionally, the early component was unaffected by glutamate receptor antagonists, whereas the second component was reduced or eliminated (see following text). These findings indicate that the slow component of the field potentials and optical signals elicted by LOT and CFF stimulation reflect postsynaptic depolarization of granule cells mediated by Ca<sup>2+</sup>-dependent synaptic transmission. By contrast, the early components represent the presynaptic compound action potential in LOT and CFF axons.

**Spatial distribution of optical signals**

To analyze the spatial distribution of the LOT- and CFF-evoked optical responses in MOB, we generated color-coded maps representing the amplitude of the responses recorded by each photodiode (Fig. 3A). In these experiments, we compared patterns of activity elicited by stimulation intensities near-threshold for eliciting optical responses to those elicited by 2×-threshold intensities. LOT stimulation intensities varied from 20 to 60 μA and produced a similar response pattern in

---

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stimulation Intensity</th>
<th>LOT</th>
<th>CFF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30 μA</td>
<td>0.4 ms</td>
<td>0.3 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 μA</td>
<td>0.4 ms</td>
<td>0.3 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 μA</td>
<td>14 ms</td>
<td>14 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400 μA</td>
<td>14 ms</td>
<td>14 ms</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 3**

Spatiotemporal pattern of optical signals after activation of the LOT (top 2 rows) or CFF tract (bottom 2 rows). Poststimulus time intervals (t, in ms) are shown below each image. A, top: responses elicited by perithreshold stimulation intensity (LOT, 30 μA; CFF, 200 μA); bottom: responses elicited by 2×-threshold level stimulation intensity (LOT, 60 μA; CFF, 400 μA). B: effects of ionotropic glutamate receptor antagonists. Left: maximal amplitude and spatial extent of LOT (top) and CFF-evoked (bottom) responses in nACSF; stimulation intensity: LOT, 60 μA; CFF, 200 μA. The N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor antagonist APV moderately reduced the amplitude and spatial extent of the evoked responses (middle), whereas subsequent application of the AMPA receptor antagonist 6-cyano-7-nitroquinoxalene-2,3-dione (CNQX) nearly completely abolished the responses (right). Poststimulus intervals for APV and CNQX are the same as in nACSF. C: enhancement of NMDA receptor activity increases LOT (top)- and CFF-evoked (bottom) responses; stimulation intensity: LOT, 40 μA; CFF, 200 μA. Left and right, respectively: responses in nACSF and responses after slices were perfused with Mg<sup>2+</sup>-free ACSF (0 Mg<sup>2+</sup>). The poststimulus intervals shown (14 ms) correspond to the time of maximal responses in nACSF. Results shown in A–C are from different slices.
all slices (n = 30) with small exceptions noted in the following text. As shown in Fig. 3A, responses elicited by near-threshold LOT stimulation were first observed in the deep EPL/MCL (7.3 ± 0.4 ms onset latency) and then spread sequentially into the superficial EPL and then the IPL and then the GCL (10.0 ± 0.6 ms onset latency). With near-threshold level LOT stimulation, responses were not observed in the GL. A twofold increase in LOT stimulation intensity increased the amplitude and enlarged the spatial extent of the region from which optical responses were recorded, but the spatiotemporal pattern of evoked responses was similar to that just described for near-threshold stimulation (Fig. 3A, Table 2). However, in a subset of slices (7/30) suprathreshold intensity LOT stimulation evoked responses that spread into the GL (8.9 ± 0.6 ms onset latency).

By contrast to LOT stimulation, CFF-evoked responses (200–400 μA) were first observed in the deeper layers and spread sequentially to more superficial layers of MOB (Fig. 3A). In all slices, near-threshold intensity CFF shocks elicited responses that were first observed in the deep GCL (6.8 ± 0.5 ms onset latency), then spread progressively into the superficial GCL/IPL and then into the EPL (10.9 ± 0.8 ms onset latency). There was no spread of the optical signal to the GL. As in the case of LOT stimulation, a doubling of the CFF stimulation intensity increased the amplitude and expanded the region from which optical responses were recorded, but responses remained restricted to the GCL, MCL and EPL, except in rare cases (n = 4/27) where the activity spread to the GL (11.7 ± 2.4 ms onset latency).

