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Abstract

In many neuron types, the axon initial segment (AIS) has the lowest threshold for action potential generation. Its active properties are determined by the targeted expression of specific voltage-gated channel subunits.

We show that the Na\(^+\) channel Na\(_V\)1.6 displays a striking aggregation at the AIS of cortical neurons. To assess the functional role of this subunit, we used Scn8a\(^{med}\) mice that are deficient for Na\(_V\)1.6 subunits, but still display prominent Na\(^+\) channel aggregation at the AIS. In CA1 pyramidal cells from Scn8a\(^{med}\) mice, we found a depolarizing shift in the voltage-dependence of activation of the transient Na\(^+\) current (\(I_{NaT}\)), indicating that Na\(_V\)1.6 subunits activate at more negative voltages than other Na\(_V\) subunits. Additionally, persistent and resurgent Na\(^+\) currents were significantly reduced. Current clamp recordings revealed a significant elevation of spike threshold in Scn8a\(^{med}\) mice, as well as a shortening of the estimated delay between spike initiation at the AIS and its arrival at the soma. In combination with simulations using a realistic computer model of a CA1 pyramidal cell, our results imply that a hyperpolarized voltage-dependence of activation of AIS Na\(_V\)1.6 channels is important both in determining spike threshold and localizing spike initiation to the AIS. In addition to altered spike initiation, Scn8a\(^{med}\) mice also showed a strongly reduced spike gain, as expected with combined changes in persistent and resurgent currents and spike threshold.

These results suggest that Na\(_V\)1.6 subunits at the AIS contribute significantly to its role as spike trigger zone and shape repetitive discharge properties of CA1 neurons.
Introduction

In CNS neurons, graded synaptic inputs are integrated and converted to all-or-none spikes at a circumscribed region of the neuron, where spike threshold is lowest. Imaging experiments and simultaneous axonal and somatic recordings in subicular (Colbert and Johnston, 1996) and cortical pyramidal neurons (Meeks and Mennerick, 2007; Palmer and Stuart, 2006; Stuart et al.; 1997; Stuart and Sakmann, 1994), as well as in Purkinje cells (Khaliq and Raman, 2006; Stuart and Hausser, 1994), have localized this spike triggering zone to the axon. Attempts to pinpoint this region even more precisely have revealed that in cortical neurons spikes originate at the most distal portion of the axon initial segment (Palmer and Stuart, 2006). From this site, spikes propagate along the axon and also backpropagate into the somato-dendritic compartment of the neuron.

What factors cause the AIS to have the lowest spike threshold? One factor may be a relatively high density of Na\(^+\) channels in this region, as evidenced in different types of neurons by immunolabellings of Na\(^+\) channel proteins (Boiko et al., 2001, 2003; Catterall, 1981; Hossain et al., 2005; Pan et al., 2006). Indeed, the AIS contains a machinery to concentrate certain types of ion channels. Ankyrin G is a key player in this process, as it was shown to be both necessary and sufficient to direct different types of Na\(^+\) channels (Garrido et al., 2003; Zhou et al., 1998), as well as Kv7 (KCNQ) K\(^+\) channels (Pan et al., 2006), to the AIS. Although previous electrophysiological studies using cell-attached recordings have proclaimed a uniform \(I_{NaT}\) density at AIS and soma (Colbert and Johnston, 1996; Colbert and Pan, 2002), a more recent study employing also Na\(^+\) imaging has argued that \(I_{NaT}\) density is in fact higher at the AIS than in the soma (Kole et al., 2008). The specific biophysical properties of the Na\(^+\) channels expressed at the AIS also may play a role in localizing the spike trigger zone to this region (Colbert and Pan, 2002; Naundorf et al., 2006). In particular, it was found that Na\(^+\) channels at the AIS of cortical neurons exhibit a voltage-dependence of activation that is shifted by ~8 mV in a hyperpolarized direction compared to somatic
Na⁺ channels (Colbert and Pan, 2002). However, the molecular basis for this functional specialization remains unresolved.

At the AIS, Naᵥ1.1, Naᵥ1.2 and Naᵥ1.6 channels have been detected on the protein level (Boiko et al., 2001, 2003; Garrido et al., 2003; Hossain et al., 2005; Ogiwara et al., 2007; Van Wart and Matthews, 2006; Van Wart et al., 2007). The functional role of Naᵥ1.6 subunits in particular have been assessed in number of investigations in mutant mice lacking Naᵥ1.6 channels, for instance in cerebellar and globus pallidus neurons, as well as dorsal root and trigeminal ganglion cells (Levin et al., 2006; Mercer et al., 2007; Raman et al., 1997). The results argue for a role of Naᵥ1.6 subunits in mediating resurgent and persistent Na⁺ currents in these cells, with a resulting effect on repetitive firing behavior.

A striking biophysical peculiarity of Naᵥ1.6 subunits is its hyperpolarized voltage of activation compared to other Na⁺ channel isoforms. This finding has been obtained in mouse dorsal root ganglion neurons overexpressing a TTX-insensitive variant of Naᵥ1.6, and thus allowing to assess the properties of these channel isoforms in isolation in a neuronal cell (Rush et al., 2005), but see Smith et al. (1998). We therefore hypothesized that a preponderance of Naᵥ1.6 expression at the AIS may contribute to its low spike threshold, in addition to affecting repetitive discharge behavior. We explored the role of this channel subunit in firing behaviour of CA1 pyramidal neurons using mice lacking functional Naᵥ1.6 subunits (Scn8a<sup>med</sup> mice), as well as with computational modelling approaches. Our results indicate a critical role for Naᵥ1.6 in setting the low spike threshold at the AIS of CA1 pyramidal neurons.
Methods

Scn8a<sup>med</sup> mice

Experiments were performed on mice deficient in functional Na<sub>v</sub>1.6 α-subunits, bearing the recessive muscle endplate disease (med) mutation in the Scn8a gene. This mutation causes the expression of a truncated non-functional form of the protein by altering mRNA splicing due to insertion of a LINE element in exon 2 (Kohrman et al., 1996). Heterozygous breeding pairs of Scn8a<sup>med/</sup>med mice (C3HeB/FeJ-Scn8a<sup>med</sup>/J; Stock Nr. 003798) were acquired from Jackson Laboratories (Bar Harbor, Maine). Wild-type (Scn8a<sup>wt</sup>) or mutant (Scn8a<sup>med</sup>) homozygous littermate offspring animals aged 17 to 21 days were used in all experiments. All animal experiments were conducted in accordance with the guidelines of the Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Bonn. For all experiments, animals were heart-perfused with 1-3°C cold sucrose-based artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF) containing [mM]: 56 NaCl, 100 sucrose, 2.5 KCl, 1.25 NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 30 NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, 1 CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 5 MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 kynurenic acid, and 20 glucose (95% O<sub>2</sub> / 5% CO<sub>2</sub>) under deep anaesthesia with ketamine (100 mg/kg, Pfizer, Germany) and xylazine (15 mg/kg, Bayer, Germany). After perfusion mice were decapitated, the brain was quickly removed and 300, 400, or 600 µm thick transverse hippocampal slices were cut with a vibratome (MICROM, Germany) for electrophysiological or immunohistochemical studies.

Immunohistochemistry

Freshly cut 600 µm hippocampal slices were placed in a tissue boat, submerged under Tissue-Tec (Sakura, Netherlands) and carefully frozen over liquid nitrogen before being stored at -80°C. From the frozen tissue 12 µm thick sections were cut with a cryostat (MICROM, Germany) and mounted to either DAKO-slides (DAKO, Germany) or Superfrost™-plus-slides (Menzel, Germany) on which they were allowed to rest for 15 min at 20°C. Then the slides were fixed by submerging them for
2 min into a 1:1 mixture of ethanol and acetone (Merck, Germany) and left to dry overnight at 20°C. Finally the slides were stored in a -20°C freezer until the staining experiments were conducted.

Slides were thawed for 30 min at 20°C and afterwards briefly washed in PBS (Biochrom AG, Germany). To avoid unspecific antibody binding the slices were incubated for 2 h at 20°C in blocking solution consisting of PBS, Triton-X100 (0.1%), fetal calf serum (10%) (PAA laboratories Ltd., Austria), and normal goat serum (5%) (Vector, Burlingame, Ca.). All primary antibodies were diluted 1:200 in blocking solution and the binding reaction was allowed to take place at 4°C for 12-16 h. For double immunolabellings, primary antibodies were applied together. The primary antibodies used were a monoclonal mouse anti-Ankyrin G antibody directed against the spectrin binding domain of Ankyrin G (Zymed, San Francisco, Ca.), a polyclonal rabbit anti-Nav1.6 directed against amino acids 1042-1061 of the rat Nav1.6 protein (Alomone Labs Ltd, Israel), a monoclonal mouse anti-PanNav antibody and a polyclonal rabbit anti-PanNav antibody, both raised against amino acids 1491-1508 of the rat Nav1.1 protein with the antigen for the polyclonal antibody containing an additional cystein (Noda et al., 1986), a sequence identical in all mammalian Nav α-subunits (Sigma-Aldrich, Germany). It should be noted that the polyclonal antibody also produced a robust immunolabelling of neuronal somata in the hippocampus, which was absent with the monoclonal antibody (cf. Fig. 1A, panel b and Fig. 1B). Labelling of AIS, however, was similar with both antibodies. Excessive unbound primary antibodies were washed away 3 times at 20°C for 5 min with PBS. Subsequently, slices were incubated for 2 h at 20°C in the dark with FITC- and CY3-conjugated secondary antibodies (Dianova, Germany). Secondary antibodies were also diluted 1:200 in blocking solution and applied synchronously. Finally the slides were washed again 3 times in PBS for 5 min at 20°C and furnished with cover slips using a 1:1 mixture of Vectashield™-Harding and Vectashield™-Harding-with-DAPI cover media (Vector, Burlingame, Ca.). The slides were then stored light protected at 4°C.
Imaging and quantification was performed using a Leica (TSC NT) confocal microscope using the LCS software (Leica, Germany) for evaluation of staining intensity. Images with different dyes were acquired sequentially. The following laser lines of an argon-krypton laser and filters were used: FITC 488 nm, DD 488/568 nm double dichroic, emission bandpass 530 ±30 nm and CY3 568 nm, DD 488/568 nm double dichroic and emission longpass 590 nm. All images were acquired with a PL APO 40.0, 0.75 NA. objective (Leica, Germany). For semi-quantitative analysis of immunofluorescence, care was taken to minimize variability. Firstly, immunolabellings intended for the semi-quantitative assay were always done in one batch incorporating Scn8amed and Scn8awt specimens. Secondly, laser power was allowed to settle for at least 2 hours prior to the imaging session. All images were taken in one continuous imaging session, where apart from focal plane all laser and microscope settings remained untouched. The pinhole was set to 0.83 Airy units. Detector gain was set to ~60%. To determine mean Na⁺ channel density at AIS, we first defined regions of interest (ROI) corresponding to AIS based on the Ankyrin G staining. The mean staining intensity for both Ankyrin G and PanNaV was measured. From each section values for ten AIS were determined. We calculated the intensity of PanNaV staining as a ratio of the average intensity in the PanNaV channel divided by the corresponding average intensity in the Ankyrin G channel.

Storage of slices and preparation of dissociated neurons

For electrophysiological experiments, freshly cut slices were first placed into a storage chamber with room temperature (20°C) sucrose-based ACSF containing [in mM]: 60 NaCl, 100 sucrose, 2.5 KCl, 1.25 NaH₂PO₄, 26 NaHCO₃, 1 CaCl₂, 5 MgCl₂, 1 kynurenic acid, 20 glucose (95% O₂ / 5% CO₂), and gradually warmed to 36°C during 30 minutes. Subsequently, slices were equilibrated in a chamber with sucrose-free ACSF [in mM]: 125 NaCl, 3.5 KCl, 1.25 NaH₂PO₄, 26 NaHCO₃, 2 CaCl₂, 2 MgCl₂, and
15 glucose (95% O₂ / 5% CO₂) for at least 30 minutes at 20°C. For recordings of identified CA1 neurons in the slice preparation, 300 µm slices were used.

For preparation of dissociated neurons, 400 µm slices were placed in 5 ml of trituration solution containing [in mM]: 145 Na-methanesulfonate, 3 KCl, 10 N-2-hydroxy-ethylpiperazine-N'-2-ethane sulfonic acid (HEPES), 0.5 CaCl₂, 1 MgCl₂, and 15 glucose. Solution pH was adjusted to 7.4 with NaOH. Pronase (protease type XIV; 2 mg/ml) (SIGMA, St. Louis, MO) was added to the oxygenated buffer (100% O₂). After two incubation periods, 10 min at 35°C and followed by 10 min at 20°C, slices were washed with pronase-free buffer saline of identical composition and transferred to a Petri dish containing 5 poly-L-lysine coated cover slips. The CA1 region was microdissected under a binocular and triturated with fire-polished glass pipettes of decreasing aperture. Cells were allowed to settle for at least 10 minutes before removing cover slips and placing them into a submerged chamber mounted on the headstage of an upright microscope (Axioskop F-2, Zeiss, Germany). Cells were equilibrated for further 10 min before recording was attempted. Whole-cell recordings of dissociated neurons were performed only on pyramidal-shaped neurons with a smooth surface and a three-dimensional contour. All cells recorded possessed a clearly identifiable apical dendrite and remnants of basal dendrites and the axon.

