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Sr\(^{2+}\), Silinsky EM. Mechanisms of neuromodulation as dissected using Sr\(^{2+}\) at motor nerve endings. J Neurophysiol 99: 2779–2788, 2008. First published April 2, 2008; doi:10.1152/jn.90258.2008. The use of binomial analysis as a tool for determining the sites of action of neuromodulators may be complicated by the nonuniformity of release probability. One of the potential sources for nonuniformity of release probability is the presence of multiple forms of synaptotagmins, the Ca\(^{2+}\) sensors responsible for triggering vesicular exocytosis. In this study we have used Sr\(^{2+}\), an ion whose actions may be restricted to a subpopulation of synaptotagmins, in an attempt to obtain meaningful estimates of the binomial parameters \(p\) (the probability of evoked acetylcholine [ACh] release) and \(n\) (the immediate available store of quanta or vesicles). One problem with binomial analysis of neurotransmitter release in Ca\(^{2+}\) solutions is that the binomial estimates of both \(p\) and \(n\) are dependent on extracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) concentrations, with \(p\) having a first-power relationship and \(n\) having a higher-power relationship (Bennett et al. 1975; Miyamoto 1975; Searl and Silinsky 2002, 2003). Many of the processes involved in vesicle mobilization and docking are likely to be Ca\(^{2+}\) dependent and, indeed, changes in intraterminal Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration have been demonstrated to be important for the recruitment of synaptic vesicles for release in the calyx of Held (Hosoi et al. 2007). However, changes in intraterminal Ca\(^{2+}\) resulting from altering extracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) concentrations may not be the only factor affecting the binomial estimate for \(n\) at the skeletal neuromuscular junction. One other possibility is that the anomalous Ca\(^{2+}\) dependence of the estimate of \(n\) is the result of variances in the individual release probabilities in Ca\(^{2+}\) solutions, biasing the binomial distribution (see Methods and Redman 1990). Indeed, multiple forms of synaptotagmin with differing Ca\(^{2+}\) dependences for vesicle fusion have been reported (see, e.g., Chapman 2002; Xu et al. 2007) and, at the vertebrate neuromuscular junction, more than one synaptotagmin isoform contributes to evoked ACh release (Pang et al. 2006). Furthermore, multiple synaptotagmin isoforms have been shown to occur on different vesicle types (Wang et al. 2005) and to be colocalized on single synaptic vesicle populations (Osborne et al. 1999). The coexistence of multiple isoforms of synaptotagmin exhibiting different affinities for Ca\(^{2+}\) and thus different release probabilities might contribute to the anomalous Ca\(^{2+}\) dependence of \(n\) seen in the binomial modeling of neurotransmitter release. For example, changes in \(n\) might represent changes in release events with low affinities for Ca\(^{2+}\), whereas changes in \(p\) might reflect changes in release events with high affinity for Ca\(^{2+}\).

It might be useful to study evoked ACh release mediated by a more discrete set of synaptotagmins than those stimulated by Ca\(^{2+}\). Recent studies in artificial systems suggest that one way to restrict the number of synaptotagmin isoforms involved in vesicle exocytosis might be to substitute Sr\(^{2+}\) for Ca\(^{2+}\) (Bhalla et al. 2005; but see Shin et al. 2003). In Sr\(^{2+}\) solutions, the release process could then be confined to a pool of synaptotagmins with similar efficiencies for release, thus reducing the variance in \(p\) and resulting in independent estimates of \(p\) and \(n\). Therefore binomial analysis of the Sr\(^{2+}\)-evoked EPPs could provide independent estimates for \(n\) and \(p\) and thus allow us to identify more clearly the mechanisms by which neuromodulators affect the synaptic release process.
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Herein, we show that Sr$^{2+}$-evoked EPPs do conform to the binomial distribution and can be used to decipher the mode of action of important modulators of neurotransmitter release.

METHODS

General

Frogs (Rana pipiens) were killed by anesthesia with 5% ether, or 5% isoflurane followed by double pithing. This method is in accordance with guidelines laid down by our institutional animal welfare committee. In vitro cutaneous-pectoris nerve-muscle preparations were used in all experiments. Intracellular recordings were made using microelectrodes filled with 3 M KCl with resistances 3–10 MΩ. The signal from the microelectrode was fed into a conventional high-input impedance microelectrode preamplifier (Axoclamp-2A, Axon Instruments). Responses were fed into a personal computer using either a Digidata 1200 or TL1-125 A/D converter (Axon Instruments). Solutions were delivered by superfusion with a peristaltic pump and removed by vacuum suction. All experiments were carried out at room temperature (22–24°C).