Glutamate receptors mediate evoked activity

We next investigated the contribution of ionotropic glutamate receptors to the postsynaptic components of field potentials and optical signals evoked by LOT and CFF stimulation. As shown in Fig. 3B and Table 3, application of the NMDA receptor antagonist AP5 (100 μM) resulted in a 21.9 ± 1.8% reduction (n = 5, P < 0.004) in the amplitude, and a small but nonsignificant 11.1 ± 6% reduction (n = 5, P > 0.1) in the half-width of the LOT-evoked optical signals in the GCL. Similarly, AP5 reduced the amplitude of CFF-evoked optical signal in the GCL by 52.2 ± 8.9% (n = 5, P < 0.05); the half-width was reduced by 26.3 ± 9.2%, although this trend did not reach statistical significance (n = 5, P > 0.05). AP5 also reduced the spatial distribution of LOT- and CFF-evoked optical responses (Fig. 3B), although this effect was not statistically quantified. By contrast, AP5 did not significantly affect LOT- or CFF-evoked field potentials (n = 5–6, P > 0.05; see Table 3). Application of CNQX (25 μM; n = 6) in the presence of AP5 resulted in complete suppression of the late, postsynaptic components of LOT- and CFF-evoked field potentials and optical signals recorded in all layers (Fig. 3B; 95–100% decrease in peak amplitude, P < 0.05). Neither AP5 nor CNQX altered the early presynaptic component of the evoked responses (data not shown). Taken together, these findings indicate that in normal physiological conditions, granule cell responses to input from mitral/tufted cells as well as from CFFs are mediated by activation of ionotropic glutamate receptors with dominant AMPA and moderate NMDA receptor-mediated components.

Extracellular Mg2+ has been shown to limit activation of NMDA receptors on granule cells in response to input from mitral/tufted cells (Aroniadou-Anderjaska et al. 1999; Chen et al. 2000; Issacson and Strowbridge 1998; Schoppa et al. 1998). We therefore reasoned that enhanced activation of these receptors would increase the magnitude and/or the spatial spread of activity in response to LOT or CFF stimulation. To investigate this, we perfused slices with a nominally Mg2+-free ACSF (0 Mg2+), a condition that enhances the activation of NMDA receptors (Collingridge and Bliss 1995). Optical signals evoked by near-threshold LOT and CFF stimulation were recorded in normal ACSF and then 5–10 min after perfusion with Mg2+-free ACSF. As shown in Fig. 3C and Table 3, Mg2+-free ACSF significantly increased (25–43%) the amplitude LOT- and CFF-evoked field potentials and optical signals. The half-width of the signals were also increased (22–29%), although this trend did not reach statistical significance for field potentials (Table 3). Mg2+-free ACSF also appeared to enlarge the spatial extent of LOT- and CFF-evoked optical responses (Fig. 3C), although this trend was not statistically analyzed. This suggests that reduction of extracellular Mg2+ leads to activation of neuronal elements in previously unresponsive regions. Reduced extracellular Mg2+ did not produce spontaneously generated optical signals or other seizure-like activity in the MOB. The enhanced amplitude and propagation of evoked optical signals and field potentials in the presence of 0 Mg2+ ACSF were completely reversed by subsequent application of AP5 (100 μM, n = 4, P < 0.05; data not shown), supporting the conclusion that reduced Mg2+ resulted in enhanced activation of NMDA receptors.

