Prolonged Quadriceps Activity Following Imposed Hip Extension: A Neurophysiological Mechanism for Stiff-Knee Gait?

Michael D. Lewek,1 T. George Hornby,1,2 Yasin Y. Dhaher,1,3 and Brian D. Schmit1,4

1Sensory Motor Performance Program, Rehabilitation Institute of Chicago; 2Department of Physical Therapy, University of Illinois, Chicago; 3Department of Biomedical Engineering, Northwestern University, Chicago, Illinois; and 4Department of Biomedical Engineering, Marquette University, Milwaukee, Wisconsin

Submitted 28 July 2007; accepted in final form 26 September 2007

Lewek MD, Hornby TG, Dhaher YY, Schmit BD. Prolonged quadriceps activity following imposed hip extension: a neurophysiological mechanism for stiff-knee gait? J Neurophysiol 98: 3153–3162, 2007. The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. The article must therefore be hereby marked “advertisement” in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

INTRODUCTION

Following neurological injury, such as stroke, muscle activity patterns during walking are often compromised, resulting in decreased gait speed, postural instability, reduced energy efficiency, and kinematic abnormalities. Substantial efforts have thus been made to discern the biomechanical and neuromuscular characteristics of abnormal walking patterns after neurological injury. Biomechanically, “spastic paretic stiff-legged gait” has been attributed to decreased knee flexion velocity at toe-off (Anderson et al. 2004; Goldberg et al. 2003). Knee flexion velocity typically increases rapidly during the period of double support, suggesting that events occurring during this period may be particularly critical to achieving sufficient swing-phase knee flexion. Conversely, inappropriate vasti activity during the double support period is purported to be the most powerful antagonist to knee flexion velocity in people with neurologic injury (Goldberg et al. 2004); yet, despite the evidence linking inappropriate knee extensor activity with swing-phase knee kinematics (Kerrigan et al. 1991), the neuromuscular mechanism responsible for generating abnormal quadriceps activity is not well understood.

The double support period of the leg initially in stance includes peak hip extension, while the knee begins flexing in preparation for toe-off. Therefore one explanation for the presence of elevated inappropriate quadriceps activity after stroke is the misinterpretation at the spinal level of sensory (e.g., group I and group II afferents) information originating from the hip proprioceptors, resulting in the production of spastic knee extensor activity. Sensory information from hip proprioceptors is known to be important in modulating stepping patterns after neurological insult (Grillner and Rossignol 1978; Hiebert et al. 1996). The fact that peak hip extension occurs during double support suggests that the stretch of the hip flexors may contribute to the presence of inappropriate uniaxial vasti activity. Multijoint reflex responses involving excitation of knee extensors have been reported previously in people with stroke. For example, common peroneal stimulation at group I and group II strength elicits elevated early and late facilitation of quadriceps H-reflexes in patients with poststroke hemiplegia as compared with unimpaired subjects (Marque et al. 2001; Maupas et al. 2004). This divergent excitation to muscles crossing different joints may result from increased excitability of propriospinal neurons following stroke (Mazevet et al. 2003). Despite these findings, the functional consequence of exaggerated multijoint reflexes in the lower extremity following stroke is not well described.

In individuals with spinal cord injury (SCI), exaggerated multijoint, heteronymous reflex responses are well known to contribute to abnormal muscle activation patterns. Specifically, lengthening the hip flexor muscles by passive hip extension movements elicits long-lasting hip flexor activity, with concomitant knee and ankle extensor activity (Schmit and Benz 2002; Steldt and Schmit 2004), which is consistent with the clinical description of an extensor spasm (Benz et al. 2005; Kuhn 1950; Little et al. 1989). Such data are in direct contrast to the well-established role of hip position afferents for facilitating limb flexion during terminal stance phase of locomotion in spinal or decerebrate cats (Grillner and Rossignol 1978;
Hiebert et al. 1996), or infants during treadmill stepping (Pang and Yang 2000). The combined findings suggest a reorganization of heteronymous reflex function after neurological injury, which may increase knee extensor activity in response to hip extension. During gait, this reorganization of multijoint reflex coupling between hip extension (as observed during terminal stance) and enhanced knee extensor activity may contribute to the commonly observed deficits in “stiff-legged gait.”

