Inhibition and Synchronization of Basal Amygdala Principal Neuron Spiking by Parvalbumin-Positive Interneurons
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Woodruff AR, Sah P. Inhibition and synchronization of basal amygdala principal neuron spiking by parvalbumin-positive interneurons. J Neurophysiol 98: 2956–2961, 2007. First published August 22, 2007; doi:10.1152/jn.00739.2007. Using mice that express enhance green fluorescent protein (EGFP) under the control of the parvalbumin promoter, we made paired recordings from interneurons and principal neurons in the basal amygdala. In synaptically connected pairs, we show that single action potentials in a parvalbumin expressing interneuron can inhibit spiking in the synaptically connected principal neuron. When principal neurons were provided with suprathreshold oscillatory drive via a somatic patch pipette, action potentials in the interneuron inhibited spiking in principal neurons only when the interneuron spike occurred shortly before excitation reached threshold in the principal neuron. Moreover, after this spike inhibition, there was a rebound excitation in the principal neurons that was seen as an increased probability of firing on the cycle after inhibition. These results illustrate the major role of local inhibition in the basal amygdala. We propose that these interneurons in the basal amygdala provide a potent inhibition that acts to inhibit firing of principal neurons during cortically driven oscillations.

INTRODUCTION

In the cortex and hippocampus, GABAergic interneurons are local circuit inhibitory cells that exhibit diverse morphological, biochemical, molecular, and synaptic properties (Freund and Buzsaki 1996; Markram et al. 2004; McBain and Fisahn 2001; Somogyi and Klausberger 2005). Although these neurons show a variety of firing properties, their functional roles are largely determined by the postsynaptic domain over which they make their synaptic connections. Thus interneurons targeting perisomatic regions are thought to affect somatic action potential generation (Cobb et al. 1995; Miles et al. 1996), whereas those terminating more distally may modulate the induction of synaptic plasticity (Miles et al. 1996), prevent dendritic action potentials or gate the transfer of information along the somatodendritic axis (Buzsaki et al. 1996). These diverse types of interneurons can be divided into distinct populations based on their firing properties and on the complement of peptides and calcium binding proteins that they express (Gupta et al. 2000). Moreover, a clear correlation exists between the complement of neurochemical markers expressed by an interneuron and the domain of the postsynaptic neuron it targets (Gupta et al. 2000).

In the basolateral amygdala, four populations of interneurons are currently recognized: those expressing parvalbumin (McDonald and Betette 2001), those expressing somatostatin (McDonald and Mascagni 2002), those expressing cholecystokinin and either calretinin or vasoactive intestinal peptide (Mascagni and McDonald 2003), and those expressing only cholecystokinin (Mascagni and McDonald 2003). Of these, parvalbumin-containing neurons form ~50% of the interneuronal population and show significant co-localization with calbindin (McDonald and Betette 2001). Principal neurons within the basolateral amygdala are well known to have a low spontaneous firing rate in the absence of sensory stimulation (Pare and Gaudreau 1996; Rosenkranz and Grace 1999). This low firing rate is largely due to the dominant role of inhibition in the amygdala, as suggested by the presence of large amplitude IPSPs in projection neurons during both spontaneous and evoked synaptic activity (Lang and Pare 1997). By making paired recordings in mice where EGFP was expressed under the control of the parvalbumin promoter, we have recently completed a detailed analysis of the firing properties and connectivity of parvalbumin expressing interneurons in the basolateral amygdala (Woodruff and Sah 2007). Here we address the functional implications of local inhibition provided by these cells by investigating the ability of interneuron activity to alter the firing probability of BLA projection neurons. We show that appropriately timed activation of these interneurons can not only prevent spiking in postsynaptic principal neurons but can also subsequently synchronize principal neuron spiking.

METHODS

Coronal brain slices containing basolateral amygdala were cut from 16- to 25-day-old mice that expressed EGFP under the control of the parvalbumin promoter as previously described (Woodruff et al. 2006). After halothane anesthesia, animals were decapitated, and the brain was rapidly removed and placed in ice-cold, oxygenated artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF) containing (in mM) 118 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 2.5 NaHCO3, 10 glucose, 1.3 MgCl2, 2.5 CaCl2, and 1.2 NaH2PO4. Slices were cut (300 μm) and incubated at 32°C for 30 min before being allowed to equilibrate at room temperature for at least a further 30 min. All procedures were conducted with approval from the University of Queensland Animal Ethics Committee.