**Electrophysiology**

Patch pipettes with a resistance of 3-5 MΩ were pulled from borosilicate glass capillaries (outer diameter: 1.5 mm, inner diameter: 1 mm; Science Products, Germany) on a Narishige PP-830 puller (Narishige, Tokyo, Japan) and filled with the appropriate intracellular (IC) solution. Voltage clamp and current clamp recordings were conducted at 20 and 30°C, respectively. Data were recorded and stored by a personal computer using a data acquisition system (Digidata 1322A) and the pClamp9.0 software (Molecular Devices, CA). Unless otherwise indicated data were filtered at 10 kHz and digitized at 100 kHz. Passive membrane properties were
quantified as follows. The input resistance was determined in voltage clamp mode according to Ohms law from the steady-state current response to 5 or 10 mV voltage steps (200 ms) from a -85 mV holding potential and was not significantly different between the mice from both genotypes (\(Scn8a_{\text{med}}\) 342.52 ±79.00 M\(\Omega\), \(Scn8a_{\text{wt}}\) 300.60 ±25.28 M\(\Omega\)). Cell capacitance was determined by quantifying the charge (Qc) required to fully charge the membrane. Qc was measured as the total area under the current response to the abovementioned voltage steps, minus the charge flowing across the membrane resistance. Cell capacitance was then calculated as Qc/V, where V is the size of the voltage step (\(Scn8a_{\text{med}}\) 111.55 ±15.22 pF, \(Scn8a_{\text{wt}}\) 100.99 ±8.23 pF) (n = 12 and n = 22, respectively).

Electrophysiology – current clamp recordings

Current clamp recordings were performed in intact CA1 neurons in the slice preparation, using a Multiclamp 700B amplifier (Molecular Devices, CA). Whole-cell configuration was obtained in voltage clamp mode before switching to current clamp mode, where pipette capacitance and bridge balance were monitored and carefully compensated. Cells with native membrane potential more positive than -60 mV were excluded. Subsequently, the slow current clamp circuit of the amplifier set to 5 s was used to set the initial membrane potential prior to current injection steps to defined values. The intracellular solution used was [in mM]: 130 K-gluconate, 20 KCl, 10 HEPES, 0.16 ethylene glycol-bis(2-aminoethyl ether)-N,N',N'-tetraacetic acid (EGTA), 2 Mg-adenosine 5'-triphosphate (ATP), and 2 Na\(_2\)-ATP; pH was titrated to 7.25 with KOH; osmolality was adjusted to 295 mOsm using sucrose. For bath solution a modified ACSF was used [in mM]: 124 NaCl, 3.5 KCl, 26 NaHCO\(_3\), 1.6 CaCl\(_2\), 2 MgCl\(_2\), and 10 glucose (95% O\(_2\)/ 5% CO\(_2\)). Temperature for current-clamp recordings was maintained at 30 ±1°C. The liquid junction potential determined for these solutions was -15 mV, and all values and figures were corrected accordingly.
Analysis of current clamp recordings

The measured resting membrane potential was not different between $Scn8a^{med}$ (-72.80 ±1.25 mV) and $Scn8a^{wt}$ mice (-73.98 ±0.66 mV). Spike thresholds were determined by measuring the voltage at which the increase in slope of the voltage trace is maximal. This time point corresponds to the maximum of the second derivation of the voltage step ($d^2V/dt^2$) and was determined as the time at which the third derivation of the voltage trace became zero. Spike amplitude was measured as the difference between resting membrane potential and the peak of the spike. The maximal rates of rise and decay were determined as the peak and antipeak of the second derivation of the voltage trace. Spikes during prolonged (600 ms) current injections vary systematically, depending on the time of occurrence during the current injection and the number of prior spikes. We analyzed the first, second and subsequent spikes in an action potential train separately. Analysis of these spike parameters for spikes elicited by 4 ms current injection was done using Clampfit 9.0. Repetitive firing was analyzed using an automated Igor routine that detected spikes and measured their properties.

In addition to these parameters, we determined the axo-somatic delay by assessing the delay between the two peaks observed in the second derivation of the voltage-trace. This assessment was carried out with an automated IGOR detection routine. Each automatically analysed spike was subsequently inspected. In some cases, the automated IGOR detection routine failed to detect two peaks because of overlap between the two peaks. In these cases, an estimate of the axo-somatic delay had to be obtained by a manual determination.

The size of the spike afterdepolarization (ADP) was determined by measuring the area under the ADP starting from the beginning of the fast afterhyperpolarization to the time when membrane voltage returned to the holding potential. This delivers a value that incorporates both active and passive portions of the ADP. In order to evaluate the magnitude of the active portion of the ADP, we first estimated the contribution of passive components by obtaining voltage responses to subthreshold
current injections of identical duration. These passive voltage responses were scaled so that the peak of the passive response was superimposed to the action potential threshold. The corresponding area approximates the passive response of the neuron, and was subtracted from the total ADP area, yielding the active component of the ADP.

Electrophysiology – voltage-clamp recordings

Voltage clamp recordings of transient Na\(^{+}\) current \(I_{\text{NaT}}\) were carried out in dissociated CA1 neurons to obtain a reliable voltage control and to minimize space clamp problems. Even in dissociated neurons, the large amplitude of \(I_{\text{NaT}}\) necessitated a reduction of the Na\(^{+}\) gradient between bath and intracellular solutions. The following intracellular solution was used [in mM]: 110 CsF, 10 HEPES-Na, 11 EGTA, 20 tetraethylammonium-Cl, 2 MgCl\(_2\), 0.5 guanosine 5′-triphosphate-tris(hydroxymethyl)-aminomethane (GTP-TRIS), and 5 ATP-Na\(_2\). Osmolality was adjusted with sucrose to 295 mOsm; pH to 7.25 with CsOH. The oxygenated bath consisted of [in mM]: 30 Na-methanesulphonate, 120 tetraethylammonium-Cl, 10 HEPES, 1.6 CaCl\(_2\), 2 MgCl\(_2\), 0.2 CdCl\(_2\), 5 4-aminopyridine (Acros organics, Belgium), and 15 glucose. The pH was adjusted to 7.4 with HCl, osmolality was adjusted to 310 mOsm with sucrose, and temperature was maintained at 20 ±1°C. The liquid junction potential between intra- and extracellular solution was +10 mV.

Recordings of the persistent Na\(^{+}\) current \(I_{\text{NaP}}\) were carried out in intact neurons in the slice preparation with intracellular solution containing [in mM]: 110 CsF, 10 HEPES-Na, 11 EGTA, 2 MgCl\(_2\), 0.5 GTP-TRIS, and 2 ATP-Na\(_2\). Osmolality was adjusted with mannitol to 295 mOsm; pH was adjusted to 7.25 (CsOH). The bath solution consisted of [in mM]: 100 Na-methanesulfonate, 40 tetraethylammonium-Cl, 10 HEPES, 2 CaCl\(_2\), 3 MgCl\(_2\), 0.2 CdCl\(_2\), 5 4-aminopyridine and 15 glucose. pH 7.4, NaOH; Osmolality was adjusted to 305 mOsm with sucrose. Liquid junction potential was +10.0 mV.
Recordings of the resurgent Na\(^+\) current (\(I_{\text{NaR}}\)) were carried out in dissociated neurons with the intracellular solution containing [in mM]: 110 CsF, 10 HEPES-Na, 11 EGTA, 2 MgCl\(_2\), 0.5 GTP-TRIS and 2 ATP-Na\(_2\). Osmolality was adjusted with mannitol to 295 mOsm; pH was adjusted to 7.25 using CsOH. The bath solution consisted of [mM]: 100 NaCl, 40 tetraethylammonium-Cl, 10 HEPES, 2 CaCl\(_2\), 3 MgCl\(_2\), 0.2 CdCl\(_2\), 5 4-aminopyridine (Acros organics, Belgium) and 15 glucose (pH 7.4, NaOH; Osmolality was adjusted to 305 mOsm with sucrose). Liquid junction potential was -9.99 mV.

Recordings of T-type Ca\(^{2+}\) currents (\(I_{\text{CaT}}\)) was carried out in slices which had been preincubated for 1 h in 5 ml oxygenated bath containing: omega-CgTx GVIA (2 µM), omega-CgTx MVIIC (3 µM), omega-AgaTx IVA (0.2 µM) (Biotrend, Germany) and cytochrome C (2 mg/ml) to block N- and P/Q-type Ca\(^{2+}\) channels. Following transfer of the slices to the recording chamber, recordings were carried out with intracellular solution containing [in mM]: 105 Cs-methanesulfonate, 25 tetraethylammonium-Cl, 10 HEPES, 5 EGTA, 2 MgCl\(_2\), 2 CaCl\(_2\), 25 sucrose, 4 ATP-Na\(_2\) and 0.3 GTP-TRIS; pH was adjusted to 7.2 with CsOH; osmolality with sucrose to 295 mOsm. The bath solution contained [in mM]: 115 Na-methanesulfonate, 25 tetraethylammonium-Cl, 3.5 KCl, 2 MgCl\(_2\), 2 CaCl\(_2\), 4 4-aminopyridine, 10 HEPES, 25 glucose, 0.005 tetrodotoxin (Biotrend, Germany) and 0.01 nifedipine (pH 7.4, NaOH; osmolality was adjusted to 310 mOsm with sucrose). Liquid junction potential was -5.0 mV.

Tight seal whole-cell recordings were obtained with a seal resistance >1 GΩ in all recordings using an Axopatch 200B amplifier (Molecular Devices; Ca.). Series resistance was 6 ±2 MΩ. To improve voltage control, the prediction and compensation dials of the amplifier’s series resistance compensation were set between 70 and 90% to achieve a maximal residual voltage error <2 mV (<0.5 mV for recordings of \(I_{\text{NaP}}, I_{\text{NaR}}\) and \(I_{\text{CaT}}\)). All other recordings were excluded. Currents were recorded with the pClamp acquisition and analysis program, sampled at 100 kHz and filtered at 10 kHz (20 kHz and 1 kHz for \(I_{\text{NaP}}\)). All potentials shown were corrected for liquid junction potentials.
Recording temperature was 20°C for all voltage-clamp recordings. Unless otherwise indicated, all chemicals or drugs were obtained from Sigma, Germany.

**Analysis of voltage clamp recordings**

The voltage dependence activation of $I_{NaT}$ was determined using standard protocols (see Fig. 3A, inset). Peak currents were fitted using the following Boltzmann function:

$$ I(V) = G_{\text{max}} / (1 + \exp((V_{1/2} - V) / k_m)) (V - V_{Na}), $$

where $I(V)$ the current amplitude, $G_{\text{max}}$ is the maximal Na$^+$ conductance, $V_{1/2}$ is membrane potential at which $G(V)$ is half of $G_{\text{max}}$, $V$ is the command potential, $k_m$ is the slope at $V_{1/2}$, and $V_{Na}$ is the Na$^+$ reversal potential.

Peak currents were then converted to conductance $G(V)$ using:

$$ G(V) = I(V) / (V - V_{Na}) $$

with $V_{Na}$ being the Na$^+$ reversal potential, $V$ the command potential and $I(V)$ the current amplitude.

The voltage-dependence of steady-state inactivation was determined using standard procedures with prepulses (500 ms) to various voltages, followed by a 10 ms test pulse to 0 mV (see Fig. 3C, inset). The peak currents were fitted using:

$$ I(V) = I_{\text{max}} / (1 + \exp((V_{1/2} - V) / k_m)) $$

where $I_{\text{max}}$ is the maximal Na$^+$ current, $V_{1/2}$ is membrane potential at which $I(V)$ is half of $I_{\text{max}}$ and $k_m$ is the slope at $V_{1/2}$.

To determine the voltage dependent activation of $I_{NaP}$, the TTX subtracted current responses to the voltage ramp (Fig. 4A) were converted to conductance using equation 2 and subsequently fitted using equation 3 (Fig. 4C). In all cases, fitting was done using a Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm.

The magnitude of $I_{NaR}$ was determined by analyzing the current responses to different 100 ms test pulses (-100 mV to -10 mV) following a 15 ms prepulse to 20 mV from a holding potential of 100 mV (Fig. 5A inset). The amplitude of $I_{NaR}$ was determined as
the peak current during the test pulse minus the steady state current at the end of the test pulse (see Fig. 5A).

The amplitude of $I_{CaT}$ was determined by fitting the tail current following a 20 ms depolarization with a biexponential function (see Fig. 6A, inset) using a Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm. Under our recording conditions, the faster deactivating current component represents R-type Ca$^{2+}$ currents, while the slower component is due to deactivation of T-type Ca$^{2+}$ currents (Sochivko et al., 2002). The amplitude corresponding to the slower deactivating component was derived by extrapolation of the fitted curve to the end of the depolarizing voltage step.

All data are presented as average ± standard error of the mean (SEM). For comparison of means, a two-tailed Student’s $t$-test was performed as appropriate. Differences between axo-somatic spike delay and input-output relations between Scn8a$^{med}$ and Scn8a$^{wt}$ mice, were analyzed by mANOVA. For all tests, the significance level was set at $p<0.05$. All data analyses were done with the Clampfit 9.0 software (Molecular Devices, CA), Origin 7 (OriginLab, Northampton, MA), IGOR (Wavemetrics Inc., Lake Oswego, OR), SPSS 14.0 (SPSS Inc., USA) and Excel 2003 at a Windows™ based PC-system (Microsoft, Redmond, WA).