The presence of heteronymous stretch reflex activity from the hip flexors to knee extensors in subjects after stroke has not been demonstrated previously. Further, the contribution of such heteronymous stretch reflex activity to abnormal gait kinematics in subjects with neurological injury has not been established. The purpose of this study was to examine the effect of an isolated imposed hip extension movement on knee extensor muscle activity in individuals with stroke and the potential relation of these heteronymous reflexes to kinematic abnormalities during gait. We hypothesized that the presence of increased quadriceps activity during and/or after an imposed hip extension movement is correlated to the presence of stiff knee gait (i.e., reduced knee flexion in swing).

METHODS

Subjects

Twelve subjects (eight females, four males between 28 and 75 yr old, mean = 52, SD = 11 yr old) with chronic poststroke hemiparesis (>6 mo) were recruited for testing (Stroke group; see Table 1). Six of these subjects exhibited right-side hemiparesis. All stroke subjects demonstrated clinical symptoms consistent with an ischemic or hemorrhagic unilateral brain lesion resulting in sensory motor dysfunction ≥6 mo before testing. Subjects were excluded if they had a history of severe osteoporosis, cardiopulmonary diseases (e.g., cardiac arrhythmia, uncontrolled hypertension, chronic emphysema), unhealed decubiti, or significant residual cognitive or communication deficits that could impede the understanding of the purpose and procedures of the study. The individuals with stroke exhibited a variety of walking patterns yielding swing-phase knee flexion values that ranged from nearly “normal” to clinical stiff-knee gait. A group of six unimpaired control subjects (two females, four males; age = 39 ± 10 yr old) underwent identical reflex testing (Control group). All subjects were informed of the purpose and procedures of the study and signed informed consent forms approved by the Institutional Review Boards of Northwestern University before testing.

Reflex testing and analysis

Detection of the presence of hyperexcitable heteronymous stretch reflexes from the hip flexors to the uniarticular knee extensors was accomplished by imposing isolated hip extension movements using a Biodex System 3 (Biodex Medical Equipment, Shirley, NY). Evaluation of the role of passive hip movement on uniarticular knee extensor excitability began with the subject positioned in supine and the pelvis secured firmly to the table with a strap placed across the iliac crests to prevent pelvic rotation. The knee and ankle were fixed in a static posture by strapping the hemiparetic limb securely within a custom-designed, modifiable full-leg brace (Fig. 1). The leg brace was fixed to the Biodex so that the motor’s axis of rotation was aligned with the flexion/extension axis of rotation of the hip. Proper alignment was verified visually by an absence of leg translation during manual movement of the leg brace, ensuring that observations of a muscle response about the knee were due solely to rotation at the hip.

The hip was rotated through a 50° excursion from flexion to extension, ending 1–2° from maximum hip extension. The average start position of the Stroke group was 36 ± 6° of hip flexion, whereas the Control group began at an average of 30 ± 6° of hip flexion. The tested knee of both groups was fixed in about 10° of flexion and the ankle was about 15° of plantarflexion. The knee was flexed to about 10° to approximately match the paretic knee’s position during the second double support period (before toe-off). Increasing knee flexion would also increase the relative tension on the RF, and perhaps not elicit a sufficient stretch of the uniarticular hip flexors (Van Dillen et al. 2000). The Biodex motor rotated the hip into extension at 60, 90, and 120°/s to simulate a range of peak angular velocities observed during normal gait (Granata et al. 2000). At the end of the imposed hip extension rotation, the limb was held in the extended position for 5 s before rotating back to the start (flexed) position. Electromyographic (EMG) measurement of rectus femoris (RF), vastus lateralis (VL), and vastus medialis (VM) muscle responses was recorded using active surface electrodes (DE 2.1, Delsys, Boston, MA).

Fifteen trials were repeated at each tested velocity (i.e., 60, 90, and 120°/s) with about 1 min rest between trials. During the first 5 trials of each tested velocity, subjects were asked to relax as much as possible during the imposed movement. The final 10 trials at each velocity were performed with the subjects preactivating the hip flexors (including the RF) at about 5–10% of their maximum voluntary isometric contraction (MVIC) (Crago et al. 1976). This preactivation level was maintained during the imposed hip extension movement to ensure an adequate stretch of the hip flexors, and subjects were instructed to relax several seconds after the end of the movement.