**DISCUSSION**

The results of the present study demonstrate that activation of the LOT and CFF tract elicit complementary activity patterns in mouse MOB slices. LOT-evoked responses initiate in

---

**Table 3. Effects of APV and Mg2+-free ACSF**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stimulation Site</th>
<th>Response Type</th>
<th>APV</th>
<th>Mg2+-Free ACSF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amplitude</td>
<td>Half-Width</td>
<td>Amplitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOT</td>
<td>4.4 ± 1.6 (6, P = 0.06)</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.7 (6, P = 0.22)</td>
<td>27.3 ± 6.9 (6, P = 0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFF</td>
<td>4.1 ± 1.8 (5, P = 0.003)</td>
<td>11.1 ± 6.0 (5, P = 0.14)</td>
<td>31.2 ± 8.2 (5, P = 0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPT</td>
<td>5.6 ± 8.7 (5, P = 0.31)</td>
<td>2.6 ± 2.6 (5, P = 0.37)</td>
<td>43.5 ± 14.9 (5, P = 0.03)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Effects of the N-methyl-d-aspartate receptor antagonist 2-amino-5-phosphonovaleric acid (APV) and Mg2+-free artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF) on the peak amplitude and half-width of field potentials (FPs) and VSD optical signals (OS) elicited by LOT or CFF stimulation. All values are means ± SE percent increase or decrease from control values in normal ACSF. The number of slices and P values are indicated in parentheses.
the MCL/EPL and propagate into the deeper layers, whereas CFF-evoked responses appear first in the deep GCL and propagate more superficially. Both patterns reflect excitatory postsynaptic activity in granule cells involving AMPA and NMDA receptor-mediated components. Stimulation of the LOT and CFF tracts can be used, respectively, to selectively activate anatomically segregated excitatory inputs to the distal versus proximal dendrites/somata of granule cells in MOB slice preparations.

**Location and distribution of LOT and CFF axons**

The present tract tracing results show that tracer deposits into the CFF tract in vivo or in vitro produced dense anterograde labeling that was concentrated in the deep part of the GCL. Moderate labeling was present in the superficial GCL and the IPL; sparser labeling was present in the EPL whereas the GL was nearly devoid of labeled fibers. This pattern of labeling is consistent with the distribution of afferent fibers from several olfactory cortical areas [piriform cortex, periamygdaloid nucleus, nucleus of the LOT, AON (pars dorsalis, medial and ventroposterior)] previously reported to terminate heavily in the GCL but only sparsely in the GL (Luskin and Price 1983; Pinching and Powell 1972). More superficial layers of the MOB (i.e., the EPL and GL) receive dense inputs from some divisions of AON (pars medialis and ventroposterior) (Luskin and Price 1983) as well as from cholinergic and serotonergic cell groups (for review, see Ennis et al. 2006). The relatively sparse labeling in the superficial layers in the present study suggests that those fibers terminating superficially in MOB course through other regions of the olfactory peduncle than targeted by our tracer injections. Consistent with this, afferent fibers terminating in the EPL and GL (e.g., from AON pars medialis and serotonergic nuclei) bypass the CFF tract and course through the medial aspect of the olfactory peduncle to enter MOB (Davis and Macrides 1981; McLean and Shipley 1987). Cholinergic, noradrenergic, and GABAergic fibers enter the MOB via the medial forebrain bundle located ventral to the CFF tract (Fallon and Moore 1978; Macrides et al. 1981; McLean et al. 1989). Additionally, the present findings demonstrate that CFF stimulation did not produce discernible field potential or VSD-optical responses in the presence of ionotropic glutamate receptor antagonists, indicating a minimal contribution from noradrenergic, serotonergic, or cholinergic fibers. However, we cannot exclude the possibility that release of neurotransmitter from these inputs modulated the intensity or time course of the responses evoked in normal ACSF.

**Origin of LOT- and CFF-evoked signals**

The results of this study indicate that the longer-latency components of LOT- and CFF-evoked responses primarily reflect postsynaptic activity in MOB neurons. Consistent with this, the longer-latency components of the evoked responses were abolished when the slice was perfused with zero-Ca\(^{2+}\) ACSF or with ionotropic glutamate receptor antagonists (CNQX + AP5). The later finding indicates that the initiation of postsynaptic activity requires activation of ionotropic glutamate receptors.