During recording, slices were perfused with heated ACSF (34 ± 2°C). Recording pipettes (3–5 MΩ) fabricated from borosilicate glass were filled with a solution containing (in mM) 135 KMeSO4, 8 NaCl, 10 HEPES, 2 Mg2ATP, 0.3 Na2GTP, 0.1 spermine, 7 phosphoehosphate, and 0.3 EGTA. Neurons were visualized using an upright microscope (Olympus BX51WI, Olympus Optical, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with a fluorescence attachment. Paired whole cell recordings were made using Axopatch 1D and Axopatch 200B amplifiers (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA), filtered at 5 kHz and digitized at 10 kHz using an ITC-16 board (InstruTech, Port Washington, NY) and Axograph (Axograph X, Sydney, Australia).
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Cells included in this study were restricted to those exhibiting an initial resting membrane potential more hyperpolarized than ~55 mV. Immediately after breakthrough, cells were injected with 600-ms current pulses (−100–700 pA, 50-pA increments) to confirm interneuron or principal neuron identity. To assess the ability of a single interneuron to inhibit principal neuron spiking, a sinusoidal current (peak amplitude: 20 pA) was injected into the postsynaptic principal neuron. This waveform had a period of 283 ms (frequency: 3.53 Hz).

Presynaptic interneurons were activated by injection of 2-nA, 2-ms current delivered via the patch pipette. The timing of this current pulse was initially set to occur 20 ms prior to the positive peak of the second oscillation cycle recorded in the postsynaptic neuron (t = 480 ms from sweep onset, t = 3,000 ms for traces of extended duration, as in Fig. 3). For experiments investigating the importance of appropriately timed inhibition, interneuron activation was negatively shifted by 100 or 200 ms. In some cases, trains of action potentials (3 pulses at 40 Hz) were evoked to enhance the inhibitory effect of a single cell. Extracellular stimulation was achieved via a theta-glass electrode filled with ACSF and placed locally within the basolateral nucleus of the amygdala. Stimuli were 1–40 V in amplitude and 50 μs in duration. Significance for all data were assessed using Student’s t-test. Values are expressed as means ± SE.

RESULTS

We have previously shown that parvalbumin-expressing interneurons make GABAergic connections with local principal neurons (Woodruff and Sah 2007; Woodruff et al. 2006). To investigate the physiological impact of interneuron firing, principal neurons were depolarized by a steady current injection to spike at 3–4 Hz while the presynaptic interneuron was driven to spike by short (2 ms) suprathreshold current injection. Analysis was restricted to inhibitory connections that were ≥20 pA in amplitude when recorded with principal cells voltage clamped at −40 mV (Fig. 1A) and represented ~60% of all inhibitory connections (42/71). With the principal neuron spiking, a single action potential in the connected interneuron was able to block action potentials for ~50–150 ms (Fig. 1B, left). As previously described in hippocampal neurons (Cobb et al. 1995), inhibition could also synchronize spiking of the principal neurons (Fig. 1B, right). However, unlike in hippocampal neurons, this effect was rarely observed because constant depolarization did not typically result in rhythmic spiking. Parvalbumin-expressing interneurons can be divided into four distinct categories based on a variety of electrophysiological and circuit properties (Woodruff and Sah 2007). However, we did not observe any differences in action potential inhibition evoked by different interneuron types.

Recordings from basolateral amygdala (BLA) principal neurons in vivo demonstrate periodic fluctuations in membrane potential (Pape et al. 1998) that occur over a range of frequencies and are capable of generating spikes on the depolarizing phase of the oscillation (Pape et al. 1998). Such oscillatory activity is common in cortical networks (Buzsaki and Draguhn 2004), and activity of perisomatic targeting interneurons has been suggested to synchronize this activity in both the cortex and hippocampus (Bartos et al. 2002; Buzsaki and Draguhn 2004; Cobb et al. 1995). We therefore tested if parvalbumin-expressing interneurons could also synchronize activity in the basal amygdala. Principal neurons recorded in acute slices are largely silent. To mimic the spiking pattern of projection neurons in vivo, we injected an oscillatory current waveform into principal neurons to initiate regular spiking (see METHODS).
location of the spike was measured from the trough of the preceding oscillation cycle. We found that interneurons reliably and robustly delayed postsynaptic spiking (100/115 trials from 10 pairs; control 144.1 ± 2.8 ms; interneuron spike 163.6 ± 3.8 ms, n = 10 pairs, P < 0.01, Fig. 2). Thus in addition to being capable of preventing and subsequently synchronizing postsynaptic action potentials, single parvalbumin-positive interneurons in the basal amygdala can effectively determine the output timing of principal neuron activity. Because a single interneuron contacts many postsynaptic neurons, this rebound increase in principal neuron spiking is likely to occur in a number of cells, and therefore may act as a means of transiently synchronizing principal neuron output (Cobb et al. 1995). To test if interneurons in the basal amygdala could also synchronize activity paired recordings were made from two principal neurons in the presence of the glutamatergic antagonists NBQX (10 μM) and d-APV (30 μM). Both neurons were depolarized to near-threshold potentials and injected with identical sinusoidal current waveforms to evoke spiking with a probability of ~0.5. A theta-glass stimulating electrode, filled with aCSF, was placed locally within the basal nucleus and a single pulse of afferent stimulation was given on
the rising phase of the 11th oscillation cycle after firing probability had stabilized. The magnitude of the resultant IPSP was adjusted to be between 2–3 mV when recorded at just sub-threshold potentials, to mimic a moderately large unitary IPSP (Fig. 1). As shown with paired recordings (Fig. 1), principal neuron spiking was abolished on cycle 0 (control, 0.43 ± 0.05; stim, 0.01 ± 0.01, n = 10 cells, P < 0.001) and increased on cycle +1 (control, 0.43 ± 0.06; stim, 0.60 ± 0.04, P < 0.01) by GABAergic stimulation (Fig. 3A,B). We then calculated the probability that both principal neurons would fire a spike on the cycle following GABAergic activation (cycle +1), and found it to be twice as likely as in the absence of activation (control, 0.19 ± 0.06; stim, 0.37 ± 0.05, n = 5, P < 0.05, Fig. 3C). We conclude that during oscillatory membrane fluctuations, GABAergic activation can silence principal neuron output and subsequently increase their synchronous firing.