**Modelling of a CA1 pyramidal neuron**

We have created a model of a CA1 neuron with a realistic morphology and different voltage- and Ca$^{2+}$-dependent currents with differential subcellular distribution. The modelling environment was Microsoft Windows XP, running on a dual core processor, each Intel Processor with 2.39 GHz, 1.97 GB Ram. The simulation was implemented within the simulation software NEURON (Carnevale and Hines, 2006). The integration time steps were fixed at 0.01 ms. The general approach to model the properties of different ionic currents is based on a Hodgkin-Huxley-type formalism (Hodgkin and Huxley, 1952), where the voltage- and time-dependence of currents flowing through ion channels is governed by gating particles that determine the opening
and closing of the channel pore. The time- and place-dependent total current density $i_m(x,t)$ through a cell membrane is given by:

$$i_M(x,t) = c_M(x) \frac{dE(x,t)}{dt} + \sum_j i_j(x,t),$$

where $x$ denotes the place, $t$ time, $c_M$ capacitance per cm$^2$, $E$ the membrane potential, and $j$ denotes the distinct currents incorporated in our model.

The dynamics of gating particles is governed by the differential equation:

$$\frac{dp}{dt} = \frac{p_{\infty} - p}{\tau_p},$$

where $p$ denotes the fraction of gating particles being in a state that allows the channel to be open, $p_{\infty}$ denotes the equilibrium state, and $\tau_p$ the time constant of the dynamics. In general $p_{\infty}$ and $\tau_p$ can be dependent on the membrane voltage and ionic concentrations. The functional dependencies are given in descriptions of the individual currents. Abbreviations for variables and constants are explained in Table 1. The maximum conductances $g$ with which the currents occur in the different parts of the model neuron are given in Table 2. The current through an ion channel is then given by Ohm’s law. For the Ca$^{2+}$ currents Ohm’s law was replaced by the Goldman-Hodgkin-Katz-equation.

**Neuronal Morphology**

The morphology of the CA1 model neuron is adapted from Varona et al. (2000) and comprises 265 sections (829 segments) with branched basal and apical dendrite, soma, and an axon. It is based on a detailed morphometric study of average compartment dimensions, branching pattern, and tapering (Bannister and Larkman, 1995).

**Passive electrophysiological properties**
Passive parameters were also adapted from Varona et al. (2000) and include values for the specific membrane capacitance, the membrane resistivity, and for the resistivity of the cytoplasm. Leak currents were assumed to have a reversal potential of -70 mV.

Temperature dependence

The dependence of ion channel dynamics on the environmental temperature $T$ can be expressed by $Q(T) = Q_{10}^{(T-T_0)/10K}$. $Q_{10}$ varies for different ion channels and can be different for activation ($Q_{10,\text{activation}}$), inactivation ($Q_{10,\text{inactivation}}$), and current amplitude ($Q_{10,\text{amplitude}}$). The values for $T_0$ are given in the description of the individual currents. The dependence of the ion channel dynamics on $Q(T)$ was applied according to published data (see citations in the description of the individual currents). Simulations were performed for a temperature $T = 30^\circ C$.

$Na^+$ currents

The equilibrium potential for $Na^+$ was $E_{Na} = 55 \text{ mV}$.

The transient $Na^+$ current

The somatic $i_{NaT}$ was modelled according to Migliore et al. (1999).

$$i_{NaT} = \bar{g}_{Na} \cdot m^3 \cdot h \cdot s \cdot (E - E_{Na})$$

with $m$, $h$, and $s$ corresponding to the gating parameter for fast activation, fast inactivation, and slow-inactivation, respectively.

The equations describing activation were as follows:

$$\alpha_m = \frac{0.4 \text{ ms}^{-1} \cdot (E + 30 \text{ mV} - AV_{1/2})}{1 - \exp \left( -\frac{(E + 30 \text{ mV} - AV_{1/2})}{7.2 \text{ mV}} \right)}$$

$$\beta_m = \frac{0.124 \text{ ms}^{-1} \cdot (E + 30 \text{ mV} - AV_{1/2})}{1 - \exp \left( \frac{(E + 30 \text{ mV} - AV_{1/2})}{7.2 \text{ mV}} \right)}$$
\[
\tau_m = \frac{1}{Q(T) \cdot (\alpha_m + \beta_m)}
\]

if \( \tau_m < 0.02 \text{ ms} \) then \( \tau_m = 0.02 \text{ ms} \)

\[
m_{\infty} = \frac{\alpha_m}{\alpha_m + \beta_m}
\]

The parameter \( \Delta V_{1/2} \) was used to introduce a shift in the midpoint of the activation curve. This parameter was zero for the somatic \( i_{NaT} \).

The equations describing fast inactivation were as follows:

\[
\alpha_h = \frac{0.03 \text{ ms}^{-1} \cdot (E + 45)}{1 - \exp\left(-\frac{(E + 45) \text{ mV}}{1.5\text{ mV}}\right)}
\]

\[
\beta_h = \frac{-0.01 \text{ ms}^{-1} \cdot (E + 45 \text{ mV})}{1 - \exp\left((E + 45 \text{ mV})/1.5\text{ mV}\right)}
\]

\[
\tau_h = \frac{1}{Q(T) \cdot (\alpha_h + \beta_h)}, \text{ if } \tau_h < 0.5 \text{ ms} \text{ then } \tau_h = 0.5 \text{ ms}
\]

\[
h_{\infty} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp((E + 50 \text{ mV})/4\text{ mV})}
\]

The equations describing slow inactivation were as follows:

\[
\alpha_s = 1 \text{ ms}^{-1} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{139.24 \text{ mV}^{-1} \cdot (E + 60 \text{ mV})}{T[K]}\right)
\]

\[
\beta_s = 1 \text{ ms}^{-1} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{27.85 \text{ mV}^{-1} \cdot (E + 60 \text{ mV})}{T[K]}\right)
\]

\[
s_{\infty} = 1
\]

\[
\tau_s = \frac{\beta_s}{0.0003 \cdot (1 + \alpha_s)}, \text{ if } \tau_s < 10 \text{ ms} \text{ then } \tau_s = 10 \text{ ms}
\]

We assumed \( T_0 = 24^\circ \text{ C} \), the \( Q_{10} \) values were derived from Migliore et al. (1999).
The Na⁺ current at the AIS was identical to the somatic Na⁺ current, but lacked the slow inactivation process. The parameter $\Delta V_{1/2}$, which produces a shift of the activation behaviour, was systematically varied as described in the results section.

**The persistent Na⁺ current**

The persistent Na⁺ current ($i_{NaP}$) is a fast activating and non-inactivating current.

$$i_{NaP} = g_{NaP} \cdot m \cdot (E - E_{Na})$$

The dynamics of the activating gating particle $m$ are:

$$m_\infty = \frac{1}{1 + \exp \left( - (E + 52.3\, mV)/6.8\, mV \right)$$

$$\tau_m = 1\, ms$$

$i_{NaT-In}$ corresponds to a Na⁺ current with intermediate inactivation kinetics, which is observed in CA1 neurons (Yue et al., 2005).

$$i_{NaT-In} = g_{NaT-In} \cdot m \cdot h$$

The dynamics of the activation gate particle are

$$m_\infty = \frac{1}{1 + \exp \left( - (E + 52.6 )/4.6 \right)$$

$$\tau_m = 1\, ms$$

The inactivation dynamics were derived from Magistretti and Alonso (1999).

$$\alpha_h = \frac{2.88 \cdot (E - 49\, mV)\, mV^{-1} ms^{-1}}{1 - \exp \left((E + 17.01\, mV)/4.63\, mV \right)}$$

$$\beta_h = \frac{6.94 \cdot (E + 447\, mV)\, mV^{-1} ms^{-1}}{1 - \exp \left(-(E + 64.41\, mV)/2.63\, mV \right)}$$

$$\tau_h = \frac{1}{(\alpha_h + \beta_h)}$$
\[ h_\infty = \frac{\alpha_h}{\alpha_h + \beta_h} \]

**K⁺ currents**

The equilibrium potential for K⁺ was \( E_K = -95 \text{ mV} \).

**Delayed rectifier K⁺ current**

The delayed rectifier K⁺ current (\( i_{KDR} \)) was modelled according to Golomb et al. (2006).

\[ i_{KDR} = g_{KDR} \cdot n^4 \cdot (E - E_K) \]

with the following activation dynamics:

\[ n_\infty = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-(E + 35 \text{ mV})/10 \text{ mV})} \]

\[ \tau_n = 0.1 \text{ ms} + \frac{0.5 \text{ ms}}{1 + \exp((E + 27 \text{ mV})/15 \text{ mV})} \]

**A-type K⁺ current**

The A-type K⁺ current (\( i_{KA} \)) was modelled according to Golomb et al. (2006).

\[ i_{KA} = g_{KA} \cdot a^3 \cdot b \cdot (E - E_K) \]

The activation dynamics were as follows:

\[ a_\infty = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-(E + 50 \text{ mV})/20 \text{ mV})} \]

\[ \tau_a = 0.5 \text{ ms} \]

The inactivation dynamics were as follows:

\[ b_\infty = \frac{1}{1 + \exp((E + 80 \text{ mV})/6 \text{ mV})} \]

\[ \tau_b = 15 \text{ ms} \]

**The M-type K⁺ current**
The M-current \((i_{KM})\) was modelled according to Warman et al. (1994):

\[
i_{KM} = g_{KM} \cdot u^2 \cdot (E - E_K)
\]

We assumed \(T_0 = 23^\circ C\). \(Q_{10}\) values for \(i_{KM}\) were derived from Halliwell and Adams (1982).

Activation dynamics:

\[
\alpha = \frac{0.016 \text{ ms}^{-1}}{\exp(-(E + 52.7 \text{ mV}) / 23 \text{ mV})}
\]
\[
\beta = \frac{0.016 \text{ ms}^{-1}}{\exp((E + 52.7 \text{ mV}) / 18.8 \text{ mV})}
\]
\[
u_\infty = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta}
\]
\[
\tau_u = \frac{3}{Q(T) \cdot (\alpha + \beta)}
\]

Voltage- and Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent K\(^+\) current

This K\(^+\) current \((i_{KCT})\) adapted from Stacey and Durand (2000) is dependent both on the intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration \([Ca]_{i,1}\) and on the membrane potential \(E\).

For the dynamics of the Ca\(^{2+}\) ions see below.

\[
i_{KCT} = g_{KCT} \cdot c^2 \cdot d \cdot (E - E_K)
\]

The Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependence was implemented as follows:

\[
v_{\text{shift}} = -40 \cdot \log_{10}([Ca^{2+}]_{i,1}) \text{ where } [Ca^{2+}]_{i,1} \text{ is given in [mM]}
\]
\[
\alpha = 0.0077 \text{ ms}^{-1} \text{ mV}^{-1} \cdot \frac{E + v_{\text{shift}} + 103 \text{ mV}}{1 - \exp(-(E + v_{\text{shift}} + 103 \text{ mV}) / 12 \text{ mV})}
\]
\[
\beta = \frac{1.7 \text{ ms}^{-1}}{\exp((E + v_{\text{shift}} + 237 \text{ mV}) / 30 \text{ mV})}
\]
\[
c_\infty = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta}
\]
\[
\tau_c = 0.55 \text{ ms}
\]
The voltage-dependence of gating was defined as follows:

\[
\alpha = \frac{1 \text{ ms}^{-1}}{\exp((E + 79 \text{ mV}) / 10 \text{ mV})}
\]

\[
\beta = \frac{4 \text{ ms}^{-1}}{1 + \exp(-(E - 82 \text{ mV}) / 27 \text{ mV})}
\]

\[
d_\infty = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta}
\]

\[
\tau_d = \frac{1}{\alpha + \beta}
\]

**Ca\textsuperscript{2+} dependent K\textsuperscript{+} current**

The gating properties of this K\textsuperscript{+} current \((i_{KAHP})\) are only dependent on the intracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} concentration \([Ca^{2+}]_{i,2}\) and is therefore in our model restricted to the somatic compartment.

\[
i_{KAHP} = g_{KAHP} \cdot q \cdot (E - E_K)
\]

Activation dynamics:

\[
\alpha = \frac{0.0048 \text{ ms}^{-1}}{\exp((-10 \cdot \log_{10}(10^{(35 - [Ca^{2+}]_{i,2} -35) / 2}))}
\]

\[
\beta = \frac{0.012 \text{ ms}^{-1}}{\exp((10 \cdot \log_{10}(10^{([Ca^{2+}]_{i,2} +100) / 5}))}
\]

In both rate functions \([Ca^{2+}]_{i,2}\) is given in [mM].

\[
q_\infty = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta}
\]

\[
\tau_q = 48 \text{ ms}
\]

These dynamics were implemented according to Stacey and Durand (2000) and Warman et al. (1994).

**Ca\textsuperscript{2+} currents**
The maximal permeabilities $\bar{P}$ of the various Ca$^{2+}$ channels were chosen from investigations reported in Takahashi and Akaike (1991) and Su et al. (2002).