The laminar profiles and opposite polarity of LOT- and CFF-evoked field potentials in the EPL and GCL are entirely consistent with previous findings in vivo and in vitro that these potentials reflect postsynaptic responses generated by transmembrane current flow through the granule cell dipole (Aroniadou-Anderjaska et al. 1999; Martinez and Freeman 1984; Mori and Takagi 1978; Nakashima et al. 1978; Neville and Haberly 2003; Nickell and Shipley 1992, 1993; Patneau and Stripling 1992; Rall et al. 1966; Stripling et al. 1991). The spatiotemporal pattern of VSD optical responses in the different layers of the bulb is also consistent with this conclusion. Thus LOT-evoked responses were first observed in the MCL/EPL and subsequently in the GCL or the GL. Responses in the MCL may reflect activation of superficial granule cells in this layer as the MCL contains \(~100,000\) superficially located granule cells (Frazier and Brunjes 1988) compared with \(~40,000\) mitral cells (Meisami 1989). CFF-evoked responses, by contrast, were always observed first in the GCL and subsequently in more superficial layers. Taken together, these findings indicate that within the range of stimulation currents used (20–400 \(\mu A\)), the LOT and CFF tracts can be independently activated in rodent MOB slices and used to selectively activate anatomically segregated inputs to the distal dendrites versus proximal dendrites/somata of granule cells.

One possible exception to this conclusion is the observation of evoked optical signals in the GL in a small subset of slices. Granule cell processes do not enter the GL (Cajal 1911; Ennis et al. 2006). The layers of the MOB are organized as concentric circles. Because of this curvature in 400-\(\mu m\)-thick slices, the laminar borders are imprecise as neural elements in one layer may be superimposed above or below an adjacent layer; i.e., a parallax error. Thus the evoked optical signals observed in the GL may represent activity in granule cell dendrites in the superficial EPL.

Optical signals primarily reflect membrane potential changes and unlike field potentials would include contributions from neurons that do not from dipoles. Thus LOT-evoked optical signals may reflect depolarization of other neuron types that receive input from mitral/tufted cells, including periglomerular/short axon cells in the GL, intrinsic interneurons in the EPL, granule cells in the MCL, and nongranule interneurons in the GCL (Ennis et al. 2006). Similarly, the CFF-evoked optical signal may reflect contributions from nongranule cell neurons targeted by CFFs in the GCL and EPL. However, the amplitude of the optical signal is directly proportional to the surface area of the membranes from which the signal is recorded (assuming uniform dye binding) (Grinvald et al. 1988; Salzberg et al. 1973). Although we do not exclude contributions from other cell types, because granule cell somata and dendrites are the most numerous neuronal elements in the EPL and GCL postsynaptic to mitral/tufted cells (via LOT stimulation) or CFF inputs, most of the optically recorded responses in these layers should originate from granule cells.

An additional consideration is the possibility that LOT-evoked activity reflects mitral/tufted cell excitation elicited by glutamate spillover among the apical or lateral dendrites of these cells. Several findings indicate that the contribution of mitral/tufted cell glutamate spillover is minor. First, mitral cell self-excitation in normal ACSF is negligible or absent. In physiological conditions in MOB slices, spikes in mitral cells are followed by IPSPs, and postspike glutamatergic excitation can only be observed when extracellular \(Mg^{2+}\) is eliminated or when GABA\(_{A}\) receptors are blocked (Friedman and Strow-
Pre- and postsynaptic components of evoked signals

The LOT-evoked field potential recorded in the MCL/EPL contained an early component corresponding to the presynaptic compound action potential in mitral/tufted cells as it persisted in antagonists of ionotropic glutamate receptors or Ca\(^{2+}\) channel blocker TTX. Assuming a straight line distance between the stimulation and recording sites of ~2.2 mm, the latency of the presynaptic spike (1.3 ms) translates to a LOT axon impulse conduction velocity of 1.7 m/s. However, this value probably underestimates the conduction velocity as the onset of the presynaptic component could not be measured in some experiments due to overlap with the stimulation artifact. This may account for the slower conduction velocity of LOT axons in the present study compared with that reported for juvenile (P15) rats, 2.7 m/s (Schwob et al. 1984). Similar calculations for the presynaptic component observed in CFF-evoked field potentials (3.5 ms) and optical signals (3.0 ms), assuming a 2.0 mm straight line distance between stimulation and recording sites, yield CFF axonal conduction velocities of 0.5 and 0.6 m/s, respectively. These values are comparable to the 0.4 m/s impulse conduction velocity of AON and piriform cortex CFFs reported in adult rats (Moyano and Molina 1980).

