Spectral Composition of Concurrent Noise Affects Neuronal Sensitivity to Interaural Time Differences of Tones in the Dorsal Nucleus of the Lateral Lemniscus
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Siveke I, Leibold C, Grothe B. Spectral composition of concurrent noise affects neuronal sensitivity to interaural time differences of tones in the dorsal nucleus of the lateral lemniscus. J Neurophysiol 98: 2705–2715, 2007. First published August 15, 2007; doi:10.1152/jn.00275.2007. We are regularly exposed to several concurrent sounds, producing a mixture of binaural cues. The neuronal mechanisms underlying the localization of concurrent sounds are not well understood. The major binaural cues for localizing low-frequency sounds in the horizontal plane are interaural time differences (ITDs). Auditory brain stem neurons encode ITDs by firing maximally in response to “favorable” ITDs and weakly or not at all in response to “unfavorable” ITDs. We recorded from ITD-sensitive neurons in the dorsal nucleus of the lateral lemniscus (DNLL) while presenting pure tones at different ITDs embedded in noise. We found that increasing levels of concurrent white noise suppressed the maximal rate response to tones with favorable ITDs and slightly enhanced the response rate to tones with unfavorable ITDs. Nevertheless, most of the neurons maintained ITD sensitivity to tones even for noise intensities equal to that of the tone. Using concurrent noise with a spectral composition in which the neuron’s excitatory frequencies are omitted reduced the maximal response similar to that obtained with concurrent white noise. This finding indicates that the decrease of the maximal rate is mediated by suppressive cross-frequency interactions, which we also observed during monaural stimulation with additional white noise. In contrast, the enhancement of the firing rate to tones at unfavorable ITD might be due to early binaural interactions (e.g., at the level of the superior olive). A simple simulation corroborates this interpretation. Taken together, these findings suggest that the spectral composition of a concurrent sound strongly influences the spatial processing of ITD-sensitive DNLL neurons.

INTRODUCTION

In natural environments, sound stimuli are often complex and originate from a number of different sources. Obviously, our binaural auditory system is able to extract the relevant cues representing the positions of the sound sources amid this complexity. Thus we can localize each of these sources and detect or segregate them based, among other cues, on spatial information (Culling and Summerfield 1995; Darwin and Hukin 1997; Drennan et al. 2003). A step toward the understanding of how concurrent sounds are processed is to investigate the perception of tones in the presence of a noise source. The detection and segregation of tones from background noise has been studied psychophysically (for review see Blauert 1983) and, furthermore, could be correlated to electrophysiological results (Caird et al. 1991; Jiang et al. 1997a,b; Lane and Delgutte 2005; Langford 1984; McAlpine et al. 1996; Palmer et al. 1999). However, most of the work on sound localization has been performed for single sources and the localization of tones in background noise has not been thoroughly investigated. The small number of psychophysical studies showed that the capability to localize sounds in noise strongly depends on the location, the level, and the spectral components of the noise source (Cohen 1981; Cohen and Koehnke 1982; Good and Gilkey 1996; Ito et al. 1982; Stern Jr et al. 1983). However, the neuronal mechanisms underlying the localization of tones in background noise are not well understood.

In this study, we focused on the neuronal mechanisms underlying the localization of low-frequency tones (<2,000 Hz) in the presence of localizable noise. The most important spatial cue for low-frequency sounds in the horizontal plane is the difference in the arrival time of sound at the two ears, the interaural time difference (ITD) (Rayleigh 1907). ITDs are processed by coincidence-detector neurons in the medial (MSO) and lateral superior olive (LSO) (Batra et al. 1997; Brand et al. 2002; Goldberg and Brown 1969; Spitzer and Semple 1995; Tollin and Yin 2005; Yin and Chan 1990). These neurons receive precisely timed monaural inputs from both ears. Both the strength and the exact timing of the monaural inputs determine the response rates of these coincidence-detector neurons. MSO and LSO neurons project to the dorsal nucleus of the lateral lemniscus (DNLL) (for review see Oliver and Huerta 1992). Many DNLL neurons faithfully reflect the ITD sensitivity of their MSO and LSO inputs (Kuwada et al. 2006; Seidl and Grothe 2005; Siveke et al. 2006). Therefore we used the population of ITD-sensitive DNLL neurons as an approach to studying binaural processing at the level of the coincidence-detectors.

We recorded from ITD-sensitive neurons in the DNLL of the anesthetized gerbil and found complex interaction between pure tones and noise. Furthermore the findings suggest that the spectral composition of a concurrent sound influences the processing of ITD-sensitive neurons.

METHODS

Single neurons (n = 111) in the DNLL were recorded from Mongolian gerbils (Meriones unguiculatus) of both sexes 2–3 months
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of age. All experiments were approved according to the German Tierschutzgesetz (AZ 55.2-1-54-2531-57-05).