$T$-type Ca$^{2+}$ current

The T-type Ca$^{2+}$ current ($i_{\text{CaT}}$) is mainly based on findings reported in Lee et al. (1999) and Klöckner et al. (1999).

$$i_{\text{CaT}} = \bar{P}_{\text{CaT}} \cdot m^2 \cdot h \cdot \frac{4F^2 E}{RT} \cdot \frac{[\text{Ca}]_o - [\text{Ca}]_i \cdot \exp(2FE/RT)}{1 - \exp(2FE/RT)}$$

For this and the other Ca$^{2+}$ currents, $E < 10^{-4}$ mV 1/1-exp(E) was approximated by the first terms of a Taylor expansion -1+E/2 because the term exp(2FE/RT) is present at the denominator of the above equation, and so the denominator would become 0 when $E=0$.

Activation dynamics:

$$m_\infty = \left( \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-(E+31.4\,\text{mV})/8.8\,\text{mV})} \right)^{0.5}$$

$$\tau_m = \left( \frac{1 \text{ms}}{1 + \exp(-(E - 7.63\,\text{mV})/28.47\,\text{mV}) + 0.01\,\text{ms}} \right).$$

$$\tau_m = \frac{62.82\,\text{ms}}{1 + \exp((E+37.02\,\text{ms})/5.27\,\text{ms}) + 3.78\,\text{ms}} \cdot \frac{1}{Q(T)}$$

Inactivation dynamics:

$$h_\infty = \frac{1}{1 + \exp((E + 72\,\text{mV})/3.7\,\text{mV})}$$

$$\tau_h = \frac{1 \text{ms} \cdot (1 + \exp((E + 65.77\,\text{mV})/4.32\,\text{mV}))}{0.0021 \cdot Q(T) \cdot (1 + \exp((E + 72\,\text{mV})/3.7\,\text{mV}))}$$

For the temperature dependence we assumed $T_0 = 23^\circ C$. $Q_{10}$ values for $i_{\text{CaT}}$ were derived from Coulter et al. (1989).
The R-type $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ current

The R-type $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ current ($i_{\text{CaR}}$) was modelled with current parameters taken from Sochivko et al. (2003) and Randall and Tsien (1997).

$$i_{\text{CaR}} = P_{\text{CaR}} \cdot m \cdot h \cdot \frac{4 F^2 E \cdot [\text{Ca}]_o - [\text{Ca}]_{i,1} \cdot \exp(2 FE / RT)}{1 - \exp(2 FE / RT)}$$

Activation dynamics:

$$m_{\infty} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-(E + 15 \text{ mV})/5.8 \text{ mV})}$$

$$f_1(E) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-(E + 15.2 \text{ mV})/4.29 \text{ mV})} + 0.0222$$

$$f_2(E) = \frac{15.244}{1 + \exp((E + 13.44 \text{ mV})/8.61 \text{ mV})} + 0.511$$

$$\tau_m = \frac{1 ns \cdot f_1(E) \cdot f_2(E)}{Q(T)}$$

Inactivation dynamics:

$$h_{\infty} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp((E + 78.7 \text{ mV})/14.5 \text{ mV})}$$

$$f_1(E) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-(E + 49.8 \text{ mV})/2.64 \text{ mV})}$$

$$f_2(E) = \frac{45.11}{1 + \exp(E/8.92 \text{ mV})}$$

$$\tau_h = \frac{f_1(E) \cdot f_2(E) \cdot 1 \text{ ms} + 22.7 \text{ ms}}{Q(T)}$$

For the temperature dependence we assumed $T_0 = 21^\circ C$. $Q_{10}$ values were derived from McAllister-Williams and Kelly (1995).

The L-type $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ current

The L-type $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ current ($i_{\text{CaL}}$) was modelled as follows:

Activation dynamics:
\[ i_{Ca_{c_t}} = Q(T) \cdot \bar{P}_{CaT} \cdot m^2 \cdot \frac{2 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{mM}}{2 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{mM} + [Ca^{2+}]_{i1}} \cdot \frac{4F^2E \cdot [Ca^{2+}]_o - [Ca^{2+}]_{i1} \cdot \exp(2FE/RT)}{RT} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \exp(2FE/RT)} \]

\[ m_{\alpha} = \left( \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-(E + 11mV)/5.7mV)} \right)^{0.5} \]

\[ \alpha_m = 0.1967 \text{ mV}^{-1} \cdot \frac{E-34.88 \text{ mV}}{1 - \exp((-(E-34.88 \text{ mV}))/10 \text{ mV})} \]

\[ \beta_m = 0.046 \cdot \exp(-(E-15 \text{ mV})/20.73 \text{ mV}) \]

\[ \tau_m = \frac{1}{Q(T)(\alpha_m + \beta_m)} \]

For the temperature dependence we assumed \( T_0 = 21^\circ C \). \( Q_{i0} \) values were derived from McAllister-Williams and Kelly (1995).

**The N- and P/Q-type Ca\(^{2+}\) current**

The high-threshold Ca\(^{2+}\) currents \( i_{Ca_{pq}} \) mediated by the N- and P/Q-type were summarized into a single current with the following properties:

\[ i_{Ca_{pq}} = \bar{P}_{Ca_{pq}} \cdot Q(T) \cdot m^2 \cdot \frac{4F^2E \cdot [Ca]_o - [Ca]_{i1} \cdot \exp(2FE/RT)}{RT} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \exp(2FE/RT)} \]

Activation dynamics:

\[ m_{\alpha} = \left( \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-(E + 11mV)/5.7mV)} \right)^{0.5} \]

\[ \alpha = \frac{0.1967 \text{ mV}^{-1} \cdot ((E-15 \text{ mV}) + 19.88 \text{ mV})}{1 - \exp(((E-15 \text{ mV}) + 19.88 \text{ mV})/10 \text{ mV})} \]

\[ \beta = 0.046 \text{ mV}^{-1} \cdot \exp(-(E-15 \text{ mV})/20.73 \text{ mV}) \]

\[ \tau_m = \frac{1}{Q(T) \cdot (\alpha + \beta)} \]
Temperature dependence: \( T_0 = 21^\circ C \) for \( q_{\text{ampl}} \) and \( T_0 = 22^\circ C \) for \( q_m \). \( Q_{10} \) values were derived from McAllister-Williams and Kelly (1995).

**Ca\textsuperscript{2+} dynamics**

As in Warman et al. (1994), the intracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} dynamics were modelled assuming two distinct intracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} pools with appropriate dynamics, given by

\[
\frac{[Ca^{2+}]_{i,n}}{d t} = \frac{[Ca^{2+}]_{i,n} - [Ca^{2+}]_{i,n}^{\infty}}{\tau_{Ca,n}} - f_n \frac{i_{Ca}}{2F}\delta_n.
\]

The particular pool is indexed by \( n \). Apart from the diffusion contribution, \([Ca^{2+}]_{i,n}^{\infty}\) is changed due to the total Ca\textsuperscript{2+} current density \( i_{Ca} \), which is the sum of \( i_{Ca_{\text{AMP}}} \), \( i_{Ca_{R}} \), \( i_{Ca_{L}} \), and \( i_{Ca_T} \). \([Ca^{2+}]_{i,n}\) denotes the intracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} concentration for large times and closed Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channels. \( \tau_{Ca,n} \) is the associated time constant of diffusion. \( f_n \) denotes the fraction of the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} current density which is active in pool \( n \) and \( i_{Ca}/\delta_n \) is the rate of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} removal per volume. We assume an inner shell thickness \( \delta_n \) which is filled with Ca\textsuperscript{2+}. The parameters of the two pools are as follows:

\[
[Ca]_{i,1} = [Ca]_{i,2} = 10^{-4} \text{ mM}
\]

\( \tau_{Ca,1} = 1\text{ ms, in the soma} \)

\( \tau_{Ca,1} = 1\text{ ms, in the dendrites} \)

\( \tau_{Ca,2} = 1000 \text{ ms} \)

\( f_1 = 1 \)

\( f_2 = 0.012 \)

\( \delta_1 = \delta_2 = 0.5 \mu m \)

Pool 1 is present in the soma and in the dendrites; Pool 2 is only present in the soma. The extracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} concentration was set to \([Ca]_o = 2 \cdot \text{mM} \).

**The hyperpolarization activated h-current**

This unspecific cationic current \( i_h \) is activated by hyperpolarization and modelled according to Gasparini et al. (2004).
\[ i_h = g_h \cdot I \cdot (E + 30 \text{ mV}) \]

Activation dynamics:

\[
I_a = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(0.1512 \text{ mV}^{-1} \cdot (E + 90 \text{ mV}))}
\]

\[
\tau_i = \frac{\exp(0.03326 \text{ mV}^{-1} \cdot (E + 75 \text{ mV}))}{Q(T) \cdot 0.011 \text{ ms}^{-1} \cdot (1 + \exp(0.08316 \text{ mV}^{-1} \cdot (E + 75 \text{ mV})))}
\]

For the temperature dependence, we assumed \( T_0 = 33^\circ \text{C} \). \( Q_0 \) values were derived from Gasparini et al. (2004).

**Induction of spiking**

Current injections were introduced into the soma at \( t = 100 \text{ ms} \) for 4 ms. Stimulus intensity was increased in steps of 0.01 nA. For analysis we chose the lowest stimulus amplitude to which the model neuron responded with a spike to the current injection.
Results

Subcellular distribution of Na\(^{+}\) channels in the CA1 region of the hippocampus

\(\text{Na}_V\)1.6 channels are strongly concentrated at AIS of different types of neurons in the CNS (Boiko et al., 2003; Hossain et al., 2005; Van Wart and Matthews, 2006; Van Wart et al., 2007). We examined whether \(\text{Na}_V\)1.6 is similarly expressed in CA1 pyramidal cells using double immunolabelling for Ankyrin G (a marker for AIS; see for instance Garrido et al., 2003), and for \(\text{Na}_V\)1.6 in hippocampal sections. In the CA1 region, \(\text{Na}_V\)1.6 subunits were clearly aggregated at the AIS (Fig. 1A, panel a, see insets for larger magnification of individual AIS, \textit{stratum pyramidale, oriens, and alveus} indicated by SP, SO and AL, respectively). Additionally, double immunolabelling with a PanNa\(_V\) antibody and the \(\text{Na}_V\)1.6 antibody revealed a concentration of both immunolabels at AIS (Fig. 1A, panel b). Mice lacking functional \(\text{Na}_V\)1.6 channels due to a truncation mutation in exon 2 of the Scn8a gene (\textit{Scn8a\textsuperscript{med}}) were devoid of \(\text{Na}_V\)1.6 immunoreactivity, but PanNa\(_V\) immunoreactive AIS were still present (Fig. 1B). These experiments also revealed that \(\text{Na}_V\)1.6 channel aggregation at AIS constitutes a general feature of cortical neurons, as it was also found in dentate granule and CA3 pyramidal cells, and in subicular and neocortical neurons (Fig. 1C).

In \textit{Scn8a\textsuperscript{med}} mice, AIS were present in undiminished numbers, and did not appear altered in immunolabellings for Ankyrin G (Fig. 2A, compare leftmost micrographs). Moreover, immunolabelling with the PanNa\(_V\) antibody produced a robust signal at AIS of \textit{Scn8a\textsuperscript{med}} mice (Fig. 2A, compare rightmost micrographs see also Fig. 1Ab, 1B). We therefore analyzed the density of Na\(^{+}\) channel proteins at \textit{Scn8a\textsuperscript{wt}} and \textit{Scn8a\textsuperscript{med}} mice AIS in a semi-quantitative manner. Double immunolabellings for Ankyrin G and PanNa\(_V\) allowed us to demarcate individual AIS in the Ankyrin G channel (Fig. 2A, leftmost panels, see insets for larger magnification) and to determine the intensity of the PanNa\(_V\) immunolabelling within this region of interest (see Methods, \textit{Scn8a\textsuperscript{wt}}: 8 slices from 5 mice, \textit{Scn8a\textsuperscript{med}}: 10 slices from 5 mice; 10 AIS were analyzed...
in each slice). Indeed, the ratios of PanNa_\text{V} to Ankyrin G immunolabelling intensities at Scn8a^{wt} and Scn8a^{med} mice AIS were the same (1.5 ±0.1 and 1.3 ±0.04, respectively, p > 0.05; Fig. 2B). The lack of a significant reduction in PanNa_\text{V} staining at AIS of Scn8a^{med} mice suggests that the absence of Na_\text{V}1.6 subunits allows other Na_\text{V} subunits to accumulate at the AIS. This is in good agreement with Van Wart et al. (2006) and supported by the finding that Na_\text{V}1.6 channels share with Na_\text{V}1.1 and Na_\text{V}1.2 channels Ankyrin G binding motifs that confer targeting to the axon (Garrido et al., 2003; Pan et al., 2006).