Normal recording solutions contained (in mM): 115 NaCl, 12 KCl, 2 Hapes, and the indicated concentrations of CaCl$_2$ or SrCl$_2$. Miniature endplate potentials (MEPPs) and EPPs were analyzed using CDR, WCP, and SCAN programs (DOS versions, Strathclyde University Software; J. Dempster). The data were analyzed using Microsoft Excel, Corel Quattro Pro, and Sigma Plot. All drugs used in this study were obtained from Sigma (St. Louis, MO) or Tocris Bioscience (Ellisville, MO).

Overview of binomial analysis of evoked neurotransmitter release

The simple binomial distribution is given by

$$f(x) = \binom{n}{x} p^x q^{n-x}$$

(1)

In this equation, $f(x)$ reflects the probability of observing 0, 1, 2, 3, . . . , $n$ quanta released; $p$ reflects the average probability of release; and $q$ represents the probability of a failure of release [where $q = (1 - p)$]. As mentioned in the INTRODUCTION

$$m = np$$

(2)

In the binomial distribution, the variance (var) is related to the average probability of release by the following equation

$$p = 1 - (\text{var}/m)$$

(3)

Briefly, the EPPs and MEPPs were collected with the EPPs corrected for nonlinear summation according to the method of McLachlan and Martin (1981). Binomial analysis of EPPs requires the use of a binomial model that incorporates the size and variance of the individual quantal amplitudes into the distribution (Miyamoto 1975). For derivations and estimates of errors, see Robinson (1976) and McLachlan (1978). The value of $p$ was determined from the following equation

$$p = 1 - \frac{S_{EPP}^2}{EPP \times MEPP} + \frac{\sigma_{MEPP}^2}{\text{MEPP}}$$

(4)

where $EPP$ is the mean EPP amplitude, $S_{EPP}^2$ is the variance of EPP amplitudes, $\sigma_{MEPP}^2$ is the variance of MEPP amplitudes, and $\text{MEPP}$ is the mean amplitude of the MEPPs (McLachlan 1978; Miyamoto 1975). Finally, $n$ was determined by rearranging Eq. 2

$$n = \frac{m}{p}$$

(5)

where

$$m = (\text{EPP})/(\text{MEPP})$$

(6)

Error estimates of $m$, $n$, and $p$ were calculated using Eq. 18 in McLachlan (1978) and Eqs. 9 and 10 in Robinson (1976). MEPP amplitudes for analysis were obtained from spontaneous events occurring between the EPPs and fit a normal distribution (see Christian and Weinreich 1992; McLachlan 1978; Miyamoto 1975).

Sources of error in the binomial analysis of evoked neurotransmitter release

The simple binomial distribution may not give truly accurate estimates of $n$ and $p$ when evoked neurotransmitter release is studied (see McLachlan 1978). Three possible sources of error in the estimates of $p$ derived by the simple binomial have been suggested: nonuniformity of $p$ (spatial variance in $p$); nonstationarity of $p$ (temporal variance of $p$); and nonstationarity of $n$ (temporal variance of $n$). Given the presence of multiple forms of synaptotagmin, the most relevant source of error is likely to be the nonuniformity of $p$. This would bias the estimates of $p$ because under such nonuniform conditions the estimate for $p = $ the actual value of $p + \sigma_p^2$/the actual value of $p$ (where $\sigma_p^2$ is the variance of $p$; see Eq. 10 in Searl and Silinsky 2002). This error causes $p$ to be weighted toward high-probability events (e.g., those exocytotic events linked to synaptotagmins with high affinities for Ca$^{2+}$, thus overestimating $p$ and underestimating the value of $n$; for discussion see Silinsky 1985). Under these conditions, raising the probability of the low-probability events will lead to an apparent increase in $n$. [For a more complete discussion of complex binomial distributions and the mathematical equations for the related errors see McLachlan (1978) and Searl and Silinsky (2002).] If the overall number of high release probability events is small relative to the population of low release probability events, then general changes in mean release probabilities will be accompanied by large changes in the binomial estimate for $n$. Thus the presence of multiple isofoms of synaptotagmins with markedly different Ca$^{2+}$-coupling abilities might result in anomalous measures of $n$ and $p$. In particular, effects that are mediated exclusively through changes in overall release probability will also produce changes in the binomial estimate for $n$, and the proportionate change in the binomial estimate for $p$ will be underestimated. Previously, our binomial analysis of asynchronous release evoked by K$^+$ depolarization in Sr$^{2+}$ solutions allowed us to determine a lack of variance in $p$ (Searl and Silinsky 2002). This provides further justification for applying binomial analysis to evoked release in Sr$^{2+}$ solutions. In contrast to these results with Sr$^{2+}$, in Ca$^{2+}$ solutions the distribution of asynchronous release evoked by K$^+$ depolarization did not allow us to rule out variance in $p$ (Searl and Silinsky 2002).