The detailed methods in terms of surgical preparation, acoustic stimulus delivery, stimulus calibration, and recording techniques have been described previously (Siveke et al. 2006) and will be described only briefly here.

Animal preparation and recording procedures

The animals were anesthetized by a physiological NaCl solution containing ketamine (20%) and xylazine (2%). During recordings, the animal was placed in a sound-attenuated chamber and mounted in a custom-made stereotaxic instrument allowing reproducible positioning of the skull (Schuller et al. 1986). Ear molds were attached to the head, allowing the insertion and fixation of earphones and probe-tube microphones. For electrode penetrations, a small hole was cut into the skull and the dura mater was removed extending 1.3–2.6 mm lateral to the midline and 0.5–0.8 mm caudal of the interaural axis. Micro-manipulators were used to position the recording electrode. For some recordings the recording electrode was tilted 10 or 5° laterally.

Single-cell responses were recorded extracellularly using glass electrodes filled with 1 M NaCl (≈10 MΩ). The recording electrode was advanced under remote control, using a motorized micromanipulator (Digimatic; Mitutoyo, Neuss, Germany) and a piezodrive (Inchworm controller 8200; EXFO Burleigh Products Group, Victor, NY). The amplified and filtered action potentials were fed into the computer by an A/D-converter (RP2-1; Tucker-Davis Technologies (TDT), Alachua, FL). Clear isolation of action potentials from a single neuron (signal-to-noise ratio >5; see waveform of the recorded spikes in Fig. 3, 4, and 5) was guaranteed by visual inspection (stable size and shape) on a spike-triggered oscilloscope and by off-line spike-cluster analysis (Brainware, Jan Schnupp, TDT). Stimuli were generated at 50-kHz sampling rate by TDT System III. Digitally generated stimuli were converted to analog signals (P2-1, TDT), attenuated (PA5, TDT), and delivered to the earphones (Stereo Dynamic Earphones, MDR-EX70LP; Sony).

Stimuli

Stimuli were presented randomized with a repetition rate of 2 Hz. All binaural stimuli were applied with an interaural intensity difference of 0 dB. Unless indicated otherwise, stimulus duration was 200 ms + 5-ms rise and fall times of a squared cosine. As search stimulus we used uncorrelated binaural noise bursts. Using pure tones we first determined the neuron’s characteristic frequency (CF) and absolute threshold audiometrically to set the stimulus parameters subsequently controlled by the computer. The frequency that elicited responses at the lowest sound intensity was defined as CF, the lowest sound intensity evoking a noticeable response at CF as threshold. For all neurons both CF and threshold were later confirmed by a careful off-line analysis of the frequency versus level response areas (nine frequencies, step size CF/5, 10-DB steps). For a subpopulation of neurons, we additionally measured the response threshold for noise stimulation by rate–level functions (supplementary figure).1 In some of these neurons the response to noise alone showed an increase at the threshold level for tones at the best frequency with the same root-mean-square (RMS) value.

Sensitivity to ITDs was assessed by measuring noise delay functions (NDFs) and tone delay functions (TDFs) 20 dB above threshold to pure tones at CF (for details concerning the relationship of levels for tone and noise stimulation see supplementary figure). We refer to the frequency that evoked the maximal response at a given neuron’s best ITD as best frequency (BF). For NDFs and TDFs we presented different ITDs over a range equivalent to at least two cycles of BF (step size BF/10). ITDs with the contralateral stimulus leading were defined as positive, ITDs with the ipsilateral stimulus leading as negative. TDFs were tested for five stimulus frequencies around the BF. Each stimulus was repeated at least three times. NDFs were determined from 16 iterations. To obtain best ITDs the position of the peaks of the NDF or TDF at BF were estimated and used to compute the signals for further experiments.

For the first set of tone-in-noise experiments (used for Figs. 1–4) we presented a 100-ms tone at BF while varying the ITD. This stimulus was presented alone or embedded in a 250-ms Gaussian noise starting 10 ms before the tone. Assuming a neuronal delay of <20 ms to the DNLL, we defined and analyzed the neuronal response to the tone in the time window from 20 to 120 ms after onset of the tone. The binaurally correlated noise was varied in amplitude (noise level 20 dB below tone level and noise level equal to tone level) and ITD. The noise stimuli were applied with two different ITDs, 0 ms and the best ITD. In most of the neurons (n = 61) best ITDs were derived from the NDF. For 19 neurons the best ITDs were derived either from the TDF. For a subgroup of neurons we additionally tested the monaural responses to the same tone-in-noise stimuli presented either ipsilaterally or contralaterally.