**Absence of Na_\text{V}1.6 positively shifts I_{NaT} activation**

It has been previously hypothesized that I_{NaT} at the AIS activates at more negative voltages than I_{NaT} at the soma, causing spikes to commence at or close to the AIS (Colbert and Pan, 2002). This peculiarity may be due to selective accumulation of Na_\text{V}1.6 channels at the AIS, since these channels were shown to activate at more negative voltages than other Na\text{+} channels when expressed in cultured dorsal root ganglion neurons (Rush et al., 2005). If this is the case, loss of Na_\text{V}1.6 channels in native CA1 neurons should lead to a depolarizing shift in I_{NaT} activation curve. To test this, we performed whole-cell recordings of I_{NaT} in dissociated CA1 pyramidal neurons of Scn8a^{wt} and Scn8a^{med} mice (n = 6 and n = 7, respectively). A representative family of I_{NaT} traces evoked by increasing voltage steps in Scn8a^{wt} (topmost traces) and Scn8a^{med} (lower traces) neurons are shown in Fig. 3A (voltage protocols shown in the inset). From this data we constructed the I_{NaT} activation curve for each of the tested neurons by fitting it with a Boltzmann function (see Methods). The peak conductance of I_{NaT} was not significantly different between the groups of neurons (Scn8a^{wt}: 69.2 nS ±10.5, n = 8; Scn8a^{med}: 59.8 nS ± 6.5 p>0.05, n = 11). The averaged normalized data for each group of neurons is provided in Fig. 3B. We found that the I_{NaT} activation curve was ~5 mV more positive in mutant neurons (V_{1/2} = -25.00 ±1.18 mV) than in wild-type neurons (V_{1/2} = -29.77 ± 1.00 mV, p = 0.008; Fig. 3B). The steepness of the activation
curve was not significantly different between the two groups (slope factor $k_m = 5.87 \pm 0.48 \text{ mV}$ for $\text{Scn8a}^{\text{wt}}$ and $k_m = 5.37 \pm 0.39 \text{ mV}$ for $\text{Scn8a}^{\text{med}}$ neurons; $p > 0.05$).

We also compared the two groups of neurons with respect to steady-state inactivation of $I_{\text{Na}T}$. Representative families of $I_{\text{Na}T}$ traces evoked by a depolarizing step to 0 mV preceded by 500 ms long prepulses to various potentials in neurons from a $\text{Scn8a}^{\text{wt}}$ (topmost) and $\text{Scn8a}^{\text{med}}$ (lower) mouse are shown in Fig. 3C (voltage protocols shown in the inset). From these data we constructed the $I_{\text{Na}T}$ steady-state inactivation curve for each of the tested neurons by fitting it with a Boltzmann function (see Methods). The averaged data for each group of neurons is provided in Fig. 3D. In contrast to the marked difference in $I_{\text{Na}T}$ activation, steady-state inactivation was similar in the two groups of neurons.

Absence of $\text{Na}_v1.6$ reduces the persistent $\text{Na}^+$ current $I_{\text{Na}P}$

Recombinant $\text{Na}_v1.6$ channels generate a conspicuous persistent $\text{Na}^+$ current ($I_{\text{Na}P}$) component (Rush et al., 2005), and published data suggest that these subunits underlie a significant proportion of $I_{\text{Na}P}$ in different neuronal cell types (Do and Bean, 2004; Maurice et al., 2001). Ramp commands (50 mV/s) applied to CA1 pyramidal neurons recorded in hippocampal slices (Fig. 4Aa) revealed a prominent inward current corresponding to $I_{\text{Na}P}$ that was blocked by application of 1 µM TTX (Fig. 4Ab). $I_{\text{Na}P}$ was isolated by subtracting recordings in the presence of TTX from control recordings (Fig. 4Ac and Ad for $\text{Scn8a}^{\text{wt}}$ and $\text{Scn8a}^{\text{med}}$ mice, respectively). The maximal $I_{\text{Na}P}$ conductance was $1.9 \pm 0.1 \text{ nS}$ in $\text{Scn8a}^{\text{wt}}$ neurons ($n = 11$) and $1.1 \pm 0.2 \text{ nS}$ in $\text{Scn8a}^{\text{med}}$ neurons ($n = 16$), corresponding to a reduction of $I_{\text{Na}P}$ in the latter group to 58.1% of wild-type levels ($p = 0.01$, Fig. 4B). At the same time, the voltage-dependence of $I_{\text{Na}P}$ was similar in the two groups (Fig. 4C; $\text{Scn8a}^{\text{wt}}$ neurons: $V_{1/2} = -38.6 \pm 2.4 \text{ mV}$ and $k_m = 4.1 \pm 0.3 \text{ mV}$, $n = 11$; $\text{Scn8a}^{\text{med}}$ neurons: $V_{1/2} = -39.8 \pm 1.3 \text{ mV}$ and $k_m = 3.4 \pm 0.3 \text{ mV}$, $n = 16$, $p > 0.05$).
**Absence of Na$_v$1.6 reduces resurgent Na$^+$ currents $I_{NaR}$**

Na$_v$1.6 subunits have been shown to contribute to resurgent Na$^+$ ($I_{NaR}$) in expression systems (Smith et al., 1998) and cerebellar neurons (Raman et al., 1997). We first tested whether $I_{NaR}$ is present in CA1 neurons of Scn8a$^{wt}$ mice. Following inactivation of Na$^+$ currents during a 15 ms prepulse to 20 mV, repolarization with a test pulse to various potentials from -100 mV to -10 mV gave rise to a resurgent current component within the voltage range of -50 to -10 mV (Fig. 5A, current trace at test pulse of -30 mV, in Scn8a$^{wt}$ mouse). The magnitude of the resurgent current $I_{NaR}$ was assessed by subtracting the steady-state current component at the end of the test pulse ($I_{ss}$) from the peak of the test pulse current (Fig. 5A). Representative current families from Scn8a$^{wt}$ and Scn8a$^{med}$ mice are shown in Fig. 5Ba and Bb, respectively. The magnitude of the resurgent current $I_{NaR}$ proved to be significantly smaller in Scn8a$^{med}$ (-20.77 ± 4.1 pA, n = 11) compared to Scn8a$^{wt}$ mice (-71.29 ± 17.04 pA, n = 10, p < 0.01, see Fig. 5C for cumulative probability plot of $I_{NaR}$ amplitudes at -30 mV test pulses, and Fig. 5D for mean values). The voltage-dependence of $I_{NaR}$ does not appear different when comparing both genotypes (Fig. 5E). These experiments indicate that Na$_v$1.6 subunits localized at the AIS generate resurgent currents in CA1 pyramidal cells.

**Lack of compensatory changes in $I_{CaT}$**

The loss of Na$_v$1.6 has been shown to lead to compensatory regulation of other subthreshold inward currents, notably T-type Ca$^{2+}$ currents ($I_{CaT}$) in Purkinje cells (Swensen and Bean, 2005). We isolated $I_{CaT}$ current pharmacologically in intact CA1 neurons in the slice preparation using a cocktail of Ca$^{2+}$ channel blockers and TTX (see Methods). T-type currents were discriminated on the basis of their slow deactivation kinetics in Ca$^{2+}$ tail current recordings (Fig. 6A) (Sochivko et al., 2002). T-type current mediated tail current amplitudes in CA1 were not different at all tested command
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voltages (Fig. 6B). For instance, average maximal current amplitudes were -388.51 ±55.9 pA in Scn8a\textsuperscript{med} (n = 8) and -373.30 ±106.7 pA in Scn8a\textsuperscript{wt} neurons (n = 7).

**Na\textsubscript{v}1.6 contributes to setting spike threshold in CA1 pyramidal cells**

The pronounced depolarizing shift in the voltage-dependence of the transient Na\textsuperscript{+} current $I_{NaT}$ predicts a depolarizing shift in spike threshold. To test this prediction we performed whole-cell current clamp recordings in CA1 pyramidal cells in the slice preparation. Spikes were evoked by injecting brief (4 ms) depolarizing current pulses from a membrane potential of -80 mV imposed with slow current clamp (see Methods, Fig. 7A and B). Spike thresholds were significantly more depolarized in Scn8a\textsuperscript{med} compared to Scn8a\textsuperscript{wt} neurons (-56.7 ± 1.0 mV, n = 14 compared to -60.4 ± 0.9 mV (n = 22) and neurons, respectively. This corresponds to a statistically significant 3.7 mV shift (Fig. 7C; $p = 0.011$). Changes of similar magnitude were also observed when spikes were elicited from other holding potentials within the range of -65 to -80 mV (Fig. 7D), and, for instance, amounted to 4.9 mV for spikes elicited from -70 mV. We also measured other parameters of single spikes. When spikes were elicited by brief current injections, spike amplitude and the maximal rate of depolarization during spike upstroke were the same in the two groups of neurons (118.5 ±0.4 mV and 419.6 ±5.1 mV/ms in Scn8a\textsuperscript{wt} and 117.7 ±0.7 mV and 405.9 ±5.8 mV/ms in Scn8a\textsuperscript{med} neurons, $p > 0.05$), as expected from the lack of difference in maximal Na\textsuperscript{+} conductance. We did find a statistically significant, albeit small, increase in the maximal rate of spike repolarization in Scn8a\textsuperscript{med} versus Scn8a\textsuperscript{wt} neurons (-86.2 ±1.9 mV/ms versus -78.2 ±2.0 mV/ms, respectively, for spikes evoked from a holding potential of -80 mV; $p = 0.007$). The active spike afterdepolarization (spike ADP, see Methods) was not different when comparing Scn8a\textsuperscript{wt} (168.3 ±6.8 mV-ms, n = 22) and Scn8a\textsuperscript{med} neurons (149.3 ±11.3 mV-ms, n = 14).
A difference in spike threshold was also found during repetitive neuronal firing elicited by prolonged (600 ms) depolarizing current pulses (Fig. 7E and F). In these analyses of repetitive firing, the average current injection steps were larger for \( \text{Scn}8\alpha^{\text{med}} \) compared to \( \text{Scn}8\alpha^{\text{wt}} \) mice to account for the reduced gain (cf. Fig. 8). We analyzed the threshold for the first, second, third, and following spikes separately (Fig. 7G). This analysis also revealed a significantly more depolarized spike threshold in \( \text{Scn}8\alpha^{\text{med}} \) mice. A potential confounding factor in this analysis is that the time of occurrence of spikes after onset of the current injection could be different between \( \text{Scn}8\alpha^{\text{wt}} \) and \( \text{Scn}8\alpha^{\text{med}} \) mice. However, except for the first spike in a train, which occurred significantly earlier after the onset of the current injection, the timepoints at which subsequent spikes occurred were not significantly different (Fig. 7H). The spike history was thus well comparable between genotypes using this form of analysis. An additional analysis in which spikes were binned according to the time of their occurrence after the onset of current injection (bin width 100 ms) also yielded comparable results: thresholds in \( \text{Scn}8\alpha^{\text{med}} \) neurons were significantly more positive than those of their \( \text{Scn}8\alpha^{\text{wt}} \) counterparts for all bins (data not shown). Collectively, these results support the notion that the high density of \( \text{Na}V_{1.6} \) channels at the AIS contributes to its low spike threshold.

\textit{Na}V_{1.6} \textit{contributes to spike gain}

Both the depolarizing shift in the spike threshold, as well as potentially the diminished resurgent \( \text{Na}^{+} \) current (Raman et al., 1997; Raman and Bean, 1997) would be expected to reduce the spike gain of CA1 neurons in \( \text{Scn}8\alpha^{\text{med}} \) mice. We therefore tested whether spike gain is affected by applying prolonged (600 ms) depolarizing current pulses of increasing magnitude (from 20 to 120 pA) and examining the number of spikes evoked by equivalent current injection steps in 7 \( \text{Scn}8\alpha^{\text{wt}} \) and 5 \( \text{Scn}8\alpha^{\text{med}} \) neurons (Fig. 8A and B, respectively). Indeed, the relation of current injection to the
corresponding spike frequency was significantly steeper in $\text{Scn8a}^{\text{wt}}$ compared to $\text{Scn8a}^{\text{med}}$ neurons ($p < 0.01$; Fig. 8C).

$\text{Na}_v 1.6$ contributes to axonal spike initiation

Spike initiation occurs within the axon in most types of cortical neurons (Colbert and Pan, 2002; Khaliq and Raman, 2006; Palmer and Stuart, 2006; Stuart et al., 1997; Stuart and Hausser, 1994; Stuart and Sakmann, 1994), and more precise attempts at localization have revealed an initiation site at the most distal portion of the AIS in layer 5 cortical pyramidal neurons (Palmer and Stuart, 2006) and CA3 pyramidal neurons (Meeks and Mennerick, 2007). In action potentials elicited by prolonged current injection, phase plots ($\text{dV/dt versus V}$) allowed to distinguish a first phase of spike upstroke due to spike propagation from the AIS into the soma (McCormick et al., 2007; Shu et al., 2007), and a second phase, caused by the somatic spike (Fig. 9A and B). This phenomenon was observed both for the 1\textsuperscript{st} spike, as well as for spikes occurring later during prolonged (600 ms) current injections (Fig. 9A: 1\textsuperscript{st} spike in train, Fig. 9B, 5\textsuperscript{th} spike in train, multiple spikes from individual cells are shown). The initiation of spikes in $\text{Scn8a}^{\text{wt}}$ neurons ($n = 7$; Fig. 9A, B, upper traces) appeared more abrupt than in $\text{Scn8a}^{\text{med}}$ mice ($n = 5$; Fig. 9A, B, lower traces). This abrupt initiation was previously described in neocortical neurons as ‘kink’ and is a consequence of the invasion of the soma by an axonal spike (McCormick et al., 2007; Shu et al., 2007). The ‘abruptness’ of the voltage change at the onset of a spike can be quantified as a maximum of the second derivation of the voltage trace. We calculated the second derivation of the voltage traces (see Coombs et al., 1957); voltage recordings in Fig. 10Aa and Ba, first and second derivation in panels Ab and Bb, second derivation depicted in grey, corresponding to the rate of change of dV/dt), in which a first (axonial) component and the second (somatic) component could be discriminated (Fig. 10Ab, Bb). When this analysis was performed, the amplitude of the first peak in the second derivation of the voltage traces was significantly smaller in $\text{Scn8a}^{\text{med}}$ neurons,
regardless of which spike in a train was evaluated (Fig. 10C, comparable results obtained when action potentials were binned into 100 ms bins according to the time of occurrence after onset of the current injection, data not shown), reflecting the less abrupt rise of the voltage trace at the initiation of spikes seen in the phase plots (see Fig. 9Ab and Bb).