Despite the apparent simplicity of the binomial distribution, exact interpretations of the binomial parameters $n$ and $p$ have proven to be elusive. At its simplest, $n$ is a measure for the number of vesicles available for release and $p$ is the release probability of those vesicles available for release. It has been tempting to equate $n$ with morphological correlates such as the number of active zones with available vesicles and adequate calcium (reviewed in Silinsky 1985). Under some conditions (e.g., potassium channel blockade), the number of quanta released by a single stimulus is 1.5–2 orders of magnitude greater than the number of active zones (see DISCUSSION in Silinsky 1985). Indeed, despite recent advances in the molecular understanding of the vesicular release process, many questions remain such as whether all active zones are “active” and what mechanisms are involved in the activating of individual release sites (Logdon et al. 2006; Viele et al. 2003). In the initial phase of this study, we tested the
effects of simple pharmacological manipulation of the two components of the release apparatus: i.e., probability of release (through increasing divalent cation entry) and number of vesicles available for release through the effects of phorbol ester. The results subsequently presented suggest that in Sr\(^{2+}\) solutions, binomial analysis of EPP amplitudes provides a measure of changes in the number of vesicles available for release and the probability of release of those synaptic vesicles.

**Statistical comparisons**

Full details are provided in Searl and Silinsky (2002). Comparisons were made by either parametric statistics (e.g., Student’s paired t-test) or nonparametric statistics (Mann–Whitney rank-sum test; see Glantz 1992). When more than two groups were compared, an ANOVA for the normally distributed data was followed by multiple comparisons using the Bonferroni inequality (see Glantz 1992). Data are presented as mean ± 1SE. Statistical significance was generally \( P < 0.05 \) except when otherwise noted.

**RESULTS**

**General observations on dependence of \( m, n, \) and \( p \) on Sr\(^{2+}\) concentrations**

Nerve stimulation in 2 mM Sr\(^{2+}\) solutions produces fluctuating EPPs, which reflect the synchronous release of neurotransmitter quantified as \( m \) (see inset to Fig. 1). As previously found (Meiri and Rahamimoff 1971; Silinsky 1981), Sr\(^{2+}\) is less efficacious than Ca\(^{2+}\) in supporting EPPs. Specifically, the maximal values obtained for \( m \) in Sr\(^{2+}\) ranged from 25 to 39 quanta in contrast to the order-of-magnitude-higher \( m \) in Ca\(^{2+}\) (Meiri and Rahamimoff 1971; Silinsky 1981). Application of binomial analysis reveals that the change in \( m \) is largely a result of a change in \( p \), with \( n \) showing little dependence on extracellular Sr\(^{2+}\) concentrations (Fig. 1). This contrasts with the results in Ca\(^{2+}\) solutions whereby binomial analysis reveals a steep Ca\(^{2+}\) dependence for \( n \) (see Bennett et al. 1975; Searl and Silinsky 2003). In all five experiments, there was no significant dependence of \( n \) on Sr\(^{2+}\) concentrations in the range between 1 and 24 mM \( (n = 5; \text{ANOVA}, P = 0.473 \text{ for concentrations } 1–24 \text{ mM Sr}^{2+}; P = 0.741 \text{ for concentrations } 2–24 \text{ mM Sr}^{2+}) \).

As a corollary of the independence of \( n \) on extracellular Sr\(^{2+}\) concentrations, given that \( m = np \), a much lower degree of apparent cooperativity is observed in the relationship between Sr\(^{2+}\) concentration and \( m \) when compared with Ca\(^{2+}\). As shown in Fig. 1, the log-log graphs of Sr\(^{2+}\) concentration against \( m \) exhibit relationships dramatically different from those of Ca\(^{2+}\) (for comparison see Searl and Silinsky 2003). Specifically, Hill plots of Sr\(^{2+}\) concentration and release (\( m \)) have an initial slope close to 2, becoming close to 1 at a concentration >2 mM Sr (i.e., at concentrations in which \( n \) is independent of Sr\(^{2+}\) concentrations). In Ca\(^{2+}\), Hill plots of release have initial slopes close to 4 (see Bennett et al. 1975; Searl and Silinsky 2003). These results are all consistent with the suggestion that the effects of Sr\(^{2+}\) may be confined to a more restricted pool of synaptotagmins than Ca\(^{2+}\).