For the second set of tone-in-noise experiments (used for Fig. 5) we constructed neuron-specific spectrally adjusted “tuned” and “notched” noise stimuli. These were created on-line using the computer program Matlab (The MathWorks, Natick, MA). Tuned noise contained the frequency domain of the excitatory tuning curve, whereas notched noise was constructed as the difference between white noise and tuned noise, thus reflecting the spectral areas outside the excitatory tuning curve of a given neuron. More specifically, we again determined response areas of neurons with eight repetitions of frequency-versus-level stimulations using pure tones at the best ITD at BF. From these frequency-versus-level response areas we determined the thresholds for all stimulated frequencies. Following Sutter et al. (1999) the thresholds were defined as the intensity that evoked 20% of the maximal firing rate plus spontaneous activity. For frequencies other than the stimulated ones, thresholds were obtained by linear interpolations (Fig. 5B). The resulting threshold curve was used as a weighting function for the spectral content of notched and tuned noise. For notched noise spectral power was depressed proportional to this weighting function, whereas for tuned noise spectral power was depressed proportional to the maximal level minus the threshold curve. Therefore the weighting function (i.e., the threshold curve) was constructed such that the neurons still weakly responded to the notched noise. The overall sound intensities (RMS value) of the three noise stimuli were chosen equal to the intensity (RMS) of the pure-tone stimulus (20 dB above threshold). In contrast to the first set of tone-in-noise experiments, in the second set of experiments (used for Figs. 5) noise and tone were played in an overlapping sequence. The three noise stimuli were presented for 200 ms at the best ITD of the NDF. After 100 ms a 200-ms BF tone was added with varying ITD. The resulting stimulus sequence is: noise alone for 100 ms, tone and noise for 100 ms, and tone alone for 100 ms. To avoid overlapping of the responses, again assuming a neuronal delay of 20 ms, the time windows for the different sets of data were set as follows: the response to noise alone was derived for the interval from 20 to 100 ms, the response to tone and noise from 120 to 200 ms, and the response to the tone alone from 220 to 300 ms after stimulus onset. All tone and noise stimulus combinations were presented 10 to 20 times.

Data analysis

In the whole study all averaged quantities are denoted as mean ± SE. Unless mentioned otherwise, significance was always determined by the Student’s two-tailed paired t-test with a level of significance P < 0.05.

Monaural tone and noise were defined as “excitatory” if the firing rate was significantly increased and as “inhibitory” if the firing rate was significantly decreased when compared with the spontaneous rate.

1 The online version of this article contains supplemental data.
The vector strength of the monaural and binaural responses were calculated as described by Goldberg and Brown (1969).

Neurons were classified as ITD-sensitive if the Rayleigh test (Batschelet 1991) showed a significant ($P < 0.001$) deviation from a uniform distribution of response rates. The neuron’s mean interaural phase, eliciting the maximal spike rate, was calculated for each test frequency by a vector analysis following Yin and Kuwada (1983). We calculated the characteristic phase (CP) of the neurons using mean interaural phase versus frequency plots weighting each data point by the vector strength and the mean response (Kuwada et al. 1987; Spitzer and Semple 1995). Peak-type neurons, described for the MSO, fire maximally at a characteristic ITD for which coincidence occurs. Trough-type neurons, described for the LSO, encode ITDs by being maximally suppressed at the ITD at which coincidence accrues. We defined peak-type neurons by an absolute CP of 0 to 0.25 cycle, trough-type neurons by an absolute CP of 0.25 to 0.5 cycle.

ITD sensitivity of a single neuron is defined as the ability to respond with a different firing rate to different ITDs. Therefore we additionally measured the ITD sensitivity of the neurons to tones in the presence of noise by the standard separation (D) of the response to the peak ($R_p$) and the trough ($R_t$) of the TDF (Sakitt 1973). We used a modified version of the standard separation, described by Jiang et al. (1997a). This index gives a simple interpretation of discrimination that is independent of any assumptions about the underlying distributions. The calculation of D is described by

$$ D = \frac{R_p - R_t}{\sqrt{SD(R_p) \times SD(R_t)}} $$

where SD($R_p$) and SD($R_t$) denote the SDs of the respective response distributions. Random rating would produce $D = 0$ and perfect discrimination would produce an infinite $D$. We defined neurons as sensitive to ITDs if D had a value $> 2$ (which is a quite conservative estimation).

Neuronal responses to combined tone-in-noise stimuli were classified as proposed by Jiang et al. (1997b) (see Fig. 7, schematic drawings). They presented correlated noise (ITD estimation) and measured the response rates to additional pure tones (signal) with sensitive to ITDs if $D$ had a value $> 1$ (which is a quite conservative estimation).

The acoustic signals arriving at the ipsi- and contralateral ear were presented to the auditory nerve (AN) model by Tan and Carney (2003), which requires the characteristic frequency of the nerve fiber as a single parameter. In all simulations shown herein this characteristic frequency was chosen to be 1 kHz. The output of this model provides a firing probability per unit time. The resulting model outputs of the ipsi- and contralateral fibers are denoted by $a_{ipsi}(t)$ and $a_{contra}(t)$, respectively. These quantities were interpreted as proportional to the presynaptic input rates to a coincidence-detector neuron in the superior olivary complex.