**DISCUSSION**

Parvalbumin-positive interneurons in the basal amygdala can be divided into four distinct types based on their electrophysiological properties, the nature of the inhibitory connections they make, and the excitatory connections they receive (Woodruff and Sah 2007). In agreement with ultrastructural studies (Muller et al. 2006; Smith et al. 1998), we have suggested that one type of interneuron (designated the accommodating, AC type) preferentially innervates more distal sites on principal neurons (Woodruff and Sah 2007). In the current study, we have shown that single parvalbumin-expressing interneurons in the basal amygdala can prevent action potentials in postsynaptic principal neurons. All interneuron types produced similar inhibition of action potentials in principal neurons. This observation appears at odds with the view that dendritic targeting interneurons may not be effective at preventing sodium action potentials. However, somatically recorded IPSCs evoked by dendritically targeting interneurons are not significantly smaller than those evoked by interneurons making somatic synapses (Woodruff and Sah 2007). Thus it is perhaps not surprising that AC interneurons were also capable of preventing somatic action potentials. However, we cannot exclude the possibility that the higher conductance state of neurons in vivo (Destexhe et al. 2003) may serve to better isolate the putative dendritic inhibition arising from these AC interneurons, thus reducing their overall impact on excitability. Additionally, the higher in vivo conductance state may require that even for perisomatic synapses, multiple interneurons may need to be simultaneously active to prevent principal neuron action potentials. Such synchronous activation of interneurons is likely to be facilitated by the recently described gap-junctional communication between similar interneuron subtypes (Woodruff and Sah 2007).

After inhibition, the return of the membrane potential to resting values was often associated with a period of rebound excitability. During oscillatory fluctuations in principal neuron membrane potential, this rebound increase in principal neuron spike probability after inhibition could provide an additional means to synchronize their output. Although we have not examined the mechanisms underlying this rebound, it likely
results from deinactivation of resting voltage-dependent currents in these interneurons such as low-threshold calcium current or $I_h$ as occurs in some thalamic neurons (Destexhe and Sejnowski 2002). It is notable that although some exceptions exist (Carter and Regehr 2002; Csicsvari et al. 1998; Destexhe et al. 2003; Szabadics et al. 2006), the majority of unitary excitatory synaptic connections are too weak to reliably fire connected cells. Consequently, multiple synaptic inputs must temporally summate to trigger action potentials in the postsynaptic cell, requiring the synchronous firing of many neurons. This is most likely achieved by synchronized membrane potential oscillations (Paz et al. 2006) that provide windows of depolarization that enhance the probability of neuronal firing. We have shown that in the basal amygdala, inhibition from parvalbumin-positive interneurons enhances the synchronizing effect of oscillations. This effect was highly dependent on the timing of inhibition relative to the phase of the oscillation. Such enhanced synchrony of BLA principal neurons has recently been shown to facilitate communication between perirhinal and entorhinal cortices, an effect proposed to be involved in the emotional enhancement of memory (Pare et al. 2002). Given the suggested involvement of BLA oscillations in promoting information transfer between neocortical and temporal lobe memory structures (Pare et al. 2002), the inhibition-evoked enhanced firing of principal neurons that we describe may be important for ensuring emotionally salient events are well remembered.

In those instances where inhibition was too weak to prevent firing of principal neurons, it nevertheless had a robust effect on their output, delaying the phase on which they spiked. The precise timing of spikes during oscillatory fluctuations in membrane potential is thought to encode information, such as odor concentration in the olfactory bulb (Cang and Isaacson 2003) and animal location in hippocampal place cells (O’Keefe and Recce 1993). In the basolateral amygdala, although the relative timing of principal neuron and interneuron output has been assessed during both delta (1–4 Hz) and theta (4–7 Hz) oscillations (O’Keefe and Recce 1993; Paz et al. 2006), the functional significance of the timing of interneuron activity with a particular phase of firing of the principal neurons is not known. However, the importance of synchronous BLA output in facilitating rhinal information transfer (Pare and Gaudreau 1996; Pare et al. 2002) suggests that weak inhibition of a subpopulation of oscillating principal neurons could serve a vetoing effect, creating asynchronous output and decrease the effective depolarization of downstream rhinal targets.
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