As the two consecutive peaks in the second derivation of the voltage trace reflect axonal and somatic spike initiation, the delay between them (t_{del}) is a measure of the time from the initiation of the action potential at the AIS and its arrival at the soma. We examined how t_{del} varied during repetitive spiking evoked by prolonged (600 ms) depolarizing current pulses. For this analysis, we again analyzed the 1st, 2nd and subsequent spikes separately. We found that t_{del} was significantly larger in Scn8a^{med} compared to Scn8a^{wt} mice (p < 0.01, comparisons of individual datapoints with t-test indicated by asterisks in Fig. 10D). The lack of difference for the 1st spike in a train may be related to the different latency of occurrence after onset of the current injection for the first spike only (see Fig. 7H). Comparable results were obtained when spikes were binned into 100 ms bins according to the time of occurrence after onset of the current injection (significantly longer t_{del} for all except the initial bin, data not shown). This type of analysis was not performed for single spikes elicited with 4 ms current injections because the spike upstroke was strongly contaminated to different degrees in different cells by the artefact induced by the current injection step.

**Computer simulations of spike initiation at the AIS**

Our electrophysiological results described above strongly suggest that in CA1 pyramidal cells, the high density of NaV1.6 channels imposes a low spike threshold at the AIS, so that spikes are initiated in this region before they appear in the soma. Another factor which may influence spike threshold and spike trigger zone is the overall density of Na⁺ channels at the AIS compared to that at the soma. Studies using cell-
attached patch-clamp recordings to compare $I_{NaT}$ densities at AIS versus soma membranes have reported either equal densities (Colbert and Johnston, 1996; Colbert and Pan, 2002) or a much higher densities at the AIS (Kole et al., 2008). To explore the consequences of systematically altering $I_{NaT}$ density and/or its voltage-dependence upon spike threshold and trigger zone, we performed simulations in a realistic computer model of a CA1 neuron (see Fig. 11C for morphology; see Methods for detailed description of conductances). This approach also allowed us to directly compare voltage traces at axonal and somatic sites. The $i_{NaT}$ incorporated in this model is shown in Fig. 11A (see Methods for parameters). This current was incorporated in the axonal and somatic compartments. We then varied the voltage of half-maximal activation ($V_{1/2}$) systematically at the AIS, such that it was up to 7 mV more hyperpolarized than at the soma ($\Delta V_{1/2}$: shift of $V_{1/2}$ of activation relative to somatic $i_{NaT}$, activation curves are depicted for $\Delta V_{1/2}$ of 0, -4 and -7 mV shown in Fig. 11B). As a second parameter, we varied $i_{NaT}$ density at the AIS. Fig. 11D shows exemplary somatic spikes elicited by brief current injection at the soma of the model neuron ($i_{NaT}$ densities at the AIS and at the soma were equal; $\Delta V_{1/2}$ was 0 and -7 mV, as indicated, detailed description of spike properties for different $i_{NaT}$ densities and $\Delta V_{1/2}$ in Supplementary Fig. 2).

We then stimulated the model neuron with brief current injections recording the voltage responses in both the AIS (grey) and the soma (black) of the model neuron (Fig. 12A), while varying $\Delta V_{1/2}$ (0 to -7 mV) and $i_{NaT}$ density at the AIS (from 0.02 to 1 S/cm$^2$, corresponding to a 0.2 to 10 fold difference in $i_{NaT}$ density relative to the somatic $i_{NaT}$ density of 0.1 S/cm$^2$). The axo-somatic delay was then calculated as the delay between the time points at which the slope of rise in both compartments was maximal. A delay could also be derived from somatic voltage traces alone in our model, similar to the in vitro recordings. Derivations of simulated somatic voltage traces also
Na\textsubscript{v}1.6 and spike initiation revealed two distinct peaks under most conditions. The values of the axo-somatic delay obtained in this manner from the somatic recording alone showed a strong linear correlation to the values derived as a delay between AIS and somatic spikes (R\textsuperscript{2}=0.9388).

When \(i_{NaT}\) densities at both soma and AIS were equal, as suggested until recently (Colbert and Johnston, 1996; Colbert and Pan, 2002), spike initiation was strongly dependent on \(\Delta V_{1/2}\). A pronounced delay from axonal to somatic spike initiation was observed at values of \(\Delta V_{1/2}\) from -7 to -4 mV. When \(\Delta V_{1/2}\) was reduced further, the axo-somatic delay showed a steep reduction (examples for \(\Delta V_{1/2}\) of 0 and -7 mV in Fig. 12A, panel b, results for all values of \(\Delta V_{1/2}\) in Fig. 12B, panel a, grey data points). A higher \(i_{NaT}\) density at the AIS as suggested by Kole et al. (2008, up to 10 fold increase relative to the soma implemented in our model) always led to a spike initiation at the AIS, and a stereotypical axo-somatic delay of \(\sim 0.15\) ms, irrespective of \(\Delta V_{1/2}\) (Fig. 12A, panel c, and Fig. 9B, panel a, black symbols). Conversely, a reduced \(i_{NaT}\) density at the AIS (0.2 fold of somatic \(i_{NaT}\) density) caused the spike to arise almost simultaneously in both compartments for all values of \(\Delta V_{1/2}\) (Fig. 12A, panel a, and Fig. 12B, panel a, open symbols). Thus, a \(\Delta V_{1/2}\) of > -4 mV strongly promotes spike initiation at the AIS, even when the \(i_{NaT}\) densities at the AIS and soma were uniform. This phenomenon was also clear when we plotted the axo-somatic delay versus the relative \(i_{NaT}\) density at the AIS (Fig. 12C, panel a). This analysis revealed that for \(\Delta V_{1/2}\) of 0 mV, the axo-somatic delay increased gradually with an increasing density of axonal \(i_{NaT}\). When \(\Delta V_{1/2}\) was increased, this relation began to show a steeper increase. As a consequence, a \(\Delta V_{1/2}\) of -4 to -7 mV strongly affected spike initiation site over a wide range of AIS Na\textsuperscript{+} channel density ratios (from \(\sim 0.5\) fold to 3 fold somatic density, Fig. 12C, panel a).
The voltage-dependence of activation of \(i_{NaT}\) at the AIS also influenced spike threshold, as observed experimentally. When \(i_{NaT}\) densities at the AIS and soma were equal, the firing threshold was dependent on \(\Delta V_{1/2}\), such that an increase in \(\Delta V_{1/2}\) led to a more hyperpolarized spike threshold (examples for \(\Delta V_{1/2}\) of 0 and -7 mV in Fig. 12A, panel b, results for all values of \(\Delta V_{1/2}\) in Fig. 12B, panel b, grey data points). At a very high \(i_{NaT}\) density at the AIS, spike threshold was always hyperpolarized, irrespective of \(\Delta V_{1/2}\) (Fig. 12A, panel c, and Fig. 12B, panel b, black symbols). Conversely, very low \(i_{NaT}\) density at the AIS led to a depolarized spike threshold without dependence on \(\Delta V_{1/2}\) (Fig. 12A, panel a, and Fig. 12B, panel b, open symbols).

In Scn8a\(^{med}\) mice, we observed a significant reduction of \(I_{NaP}\) and \(I_{NaR}\) current. Of these two current components, \(I_{NaP}\) might conceivably contribute to action potential initiation. We have therefore repeated the modelling experiment with \(i_{NaP}\) reduced to 60% in all compartments in which it was present (soma: reduction to 0.6 mS/cm\(^2\), AIS: 0.3 mS/cm\(^2\), Fig. 13). In additional experiments, we reduced \(i_{NaP}\) only at the AIS (supplementary Fig. 1). Under both conditions, the impact of varying \(i_{NaT}\) was similar to those depicted in Fig. 12. In both cases, changing the voltage-dependence of activation of \(i_{NaT}\) at the AIS still influenced the axo-somatic delay (Fig. 13Aa, Supplementary Fig. 1Aa) and spike threshold (Fig. 13Ab, Supplementary Fig. 1Ab). Varying the density of \(i_{NaT}\) at the AIS also caused changes in axo-somatic delay and spike threshold that were well comparable to the data obtained without reduction in \(i_{NaP}\) (Fig. 13B, supplementary Fig. 1B, cf. Fig. 12C).
Discussion

The main conclusion from our electrophysiological and immunohistochemical experiments is that Na$_V$1.6 channels are aggregated at the AIS of hippocampal pyramidal neurons, where they are responsible for the hyperpolarized voltage-dependence of activation of $I_{NaT}$. Furthermore, Na$_V$1.6 subunits also contribute to persistent and resurgent Na$^+$ currents in CA1 pyramidal neurons. Through their unique biophysical properties and concentration at the axon initial segment, Na$_V$1.6 subunits contribute to localization of the spike trigger zone to the AIS.

Regarding spike initiation, two major changes were observed in Scn8a$^{med}$ mice. Firstly, we observed a significant depolarizing shift in spike threshold in mice lacking Na$_V$1.6 channels. In addition, deletion of Na$_V$1.6 channels from the AIS significantly reduced the temporal separation between axonal and somatic components of spike initiation in repetitive firing. Previous studies have shown that spike initiation occurs within the distal portion of the AIS in cortical neurons (Meeks and Mennerick, 2007; Palmer and Stuart, 2006) or the first node of Ranvier in Purkinje neurons (Clark et al., 2005). Interplay between several factors likely endows these subcellular compartments with a particularly low spike threshold. Firstly, the passive electrical properties of axon versus soma may play an important role. Modelling and physiological studies suggest that charging of the AIS capacitance by inward current is rapid, with the much larger somatic capacitance being charged with a significant delay (McCormick et al., 2007; Meeks and Mennerick, 2007; Shu et al., 2007). Secondly, a high density of AIS Na$^+$ channels was suggested to subserve AIS spike initiation in modelling and electrophysiological studies. Several studies have shown a high density of Na$^+$ channel proteins at the AIS (Boiko et al., 2001, 2003; Catterall, 1981; Hossain et al., 2005; Pan et al., 2006; Van Wart and Matthews, 2006), but how far this correlates with AIS Na$^+$ current density is a matter of current debate (Colbert and Pan, 2002; Palmer and Stuart, 2006; Kole et al., 2008). Finally, the more negative activation voltages of AIS Na$^+$ channels are thought to lower spike threshold (Colbert and Pan, 2002). Clearly,
these factors are not mutually exclusive; rather, it is likely that these three factors in combination localize the spike trigger zone to the AIS. The most likely interpretation of the reduced axo-somatic delay in our view is that the site of spike initiation is located closer to the soma. This is also suggested by the modelling data, where removing the voltage shift of $I_{NaT}$ caused a simultaneous spike initiation in soma and AIS (cf. Fig. 12Ab, equal density of $I_{NaT}$ at AIS and soma).

In mice lacking the AIS Na$^+$ channel subunit Nav1.6, we found a pronounced depolarizing shift in the half-maximal activation of $I_{NaT}$ in CA1 neurons. This finding is consistent with studies that have examined the properties of Na$_v$1.2 or Na$_v$1.6 channels by overexpressing them in mammalian cells. These experiments have indicated that the activation curve of Na$_v$1.6 channels is shifted in a hyperpolarized direction compared to Na$_v$1.2 (Rush et al., 2005). It should be noted that such a shift was not observed when Na$_v$ subunits were expressed in oocytes, for unknown reasons (Smith et al., 1998). A shift in the voltage-dependence of activation was also not observed in globus pallidus neurons (Mercer et al., 2007), cerebellar neurons (Raman et al., 1997) or mesencephalic trigeminal neurons (Enomoto et al., 2007) from Scn8a$^{med}$ mice. Regarding the voltage-dependence of inactivation, a more hyperpolarized voltage-dependence of $I_{NaT}$ was observed for Na$_v$1.6 channels compared to Na$_v$1.2 channels (Rush et al. 2005), but no changes in this biophysical parameter were observed in different cell types in mice lacking functional Na$_v$1.6 channels (Enomoto et al., 2007; Mercer et al., 2007, and this study, but see Raman et al., 1997). The reasons for these disparate findings are currently unknown but may indicate both cell-specific regulation of Na$_v$1.6 channels, as well as potential compensatory changes following loss of Na$_v$1.6 channels. Regardless of these discrepancies, our results indicate that in CA1 neurons, Na$_v$1.6 subunits contribute a Na$^+$ channel component that activates at more hyperpolarized voltages than the remainder of the cellular Na$^+$ currents. Our and published immunolabelling experiments (Boiko et al., 2003; Garrido et al., 2003; Van Wart and Matthews, 2006; Van Wart et al.,
2007) indicate that these channels are located at the AIS of different types of principal neurons, suggesting that they may underlie biophysical specialization of AIS Na⁺ channels (Colbert and Pan, 2002). It should be noted, however, that our recordings of the biophysical properties of \( I_{\text{Na}^+} \) in \( \text{Scn8a}^{\text{med}} \) and \( \text{Scn8a}^{\text{wt}} \) mice were performed in dissociated CA1 neurons, which may contain variable portions of axonal membrane. We cannot therefore exclude that \( \text{Na}_v1.6 \) channels at the AIS might have properties distinct from somatic \( \text{Na}_v1.6 \) channels, perhaps via specific interactions with AIS proteins (Shirahata et al., 2006). Nevertheless, the most parsimonious explanation for our results is that \( \text{Na}_v1.6 \) channels with a hyperpolarized threshold of activation aggregate at the AIS.