During all trials, the hip joint position, torque, and velocity were recorded from the Biodex. Simultaneous collection of EMG data occurred at 1,000 Hz, following amplification (×10K) and band-pass filtering between 20 and 450 Hz. Analysis of muscle activity was performed using custom-designed software (LabVIEW 7, National Instruments, Austin, TX). A linear envelope was created from the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Lesion Location</th>
<th>Time Since Stroke, mo</th>
<th>Berg Balance Score</th>
<th>Comfortable Gait Speed, m/s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Right hemisphere</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Left hemisphere</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Right hemisphere</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>Left hemisphere</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Right hemisphere, hemorrhagic</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S6</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>Left basal ganglia</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S7</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>Left internal carotid, ischemic</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S8</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Right MCA, ischemic</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S9</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Left posterior insula and putamen, ischemic</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S10</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>Left corona radiate and posterior limb of the internal capsule</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S11</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>Right hemisphere</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S12</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Right hemisphere, hemorrhagic</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EMG data by full-wave rectifying the signals, and then filtering with a phase-corrected, eighth-order, low-pass Butterworth filter with a cutoff frequency of 10 Hz. The linear envelope was normalized to the peak recorded during the MVIC collected before performing the reflex testing. The MVIC was performed while the subjects were seated (i.e., hip at ~70–80° and the knee flexed to ~10°), to allow for comparison of RF, VL, and VM muscle activity between groups. The normalized EMG for each muscle was combined into an ensemble average for the 5 relaxed trials, as well as for the 10 preactivated trials, at each velocity. The individual muscle responses were compared between groups for each condition (i.e., relaxed and preactivated).

**Gait testing and analysis**

All subjects in the Stroke group returned on a different day to undergo gait analysis to determine lower extremity kinematics during overground walking. Subjects did not use an ankle foot orthosis (AFO) during the gait testing session. As subjects walked across a 10-m walkway, the motions of the lower extremity segments were tracked with a passive eight-camera motion capture system (Motion Analysis, Santa Rosa, CA) collecting at 120 Hz. Joint centers were located by 1 inch (25.4 mm), retro reflective markers affixed to the posterior sacrum and bilaterally over the anterior superior iliac spines (ASIS), medial and lateral femoral condyles, and medial and lateral malleoli. Rigid thermoplastic shells, each with three markers firmly affixed, were attached to the lateral aspect of the thigh and shank and covered with an elastic wrap to minimize movement between the shell and the bone to track the limb segments during walking. The foot was tracked by markers placed on the posterior heel counter of the shoe and over the second metatarsal head. Subjects walked at their self-selected velocity, but were asked to maintain a consistent gait velocity across trials. The marker trajectories were low-pass filtered at 6 Hz (EvaRT, Motion Analysis) to calculate the relative three-dimensional positions and interssegmental joint angles using a rigid body analysis and normalized to a stride cycle (OrthoTrak 6.2.4, Motion Analysis) (Grood and Suntay 1983).

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed with SPSS (SPSS 10.0, Chicago, IL). Outcome variables from the imposed hip extension movement (Fig. 2) were calculated from the ensemble average of normalized EMG linear envelopes (Fig. 3) and expressed relative to a baseline, identified as the average muscle activity during the 250-ms period immediately before hip movement initiation. We were particularly interested in 1) the phasic reflex response, quantified as the initial peak reflexive response of the RF, VL, and VM muscles measured during the 150-ms period immediately after the initiation of limb movement; and 2) the tonic or prolonged response, calculated as the difference in EMG magnitude between the baseline average (250 ms before hip movement) and the final position average in muscle activity (identified as the 250 ms immediately after termination of hip extension). Analyses were performed during both relaxed and preactivated conditions. Group means and SDs of muscle activity were compared using a two-way (group by imposed hip velocity) repeated-measures ANOVA (repeated for imposed hip velocity). If differences were found to be significant, Bonferroni-corrected post hoc testing was performed. Gait kinematic variables included midstance peak hip extension and swing-phase peak knee flexion angles, as well as the peak hip extension velocity and the knee flexion velocity at toe-off.
Paired $t$-tests were used to compare kinematic variables between limbs. Finally, Pearson product moment correlations were used to relate peak initial and prolonged reflex responses to gait kinematics (i.e., peak knee flexion during swing and knee flexion velocity at toe-off). All statistical tests were two sided and a significance level of $P < 0.05$ was used.

RESULTS

Reflex responses

Following the initiation of the imposed hip movement, the stretched RF muscle as well as the unstretched VL and VM exhibited a brief reflexive response followed by a sustained EMG signal that was particularly evident in the Stroke group, as demonstrated in Fig. 3. The quadriceps response to the hip extension movement was generally larger in the Stroke group than in the Control group during both the relaxed and preactivated conditions. In addition, the quadriceps reflex responses were generally larger during the preactivated trials compared with the relaxed trials.