To quantify the amount of binaural correlations that are present in these input rates for different ITDs, we calculated their coefficient $r$ of correlation as

$$ r = \frac{\langle (a_{ipsi} - \langle a_{ipsi} \rangle)(a_{contra} - \langle a_{contra} \rangle) \rangle}{\sqrt{\text{var}(a_{ipsi}) \cdot \text{var}(a_{contra})}} $$

in which

$$ \langle a(t) \rangle = \int_{0}^{T} a(t) \, dt $$

denotes averaging over the duration $T$ of the stimulus (here $T = 100$ ms) and var is the variance over time. As a next step, to understand the subthreshold temporal summation of binaural synaptic inputs, we also assessed the temporal average $\langle (a_{ipsi} + a_{contra}) \rangle$ of the squared binaural synaptic input rates (cf. Fig. 1A in Batra et al. 1997).

To also study subthreshold neuronal discharge rate we simulated two neuron models. Both were based on a simple integrate-and-fire mechanism (Gerstner and van Hemmen 1994) at which the ipsi- and contralateral input rates $a_{ipsi}$ and $a_{contra}$ are convolved with an excitatory postsynaptic potential kernel

$$ k(t) = (e^{-t/\tau_1} - e^{-t/\tau_2}) \text{ for } t \geq 0, \tau_1 > \tau_2 $$

and $k(t) = 0$ for $t < 0$, to yield a mean membrane potential

$$ v(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} \text{d}x(k(t-x)[a_{ipsi}(x) + a_{contra}(x - \Delta - x)] $$

Because synaptic inputs are of a stochastic nature, we derived a noisy voltage trace by adding a Gaussian random variable $\eta$ to the mean input rates $a_{ipsi,contra}$. The variance of $\eta$ (in a small time interval of length $d$) was set to a value of $\text{var}(d\eta)/N$ as it would be expected from $N$ independent AN fibers per ear firing with Poisson statistics. Here, we assumed $N = 200$. Each time the noisy membrane potential hit a threshold ($\theta$), the neuron generates an action potential and the voltage is reset to its resting potential $v = 0$. To limit the instantaneous firing rate to 200 Hz, we additionally introduced a refractory time of 5 ms. The shape $\kappa$ of the excitatory postsynaptic potential was modeled using an exponential decay time $\tau_1$ of 200 $\mu$s and an exponential rise time $\tau_2$ of 100 $\mu$s (compare Scott et al. 2005). The contralateral input was delayed by $\Delta = 150$ $\mu$s. We refer to this model as a Jeffress-type model because it incorporated a combination of coincidence detection and temporal delay (Jeffress 1948). The firing threshold ($\theta$) of this neuron was adjusted to obtain a mean maximal firing rate of about 60 Hz for pure-tone stimulation. In the second neuron model, we did not use a contralateral temporal delay, i.e., $\Delta = 0$. Instead, we added phase-locked contralaterally driven inhibition, which is able to delay the effective contralateral excitation, if it arrives shortly before this excitation (Brand et al. 2002). The inhibitory kinetics was modeled with the same kernel $\kappa$ as the excitation except that it was multiplied with a constant factor $g = 2/3$. To obtain the same best ITD as for the Jeffress-type model, the contralateral inhibition was modeled to arrive $\Delta = 150$ $\mu$s before excitation (Leibold and van Hemmen 2005). The noise in the inhibitory inputs was determined by assuming $N = 100$. The resulting subthreshold dynamics of the membrane potential $v$ is thus expressed by the convolution integral

$$ v(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} \text{d}x(k(t-x)[a_{ipsi}(x) + a_{contra}(x) - g a_{contra}(x - \Delta - x)] $$
RESULTS

Data presented here were derived from single-cell recordings from 111 ITD-sensitive DNL neurons. We first studied the effect of different levels and ITDs of white noise on the response to tones presented at different ITDs (N = 80). To differentiate between effects arising from monaural processes and those caused by binaural interactions at the coincidence-detectors in MSO and LSO, we also investigated the monaural responses to tones in noise (N = 32) as well as the binaural responses to tones with spectrally adjusted noise (N = 31).

Because these recordings suggested that lateral frequency integration decreases the response to tones, we compared our experimental data for narrow-band noise stimuli with numerical simulations of a model of a coincidence-detector neuron.

Effects of binaural noise on tone delay functions

ITD-sensitive neurons show a distinctive maximum and minimum in their response to a favorable ITD and an unfavorable ITD, respectively. To study the effects of noise on the ITD processing of pure tones, we focused on these two prominent response features evoked by the favorable and the unfavorable ITDs. We used white noise at two different levels: one with the same level (RMS) as the tone and the other one 20 dB lower, corresponding to the threshold level for tones at BF.