We did not quantitatively assess if the density of Na⁺ channels at the AIS is altered in CA1 neurons, and therefore cannot exclude a reduction in the overall density of AIS Na⁺ channels in \( \text{SCN8a}^{\text{med}} \) neurons. However, our immunohistochemical data suggest that there is no dramatic loss of AIS Na⁺ channels in these neurons. Relative to Ankyrin G as an AIS marker, we did not observe a reduction in PanNa\(_v\) immunolabelling in \( \text{Scn8a}^{\text{med}} \) neurons. This is similar to the results reported by van Wart et al. (2006), indicating a compensation of the loss of Na\(_v1.6\) subunits at the AIS by other subunits, in particular Na\(_v1.2\). A mild reduction in Na⁺ channel density might not be detected using immunolabelling, but would be unlikely to exclusively account for the observed changes in spike initiation.

Our modelling data allowed us to further address the interplay of the density and the voltage-dependence of AIS Na⁺ channels in spike initiation. We show that a hyperpolarized voltage-dependence of AIS Na⁺ currents influences spike initiation over a wide range of AIS Na⁺ channel densities (from ~0.5 - 3 fold of somatic density). If the density of Na⁺ channels at the AIS becomes even higher, the initiation site is less affected by the biophysical properties of these channels. The threshold for generation of a spike was differently affected by altering AIS Na⁺ channels. In this case, even at very high AIS Na⁺ channel densities (up to 10x somatic density), a shift in voltage-
dependent Na\(^+\) channel activation still influenced spike threshold (see Fig. 11C, panel b). At the same time, increasing the density of AIS channels always led to a more hyperpolarized somatic spike threshold. Thus, the effects of varying the voltage-dependence of AIS Na\(^+\) channels on spike threshold and spike trigger zone were robust over a large range of AIS Na\(^+\) current densities. These data indicate that the biophysical properties of AIS \(I_{NaT}\) are an important determinant of spike threshold, and are consistent with the view that the voltage-dependence of AIS \(NaV_1.6\) is an important factor in spike initiation of CA1 pyramidal neurons. In addition to the changes in \(I_{NaT}\), we also found a reduction of \(I_{NaP}\) in \(Scn8a^{med}\) mice. It is conceivable that \(NaV_1.6\) mediated \(I_{NaP}\) could, by virtue of its hyperpolarized threshold of activation, contribute to spike initiation. However, modelling experiments showed that the influence of this current component on spike threshold and axo-somatic delay is likely to be much smaller than the influence of \(I_{NaT}\).

The changes in \(I_{NaP}\) (by 41%) and \(I_{NaR}\) (by 69.2%) we observed in \(Scn8a^{med}\) mice are similar to the results reported for mesencephalic trigeminal neurons in \(NaV_1.6\) null mice (39% reduction in \(I_{NaP}\), 76% reduction in \(I_{NaR}\), Enomoto et al., 2007), DRG neuron cultures (complete ablation of \(I_{NaR}\), Cummins et al., 2005), subthalamic nucleus neurons (63% reduction in \(I_{NaR}\), 55% reduction in \(I_{NaP}\), Do and Bean, 2004), or cerebellar neurons (Raman and Bean, 1997). Globus pallidus neurons in mice lacking \(NaV_1.6\), surprisingly, show no reduction in \(I_{NaP}\), but \(I_{NaR}\) is reduced (Mercer et al., 2007). Taken together, these results suggest that a significant portion of \(I_{NaP}\) and \(I_{NaR}\) is mediated by axonal \(NaV_1.6\) channels. In addition to these neuron types in the cerebellum, diencephalon and brainstem, the presence of \(I_{NaR}\) was also reported in cortical pyramidal neurons of the perirhinal and entorhinal cortex, as well as in dentate granule cells and CA1 pyramidal neurons of ventral hippocampus (Castelli et al., 2007a and 2007b). Both \(I_{NaR}\) and \(I_{NaP}\) mediated by \(NaV_1.6\) have been shown to affect repetitive firing and spike output gain (Levin et al., 2006; Mercer et al., 2007; Raman et al., 1997). In addition, the changes in spike threshold would also be expected to have a
similar effect. Indeed, we also found a large reduction in spike output gain in *Scn8a<sup>med</sup>* compared to *Scn8a<sup>wt</sup>* mice. It is likely that the changes in $I_{NaP}$, $I_{NaR}$, and $I_{NaT}$ conspire in CA1 neurons to produce changes in output gain. These results are also interesting because they imply that a substantial portion of $I_{NaP}$ and $I_{NaR}$ may be generated at the AIS of different types of central neurons, as shown with physiological techniques (Astman et al., 2006; Castelli et al., 2007a).

$I_{NaP}$ has also been shown to contribute strongly to spike afterdepolarizations in CA1 pyramidal neurons from adult animals (Yue et al., 2005). In young animals comparable to the age range employed in this study, not only $I_{NaP}$, but also dendritic voltage-gated Ca$^{2+}$ currents strongly amplify spike afterdepolarizations and cause the generation of spike bursts (Chen et al., 2005). In this age range, blocking either voltage-gated Ca$^{2+}$ currents at the dendrites, or $I_{NaP}$ in the perisomatic region pharmacologically reduces spike afterdepolarizations and associated burst discharges. Surprisingly, spike afterdepolarizations were not reduced in *Scn8a<sup>med</sup>* mice, despite a reduction of $I_{NaP}$ by 41.9%. One explanation for this unexpected finding might be that a partial reduction of $I_{NaP}$ in young animals is not sufficient to affect the magnitude of the spike afterdepolarization, given the important contribution of voltage-gated Ca$^{2+}$ currents at this age (Chen et al., 2005). An alternative explanation would be compensatory regulation of other voltage-gated ion channels occurring as a consequence of the constitutive lack of function of Na$\text{v}$.1.6. Indeed, functional deletion of Na$\text{v}$.1.6 in *Scn8a<sup>med</sup>* mice causes compensatory up-regulation of T-type Ca$^{2+}$ channels in Purkinje neurons (Swensen and Bean, 2005). In contrast, changes in K$^+$ channels were subtle, with only small changes in the voltage-dependence of K$^+$ currents highly sensitive to TEA in *Scn8a<sup>med</sup>* mice (Khaliq et al., 2003). We did not find a compensatory up-regulation of T-type Ca$^{2+}$ channels, indicating that different compensatory changes may be invoked in different neuron types.