**Independent modulation of the binomial parameter \( p \) in Sr\(^{2+}\) solutions**

Deviations from a simple binomial distribution may not be detectable using statistical methods (see, e.g., Brown et al.
vesicle release—would suggest that agents that increase Ca\(^{2+}\) for the Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration of the effects of DAP on EPP amplitude distributions in Ca\(^{2+}\) solutions (Fig. 2). For the Ca\(^{2+}\) experiments, we chose a concentration of 0.2 mM Ca\(^{2+}\) because binomial analysis at this concentration of Ca\(^{2+}\) gives a value of \(p\) close to 0.5 (Searl and Silinsky 2003). Prolongation of nerve-terminal depolarization may lead to recruitment of additional synaptic vesicles (Heuser et al. 1979; Katz and Miledi 1979). We therefore used a moderate concentration of 3,4-DAP for these experiments, sufficient to approximately double the level of release. As shown in Fig. 2A, the increase in \(m\) caused by a 10-min incubation with 10 \(\mu M\) 3,4-DAP in 0.2 mM Ca\(^{2+}\) solutions was due entirely to the apparently anomalous effect of an increase in the parameter \(n\), with no measurable effect on the parameter \(p\). Specifically, the value for \(m\) increased from 5.29 ± 0.46 in control to 10.43 ± 1.11 after 3,4-DAP (\(P < 0.005\)), the value for \(n\) increased from 13.4 ± 2.1 in control to 20.7 ± 1.8 after 3,4-DAP (\(P < 0.05\)), and the value for \(p\) was unchanged (0.45 ± 0.07 in control and 0.51 ± 0.07 after 3,4-DAP, \(n = 6\) experiments from single endplates, separate preparations). This confirms the previous finding of Lundh (1979) using the related agent 4-aminopyridine.

We then performed similar experiments in Sr\(^{2+}\) solutions. In these experiments we used a concentration of 2 mM Sr\(^{2+}\) as our test solution because this concentration produces an estimate for \(p\) close to 0.5 (see Fig. 1) and thus should be optimal, both for recording changes in \(p\) and for the accuracy of these binomial estimates. In contrast to the results in Ca\(^{2+}\) solutions, the increase in release \(m\) produced by a 10-min incubation with 10 \(\mu M\) 3,4-DAP in Sr\(^{2+}\) solutions was exclusively due to an increase in the probability of ACh release \(p\) (Fig. 2B). Specifically, the value for \(m\) increased from 12.19 ± 4.03 in control to 20.81 ± 6.11 after 3,4-DAP (\(P < 0.05\)); the value for \(n\) was unchanged (29.3 ± 6.9 in control, 30.6 ± 6.1 after 3,4-DAP), and the value for \(p\) was increased from 0.40 ± 0.06 in control to 0.66 ± 0.06 after 3,4-DAP (\(P = 0.006, n = 5\) experiments from single endplates, separate preparations). For further illustration of the effects of DAP on EPP amplitude distributions in Ca\(^{2+}\) and Sr\(^{2+}\) solutions, we refer the reader to Supplemental Fig. S1,\(^1\) which shows examples of the fits produced by the binomial estimates obtained in these experiments.

The results presented thus far demonstrate that selective changes in \(p\) can be observed in Sr\(^{2+}\) solutions under conditions that alter the entry of alkaline earth cations into the nerve ending.

\(^{1}\) The online version of this article contains supplemental data.

---

**FIG. 2.** The effect of the K\(^+\) channel blocker 3,4-diaminopyridine (3,4-DAP) on the binomial parameters of release in Ca\(^{2+}\) and Sr\(^{2+}\) solutions. As shown in A, in 0.2 mM Ca\(^{2+}\) binomial analysis of the increase in release \(m\) produced by a 10-min incubation with 10 \(\mu M\) 3,4-DAP results in an increase in the apparent value of \(n\) with no change in the estimate for \(p\) (asterisks indicate significance, \(P < 0.05\); see main text for specific details). Note: Supplemental Fig. S1, A and B shows the effect of 3,4-DAP on the amplitude distribution of EPPs recorded in Ca\(^{2+}\). B: the effect of 3,4-DAP on the binomial parameters of release in Sr\(^{2+}\) solutions. In contrast to the results with Ca\(^{2+}\) (A), the increase in \(m\) produced by a 10-min incubation with 10 \(\mu M\) 3,4-DAP in 2 mM Sr\(^{2+}\) was not associated with a change in the estimate for \(n\). Rather, the increase in \(m\) produced by 3,4-DAP is mediated entirely through an increase in \(p\) (asterisks indicate significance, \(P < 0.05\); see text for further details). Note: Supplemental Fig. S1, C and D shows the effect of 3,4-DAP on the amplitude distribution of EPPs recorded in Sr\(^{2+}\).
Independent modulation of the binomial parameter \( n \) in \( Sr^{2+} \) solutions