Adding this noise to tones at favorable and unfavorable ITDs affected the maximal and minimal responses in opposite directions (Fig. 1). Noise suppressed the response to the favorable ITD (Fig. 1A) but slightly increased the response to the unfavorable ITD of the pure tone (Fig. 1B). Both effects became stronger with an increasing level of noise. All of these effects were statistically significant (P < 0.001) for the higher level of noise. For the lower level of noise the decrease of the response to the favorable ITD of the pure tone with noise at best ITD (A2) was significant (P < 0.001). Error bars = SE.

All TDFs of the spiking neuron models shown in Fig. 6 were derived from 100 repetitions of the auditory stimulus.

FIG. 1. Effects of increasing the level of white noise at 0 or best interaural time difference (ITD) on the response to tones at favorable (A) and unfavorable (B) ITD. A1 and B1: raster plots of a single-unit response to tones at favorable (+420 µs) and unfavorable ITD (−280 µs) with increasing noise at best ITD (neuron: 050106_07; BF = 750 Hz). Analysis windows with a standard delay of 10 ms after stimulus onset are displayed by the light gray area underlying the raster plots. Presentation of the tone is indicated by the black bar, the noise level by the gray-coded line below the plots. A2, B2, and B3: summarize population statistics. Maximal and minimal firing rates of the tone delay functions (TDFs) with noise are normalized to neuron’s maximal response of the TDF and than averaged over the population. B3: minimal average firing rate to tones in noise at best ITD are shown separately for the peak and trough types. All of these effects were statistically significant (P < 0.001) for the higher level of noise. For the lower level of noise the decrease of the response to the favorable ITD of the pure tone with noise at best ITD (A2) was significant (P < 0.001). Error bars = SE.
LOCALIZING CONCURRENT SOUNDS: TONE AND NOISE

Fig. 2. Effect of increasing white noise on the ITD sensitivity of the neurons. ITD sensitivity is measured by the standard separation $D$ of the response to tones at favorable and unfavorable ITD ($A$ and $B$). $A$: percentage of neurons defined as ITD sensitive vs. $D$-value. Effects of increasing noise were similar for noise at 0-µs ITD ($A1$) or at best ITD ($A2$). $B$: percentage of neurons classified as ITD sensitive with $D > 2$. $C$: percentage of neurons with significant vector strength (VS).

Even for large $D$-values, a considerable fraction of the neurons remains ITD sensitive under all noise conditions tested (Fig. 2A). The percentage of ITD-sensitive neurons was a decreasing function of noise level, but was rather independent of the ITD of the noise (Fig. 2A). If we applied a conservative separation threshold of $D \geq 2$ we found that 96% ($77/80$) of the neurons remained ITD sensitive to tones when the noise was presented at ITD = 0, and 97% ($72/74$) of the neurons remained sensitive for noise presented at best ITD. These fractions were obtained presenting additional noise with intensities 20 dB below the tone level. If the noise was presented at the same level as the tones the fractions were reduced to 71% ($57/80$), for noise with ITD = 0, and 74% ($55/74$) for noise presented at best ITD (Fig. 2). Thus the ITD sensitivity of DNLL neurons to tones showed a high robustness against additional noise.

From a different perspective, the pure tone reduces or enhances the response to white noise. Therefore in addition to the question of how noise affects the localization of pure tones, we also addressed how the location of pure tones affected the response to noise. This was quantified through the differences between the average response rate to the noise alone and the response rate with additional tones at favorable or unfavorable ITDs. We refer to these differences as tone-induced reduction and tone-induced enhancement of the response to noise (Fig. 3A). On average with increasing noise level the response to noise increased ($P < 0.001$) (Fig. 3B) but the tone-induced enhancement significantly decreased ($P < 0.001$). Furthermore, with increasing noise levels, the increase of the response to noise alone was much stronger (Fig. 3B) than the increase of the response to the combination of noise and tones at unfavorable ITD (Fig. 1B). Therefore in contrast to the tone-induced enhancement the tone-induced reduction got stronger with increasing noise level ($P < 0.001$) (Fig. 3C). The effects of tones on the responses to noise were independent of whether the neuron was a peak- or a trough-type neuron (compare Fig. 3, $C$ and $D$, left and right). All described changes shown in Fig. 3 were significant for both levels of noise.

To conclude, white noise presented at levels up to equal that of the tone decreased the maximal response to tones at favorable ITDs, but the minimal response at the unfavorable ITD slightly increased. The strong tone-induced reduction of the response to noise accounted for the robustness of the ITD sensitivity against additional noise.