Relaxed trials

During the relaxed trials, small-amplitude reflex responses were observed in both Stroke and Control groups. An analysis of the initial peak reflexive response revealed a significant main effect for imposed velocity for the VL (ANOVA: $P < 0.005$); however, a significant velocity × group interaction (ANOVA: $P < 0.05$) suggested that the groups did not respond similarly. Post hoc testing related to VL muscle activity demonstrated that the Stroke group exhibited a significantly greater peak than the Control group at 90°/s ($P < 0.05$) and 120°/s ($P < 0.01$), although no difference was observed between the groups at 60°/s ($P = 0.56$). Despite nonsignificant main effects for group for the peak responses of the RF (ANOVA: $P = 0.06$) and VM (ANOVA: $P = 0.30$) (Fig. 4A), the Stroke group exhibited larger responses on average with group differences ranging from 0.9 to 5.1%MVIC for the RF, and 0.1 to 4.0%MVIC for the VM, depending on the test velocity.

In addition to the observed initial reflexive responses, prolonged muscle activity was also seen in the tested muscles. In general, the magnitude of reflex EMG activity in the Stroke group remained elevated after the imposed movement, whereas the Control group’s muscle activity did not. Specifically, the VL exhibited a significant main effect for group (ANOVA: $P < 0.05$), revealing greater prolonged VL activity in the Stroke group compared with the Control group (Fig. 4B). Likewise, the RF exhibited a significant main effect for group (ANOVA: $P < 0.05$) and imposed hip velocity (ANOVA: $P < 0.05$), as well as a significant group × velocity interaction (ANOVA: $P < 0.05$). Post hoc tests revealed that the RF of the

![FIG. 3. Variables obtained during reflex trials. Top trace: hip extension movement. Bottom 3 traces: ensemble average of the linear envelope of rectus femoris (RF), vastus lateralis (VL), and vastus medialis (VM). Peak response is chosen within 150 ms of the initiation of movement (dark gray). Prolonged response is the difference in averaged activity from pre- to postmovement (light gray).](http://jn.physiology.org/)

![FIG. 4. Group means and SDs for the initial peak reflexive response for (A) relaxed and (C) preactivated trials for the Control and Stroke groups at 60, 90, and 120°/s. Prolonged response followed the initial peak response. Group means and SDs for the prolonged response for the (B) relaxed and (D) preactivated trials for the Control and Stroke groups at 60, 90, and 120°/s.](http://jn.physiology.org/)
Stroke group was significantly greater than the Control group at all tested velocities (60°/s: P < 0.05; 90°/s: P < 0.01; 120°/s: P < 0.005). No group differences were observed in the prolonged response of the VM muscle (ANOVA: P = 0.07), although the Stroke group exhibited a 0.6–1.6%MVIC greater response than the Control group, depending on the imposed velocity.

**Preactivated trials**

Subjects preactivated the hip flexors before the imposed hip movement. No difference in preactivation level was observed between groups for the VL (P = 0.36), RF (P = 0.28), or VM muscles (P = 0.19). With the hip flexors preactivated to 5–10%MVIC, larger magnitude initial peak reflex responses were observed in the Stroke subject’s RF (P < 0.001), VL (P < 0.01), and VM (P < 0.01) muscles compared with the relaxed condition (Fig. 2). Additionally, the RF’s prolonged activity (P < 0.05) was significantly greater during the preactivated trials compared with the relaxed condition, although the VL (P = 0.07) and VM (P = 0.15) did not significantly increase with the hip flexors preactivated.

The magnitude of the Stroke group’s initial peak reflex response was generally observed to be larger than that of the Control group. Overall, there was a significant velocity × group interaction effect for the VL (ANOVA: P < 0.05) and RF (ANOVA: P < 0.05) muscles, suggesting that the Stroke and Control groups responded to the imposed hip perturbation at different velocities in a different manner (Fig. 4C). Specifically, the Stroke group’s initial reflex response was strongly velocity dependent for the VL (ANOVA: P = 0.001), RF (ANOVA: P < 0.001), and VM (ANOVA: P < 0.001) muscles, whereas the Control group exhibited a velocity-dependent response for the VL only (ANOVA: P < 0.005). As such, the difference in the response magnitude between groups was accentuated at greater imposed velocities. At the two slowest test velocities (60 and 90°/s), no significant differences were observed between the groups for any recorded muscles (group differences ranged from 2.1 to 10.7%MVIC). However, for the fastest velocity (120°/s), all tested muscles (VL: P < 0.01; RF: P < 0.05; VM: P < 0.05) exhibited a significantly greater initial response in the Stroke group compared with the Control group.