As a further test of this methodology, we decided to examine whether the binomial parameter \( n \) can be modulated independently of the probability of release in \( Sr^{2+} \) solutions. As before we used 2 mM \( Sr^{2+} \) solutions and we chose a signal transduction pathway that we previously found to affect the size of the readily releasable store as determined by rapid bursts of stimuli in high-\( Ca^{2+} \) solutions (Searl and Silinsky 1998, 2003). Thus the increases in neurotransmitter release produced by PDBu (Tamaoki et al. 1986). Under these conditions it should be expected that increases in neurotransmitter release by PDBu result exclusively from its binding to the C1 domain on Munc-13 (Betz et al. 2001; Redman et al. 1997; Searl and Silinsky 1998, 2003). The activated Munc-13 then acts in concert with core protein syntaxin to promote vesicle priming at the amphibian neuromuscular junction (Betz et al. 2001; Redman et al. 1997; Searl and Silinsky 1998, 2003). Thus the increases in release produced by PDBu should result from an increase in the number of primed vesicles (i.e., the binomial parameter \( n \)) with no effect on the binomial parameter \( p \). As shown in Fig. 3, this is indeed the case. Application of staurosporine had no effect either on the release of neurotransmitter or on the binomial estimates for \( n \) and \( p \). The addition of PDBu caused an increase in \( n \), in the number of vesicles released \( (P < 0.05) \), with no effect on the binomial estimate for \( p \). Specifically, \( n = 15.8 \pm 5.3 \) quanta, \( n = 33.4 \pm 7.2 \) quanta, and \( P = 0.44 \pm 0.06 \) in the control condition. In staurosporine, \( m = 15.2 \pm 5.7 \) quanta, \( n = 30.3 \pm 8.2 \) quanta, and \( P = 0.46 \pm 0.06 \). Following the addition of 100 nM PDBu in staurosporine, \( m = 38.5 \pm 13.8 \), \( n = 87.7 \pm 32.5 \) quanta, and \( P = 0.44 \pm 0.05 \) \( (n = 6 \) experiments from single endplates, separate preparations).

The results thus far suggest that \( Sr^{2+} \) is a useful tool for the study of the parameters \( p \) and \( n \) at motor nerve endings and should help distinguish between potential sites of neurotransmitter release modulation. We thus applied these results to investigating the effects of cAMP on evoked ACh release.

Dual effects of cyclic AMP on the ACh release parameters \( n \) and \( p \) in \( Sr^{2+} \) solutions

Cyclic AMP is an important positive modulator of the release of neurotransmitter substances at many synapses (Brunelli et al. 1976; Seino and Shibasaki 2005) including ACh release at the amphibian neuromuscular junction (Hirsh et al. 1990). Recently it has become clear that effects of cAMP are mediated by both PKA-dependent and PKA-independent processes (Cheung et al. 2006; Ozaki et al. 2000). We used the membrane-permeant adenosine-3’,5’-cyclic monophosphate (cAMP) analog 8-(4-chlorophenylthio) (CPT) for our initial studies. CPT-cAMP does not distinguish between the PKA-dependent and PKA-independent effects of cAMP (Duo et al. 2006).