Based on the relative latencies of the postsynaptic component of evoked optical signal across different layers of MOB, LOT-evoked signals spread from the EPL into the GCL or the GL with an estimated conduction velocity of 0.2 m/s. CFF-evoked optical signals spread from the GCL to the more superficial layers with an estimated conduction velocity of 0.2 m/s. The values for the interlaminar spread of optical signals are substantially lower than the respective conduction velocities of LOT axons and CFFs in this study (see preceding text). The similar interlaminar postsynaptic conduction velocity for LOT- and CFF-evoked optical signals provides additional support that they originate from a common postsynaptic neural element, specifically granule cells. The similar propagation speed for LOT- and CFF-evoked optical responses across the MOB layers suggests that their spatial spread is generated primarily by intrinsic propagation of membrane potential changes along the granule cell following the initial synaptic input as opposed to the temporal delay, for example, in presynaptic spikes in CFFs synapsing on deep versus superficial portions of the granule cell.

VSD optical signals primarily reflect changes in membrane potential and thus may include components related to decremental postsynaptic potentials as well as actively propagating Na\(^+\) or Ca\(^{2+}\) spikes. Granule cells exhibit somatic and dendritic Na\(^+\) and Ca\(^{2+}\) spikes (Egger et al. 2005; Halabisky et al. 2000; Pinato and Midtgaard 2003, 2005; Zelles et al. 2006), but their conduction velocity has not been reported. It is noteworthy that the interlaminar conduction velocity calculated here for LOT- and CFF-evoked optical signals (0.2 m/s) is similar to the somatofugal spike conduction velocity in mitral cell lateral dendrites (0.20–0.35 m/s) (Djurisic et al. 2004; Xiong and Chen 2002). Further studies are needed to determine the relative contribution of passive and active membrane properties to LOT- and CFF-evoked postsynaptic responses in granule cells.

Role of ionotropic glutamate receptors

LOT- and CFF-evoked field potentials were minimally affected by NMDA receptor blockade, whereas the corresponding evoked optical signals exhibited more substantial reductions. The lack of effect of NMDA receptor blockade on the evoked field potentials may be due to their small amplitude (<0.5 mV) in the submerged slices used in the present study or because the NMDA receptor-mediated response is not in phase across the population of neurons contributing to the field potential. This is less problematic with the VSD signals as they measure membrane potential changes at a discrete spatial location. The weak effect of NMDA receptor blockade observed here is consistent with observations in vivo that the amplitude of LOT-evoked field potential is unaffected by AP5, whereas the duration is slightly reduced (Yokoi et al. 1995).

The reduction of the peak amplitude of the LOT-evoked optical signal after NMDA receptor antagonism is consistent with similar reductions of mitral/tufted cell-evoked EPSPs in granule cells in the presence of physiological levels of extracellular Mg\(^{2+}\) (Schoppa and Westbrook 1999; Schoppa et al. 1998). This finding is also in agreement with AP5’s reduction of VSD-optical signals elicited after antidromic activation of mitral/tufted cells in the salamander olfactory bulb (Wellis and Kauer 1993).