Monaural contributions to the noise-induced effects on tone delay functions

To distinguish which of the noise-induced effects on TDFs are due to binaural interactions at the level of the coincidence-
detectors in the superior olivary complex and which can be explained independently of binaural interactions we also recorded responses of DNLL neurons to monaural tones in monaural noise. The neuronal discharge rate (see raster plots in Fig. 4A, left) evoked by monaural excitation was generally lower than that to binaural stimulation at the best ITD of the neurons. Nevertheless, a considerable number of the ITD-sensitive DNLL neurons were significantly excited by contralateral (27/32; 84%) or ipsilateral (19/32; 59%) applied pure tones (example shown in Fig. 4A, average response in Fig. 4B, black bars). Adding monaural noise to the monaurally presented tones on average decreased the responses for both ipsilateral ($P < 0.001$) and contralateral stimulations ($P = 0.055$) (Fig. 4B).

The temporal structure of the firing pattern was quantified by the vector strength of phase locking to the pure-tone stimulus. The vector strength was slightly lower for monaural as that for binaural stimulations (see phase plots in Fig. 4A, right). Moreover, phase locking slightly decreased by adding noise, both in monaural and in binaural stimulations (Fig. 4C).

The relative decrease of monaural response rates was similar to the noise-induced decrease of the responses at favorable ITDs obtained with binaural stimuli. Therefore we hypothesize that the noise-induced reduction of the binaural response to tones at favorable ITDs has the same origin as the decrease of the monaurally evoked firing rates. On the other hand, the small but significant increase of the binaural response to tones with unfavorable ITD with increasing noise level could not be attributed to any of the monaural effects. Thus the tone-induced reduction of noise responses was likely to originate from binaural interaction at the coincidence-detectors at the level of the superior olivary complex.

**Effects of notched noise and tuned noise on TDFs**

The response rate of ITD-sensitive coincidence-detector neurons can be influenced by the frequency composition of the stimulus as well as the binaural correlations of their inputs. To distinguish the observed effects of noise on the TDFs in terms of these two mechanisms, we used three different noise stimuli: white noise, notched noise, and tuned noise. Notched noise should mainly stimulate the frequency components a neuron is not excited by. Tuned noise was intended to cover the excitatory spectral components. All three noise stimuli were presented at best ITD and at the same level (RMS) as the pure tones.

As shown in the example in Fig. 5, notched noise consists of frequencies to which the neuron was only weakly responding (see METHODS and Fig. 5, A and B). Therefore the response to notched noise was smaller than the response to white noise (Fig. 5C). Consistent with this example the average responses to notched noise (23.8 ± 3.5 spikes/s) were significantly smaller ($P < 0.001$) than those to white noise (31.8 ± 3.6 spikes/s) (Fig. 5D). The effects of notched noise on the response to tones at favorable as well as at unfavorable ITDs were very similar to the effects of white noise (single response in Fig. 5C; average response in Fig. 5E). The responses to unfavorable ITDs were decreed, whereas the responses to unfavorable ITDs of the tones were slightly increased by adding both white noise or notched noise. Because the response to white noise was stronger than that to notched noise, the tone-induced enhancement at favorable ITDs was smaller and the tone-induced reduction at unfavorable ITDs was stronger in the white noise condition (Fig. 5, F and G). Taken together, although the tone-induced effects were slightly different, notched noise and white noise had a similar impact on TDFs.

Tuned noise was constructed from frequencies strongly exciting the neuron (see METHODS and Fig. 5, A and B). Therefore the responses to the tuned noise (average response: 99.0 ± 6.9 spikes/s) were stronger than the average response to white noise ($P < 0.001$) (Fig. 5, C and D). Adding tuned noise to a tone with favorable ITD on average slightly but not significantly ($P = 0.21$) enhanced the response rate by 5% (Fig. 5E, left), in contrast to white or notched noise, which decreased the response rate. Furthermore, tuned noise also elevated the firing rate for tones at unfavorable ITDs (Fig. 5E, right). Despite a small increase of the response to the favorable ITD with increasing the level of tuned noise (Fig. 5E), the tone-induced enhancement was decreased and the tone-induced reduction of the noise was increased (Fig. 5F); the latter effects were a direct consequence of the strongly increased response to tuned noise alone (dark gray dashed line in Fig. 5C).

Although the responses to the different spectral noise composites showed clear differences, the proportion of neurons that

---

**FIG. 4.** Monaural and binaural responses to tone in white noise. A: raster plots and period histograms of single-unit responses to contralateral (A1), ipsilateral (A2), and binaural (A3) stimulations with tone and noise presented at the same time. Shapes of the recorded action potentials on the right demonstrate a single-cell recording (neuron: 151205_02; BF = 800 Hz). Noise level was 20 dB below the tone level. B and C: summarized population statistics. B: average contralateral and ipsilateral responses to tone and noise stimulation decrease with increasing noise level. C: average vector strength (VS) of the monaural and binaural responses is slightly decreased by additional noise. Error bars = SE.
were sensitive to ITDs was almost unchanged when the noise level for each condition was equal to the tone level. For notched noise, all neurons remained ITD sensitive, whereas 77% (24/31) of the neurons (Fig. 5G) remained ITD sensitive for both notched and white noise. Importantly, whereas the response rate to tones strongly depended on the spectral components of the added noise, the ITD sensitivity to the tone was preserved with all different types of added noise.