As shown in Fig. 4A, CPT-cAMP \( (1 \) mM) increases quantal release \( m \) by an effect on both binomial parameters \( n \) and \( p \). Specifically, application of CPT-cAMP resulted in an increase in the number of quanta released \( m \) from 13.6 \pm 2.6 \) in control to 28.3 \pm 5.9 \) in CPT-cAMP \( (P < 0.05) \). The number of quanta available for release \( n \) was increased from 27.1 \pm 3.8 \) in control to 37.6 \pm 7.4 \) in CPT-cAMP \( (P < 0.05) \) and \( p \) was increased from 0.50 \pm 0.07 \) to 0.75 \pm 0.05 \( (P < 0.001; n = 6 \) experiments from single endplates, separate preparations). To determine whether one or both of these effects are mediated via PKA, we repeated the experiments of Fig. 4A in the presence of either the nonselective protein kinase inhibitor staurosporine \( (1 \) mM) \( (Fig. 4B) \) or the selective PKA inhibitor KT 5720 \( (3 \) \( \mu \)M; \( C_{32}H_{11}N_{5}O_{5} \), CAS #108068-98-0) (Kase et al. 1987; see Fig. 4C). In the presence of either inhibitor, the increase in release produced by CPT-cAMP was exclusively due to an increase the size of the immediately available store of ACh quanta \( n \). Specifically, in the presence of staurosporine \( (Fig. 4B) \), application of CPT-cAMP resulted in an increase in the number of quanta released \( m \) from 13.8 \pm 4.9 \) quanta in staurosporine alone to 21.0 \pm 4.3 \) quanta in CPT-cAMP \( (P < 0.05) \). The number of quanta available for release \( n \) was increased from 27.9 \pm 9.1 \) in control to 40.5 \pm 7.8 \) in CPT-cAMP \( (P < 0.05) \). The probability of release was unchanged \( (0.50 \pm 0.06 \) in control, 0.51 \pm 0.08 \) in CPT-cAMP; \( n = 5 \) experiments from single endplates, separate preparations). In the presence of KT 5720 \( (Fig. 4C) \), the number of quanta available for release \( n \) was increased from 16.0 \pm 4.3 \) quanta in KT 5720 alone to 33.5 \pm 7.5 \) quanta in CPT-cAMP + KT 5720 \( (P < 0.05) \). As with the experiments with staurosporine, this increase in neurotransmitter release was mediated through increases in \( n \) alone. Specifically, the number of quanta available for release \( n \) was increased from 34.0 \pm 9.1 \) in control to 59.5 \pm 10.5 \) in CPT-cAMP \( (P < 0.01) \). The probability of release was unchanged \( (0.49 \pm 0.04 \) in control, 0.56 \pm 0.06, in CPT-cAMP; \( n = 4 \) experiments from single endplates, separate preparations). Thus in the presence of PKA antagonists the effect of CPT-cAMP on the binomial parameter \( p \) was abolished, but the effect of CPT-cAMP on \( n \) was unaffected.
These data suggest that two separate components of the release process are affected by CPT-cAMP: one that is blocked by PKA antagonists and the other that is PKA independent. The results of these experiments with PKA antagonists suggest that the PKA-dependent effects of cAMP on neurotransmitter release are exerted on the binomial parameter $p$ and that PKA-independent effects are mediated by increases in $n$.

**Is the PKA-independent effect of cAMP mediated by Epac?**

Epac (exchange protein directly activated by cAMP) has recently been implicated in mediating increases in neurotransmitter release at crayfish (Zhong and Zucker 2005) and *Drosophila* (Cheung et al. 2006) neuromuscular junctions. We therefore decided to test whether the non-PKA-dependent effects of CPT-cAMP on release could be explained by the actions of CPT-cAMP on Epac or another cAMP-dependent system using the selective Epac agonist 8CPT-2'-O-Me-cAMP (500 μM) (Christensen et al. 2003; Kang et al. 2003). As shown in Fig. 5, 8CPT-2'-O-Me-cAMP produces an increase in $m$ exclusively by increasing $n$. Specifically, $m$ was increased from 12.6 ± 4.1 quanta in control to 21.5 ± 6.2 quanta in 8CPT-2'-O-Me-cAMP ($P < 0.05$) and the number of quanta available for release $n$ was increased from 28.3 ± 7.9 in control to 61.7 ± 20.4 in 8CPT-2'-O-Me-cAMP ($P < 0.05$). The estimated value of $p$ was unchanged (0.44 ± 0.07 in control, 0.39 ± 0.06, in 8CPT-2'-O-Me-cAMP; $n = 6$ experiments). This result is thus consistent with the suggestion that the PKA-independent effects of CPT-cAMP on neurotransmitter release at the frog neuromuscular junction are mediated by Epac and due exclusively to changes in $n$.

**Is the effect of cAMP on release probability confined to an effect on high-probability release?**

It has previously been reported that cAMP selectively increases the release probability of high release probability
vesicles in medial prefrontal cortex neurons and at the calyx of Held (Huang and Hsu 2006; Kaneko and Takahashi 2004; Sakaba and Neher 2001). These vesicles might be equivalent to the high-probability (and thus high Ca\(^{2+}\) affinity) quantal release that we observed in low-Ca\(^{2+}\) calcium solutions (see, e.g., Fig. 2A). If so then the selective increases in the release probability of high release probability synaptic vesicles might occur if the effects of PKA are directed at those isoforms of synaptotagmin that have higher calcium affinity. We tested for this possibility by applying CPT-cAMP in 0.2 mM Ca\(^{2+}\) solutions. Under these conditions, high-Ca\(^{2+}\)-affinity isoforms of synaptotagmin (and thus high-probability release events) are likely to be responsible for the majority of release. If the increase in release probability were universally applied toward all synaptotagmin isoforms then an increase in \(n\) would be expected in Ca\(^{2+}\) solutions, as is the case in Fig. 2A. However, if the effects of PKA activation on release probability were confined to high-probability release events, then an increase in \(p\) would be expected. As shown in Fig. 6, application of CPT-cAMP (1 mM) in low (0.2 mM) calcium resulted in an increase in quantal release through an effect that was exclusively through an increase in the binomial estimate for \(p\). This suggests that the high-probability (low-Ca\(^{2+}\)-threshold) release sites seen in calcium solutions and those events activated by Sr\(^{2+}\) are the same—a suggestion in accord with the known fusion efficiencies of Ca\(^{2+}\) and Sr\(^{2+}\) in artificial membrane systems containing synaptotagmin IX (Bhalla et al. 2005).