NMDA receptor blockade also markedly attenuated (~50% reduction) the amplitude of the CFF-evoked optical signal, indicating that glutamatergic inputs to granule cell somata and proximal dendrites are mediated by both NMDA and AMPA receptors. The more substantial effects of AP5 on CFF-evoked responses may reflect a greater contribution of NMDA receptors to synaptic inputs to the somata/proximal dendrites of granule cells. LOT- and CFF-evoked field potentials and optical signals were completely blocked in the presence of CNQX and AP5 in agreement with previous studies (Chen et al. 2000; Isaacson 2001; Isaacson and Strowbridge 1998). The enhancement of the amplitude, duration, and spatial extent of LOT-evoked field potentials and optical signals in Mg\(^{2+}\)-free ACSF is consistent with previous reports of increased granule cell responses to mitral/tufted cell input and augmented dendrodend

**Functional considerations**

The role of centrifugal projections from olfactory cortical areas to the MOB in olfactory processing is poorly understood. Activation of these inputs was reported to suppress odor-evoked field potential activity in MOB (Kerr and Hagbarth 1955). By contrast, elimination of CFF inputs has been reported to increase odorant receptive fields of MOB neurons and to disrupt synchrony of neuronal discharge with the respiratory cycle (Chaput 1983). More recent work demonstrates that projections from piriform cortex a play a key role in the generation of beta frequency oscillations in MOB. Interestingly, olfactory experience modifies the expression of beta oscillations in MOB. Beta oscillations are enhanced during olfactory learning tasks or repetitive presentations of an odor (Gray and Skinner 1988; Martin et al. 2004; Ravel et al. 2003). Disruption of cortical centrifugal projections abolishes odor-evoked beta oscillations in MOB and prevent their experience-dependent enhancement (Martin et al. 2006; Neville and Haberly 2003). Lesions of the CFF tract have also been reported to interfere with odor-reward association learning (Kiselycynk et al. 2006). Other findings demonstrate that olfactory processing in neonatal and mature animals exhibits considerable plasticity as a result of previous odor experience including: alterations in odor-induced activity maps (Copper-Smith and Leon 1986; Johnson and Leon 1996; Montag-Sallaz and Buonviso 2002; Salcedo et al. 2005; Yuan et al. 2002), mitral cell odorant tuning or responsiveness (Buonviso and Chaput 2000; Fletcher and Wilson 2003; Wilson and Leon 1988), and enhanced odorant discrimination (Mandorian et al. 2006a,b). Consistent with the studies cited in the preceding text, such plasticity is likely to involve centrifugal projections to MOB, including those from olfactory cortical areas.

Previous studies suggest that high-frequency stimulation of CFFs produces long-lasting changes in the excitability of MOB neurons. In a fish, CFF stimulation was reported to enhance the development of long-term potentiation (LTP) at mitral cell-to-granule cell synapses (Satou et al. 2005). High-frequency stimulation of the GCL in rats has been reported to produce LTP of CFF input to granule cells in vivo (Patneau and Stripling 1992; Stripling et al. 1991). Stimulation of the CFF tract in the slice preparation used in the present study will allow more direct cellular electrophysiological analyses of LTP at mammalian CFF-to-granule cell synapses as well as how activation of these synapses impact on mitral cell-granule cell dendrodendritic interactions. The present slice preparation can also be used to explore the physiological influence of CFF projections on other deep inhibitory interneurons in MOB, including Blanes cells. Recent studies in vitro demonstrate that stimuli that evoked persistent firing in Blanes cells also produced prolonged barrages of inhibitory postsynaptic currents in granule cells (Pressler and Strowbridge 2006). These findings indicate that Blanes cells play a major role in modulating of the excitability state of granule cells, which in turn, may impact on the activity of mitral/tufted output neurons. Inputs from Blanes cells appear to preferentially target the basal dendrites and somata of granule cells. If Blanes cells are targeted by CFF inputs, then the direct activation of granule cells by centrifugal cortical inputs may be followed by a prolonged epoch of granule cell inhibition via the Blanes cells. Thus the activity state of Blanes cells may provide a critical gate on excitatory feedback projections from olfactory cortical structures to granule cells, perhaps allowing granule cell-mitral cell dendrodendritic synapses to operate independently of inputs to granule cell basal dendrites/somata.
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