We note that all three types of noise stimuli were presented at best ITD and with the same RMS as that of the pure tones. The effective levels of these different types of noise for a particular neuron may thus be very different. In particular the effective level of the spectrally shaped noises, which are composed of fewer spectral components than the white noise, will presumably be higher. The conclusions of our paper, composed of fewer spectral components than the white noise, were inherited from the coincidence-detector neurons in the superior olivary complex within the same frequency channel. To understand the neuronal responses to tuned noise, we investigated how binaural correlations are temporally processed and translated to firing rates in a neuronal model receiving input from a single frequency channel. We therefore derived binaural input rates of the coincidence-detector neurons from feeding the acoustic stimuli into the AN model of Tan and Carney (2003) for a characteristic frequency of 1 kHz. However, before studying a cellular model we first calculated the coefficient of correlation between the binaural input rates as a function of pure-tone ITD (Fig. 6A1). As expected, with increasing noise level, the coefficient of correlation becomes less dependent on the pure-tone ITD and saturates at a constant value of 1. The coefficient of correlation thus is not a good model for the discharge rate of the coincidence neuron because it cannot explain the noise-induced increase in the peak rate, even though it might qualitatively explain the increase of the trough firing rate (Fig. 6A2).

As a next step to also include subthreshold integration of the binaural signal we calculated the temporal average of the squared input rates and interpret this as a firing rate (Fig. 6B). Energy models like this are long and widely used in visual (e.g., Adelson and Bergen 1985) and auditory systems neuroscience (Batra et al. 1997; Gollisch 2006) and incorporate the

Simulated effect of the noise level on binaural correlations

Tuned noise had been constructed to reduce cross-frequency interactions or effects caused by lateral suppression. We thus assumed the NDFs derived from tuned noise stimulation to be
probably simplest nonlinearity. The outcomes of this model show that an increase of the noise level yields an increase in the response both to the favorable and to the unfavorable ITD and thus at least qualitatively can account for the observed in vivo responses. The sound intensity (20 dB above neuronal threshold) of the tuned noise used in the experiment roughly corresponded to a noise level of 30 dB SPL (above standard threshold of audibility) in the model. Consistent with our empirical DNLL data for tuned noise stimulation, the peak response at 30 dB SPL (in the model) was slightly enhanced compared with the peak response obtained from pure-tone stimulation. However, the increase of the trough response was considerably larger than that observed in the data. The latter discrepancy could be resolved by applying the input rates to simple integrate-and-fire-type spiking neuron models (Fig. 6, C and D). As a result of the threshold nonlinearity, the trough firing rate at 30 dB SPL was only slightly enhanced compared with the trough of the TDF.

The best ITD of the model neurons was shifted to 0.15 ms to match the averaged best ITDs of recorded DNLL units. In the first model (Fig. 6C) this shift was achieved by an additional temporal delay of contralateral excitatory inputs that might arise from longer axonal conduction. This way of shifting the best ITD dates back to the model of neuronal ITD representation by Jeffress (1948). Recently, another computational model of how to neurally encode ITDs has been proposed by Brand et al. (2002), who showed that phase-locked inhibition evoked by inputs to the contralateral ear can also induce a shift of the best ITD if this inhibition arrives slightly earlier. We also implemented a model using contralateral phase-locked inhibition (Fig. 6D) to test whether the mechanism that accounts for the peak shift is crucial to explain the effects of tuning noise on the TDFs of a coincidence-detector neuron. However, the phase-locked inhibition model exhibits both peak and trough firing rates with a virtually identical dependence on noise intensity as that of the Jeffress-type model (compare Fig. 6, C and D). Thus our modeling cannot make a prediction on the mechanism of the peak shift.

To conclude, our models show that additional binaurally correlated noise does not reduce the peak firing rate of the TDF as noise intensity increases. The model thus corroborates the interpretation that the suppressive across-frequency interactions resulting in a reduction of the peak firing rate under notched and white noise stimulations are due to either inhibitory or binaurally uncorrelated contributions from lateral frequency bands. A second conclusion from our models is that the increase of the firing rate at the trough of the TDF with increasing noise level can be explained by a simple binaural coincidence-detector acting on binaural AN inputs. For the AN model by Tan and Carney (2003), the threshold noise intensity of about 30 dB SPL, at which the trough firing rate starts to linearly increase, is in good quantitative agreement with our DNLL data.