**DISCUSSION**

**Utility of binomial analysis in Sr\(^{2+}\) solutions**

The magnitude of evoked neurotransmitter release (\(m\)) can be modulated by changes in the number of synaptic vesicles available for release (\(n\)) or through changes in the release probability of those available vesicles (\(p\)). Current models for the release process suggest that neurotransmitter release is triggered by Ca\(^{2+}\) binding to the Ca\(^{2+}\) sensor synaptotagmin. As a result, release probability \(p\) should be dependent on Ca\(^{2+}\) entry and the efficiency by which Ca\(^{2+}\) couples to synaptotagmin and the exocytotic event, whereas \(n\) (the number of vesicles available for release) should be governed by the processes involved in the priming and docking of synaptic vesicles. Thus binomial analysis should provide useful information as to whether release is modulated through effects on the number of synaptic vesicles available for release or through changes in the release probability of those synaptic vesicles.

By using Sr\(^{2+}\) to evoke synchronous neurotransmitter release, we have isolated a component of evoked neurotransmitter release that conforms to the binomial distribution without the concentration dependence of \(n\) seen in Ca\(^{2+}\) solutions. Currently, there are two competing models, both of which might explain the differences between Ca\(^{2+}\) and Sr\(^{2+}\) on the release process. One possibility is that only a fraction of the total available pool of synaptotagmins is responsible for release in Sr\(^{2+}\) solutions (Bhalla et al. 2005). Alternately, it might be that fewer Ca\(^{2+}\) binding sites on the individual synaptotagmins are involved in Sr\(^{2+}\)-triggered release (Shin...
et al. 2003). Either possibility would explain both the reduced cooperativity of the release process and the improved utility of the binomial analysis for Sr$^{2+}$-dependent ACh release when compared with evoked release in Ca$^{2+}$ solutions because either effect might be predicted to reduce the variance in release sensitivity for individual vesicles.

Although statistical tests (including $\chi^2$ tests) cannot distinguish between complex and simple binomials (see Bennett et al. 1975), the binomial model can be tested experimentally through modifications that would be expected to change $p$, the probability of release. As we show here, modifications that increase Sr$^{2+}$ entry into the nerve endings, either by increasing extracellular Sr$^{2+}$ (Fig. 1) or by using the K$^+$ channel blocker 3,4-DAP (Fig. 2B), produced increases in $p$ without effect on the binomial parameter $n$. The selective effects of phorbol esters on the parameter $n$ in Sr$^{2+}$ solutions (Fig. 3) confirm our previous findings in Ca$^{2+}$ solutions (Searl and Silinsky 2003) and add to the validity of our approach using Sr$^{2+}$.

From what is currently known about the functional divalent cation selectivity and sensitivity of synaptotagmin isoforms involved in synaptic transmission, it is likely that the component of release evoked by Sr$^{2+}$ represents those release events that have a high-Ca$^{2+}$ sensitivity. Given a restricted pool of synaptotagmins that can be stimulated by Sr$^{2+}$, the question arises as to whether all vesicles can be released in Sr$^{2+}$ solutions. In chromaffin cells, Fukuda and colleagues (2002) concluded that synaptotagmin IX and I were likely to be colocalized on dense core vesicles in chromaffin cells. More directly, experiments using FM-143 in goldfish bipolar neurons (Neves et al. 2001) demonstrated that all synaptic vesicles were releasable by Sr$^{2+}$, albeit at a slower rate. Interestingly, these authors concluded that the slower rate of release of vesicles evoked by Sr$^{2+}$ was attributable to a lower number of primed vesicles in the rapidly releasable store. Thus the value for $n$ in our experiments might be related to a smaller size of the readily releasable store of vesicles in Sr$^{2+}$ solutions. It is not clear, however, whether a similar use of binomial analysis of evoked neurotransmitter release in Sr$^{2+}$ solutions will be generally applicable to other synapses, where the probability of a vesicle being available for release may be the major determinant of $p$ (i.e., $n$ may not be a constant).