Similar to the relaxed condition, a prolonged facilitation in muscle activity was observed in the Stroke group following hip extension with the hip flexors preactivated. A significant main effect for group was observed for the VL (ANOVA: P = 0.005) and RF (ANOVA: P < 0.001) muscles, revealing that the long-lasting EMG activity observed in the Stroke group was significantly greater than that of the Control group for these muscles. Although no group difference was observed for the VM (ANOVA: P = 0.09) muscle, the trend was similar to the responses of the VL and RF (Fig. 4D). The imposed test velocity had no significant effect on the magnitude of the prolonged reflex response for any of the tested muscles (all P values >0.50).

**Gait kinematics**

The subjects with stroke walked at an average of 0.6 ± 0.3m/s. During overground gait, differences in peak stance-
Likewise, the sum of the prolonged response of the preactivated VL, RF, and VM muscles was negatively related to knee flexion velocity at toe-off (60°/s: $R = -0.63$, $P < 0.05$; 90°/s: $R = -0.76; P < 0.005$; 120°/s: $R = -0.75; P < 0.005$) (Fig. 6). In addition, the sum of the prolonged response of the preactivated quadriceps muscles elicited at 90°/s was negatively related to the peak hip extension velocity ($R = -0.56; P = 0.05$) during walking, although the responses elicited by the 60°/s ($R = -0.18; P = 0.57$) and 120°/s ($R = -0.52; P = 0.08$) hip movements were not (Fig. 7). Although the prolonged response of the quadriceps during isolated testing was significantly related to the impaired limb’s movement pattern during walking, the initial peak reflexive response was not related to the peak knee flexion angle at any tested velocity (all $P$ values >0.20). In addition, initial peak and prolonged reflex responses were not correlated to peak hip extension angle or gait speed.

**DISCUSSION**

These data demonstrate that an imposed hip extension movement contributes to heightened, long-lasting quadriceps muscle activity in individuals with stroke that is correlated to knee kinematics during walking. These results provide insight into the potential mechanisms accounting for “spastic stiff-legged gait,” including the possible role of heteronymous reflexes from hip flexors onto knee extensors.

**Role of heteronymous reflexes in spastic gait**

The heightened response of the uniarticular knee extensor muscles (i.e., VL and VM) to an imposed hip movement suggests the presence of multijoint, heteronymous excitatory reflexes from the hip proprioceptors to the knee musculature. Given the RF’s anatomical position as a hip flexor and knee extensor, it is tempting to attribute the uniarticular vasti’s response to a stretch of the biarticular RF alone. In an analogous situation, however, group I afferents from the biarticular gastrocnemius muscle do not facilitate the uniarticular soleus muscle (Mao et al. 1984). Additionally, hip position afferents from numerous hip muscles are known to mediate lower extremity responses (Kriellaars et al. 1994), providing substantial redundancy in the sensorimotor system, suggesting that hip afferents from muscles other than the RF contribute to the initiation of the vasti’s response. Descriptions of multijoint reflexes in animal models are pervasive in the literature (Nichols 1999) in addition to a recent report of a group...
spasticity (Nadeau et al. 1999a) and the early velocity-mediated component of the heteronymous reflex responses described here have questionable roles in the performance of functional tasks (Patten et al. 2004), in particular, locomotion (however, see Damiano et al. 2006). In contrast to the early component, the prolonged reflex component was not velocity mediated and was highly correlated to knee kinematics in the Stroke group.

Although group I and group II hip afferents likely contribute to initiation of the early component of the reflex response, the precise neurophysiological mechanisms underlying the prolonged reflex responses to an imposed stretch are less clear. An increased reflex excitability of the group II pathways could have accounted for the larger prolonged reflex response in the Stroke group. Both test groups were exposed to a similar hip flexor perturbation and thus similar peripheral input (e.g., group II) during the static hold, although the groups responded differently to the imposed stretch of the hip muscles. Because peripheral inputs were assumed to be similar, differences in modulation at spinal/supraspinal levels likely contributed to differences in motor output. Although group II afferents likely contributed to the prolonged response, the long-lasting knee extensor activity in the individuals with Stroke may not be accounted for solely by group II afferent input. Although the excitatory drive from the group II afferents remains elevated during the hold portion, the discharge rate of the secondary endings diminishes during the static portion of a ramp-hold perturbation (Fischer and Schafer 2000), suggesting that other mechanisms may contribute to the long-lasting knee extensor activity as well.