**DISCUSSION**

The present study investigated the effect of a concurrent noise source on the sensitivity of DNLL neurons to ITDs of pure tones and vice versa. Although the neurons were responsive to binaural white noise, we found that, for the combination of white noise and pure tone, the neuronal response to the tones at best ITD decreased with additional noise. The response to unfavorable ITD slightly increased with additional noise. However, for all tested noise levels (up to RMS-identical tone and
Comparison with previous monaural studies

Neuronal responses to stimulations with tones in combination with noise have been electrophysiologically studied in monaural structures, i.e., the auditory nerve (Kiang and Moxon 1974; Rhode et al. 1978; Young and Barta 1986) and the cochlear nucleus (Gai and Carney 2006; Goldberg and Greenwood 1966; Greenwood and Maruyama 1965). There, neurons generally respond stronger to tonal stimuli than to noise stimuli and the response to a combination of tone and noise is weaker than the response to tones alone. Furthermore, Kiang and Moxon (1974) also showed substantial across-frequency interactions at the level of the auditory nerve. These findings are consistent with the results from monaural and binaural notched noise stimulations presented in this study (Fig. 4). Therefore part of the suppressive effects caused by spectral integration we observed in the DNLL with spectrally adjusted noise stimuli could be explained by mechanisms that already might take place at the level of the cochlea.

Comparison with binaural studies on the detection of tones in noise

Previous psychophysical studies showed that noise substantially affects the ability to detect pure tones and, moreover, that this ability is strongly influenced by the ITD of the tone (for review see Blauert 1983): the detection threshold for tones with 0 ITD ($S_0$) in noise with 0 ITD ($N_0$) improves dramatically when the phase of the tone at one ear ($S_p$) is inverted. These results correlate with change of the firing rate of single neurons in the inferior colliculus (IC) (Caird et al. 1991; Jiang et al. 1997a,b; McAlpine et al. 1996; for review see Palmer and Shackleton 2002). Furthermore, in these studies the neurons are classified according to their changes of the firing rate to noise and tones ($N_pS_0$ or $N_pS_{-p}$) with increasing tone intensity. Because the main focus of our study was not detectability but rather localization of pure tones we varied the ITD of the tones and used two different noise levels. We therefore cannot make statements on whether the IC neuron’s detection capability is present at the level of the DNLL. However, for $N_pS_0$ versus $N_pS_{-p}$ stimulation we did the same classification for our set of 80 neurons as in the IC literature (Fig. 7; Jiang et al. 1997b). Although there were small differences between the results for the two noise levels, most of the neurons (66/80 for noise level at 20 dB below tone level, and 65/80 for noise level at tone level) showed an increase in the response to noise with additional tones with zero ITD ($S_0$) (Type-PP or Type-PN). As in the IC a few neurons showed a decrease in the response with additional tones at zero ITD (Type-NP or Type-NN). Altogether the fractions are similar to those reported from the population of IC neurons measured by Jiang and colleges (1997b). We thus conclude that the ITD-dependent detectability of tones in noise shown in the IC reflects the one we observed on the level of the DNLL. Because the DNLL is a mainly inhibitory nucleus and therefore almost certainly not solely responsible for the features of IC neurons, the similarity indicates that the superior olivary complex is the potential site providing the relevant computational mechanisms.
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Comparison with binaural studies on the localization of tones in noise

The psychophysical studies investigating the localization of tones in background noise showed that both accuracy and discrimination ability decline with increasing level of background noise (Good and Gilkey 1996; Stern Jr et al. 1983). This finding is consistent with the single-neuron responses we report in this study because background noise reduces the discriminability of peak and trough firing rates and thus the fraction of ITD-sensitive neurons (Fig. 2).

Furthermore, psychophysical studies have shown that, in contrast to the detection of pure tones, the ITD discrimination of a signal (pure tone or narrow-band noise) is improved, if the ITD of the background noise has the same ITD as that of the signal (Cohan 1981; Ito et al. 1982). In the present study, however, we see only a small reduction of ITD-sensitive neurons if we change the noise ITD from best ITD to 0 ITD (Fig. 2). Our results therefore cannot provide a link between single-cell recordings in the DNLL and psychophysics.

Functional relevance

Our data reveal a complex interaction between the concurrent sound sources, in our example of tones and noise. The overall firing rates of the ITD-sensitive DNLL neurons to tones are strongly modulated by noise and vice versa the firing rate to noise is strongly modulated by tones. Furthermore, we observed a complex interaction between the spatial and the spectral cues. Different values of the neuronal firing rate seem to encode different spectral properties of the acoustic stimulus composition. Therefore we hypothesize that in contrast to these spectral cues, the spatial cues are encoded independently of the actual firing rate by activity patterns in a population of neurons (Fitzpatrick et al. 1997; Hancock and Delgutte 2004; Harper and McAlpine 2004; Jeffress 1948; Stecker et al. 2005).
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