**Binomial analysis of the effects of cAMP analogs**

Using the binomial distribution to analyze the effects of cAMP on ACh release in Sr$^{2+}$ solutions, we find that cAMP increases neurotransmitter release by effects on both $p$ and $n$ (Fig. 4A). The cAMP-dependent increase in $p$ is mediated through PKA dependence, whereas the increase in $n$ is insensitive to protein kinase inhibition (Fig. 4, B and C). Our results are in accord with previous studies at the calyx of Held using both forskolin and cAMP (Kaneko and Takahashi 2004; Sakaba and Neher 2001). The effect of cAMP on $n$, the number of vesicles available for release was mimicked by the Epac agonist 8CPT-2‘-O-Me-cAMP. One possible target for the effects of this Epac agonist on ACh release is RIM (Rab-interacting molecule; Koushika et al. 2001), which is a presynaptic membrane protein localized to the active zone of nerve endings (Dulubova et al. 2005). In addition to interacting with Epac (Ozaki et al. 2000), RIM also interacts with the SNARE syntaxin and the N-terminal domain of Munc13-1; in *C. elegans* RIM has been implicated in vesicle priming (Koushika et al. 2001). Thus the increase in $n$ resulting from the effects of CPT-cAMP and 8CPT-2‘-O-Me-cAMP seen in these experiments could be due to downstream effects of Epac regulating the conformational folding of syntaxin. However, other mechanisms may also exist. For example, p42/p44 MAP kinase has been implicated in the non-PKA effects of cAMP on neurotransmitter release (Huang and Hsu 2006).

The mechanism by which PKA increases $p$ may be more readily interpreted. Changes in $p$ are likely to be restricted to perturbations that either change Ca$^{2+}$ entry (i.e., through effects on either Ca$^{2+}$ or K$^+$ channels) or change the affinity of synaptotagmin for Ca$^{2+}$ (or the distance of synaptotagmin from the Ca$^{2+}$ channel). In this regard PKA activation has been found to increase release probability independently of cation entry in both hippocampal (Trudeau et al. 1996) and cerebellar (Chavis et al. 1998; Chen and Regehr 1997) synapses. Our binomial analysis of the effects of CPT-cAMP on neurotransmitter release in low-Ca$^{2+}$ solutions is in support of these results. Specifically, we found an increase in $p$, with no measurable effect on $n$ in low-Ca$^{2+}$ solutions (Fig. 6). This result rules out a generalized increase in Ca$^{2+}$ entry because the mechanism by which cAMP increases $p$ as a generalized increase in release probability would result in an increase in $n$ in calcium solutions (see, e.g., Fig. 2). Our results are thus consistent with a selective increase in the release probability of a population of high-probability release events. Finally, direct recording of Na$^+$, K$^+$, and Ca$^{2+}$ perinuclear waveforms revealed no effects of CPT-cAMP on the currents (data not shown), suggesting that the effects of CPT-cAMP are mediated downstream of nerve terminal channel activity.

There are many potential targets in nerve endings for the effects of protein kinase A, including cysteine string proteins, snapin, SNAP-25 (for a comprehensive review see Seino and Shibasaki 2005). However, agents that target these proteins are more likely to cause increases in the numbers of vesicles available for release rather than increases in $p$. Although synaptotagmin I has been mapped for phosphorylation sites, it does not have a PKA phosphorylation site (Pyle et al. 2000). More recently a PKA phosphorylation site located on synaptotagmin 12 has been identified (Maximov et al. 2007). It is thus possible that the form of synaptotagmin activated by Sr$^{2+}$ is selectively phosphorylated by PKA, leading to an increase in the affinity of Ca$^{2+}$ or Sr$^{2+}$ for release. Alternatively, it might be that PKA phosphorylates a particular component of the release machinery that provides for a selective modulation of the synaptotagmin stimulated by Sr$^{2+}$.

**General conclusions**

In conclusion, the application of the binomial distribution to the release of neurotransmitter in Sr$^{2+}$ solutions at the frog neuromuscular junction provides an accurate method for determining the mechanism of modulation of neurotransmitter release. In particular this technique, which we have validated by a number of experimental tests, provides clear evidence for the separate actions of PKA-dependent and PKA-independent effects of cAMP on the release process. Furthermore, these results point to a selective modulation by PKA of release events of high-Ca$^{2+}$ affinity (low-threshold events). Such modulation by PKA may play a role in synapses where forms of
synaptotagmin with high-Ca\(^{2+}\) affinity predominate or where the influx of divalent cations in response to nerve activity is low due to a paucity of Ca\(^{2+}\) channels.
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