Taken together, our results indicate that the presence of Na$\text{v}$.1.6 endows AIS Na$^+$ channels with a hyperpolarized voltage-dependence of activation that is important
for the low threshold for spike initiation at the AIS. Furthermore, axonal Na\textsubscript{v}1.6 channels contribute to $I_{NaP}$ and $I_{NaR}$. The contribution of Na\textsubscript{v}1.6 to these three current components plays a significant role in regulating neuronal repetitive discharge behaviour. Our findings may be pertinent to many other types of brain neurons, since Na\textsubscript{v}1.6 subunit aggregation at the AIS has been demonstrated in neocortical, subicular and hippocampal pyramidal neurons (Van Wart and Matthews, 2006 and this study), as well as in cochlear (Hossain et al., 2005), retinal ganglion (Boiko et al., 2003) and Purkinje cells (Van Wart and Matthews, 2006). The role of Na\textsubscript{v}1.6 in controlling neuronal firing behaviour is consistent with the elevated seizure thresholds observed in heterozygous Scn8a\textsuperscript{med/wt} mice (Martin et al., 2007). This study also suggests that reduced function of Scn8a limits hyperexcitability in a mouse model of severe myoclonic epilepsy of infancy, suggesting a role for this gene as a disease modifier in epilepsy. This study further underscores the important role of Na\textsubscript{v}1.6 channels in controlling neuronal excitability on a systems level.
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Figure 1. Axon initial segment localization of Na⁺ channels in central neurons of Scn8a\textsuperscript{med} and Scn8a\textsuperscript{wt} mice. A, panel a, In Scn8a\textsuperscript{wt} animals a monoclonal Ankyrin G antibody, a marker for AIS (green, leftmost panel), colocalizes with a polyclonal antibody directed against Na\textsubscript{V}1.6 (red, rightmost panel, merged in the middle panel). The insets (bottom left) in this and the panels B and C correspond to higher magnifications of individual AIS. Stratum pyramidale (SP), stratum oriens (SO), alveus (AL). panel b, Na\textsubscript{V}1.6 also colocalizes with the immunolabelling obtained with a monoclonal PanNa\textsubscript{V} antibody. B, Staining pattern for Na\textsubscript{V}1.6 and PanNa\textsubscript{V} in Scn8a\textsuperscript{med} mice. No detectable staining is observed for Na\textsubscript{V}1.6, while the PanNa\textsubscript{V} antibody yields a pronounced staining of AIS. C, AIS staining with Na\textsubscript{V}1.6 antibodies (red) and Ankyrin G (green) in different types of neurons as indicated: dentate gyrus (DG), subiculum (SUB), cortex (CO). Scale bars correspond to 50 µm in main panels and 5 µm in the insets.
Figure 2. Semi-quantitative analysis of fluorescence intensity of PanNa<sub>V</sub> relative to Ankyrin G in slices obtained from Scn8a<sup>med</sup> and Scn8a<sup>wt</sup> mice. **A**, Representative examples of double immunofluorescence labellings with the monoclonal Ankyrin G antibody (green) and a polyclonal PanNa<sub>V</sub> antibody (red) used for quantification of PanNa<sub>V</sub> staining at AIS, in Scn8a<sup>wt</sup> mice (upper panels) and Scn8a<sup>med</sup> mice (lower panels). **B**, Average AIS fluorescence intensity of PanNa<sub>V</sub> relative to Ankyrin G in Scn8a<sup>wt</sup> mice (black bar, 10 AIS analyzed in each of 8 slices obtained from 5 animals) and Scn8a<sup>med</sup> mice (white bar, 10 AIS analyzed in each of 10 slices obtained from 5 animals, p>0.05), for a detailed description of the semi-quantitative analysis of PanNa<sub>V</sub> staining at AIS see methods). Scale bars correspond to 50 µm in main panels and 5 µm in the insets.
**Figure 3.** The voltage-dependence of activation of $I_{NaT}$ in CA1 pyramidal neurons is shifted in a depolarizing direction in the absence of functional Na$_v$1.6 subunits. **A,** Representative examples of $I_{NaT}$ elicited in dissociated CA1 neurons from a Scn8a$^{wt}$ (top) and a Scn8a$^{med}$ mouse (lower). The voltage paradigm is shown in the inset. **B,** Voltage dependent activation of $I_{NaT}$ for Scn8a$^{med}$ mice (open symbols, n = 7) and Scn8a$^{wt}$ littermates (closed symbols, n = 6). Data from individual cells were fitted with a Boltzmann function (see methods). Boltzmann functions constructed from the average values of $V_{1/2}$ and $k_m$ are superimposed on the depicted data points. The voltage of half-maximal activation $V_{1/2}$ of $I_{NaT}$ was significantly shifted to a more depolarized voltage in Scn8a$^{med}$ mice (see inset, p = 0.008). **C,** Voltage-dependence of inactivation of $I_{NaT}$. Representative examples of $I_{NaT}$ elicited in dissociated CA1 neurons from a Scn8a$^{wt}$ (top) and a Scn8a$^{med}$ mouse (lower). The voltage paradigm is shown in the inset. **D,** The voltage-dependence of inactivation was unchanged in Scn8a$^{med}$ mice ($V_{1/2}$ in Scn8a$^{wt}$ and Scn8a$^{med}$ mice indicated in the inset, p > 0.05). Boltzmann functions superimposed on the data points as for panel B.
Figure 4. Analysis of the persistent Na\textsuperscript{+} current ($I_{NaP}$) in $Scn8a^{wt}$ and $Scn8a^{med}$ CA1 pyramidal neurons. **A**, In recording solutions designed to reduce other types of inward and outward currents (see Methods), voltage ramps (50 mV/s, panel a) were applied to elicit $I_{NaP}$. Panel b depicts current traces elicited under control conditions in an intact CA1 neuron in the slice preparation in a $Scn8a^{wt}$ mouse in the absence (black trace) and presence of 0.5 µM TTX (grey trace). The TTX-sensitive $I_{NaP}$ was isolated by subtraction (subtracted trace in panel c). A representative TTX subtracted $I_{NaP}$ current trace recorded in a $Scn8a^{med}$ neuron is depicted in (panel d). **B**, Averaged peak conductances (see Methods) obtained from neurons of $Scn8a^{wt}$ and $Scn8a^{med}$ mice ($n = 11$ and $n = 16$, respectively). $I_{NaP}$ amplitude was reduced to 58.1% of wild-type littermate $I_{NaP}$ amplitude in mutant mice ($p = 0.01$). **C**, The voltage-dependence of activation of $I_{NaP}$ was derived from current traces as depicted in panels Ac and Ad (see Methods), and averaged for $Scn8a^{med}$ (grey, $n = 16$) and $Scn8a^{wt}$ neurons (light grey, $n = 11$). Superimposed fit curves were constructed from the averaged fit parameters derived for $Scn8a^{med}$ (dark grey) and $Scn8a^{wt}$ mice (black). Neither the voltage of half-maximal activation $V_{1/2}$ nor the slope factor $k_m$ of $I_{NaP}$ is significantly affected by the absence of functional Na\textsubscript{v}1.6 channels ($p > 0.05$).
Figure 5. Analysis of the resurgent Na\(^+\) current (\(I_{\text{NaR}}\)) in acutely dissociated Scn8a\(^{\text{wt}}\) and Scn8a\(^{\text{med}}\) CA1 pyramidal neurons. A, In recording solutions designed to reduce other types of inward and outward currents \(I_{\text{NaR}}\) amplitude was assessed by subtracting the steady state current (\(I_{\text{ss}}\)) at the end of the 100 ms test pulse (voltage protocol see inset) from the peak current. B, Family of current traces elicited by test pulses ranging from -100 to -10 mV in a Scn8a\(^{\text{wt}}\) (panel Ba, n = 10) and Scn8a\(^{\text{med}}\) (panel Bb, n = 11). C, Cumulative probability plot of \(I_{\text{NaR}}\) amplitude elicited with a test pulses to -30 mV in Scn8a\(^{\text{wt}}\) (closed symbols) and Scn8a\(^{\text{med}}\) (open symbols). D, Averaged peak amplitudes of \(I_{\text{NaR}}\) obtained from neurons of Scn8a\(^{\text{wt}}\) and Scn8a\(^{\text{med}}\) mice. \(I_{\text{NaR}}\) amplitude was reduced to 29.1\% of wild-type littermate \(I_{\text{NaR}}\) amplitude in mutant mice (p < 0.01). E, Averaged voltage-dependence of normalized \(I_{\text{NaR}}\) recorded in Scn8a\(^{\text{wt}}\) (closed symbols) and Scn8a\(^{\text{med}}\) (open symbols) neurons appears unchanged (p > 0.05).
Figure 6. T-type Ca\textsuperscript{2+} (I_{\text{CaT}}) current amplitude is unaltered in CA1 pyramidal cells of Scn8a\textsuperscript{med} mice. A, Ca\textsuperscript{2+} currents were elicited under recording conditions designed to block Na\textsuperscript{+} and K\textsuperscript{+} currents, and to maximize the contribution of I_{\text{CaT}} to the inward currents (see Methods for exact description). Under these conditions, compound Ca\textsuperscript{2+} tail currents consisting mainly of R-type and T-type currents were elicited following brief depolarizations, with the slow component reflecting I_{\text{CaT}} (20 ms, voltage protocol see inset). B, The amplitude of I_{\text{CaT}} was not different in Scn8a\textsuperscript{med} (n = 8) compared to Scn8a\textsuperscript{wt} (n = 7) mice at any of the command voltages (p > 0.05).
Figure 7. Spike threshold of intact CA1 pyramidal neurons recorded in the slice preparation is increased in Scn8a<sup>med</sup> mice. A and B, Example traces of spikes elicited by brief (4 ms), just suprathreshold current injection recorded in a Scn8a<sup>wt</sup> (panel A) or Scn8a<sup>med</sup> mouse (panel B). Lower traces depict the corresponding current injection. Slow current clamp was used to set the membrane potential to -80 mV. C, Overlay of the two representative traces shown in panels A and B at higher magnification to illustrate the difference in spike threshold more clearly (grey trace: Scn8a<sup>med</sup>). D, Average values obtained for the spike threshold with short current injections (cf. panels A and B) in Scn8a<sup>med</sup> (open symbols, n = 14) and Scn8a<sup>wt</sup> mice (closed symbols, n = 22). The difference in threshold was significant at all membrane potentials given (asterisks, p < 0.05). E and F, Example traces of spikes elicited with prolonged current injection (600 ms). G, Average values for spike thresholds obtained during prolonged current injections (c.f. panels E and F). The first nine spikes were analyzed binned according to their spike history. As for brief current injections, the spike thresholds were significantly different between genotypes within all bins (p < 0.05). H, Average values for the time of spike occurrence following the current injection according spike history. Only the first spikes elicited occurred significantly later in Scn8a<sup>med</sup> neurons (first spike p < 0.05, subsequent spikes p > 0.05).
Figure 8. Gain of CA1 neurons is decreased in the absence of Na$_\text{v}$.1.6 channels. 
**A** and **B**, Example traces of spikes elicited by current injections (600 ms) of increasing magnitude from a holding potential of -80 mV. Some traces are truncated at -45mV (dashed line). Spike frequencies increase in both Scn8a$^{wt}$ and Scn8a$^{med}$ mice, but the increase is considerably less pronounced in Scn8a$^{med}$ mutant mice. **C**, Quantification of the significant difference between genotypes in gain of CA1 neurons by plotting the number of spikes during the 600 ms current injection versus the magnitude of the current injection (Scn8a$^{wt}$, n = 7 and Scn8a$^{med}$, n = 5, p<0.01).
Figure 9. Spike initiation in $Scn8a^{wt}$ and $Scn8a^{med}$ mice. Phase plots of $dV/dt$ versus $V$ for all the first (Aa) and fifth (Ba) spikes generated in a $Scn8a^{wt}$ (top panel) and a $Scn8a^{med}$ neuron (lower panel). Note the nonmonotonous ascending phase of the spikes, suggestive of two underlying components. Ab and Bb, Sections of the corresponding phase plots representing spike initiation shown with higher resolution. Note the abrupt rise of $dV/dt$ in $Scn8a^{wt}$ (‘kink’, indicated by arrowheads, Ab and Bb top panels) that is much less pronounced in $Scn8a^{med}$ mice (Ab and Bb lower panel).
**Figure 10.** Altered delay between axonal and somatic components and steepness of spike initiation. **Aa and Ba,** representative traces of a fifth spike from a $\text{Scn8a}^{\text{wt}}$ (A) and $\text{Scn8a}^{\text{med}}$ mouse (B). **Ab and Bb,** First and second derivation of the voltage traces shown in panels **Aa** and **Ba.** The second derivation is depicted in grey. Note the occurrence of two consecutive peaks in both cases, with a smaller temporal delay in the CA1 neuron from a $\text{Scn8a}^{\text{med}}$ mouse. **C,** The amplitude of the first (axonal) peak in the second derivation of the voltage trace is significantly decreased in $\text{Scn8a}^{\text{med}}$ mice, as expected from the less abrupt rise of the initial phase of the spike in $\text{Scn8a}^{\text{med}}$ mutant compared to $\text{Scn8a}^{\text{wt}}$ neurons (cf. Fig. 9 panel **Ab** and **Bb,** $p < 0.05$). **D,** Quantitative determination of the delay between axonal and somatic component in $\text{Scn8a}^{\text{wt}}$ and $\text{Scn8a}^{\text{med}}$ mice ($t_{\text{del}}$). $t_{\text{del}}$ was determined as the latency between the 1st and 2nd peak of the second derivation of the voltage traces. Spikes were binned depending on their spike history. The latency $t_{\text{del}}$ was significantly shorter for the second ($p = 0.02$), third ($p = 0.04$), fifth ($p = 0.01$) and sixth ($p = 0.02$) spike analyzed in the spike train.
Figure 11. Voltage-dependence of activation of $i_{NaT}$ and properties of the CA1 neuron model. A, Characterization of $i_{NaT}$ incorporated in the model. Na$^+$ currents were simulated in an isopotential compartment and elicited with the voltage paradigm shown in the inset for $\Delta V_{1/2}$ of 0 mV. B, Voltage-dependence of activation of $i_{NaT}$ for different values of $\Delta V_{1/2}$. Activation curves were constructed as described in the methods section. C, Morphology of the modelled CA1 pyramidal neuron (see methods for detailed description) D, Two simulated spikes with shifted voltage-dependence of activation of axonal $i_{NaT}$ elicited by brief (4 ms) somatic current injections. In the leftmost trace, $\Delta V_{1/2}$ was -7 mV. In the rightmost trace, $\Delta V_{1/2}$ was 0 mV, thus, the voltage-dependence of somatic and AIS currents was identical.
**Figure 12.** Influence of transient AIS Na\(^+\) current density and voltage-dependence on spike initiation. **A,** The rising phase of axonal (grey) and somatic (black) spikes are depicted at high resolution either with \(\Delta V_{1/2} \) of 0 mV (upper traces) or -7 mV (lower traces), for AIS Na\(^+\) current densities of 0.2, 1 and 10 fold somatic density (panels a-c, respectively). **B,** Plot of the delay between time of maximal rise in the AIS and somatic spike over \(\Delta V_{1/2} \) for different AIS Na\(^+\) current densities (panel a). An equivalent graph is shown for the spike threshold (panel b). **C,** Illustration of the dependence of the axo-somatic delay on the density of axonal Na\(^+\) current for different values of \(\Delta V_{1/2} \) (see legend, panel a). An equivalent diagram is shown for the spike threshold (panel b).
Figure 13: Influence of transient AIS Na\(^+\) current density and voltage-dependence on spike initiation with a 60% reduction of persistent Na\(^+\) current. **A** Plot of the delay between time of maximal rise in the AIS and somatic spike over $\Delta V_{1/2}$ for different AIS Na\(^+\) current densities (panel a). An equivalent graph is shown for the spike threshold (panel b). **B** Illustration of the dependence of the axo-somatic delay on the density of axonal Na\(^+\) current for different values of $\Delta V_{1/2}$ (see legend, panel a). An equivalent diagram is shown for the spike threshold (panel b).
**Supplementary Figure 1:** Influence of transient AIS Na⁺ current density and voltage-dependence on spike initiation with a 60% reduction of persistent Na⁺ current only at the AIS. A Plot of the delay between time of maximal rise in the AIS and somatic spike over $\Delta V_{1/2}$ for different AIS Na⁺ current densities (panel a). An equivalent graph is shown for the spike threshold (panel b). B Illustration of the dependence of the axo-somatic delay on the density of axonal Na⁺ current for different values of $\Delta V_{1/2}$ (see legend, panel a). An equivalent diagram is shown for the spike threshold (panel b).

**Supplementary Figure 2:** Description of modelled spike parameters. A Spike amplitude measured from resting membrane potential to the peak of the spike. B, C Peak dV/dt of the rising (B) and falling phases (C) of the spike. D Width of spikes measured at the half-maximal amplitude (half-width). All parameters are depicted for different relations of somatic vs. AIS $I_{NaT}$ density (light gray, gray and black, see legend). $\Delta V_{1/2}$ values on the x-axis correspond to the shift in the voltage-dependence of activation of $I_{NaT}$ at the AIS relative to the soma.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>i [A/m²]</td>
<td>current density</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E [mV]</td>
<td>membrane potential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g [mS/cm²]</td>
<td>conductance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T [K] or [°C]</td>
<td>temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c [F/cm²]</td>
<td>capacitance per area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x [cm]</td>
<td>place</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t [ms]</td>
<td>time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j</td>
<td>index variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>gating particle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α, β [ms⁻¹]</td>
<td>transition rates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F = 96520 [C/M]</td>
<td>Faraday constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R = 8.3134 [J/(M°C)]</td>
<td>Gas constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ca²⁺]ᵢ or [Ca²⁺]ₒ [mM]</td>
<td>Intracellular or extracellular cell Ca²⁺ concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>place</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P [cm/s]</td>
<td>permeability</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2.  Maximal conductances $g$ of the currents included in the model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>name of current</th>
<th>soma [mS/cm²]</th>
<th>dendrites [mS/cm²]</th>
<th>distal apical dendrites [mS/cm²]</th>
<th>axon [mS/cm²]</th>
<th>AIS [mS/cm²]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$i_{\text{NaT}}$</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>5.2085</td>
<td>5.2085</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>20 to 1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$i_{\text{NaP}}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$i_{\text{NaT-In}}$</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$i_{\text{KDR}}$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$i_{\text{KA}}$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$i_{\text{KM}}$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$i_{\text{KCT}}$</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$i_{\text{AHP}}$</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$i_{\text{CaT}}$</td>
<td>$1.1 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$1.1 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$i_{\text{CaL}}$</td>
<td>$6.622 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$i_{\text{CaR}}$</td>
<td>$4.4 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$i_{\text{Canpq}}$</td>
<td>$1.54 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$i_{\text{H}}$</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>$1.1 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>