Another explanation for the prolonged muscle activity following stretch is the presence of nonlinear, ionic currents in spinal interneurons and/or motoneurons, which can both amplify and prolong motor output after brief afferent inputs (Kiehn and Eken 1998). The persistent inward currents that underlie the long-lasting depolarization and discharge (i.e., plateau potentials) of these spinal neurons are often facilitated by descending serotonergic and noradrenergic inputs from brain stem (reticular) nuclei. The presence of prolonged motor outputs after a brief synaptic input has previously been observed in humans without neurological injury (Kiehn and Eken 1997) as well as in individuals with SCI (Gorassini et al. 2004; Hornby et al. 2003, 2006). Similar responses have likewise been observed in decerebrate animal preparations (Crone et al. 1988; Hougsaard et al. 1988), which, much like a cortical stroke, have the potential for disinhibition of the brain stem. Such a disinhibition may facilitate modulation of α-motoneurons or low-threshold spinal interneurons (Hammar et al. 2004) to generate long-lasting motor activity indicative of underlying persistent inward currents. Further neurophysiological testing is certainly required to investigate the potential contributions of these currents to abnormal motor strategies after stroke.

Role of reflexive knee extensor activity in walking

The presence of exaggerated heteronymous reflex activity between hip flexors and knee extensors in individuals with stroke may have important functional consequences. In particular, the heightened quadriceps muscle responses that persist following hip extension were correlated with reduced knee flexion velocity at toe-off as well as the peak knee flexion angle.

Origin of enhanced multijoint reflexes in people with stroke

The multijoint reflexes observed in the current study were characterized by both an early velocity-mediated component and a prolonged component that was not velocity mediated and that persisted beyond the duration of the hip movement. The velocity dependence of the initial response is consistent with classically defined spasticity about a single joint (Lance 1980) and suggests a response to the hip flexor’s velocity-sensitive (group Ia) muscle spindle activity. However, both single-joint II–mediated, excitatory connection between the ankle and knee musculature in unimpaired humans (Marchand-Pauvert et al. 2005). In comparison, individuals with stroke are well known to exhibit elevated single-joint reflex responses (i.e., spasticity; Lance 1980) and, more recently, have revealed augmented heteronymous reflex responses between the ankle and knee (Marque et al. 2001; Maupas et al. 2004). Heteronymous reflex activity between the hip and knee joints, however, has not been described previously in unimpaired subjects or individuals with stroke, although individuals with SCI demonstrate analogous behaviors (Schmit and Benz 2002). The current results suggest similar, abnormal hip–knee reflex coupling between those with SCI and those with stroke, which may be related to spinal reflex reorganization after injury to the descending tracts.

**FIG. 8.** Relationship between peak hip extension velocity (deg/sec) and prolonged response of the VL, RF, and VM muscles during “preactivated” trials at (A) 60°/s, (B) 90°/s, and (C) 120°/s.
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attained during the swing phase of walking. Although this correlation does not imply “cause and effect,” it provides evidence that the quadriceps response to isolated hip extension appears to be related to knee kinematics during walking. The time spent in the double support period at the end of the paretic limb’s stance phase for the subjects in this study was 223 ± 86 ms. This corresponds well to the 250-ms time chosen to evaluate the prolonged reflex response to imposed hip movements and indicates that the muscle activity we observed in an isolated setting could have a direct impact on the specific phase of the gait cycle purported to be crucial to attaining sufficient knee flexion. A potential limitation, however, is that if there is a critical amount of hip extension required to elicit the quadriceps response and that threshold was achieved in supine, but not in walking, then the reflex would not contribute to decreased swing-phase knee flexion. Amounts of hip extension not in walking, then the reflex would not contribute to decreased swing-phase knee flexion. A potential limitation, however, is that if there is a critical amount of hip extension required to elicit the quadriceps response and that threshold was achieved in supine, but not in walking, then the reflex would not contribute to decreased swing-phase knee flexion. Amounts of hip extension not in walking, then the reflex would not contribute to decreased swing-phase knee flexion. A potential limitation, however, is that if there is a critical amount of hip extension required to elicit the quadriceps response and that threshold was achieved in supine, but not in walking, then the reflex would not contribute to decreased swing-phase knee flexion. Amounts of hip extension not in walking, then the reflex would not contribute to decreased swing-phase knee flexion. Amounts of hip extension not in walking, then the reflex would not contribute to decreased swing-phase knee flexion. Amounts of hip extension not in walking, then the reflex would not contribute to decreased swing-phase knee flexion. Amounts of hip extension not in walking, then the reflex would not contribute to decreased swing-phase knee flexion. Amounts of hip extension not in walking, then the reflex would not contribute to decreased swing-phase knee flexion.

A potential limitation, however, is that if there is a critical amount of hip extension required to elicit the quadriceps response and that threshold was achieved in supine, but not in walking, then the reflex would not contribute to decreased swing-phase knee flexion. Amounts of hip extension not in walking, then the reflex would not contribute to decreased swing-phase knee flexion. Amounts of hip extension not in walking, then the reflex would not contribute to decreased swing-phase knee flexion. Amounts of hip extension not in walking, then the reflex would not contribute to decreased swing-phase knee flexion. Amounts of hip extension not in walking, then the reflex would not contribute to decreased swing-phase knee flexion.

The observation that preactivation of the hip flexor muscles (with RF) facilitate the RF reflex response to imposed hip extension may also have implications for gait. During swing initiation, flexion of the leg is driven, in part, by activation of the hip muscles, which occurs near peak hip extension. Despite the RF’s anatomical position as a hip flexor, emerging evidence suggests that activation of the RF muscle will actually accelerate the hip into extension by dynamic coupling with the knee (Hernandez et al. 2007; Neptune et al. 2004). With respect to the hip extensor, we speculate that the presence of increased RF activity may actually contribute to a reduction in hip flexion around toe-off (Kerrigan et al. 2001). Additionally, the presence of RF activity during both terminal stance of walking (Waters et al. 1979) and during the preactivated condition of our reflex testing suggests that we are producing comparable behaviors. The fact that hip flexion (with activation of the RF) facilitates the inappropriate prolonged RF activity in an isolated setting may prompt individuals with stroke to unconsciously reduce the net hip flexor moment during walking. Such a strategy may be ineffective, however, in increasing knee flexion because a larger hip flexor moment is thought to be important for facilitating knee flexion during swing (Kerrigan et al. 1998). In addition, some individuals with stroke need to increase hip flexion moments to compensate for plantarflexor weakness (Nadeau et al. 1999b). Such an increase in hip flexor activation, however, appears to augment the reflex excitability of the RF under isolated settings. Inappropriate knee extensor activity, initiated by hip extension and facilitated by hip flexor activation before swing, may therefore provide a neurophysiological basis for the commonly observed kinematic walking impairments in subjects with stroke.

A potential limitation to the current results is that different head/trunk orientations were used for the acquisition of heteronymous reflexes (e.g., supine) and the gait analysis (e.g., upright). The vestibular system’s influence on spinal circuitry may therefore be altered, affecting both volitional (Leweck et al. 2006) and reflex activity (Rossi et al. 1988; Trimble 1998). Specifically, extensor circuits appear to be inhibited with the body in supine relative to an upright posture (Leweck et al. 2006; Rossi et al. 1988; Trimble 1998). During an upright task such as walking, the magnitude of the reflex response has the potential to be augmented beyond what was observed in supine. Other influences, such as a prolonged load through the limb, are also known to suppress extensor pathways in the lower leg (Trimble 1998), although load-release (e.g., toe-off) can further increase knee extensor activity (Wu and Schmit 2006). Thus any response observed in a supine, unloaded...
condition appears to convey an underestimation of extensor reflex activity compared with what might be observed during gait. Nevertheless, because all subjects in both groups experienced the same postures, the strength of the relationship between the observed reflex response and kinematics during gait should remain fundamentally unaffected.

The current data suggest an important reorganization of the reflex regulation that may influence gait after stroke. Although others have emphasized the importance of attaining hip extension during terminal stance to maximize subsequent hip flexion after neurological injury (Behrman et al. 2005) our data suggest that concomitant knee extensor activity may be triggered as a result of a rapid hip extension movement.
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